DOCKETED

Docket Number:	15-AFC-01	
Project Title:	Puente Power Project	
TN #:	221105	
Document Title:	Applicant's Motion to Strike Proposed Exhibits of Intervener Center for Biological Diversity	
Description:	and Objection to Proposal to Go Beyond the Scope of the Upcoming Evidentiary Hearing	
Filer:	Paul Kihm	
Organization:	Latham & Watkins LLP	
Submitter Role:	Applicant Representative	
Submission Date:	9/8/2017 4:42:06 PM	
Docketed Date:	9/8/2017	

1	Michael J. Carroll LATHAM & WATKINS LLP				
2	650 Town Center Drive, 20th Floor				
3	Costa Mesa, California 92626-1925 Tel.: (714) 540-1235				
4	michael.carroll@lw.com				
5	Attorneys for Applicant				
6					
7					
8	State of	f California			
9	Energy Resources				
10	Conservation and Development Commission				
11	In the Matter of:	Docket No. 15-AFC-01			
12	Application for Certification for the PUENTE POWER PROJECT	APPLICANT'S MOTION TO STRIKE			
13	for the rollivite rower rrojeer	PROPOSED EXHIBITS OF INTERVENER CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY AND OBJECTION TO PROPOSAL TO GO			
14		BEYOND THE SCOPE OF THE UPCOMING EVIDENTIARY HEARINGS			
15		EVIDENTIART HEARINGS			
16					
17	Pursuant to Title 20, California Code of Regulations ("CCR") § 1211.5(a) and				
18	§ 1212(b)(2), Applicant hereby requests that the Committee exercise its authority under Title 20,				
19	CCR § 1203(c) to exclude from the evidentiary record proposed exhibits filed by intervener				
20	Center for Biological Diversity ("Intervener") on the basis that the proposed exhibits were not				
21	filed on a timely basis in accordance with the Orders of the Committee. Applicant also objects to				
22	Intervener's proposal to address the topic of air quality at the upcoming evidentiary hearings on				
23	the basis that it is outside the scope of the hearings.				
24	On June 20, 2017, the Committee issue	d "Committee Orders Extending ISO Study Time,			
25	Denying City Request for Additional Time and Revised Committee Schedule" (TN #219815)				
26	(the "June 20 Orders"). The June 20 Orders specified that "[t]testimony responding to the study				
27	is due on August 30, 2017." June 20 Orders at 3. This deadline was confirmed in the "Notice of				
28	Evidentiary Hearing and Committee Conferences, Order for Prehearing Filing of Evidentiary				
 ד K I N S ננף ד Law	 US-DOCS\93723941.1	State of California Energy Resources			

Objections and Motions, etc." (TN #220900) issued by the Committee on August 25, 2017
("August 25 Orders"). See, August 25 Orders at 3.

3 Intervener docketed two documents after the August 30, 2017 deadline, which it has 4 indicated in its Pre-Hearing Conference Statement it intends to introduce as exhibits during 5 evidentiary hearings on September 14 and 15, 2017. The period of time between the deadline for 6 filing exhibits and the evidentiary hearings, during which the parties are able to review and 7 prepare to respond to proposed exhibits of other parties, is relatively short in this case -- only 8 14 days. It is, therefore, prejudicial to the other parties for any party to be permitted to file 9 proposed exhibits after the specified deadline that was established over two months ago. 10 Applicant, therefore, requests that the following proposed exhibits, identified by California 11 Energy Commission transaction number, be excluded from the evidentiary record: TN #221085 12 and TN #221082.

13 In addition, in its Third Pre-Hearing Conference Statement (TN #221095), which was 14 also filed late, Intervener indicates that it intends to address the topic of air quality (including 15 greenhouse gas emissions) during the evidentiary hearings on September 14 and 15, 2017. The 16 Committee has been very clear that the scope of the upcoming evidentiary hearings is limited to 17 the Moorpark Sub-Area Local Capacity Alternative Study prepared by the California 18 Independent System Operator ("CAISO") and submitted on August 16, 2017 (TN #220813) (the 19 "CAISO Special Study"). As indicated in the Committee Orders, the parties were invited to 20 submit additional evidence "in response to the California ISO Study." August 25 Orders at 3. 21 The CAISO Special Study does not in any manner address the topic of air quality. Thus, the 22 record remains closed on the topic of air quality, and any discussion of this topic is beyond the 23 scope of the upcoming evidentiary hearings.

25	DATED: September 8, 2017	Respectfully submitted,	
26		/s/ Michael J. Carroll	
27		Michael J. Carroll	
28		LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Counsel to Applicant	
	 US-DOCS\93723941.1	Star	te of

LATHAM∞WA

24