DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	17-AAER-01
Project Title:	Appliance Efficiency Pre-Rulemaking for Commercial Tumble Dryers and Air Filter Labeling
TN #:	221019
Document Title:	California Investor Owned Utilities Comments Response to Regulatory Amendments for Air Filter Labeling
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	California Investor Owned Utilities
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	9/1/2017 3:53:05 PM
Docketed Date:	9/1/2017

Comment Received From: California Investor Owned Utilities Submitted On: 9/1/2017 Docket Number: 17-AAER-01

Response to Regulatory Amendments for Air Filter Labeling

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

Air Filter Labeling

Codes and Standards Enhancement (CASE) Initiative For PY 2017: Title 20 Standards Development

> Analysis of Standards Proposal for **Air Filter Labeling** 17-AAER-01

> > September 1, 2017

Prepared for:



ELECTRIC COMPANY







Prepared by:

Jeffrey Steuben, ENERGY SOLUTIONS

This report was prepared by the California Statewide Investor-Owned Utilities Codes and Standards Program and funded by the California utility customers under the auspices of the California Public Utilities Commission. Copyright 2017 Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Southern California Edison, Southern California Gas Company, and San Diego Gas & Electric.

All rights reserved, except that this document may be used, copied, and distributed without modification. Neither PG&E, SCE, SoCalGas and SDG&E nor any of its employees makes any warranty, express or implied; or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or usefulness of any data, information, method, product, policy or process disclosed in this document; or represents that its use will not infringe any privately owned rights including, but not limited to, patents, trademarks or copyrights.

1. Purpose

The Codes and Standards Enhancement (CASE) initiative presents recommendations to support California Energy Commission's (Energy Commission) efforts to update California's Appliance Efficiency Regulations (Title 20) to include new requirements or to upgrade existing requirements for various technologies. The four California Investor Owned Utilities – Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San Diego Gas and Electric, Southern California Edison, and SoCalGas® – sponsored this effort (herein referred to as the Statewide CASE Team). The program goal is to prepare and submit proposals that will result in cost-effective enhancements to improve the energy and water efficiency of various products sold in California.

2. Background

The Statewide CASE Team has been highly involved and engaged with the Energy Commission on the development of standards covering air filter labeling. Throughout 2012 and 2013, the Statewide CASE Team conducted primary research on air filter labels and discussed the topic with subject matter experts. On July 29, 2013, the Statewide CASE Team submitted a CASE Report to the Energy Commission with its recommended approach for testing and labeling air filters. Further, on June 6, 2014 the Statewide CASE team provided a response to the Energy Commission's staff report, and on February 6, 2015, the Statewide CASE Team submitted comments responding to other public comments submitted by other stakeholders docketed with the Energy Commission on the subject. The Statewide CASE Team also provided comments on May 8, 2015 in response to modifications made to the 45-day language, reflected in the 15-day language.

3. Response to Proposed Actions by Energy Commission

As communicated at the August 3, 2017 Energy Commission workshop, the Statewide CASE Team supports the Energy Commission's proposed changes to the regulatory language and submits the following comments:

- Updates to the most current version of test methods promote technical accuracy and ensure proper compatibility of test methods and equipment.
- Changes regarding which filter models must be tested are welcome clarifications. The proposal makes testing requirements easier to understand and encourages greater participation by manufacturers.
- The delay in compliance date is not ideal, but warranted to provide manufacturers ample time to prepare for the regulations.