DOCKETED	
<b>Docket Number:</b>	18-SIT-01
<b>Project Title:</b>	Power Plant Compliance Petition Screening Form
TN #:	223261
<b>Document Title:</b>	David Alba Comments Voluntary Petition Screening Form resulting in an NOV
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	David Alba
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	4/23/2018 12:37:19 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	4/23/2018

Comment Received From: David Alba

Submitted On: 4/23/2018
Docket Number: 18-SIT-01

## **Voluntary Petition Screening Form resulting in an NOV**

Voluntary Petition Screening Form should exempt Project Owners from NOV. Otherwise participation will be limited.

Staff determination should be binding to some extent. Which may require a public review period.

Past staff determination should be searchable, so the same question is not asked twice.

How do Project Owner's know the CEC isn't just going to say "Yes you need to submit a petition to amend".

How does the CEC intend to demonstrate it is applying the same standards across it's different reviewers?

Will CEC be reviewing precedents in California and other states prior to implement this program or issuing individual determinations?

This program will probably be used for capital project planning, so a quick turn around, or guaranteed turn around would be good.