DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	17-BSTD-01
Project Title:	2019 Building Energy Efficiency Standards PreRulemaking
TN #:	221607
Document Title:	Dav Camras Comments Existing condition verification for alterations and the removal of fenestration
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Dav Camras
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	10/24/2017 4:40:15 PM
Docketed Date:	10/24/2017

Comment Received From: Dav Camras Submitted On: 10/24/2017 Docket Number: 17-BSTD-01

Existing condition verification for alterations and the removal of fenestration

I am a CalCERTS certified HERS rater (9 years) who frequently performs field verifications of existing conditions before construction (CF-3R EXC-20) which sometimes includes fenestration areas being upgraded. I have often been asked by my clients: if a window is being completely removed rather than upgraded, can they get credit in the performance calculation for the removal of the window. I understand that the answer is no, but I think that should be reconsidered in this context of $\hat{a} \in \alpha$ verified existing conditions $\hat{a} \in \alpha$ as, a wall with a removed window performs better than a wall with an upgraded window. If I am out certifying existing conditions, why can I also not certify that a certain square footage of a certain type of window exists on this existing wall which will later be altered and the window removed? I would think these is valuable credit for the removal of fenestration especially if it is on a southern or western exposure.