DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	17-MISC-01
Project Title:	California Offshore Renewable Energy
TN #:	224784
Document Title:	Beverly Childs McIntosh Comments Off Shore Wind Installations
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Beverly Childs McIntosh
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	9/24/2018 9:05:30 AM
Docketed Date:	9/24/2018

Comment Received From: Beverly Childs McIntosh

Submitted On: 9/24/2018 Docket Number: 17-MISC-01

Off Shore Wind Installations

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

Subject: off shore wind installations

I am unalterably opposed to ANY and ALL such proposals FOR FEDERAL WATERS OFF CALIFORNIA'S COAST. NO ON SHORE SUPPORT FACILITIES SHOULD BE PERMITTRD UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES .

FOR EXAMPLE, THE OBSCENE PROPOSAL FOR MULTIPLE 600 FOOT TURBINES ANCHORED IN 2100 TO 3100 FEET OF WATER 35 MILES NW OF CAMBRIA.

LOW FREQUENCY ENERGY IS KNOWN TO FLOW CLEAR ACROSS THE PACIFIC BASIN (ROGER PAYNE HUMPBACK WHALE RESEARCH). THIS IS KNOWN AS "THE SO FAR ZONE". ON LAND LOW FREQUENCY ENERGY , HAS BEEN SHOWN TO TRAVEL UNDERGROUND UNCHANGED FOR 11km.(ITALLIAN RESEARCH).

WIND ENERGY INSTALLATIONS ARE KNOWN TO PRODUCE, AT BEST, ONLY 27 % OF CAPACITY (SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS AND ELECTRIC DATA)

WIND IS BEING PROMOTED BY THE MULITNATIONAL ENERGY CORPS SUCH AS EDF/FRANCE, BRIGHT SOURCE/ISRAEL, SIEMENS/GERMANY, SHELL/USA ETC...ALL OF WHICH HAVE UNSOLD TURBINE INVENTORY(WALL STREET JOURNAL SEVERAL YEARS AGO).

IT IS PROVABLE THAT THE PROMOTION OF WIND ENERGY INSTALLATIONS IS SIMPLY TO GET RID OF OVERSTOCK.

RESPECTFULLY, BEVERLY CHILDS McINTOSH

RETIRED PUBLIC AGENCY ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNER