

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	15-AFC-01
Project Title:	Puente Power Project
TN #:	215433-4
Document Title:	Exhibit - SCE Testimony on Behalf of Intervenors SC, ECVC, and EDC
Description:	Exhibit
Filer:	Matthew A. Smith
Organization:	Environmental Defense Center
Submitter Role:	Intervenor
Submission Date:	1/18/2017 3:16:38 PM
Docketed Date:	1/18/2017

Exhibit 4009

Application No.: A.14-11-xxx
Exhibit No.: SCE-1
J. Bryson
G. Chinn
C. Cushnie
P. Hunt
E. Little
R. Singh
D. Snow
R. Thomas



An *EDISON INTERNATIONAL*® Company

(U 338-E)

***TESTIMONY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
EDISON COMPANY ON THE RESULTS OF ITS
2013 LOCAL CAPACITY REQUIREMENTS
REQUEST FOR OFFERS (LCR RFO) FOR THE
WESTERN LOS ANGELES BASIN***

PUBLIC VERSION

Before the

Public Utilities Commission of the State of California

Rosemead, California
November 21, 2014

**SCE-1: Testimony of Southern California Edison Company on the
Results of its 2013 Local Capacity Requirements Request for Offers
(LCR RFO) for the Western Los Angeles Basin**

Table Of Contents

Section	Page	Witness
I. INTRODUCTION	1	C. Cushnie
II. LCR RFO BACKGROUND.....	5	
III. DESCRIPTION OF THE WESTERN LA BASIN LOCAL RELIABILITY AREA.....	7	
IV. LCR RFO SOLICITATION PROCESS OVERVIEW.....	9	J. Bryson
A. Solicitation Schedule	9	
B. Solicitation Structure	10	
1. Internal Preparation.....	11	
2. RFO Launch.....	11	
3. Notice of Intent Submission.....	12	
4. Indicative Offers Submitted by Bidders	12	
5. Shortlist Notification.....	12	
6. Contract Negotiation.....	12	
7. Commercial Lockdown.....	12	
8. Negotiation Deadline	13	
9. Final Binding Offers Submission.....	13	
10. SCE Accepts or Rejects	13	
C. Requirements and Considerations.....	13	
D. Outreach Efforts.....	14	
E. Addressing Procurement Challenges	15	
1. EE & DR Incrementality.....	16	
2. In Front of the Meter Energy Storage Interconnection	16	

**SCE-1: Testimony of Southern California Edison Company on the
Results of its 2013 Local Capacity Requirements Request for Offers
(LCR RFO) for the Western Los Angeles Basin**

Table Of Contents (Continued)

Section	Page	Witness
3. In Front of the Meter Energy Storage Charging/Discharging Tariff.....	16	
4. Energy Storage Performance Measurement for Behind the Meter Resources	17	
5. Preferred Resource Performance Characteristics.....	17	
6. Locational Effectiveness Factors	18	
7. Debt Equivalents.....	19	
F. Energy Efficiency and Demand Response Incremental To Existing Programs.....	19	
1. SCE's LCR RFO Attempts to Procure Preferred Resources Incremental to the Assumptions Used in CAISO's Studies.....	19	
2. SCE Assessed Incrementality of Preferred Resources Based on the Characteristics of Individual Offers.....	21	
G. Consultation With CAISO	23	G. Chinn
1. Overview.....	23	
2. Locational Effectiveness Factors	23	
a) Preferred Resource Characteristics	27	
H. Role of IE and CAM Group.....	28	J. Bryson
1. Engagement of IE	28	
2. Consultations with CAM Group and Energy Division.....	29	
I. Impact of Debt Equivalence on LCR RFO Contract Structure.....	31	P. Hunt
1. Significance of Debt Equivalence.....	31	

**SCE-1: Testimony of Southern California Edison Company on the
Results of its 2013 Local Capacity Requirements Request for Offers
(LCR RFO) for the Western Los Angeles Basin**

Table Of Contents (Continued)

Section	Page	Witness
2. Seeking a Potential Solution to Minimize the Debt Equivalency Issue	32	
V. LCR RFO PARTICIPATION.....	35	J. Bryson
A. Summary of Solicitation Participation.....	35	
1. Indicative Offer Submittal	35	
2. Shortlist Notification.....	36	
3. Contract Negotiations	36	
4. Final Binding Offer Submission	38	
VI. VALUATION PROCESS.....	40	R. Singh
A. Market Outlook Methodology	40	
B. Valuation Methodology & Selection Methodology.....	41	
1. Overview.....	41	
2. Contract Benefits	42	
a) Energy and Ancillary Service Benefits.....	42	
(1) Energy Efficiency	42	
(2) Demand Response and Behind the Meter Energy Storage	42	
(3) In Front of the Meter Energy Storage	43	
(4) Gas-Fired Generation.....	43	
(5) Other Resources	44	
b) Resource Adequacy (“RA”) Capacity Benefits	44	
3. Contract Costs.....	46	
a) Dispatch and Energy Costs	46	

**SCE-1: Testimony of Southern California Edison Company on the
Results of its 2013 Local Capacity Requirements Request for Offers
(LCR RFO) for the Western Los Angeles Basin**

Table Of Contents (Continued)

Section	Page	Witness
(1) Demand Response and Behind the Meter Energy Storage	46	
(2) In Front of the Meter Energy Storage	46	
(3) Gas-Fired Generation.....	46	
(4) Other Resources.....	46	
b) Capacity Payments.....	46	
c) Debt Equivalents	47	
d) Transmission Cost.....	47	
e) Greenhouse Gas Cost.....	47	
f) Put Option Cost for IFOM ES and GFG.....	47	
g) Other Quantitative Considerations.....	48	
4. Quantitative Benefits Summary.....	48	
5. Qualitative Assessment.....	48	
6. Selection Constraints	49	
C. Valuation and Selection Optimization Results	50	
1. Overview.....	50	
2. Valuation Results	51	
3. Summary of Portfolio Selections	53	
a) Selection Sets	57	
VII. SOLICITATION RESULTS	63	J. Bryson
A. Summary of Selected Offers.....	63	
B. Description of Selected Offers.....	63	
1. Preferred Resources	63	

**SCE-1: Testimony of Southern California Edison Company on the
Results of its 2013 Local Capacity Requirements Request for Offers
(LCR RFO) for the Western Los Angeles Basin**

Table Of Contents (Continued)

Section	Page	Witness
a) Energy Efficiency	65	
(1) Onsite Energy Corporation (Offers: 408001, 408003, 408004, 408006, 408007, 408009, 408010, 408012, 408013, 408015, 408016)	68	
(2) Sterling Analytics LLC (Offers: 429001-429007).....	68	
(3) NRG Energy Efficiency-L LLC (Offers: 447100-447103)	68	
(4) NRG Energy Efficiency-P LLC (Offers: 447150-447155)	68	
b) Demand Response.....	69	
(1) NRG Distributed Generation PR LLC (Offers: 447200-447205).....	70	
(2) NRG Curtailment Solutions LLC (Offers: 447250).....	70	
c) Renewable Distributed Generation	70	
(1) Solar Star California XXXV, LLC, Solar Star California XXXVI, LLC, Solar Star California XXXVII, LLC, and Solar Star California XXXVIII, LLC (Offers: 490002-490004 and 4290006)	71	
d) Energy Storage.....	71	
(1) AES ES Alamitos, LLC (Offer: 475127)	75	

**SCE-1: Testimony of Southern California Edison Company on the
Results of its 2013 Local Capacity Requirements Request for Offers
(LCR RFO) for the Western Los Angeles Basin**

Table Of Contents (Continued)

Section	Page	Witness
(2) Ice Bear SPV#1, LLC (Offers: 431049, 431052, 431055, 431058, 431061, 431064, 431067, 431070, 431145, 431148, 431151, 431154, 431157, 431160, 431163, and 431166)	75	
(3) Hybrid Electric Building Technologies Irvine 1, LLC, Hybrid Electric Building Technologies Irvine 2, LLC, Hybrid Electric Building Technologies West Los Angeles 1, LLC, and Hybrid Electric Building Technologies West Los Angeles 2, LLC (Offers: 467009, 467010, 467022, and 476025)	76	
(4) Stem Energy Southern California, LLC (Offers: 402039 and 402040)	76	
2. Gas-Fired Generation.....	77	
a) AES Alamitos Energy, LLC and AES Huntington Beach Energy, LLC (Offers: 475028 and 475029)	78	
b) Stanton Energy Reliability Center, LLC (Offers: 473237 and 473238).....	79	
C. Interim Emissions Performance Standard.....	80	
VIII. ALLOCATION OF BENEFITS AND COSTS.....	82	E. Little
A. Overview.....	82	
B. Allocation of Benefits and Costs By Technology.....	84	
1. Preferred Resource Contracts	84	
a) Energy Efficiency Contracts	84	
b) Demand Response Contracts	85	

**SCE-1: Testimony of Southern California Edison Company on the
Results of its 2013 Local Capacity Requirements Request for Offers
(LCR RFO) for the Western Los Angeles Basin**

Table Of Contents (Continued)

Section	Page	Witness
c) Renewable Distributed Generation Behind the Meter Contracts.....	86	
d) In Front of the Meter Energy Storage Contracts	86	
e) Behind the Meter Energy Storage Contracts	87	
2. Gas-Fired Generation Contracts	87	
3. LCR RFO Proposal for Determination of Net Costs	88	
IX. COST RECOVERY AND REVENUE ALLOCATION.....	92	D. Snow
A. Cost Recovery.....	92	
B. Ratemaking	93	
C. Review of LCR RFO Costs.....	94	
D. Revenue Allocation and Rate Design	94	R. Thomas
1. New System Generation Rate Component	96	
2. Distribution Rate Component	96	
3. Public Purpose Programs Rate Component	96	
X. RESIDUAL PROCUREMENT TO MEET WESTERN LA BASIN LCR NEEDS.....	97	C. Cushnie

**SCE-1: Testimony of Southern California Edison Company on the
Results of its 2013 Local Capacity Requirements Request for Offers
(LCR RFO) for the Western Los Angeles Basin**

List Of Figures

Figure	Page
Figure II-1 Types of Resources Western LA Basin Procurement Authorization	6
Figure III-2 Western LA Basin A-Bank Substations	8
Figure IV-3 Committed and Uncommitted Preferred Resources in Western LA Basin.....	20
Figure IV-4 Energy Efficiency Tranche Framework	22
Figure IV-5 Demand Response Tranche Framework	22
Figure VI-6 Preferred Resource Supply Curve (Excluding IFOM ES).....	55
Figure VIII-7 Demand Response Proxy Market Revenue Calculation	90
Figure VIII-8 Energy Storage Proxy Market Revenue Calculation.....	91

**SCE-1: Testimony of Southern California Edison Company on the
Results of its 2013 Local Capacity Requirements Request for Offers
(LCR RFO) for the Western Los Angeles Basin**

List Of Tables

Table	Page
Table I-1 Summary of Selected Offers	3
Table I-2 LCR Portfolio Breakdown	4
Table IV-3 SCE's Proposed LCR RFO Schedule.....	9
Table IV-4 Revised LCR RFO Schedule.....	10
Table IV-5 Final Revised LCR RFO Schedule.....	10
Table IV-6 Updated Locational Effectiveness Factors.....	25
Table IV-7 Minimum Resources Required to Mitigate Reliability Constraint.....	26
Table IV-8 CAM Group Meetings.....	30
Table V-9 Summary of Indicative Offers	35
Table V-10 Counterparties That Withdrew/Removed From Solicitation During Negotiations	37
Table V-11 Summary of Western LA Basin Final Offers	39
Table VI-12 RA Capacity Determination by Product.....	45
Table VI-13 Offers Evaluated by Category	51
Table VI-14 Valuation Metrics by Category	52
Table VI-15 Selection Optimization Constraints.....	56
Table VI-16 Initial Selection Constraints	57
Table VI-17 Final Selection Constraints.....	60
Table VI-18 Selection Progression – Key Metrics	62
Table VII-19 Summary of Selected Offers	63
Table VII-20 Summary of Preferred Resource Selected Offers	64
Table VII-21 Summary of Energy Efficiency Selected Offers.....	67
Table VII-22 Summary of Demand Response Selected Offers	69

**SCE-1: Testimony of Southern California Edison Company on the
Results of its 2013 Local Capacity Requirements Request for Offers
(LCR RFO) for the Western Los Angeles Basin**

List Of Tables (Continued)

Table	Page
Table VII-23 Summary of Renewable Distributed Generation Selected Offers	71
Table VII-24 Summary of Energy Storage Selected Offers	74
Table VII-25 Summary of Gas-Fired Generation Selected Offers	78
Table VIII-26 LCR RFO Cost Allocation Methodology	83
Table IX-27 Functional Revenue Allocators Approved in D.13-03-031	95

VII.

SOLICITATION RESULTS

A. Summary of Selected Offers

SCE selected 60 Preferred Resource contracts and three GFG contracts. Within the Preferred Resources category, SCE selected 23 contracts for ES, one of which was for IFOM ES. Table VII-19 summarizes the LCR MW⁸² procured by product category. Additional detail for each category is provided below.

Table VII-19
Summary of Selected Offers

Product Category	Total Contracts	Max Quantity (LCR MW)
Preferred Resources and ES		
EE	26	124.04
DR	7	75.00
Renewable DG	4	37.92
ES	23	263.64
Total Preferred Resources and ES	60	500.60
GFG Resources		
GFG	3	1,382.00
Total Preferred Resources, ES, and GFG	63	1,882.60

B. Description of Selected Offers

1. Preferred Resources

In this competitive solicitation, SCE adhered to and selected resources consistent with the Loading Order of the State's Energy Action Plan II. This resulted in 60 contracts for EE, DR, Renewable DG, BTM ES, and IFOM ES for a total of 500.60 LCR MW. The breakdown of the resources can be seen in Table VII-20.

⁸² To clarify, the LCR MW are a resource's contribution to the LCR need in August 2021. This may differ from the MW quantity specified in the contract.

Table VII-20
Summary of Preferred Resource Selected Offers

Product Category	Counterparty	Total Contracts	Max Quantity (LCR MW)
EE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Onsite Energy Corporation Sterling Analytics LLC NRG Energy Efficiency-L LLC NRG Energy Efficiency-P LLC 	26	124.04
DR	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NRG Distributed Generation PR LLC NRG Curtailment Solutions LLC 	7	75.00
Renewable DG	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Solar Star California XXXV, LLC Solar Star California XXXVI, LLC Solar Star California XXXVII, LLC Solar Star California XXXVIII, LLC 	4	37.92
ES	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> AES ES Alamitos, LLC Ice Bear SPV #1, LLC Hybrid-Electric Building Technologies Irvine 1, LLC Hybrid-Electric Building Technologies Irvine 2, LLC Hybrid-Electric Building Technologies West Los Angeles 1, LLC Hybrid-Electric Building Technologies West Los Angeles 2, LLC Stem Energy Southern California, LLC 	23	263.64
Total Preferred Resources (including ES)		60	500.60

As stated in Section VI.C.3, a qualitative consideration in the selection process was the amount of PRP MW selected. SCE specified in its RFO instructions that it had a preference for Preferred Resources in the Johanna or Santiago areas to support its PRP. SCE chose the Johanna and Santiago sub-areas in the Southwest sub-area of the Western LA Basin because the Southwest sub-area is the area most impacted by the permanent closure of SONGS. To assess the capabilities of Preferred Resources, SCE, as part of the PRP, will design, acquire, and measure a diverse portfolio of Preferred Resources that will meet the area's power needs, while informing the development of the grid of the future and contributing toward California's progressive environmental and renewable energy goals. Through the PRP, SCE seeks to provide customers, regulators, electric system operators, transmission planners, procurement entities, and stakeholders, greater understanding about the ability and availability of Preferred Resources to perform where and when needed to meet local reliability, while ensuring grid

1 stability and resiliency. As identified below, several of the Preferred Resource offers selected are
2 located in the Johanna/Santiago area.

3 a) Energy Efficiency

4 SCE selected 26 EE offers from three different counterparties representing a total of 124.04 MW
5 of savings.

6 SCE created a new EE contract for the LCR RFO where the seller commits to achieve a specified
7 quantity of energy (kWh) and capacity (kW) savings through installation of specified energy efficiency
8 measures at customers' sites. In the contract, the sellers generally identified the types of measures they
9 intend to deploy as well as the customer class they intend to target. However, for the most part, specific
10 customers had not yet been identified at the time of contract execution.

11 Per the agreement, the seller is obligated to achieve energy savings during three distinct periods:
12 Summer-On-Peak, Summer Off-Peak, and Winter On-Peak. In addition, the seller is obligated to meet
13 certain capacity savings. Failure to meet these savings reduces payment under the contract.

14 The parties rely on an independent evaluator to measure savings. The independent evaluator is
15 hired by the seller, although SCE has discretion to determine the acceptability of the seller's choice.
16 The independent evaluator will create a measurement and verification ("M&V") plan, subject to SCE's
17 review, in accordance with the M&V protocol included in the contract. The independent evaluator will
18 perform the M&V consistent with the M&V Plan, and will ultimately create a report setting forth energy
19 and capacity savings for purposes of determining payment under the contract. If SCE does not
20 reasonably agree with the M&V report, SCE has the right to hire its own independent evaluator whose
21 report will be used to assess performance under the contract. This process is performed upon
22 installation of all of the measures, and allows for SCE to require additional M&V measurements over
23 the term of the agreement.

24 The EE contracts also contain a delivery date security requirement of \$22.50/kW and include
25 provisions where the total payment is made over a four- to six-year period to ensure some payment is
26 made under the contract in 2021 when the resources are first needed. As described in Section IV.F, SCE

1 selected contracts that were incremental per the EE tranche analysis performed by SCE. [REDACTED]

2 [REDACTED] which is discussed in further detail below.