

**DOCKETED**

<b>Docket Number:</b>	17-MISC-01
<b>Project Title:</b>	California Offshore Renewable Energy
<b>TN #:</b>	224790
<b>Document Title:</b>	Public Comment - Offshore Renewable Energy
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	System
<b>Organization:</b>	Public
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Public
<b>Submission Date:</b>	9/24/2018 2:06:22 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	9/24/2018

*Comment Received From: Public*  
*Submitted On: 9/24/2018*  
*Docket Number: 17-MISC-01*

**Public Comment - Offshore Renewable Energy**

*Additional submitted attachment is included below.*

I am unalterably opposed to ANY and ALL such proposals FOR FEDERAL WATERS OFF CALIFORNIA'S COAST. NO ON SHORE SUPPORT FACILITIES SHOULD BE PERMITTED UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES .

FOR EXAMPLE , THE OBSCENE PROPOSAL FOR MULTIPLE 600 FOOT TURBINES ANCHORED IN 2100 TO 3100 FEET OF WATER 35 MILES NW OF CAMBRIA.

LOW FREQUENCY ENERGY IS KNOWN TO FLOW CLEAR ACROSS THE PACIFIC BASIN ( ROGER PAYNE HUMPBACK WHALE RESEARCH ). THIS IS KNOWN AS "THE SO FAR ZONE". ON LAND LOW FREQUENCY ENERGY , HAS BEEN SHOWN TO TRAVEL UNDERGROUND UNCHANGED FOR 11km.( ITALIAN RESEARCH).

WIND ENERGY INSTALLATIONS ARE KNOWN TO PRODUCE, AT BEST, ONLY 27 % OF CAPACITY (SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS AND ELECTRIC DATA)

WIND IS BEING PROMOTED BY THE MULTINATIONAL ENERGY CORPS SUCH AS EDF/FRANCE, BRIGHT SOURCE/ISRAEL, SIEMENS/GERMANY, SHELL/USA ETC...ALL OF WHICH HAVE UNSOLD TURBINE INVENTORY( WALL STREET JOURNAL SEVERAL YEARS AGO) .

IT IS PROVABLE THAT THE PROMOTION OF WIND ENERGY INSTALLATIONS IS SIMPLY TO GET RID OF OVERSTOCK.

RESPECTFULLY,



RETIRED PUBLIC AGENCY ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNER