Docket	16-RPS-02
Number:	
Project Title:	Appeal by Los Angeles Department of Water & Power re Renewables Portfolio Standard Certification Eligibility
TN #:	215212
<b>Document Title:</b>	Transcript of 12/21/16 Committee Status Conference
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
Filer:	Cody Goldthrite
Organization:	California Energy Commission
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Committee
Submission Date:	1/10/2017 1:54:46 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	1/10/2017

# BEFORE THE

# CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

In the matter of,	)			
	)	Docket	No.	16-RPS-02
	)			
Appeal by Los Angeles	)			
Department of Water & Power re	)			
Renewables Portfolio Standard	)			
Certification Eligibility	)			

# COMMITTEE STATUS CONFERENCE

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

CHARLES IMBRECHT HEARING ROOM (B)

1516 NINTH STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 21, 2016

1:05 P.M.

Reported By: Kent Odell

# CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 229 Napa St., Rodeo, California 94572 (510) 224-4476

#### **APPEARANCES**

# Commissioners and Advisors

Robert B. Weisenmiller, Chair and Presiding Member, LADWP Appeal Committee

David Hochschild, Associate Member, LADWP Appeal Committee

Emilio Camacho, Advisor to Commissioner Hochschild

# Hearing Officer

Paul Kramer

# CEC Staff Present

Gabriel Herrera, Staff Counsel

Mona Badie, Staff Counsel

# For Applicant/Appellant

Jean-Claude Bertet, Deputy City Attorney, LADWP

Adriana Ayuso, LADWP

Adriana Zerda, LADWP

# INDEX

			Page
	1.	Call to Order	4
	2.	Public Comment - None	
	5.	Closed Session	6
	6.	Adjournment	11
	Repo	rter's Certificate	12
1	Tran	scriber's Certificate	13
1			

1	Ρ	R	0	С	Ε	Ε	D	Ι	Ν	G	S

2 DECEMBER 21, 2016

1:05 P.M.

- 3 HEARING OFFICER KRAMER: Okay, Mr. Bertet, can
- 4 you still hear me?
- 5 MR. BERTET: Yes, I can still hear you. Can you
- 6 hear me?
- 7 HEARING OFFICER KRAMER: Yeah, we're hearing you
- 8 just fine.
- 9 Okay, this is Paul Kramer. Welcome to the
- 10 continued Committee Status Conference, for the LADWP RPS
- 11 Appeals proceeding.
- 12 We'll take roll. And, let's see, we'll start
- 13 with the Applicant, LADWP, or the Appellant.
- 14 MR. BERTET: Yes, this is Jean-Claude Bertet,
- 15 from the City Attorney's Office, on behalf of the Los
- 16 Angeles Department of Water and Power.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KRAMER: Okay, and I also
- 18 see, in the list of attendee names, Adriana Ayuso and
- 19 Adriana Zerda, both also from LADWP.
- And, actually, that's everybody on WebEx.
- In the room, we have staff.
- MR. HERRERA: Yeah, good afternoon. Gabriel
- 23 Herrera, representing staff, with the Energy
- 24 Commission's Legal Office.
- MS. BADIE: Mona Badie, with staff.

### CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC

- 1 HEARING OFFICER KRAMER: Okay. And that's the
- 2 extent of the -- those in the room, who wish to be
- 3 identified.
- We appear to have no members of the public,
- 5 either on the telephone or in the room.
- 6 The purpose of today's meeting is a continued --
- 7 it's, first of all, a continuation of the December 15,
- 8 2016 Committee Status Conference. The primary purpose
- 9 is to allow the Committee to deliberate in closed
- 10 session.
- 11 But before adjourning into that closed session,
- 12 we will take public comment. And, also, just check in
- 13 and see if the parties have anything new to report on
- 14 the status, while they have the opportunity.
- Does anyone -- this is a formality, but does
- 16 anyone in the room or on the telephone wish to make a
- 17 public comment? Seeing none --
- MR. BERTET: Not from -- yeah, not from LA,
- 19 thank you.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER KRAMER: Okay, thank you.
- 21 Do the parties have anything they wish to tell
- 22 us about the status that's happened, since last week?
- MR. BERTET: This is Jean-Claude Bertet. I'm
- 24 not aware of any change in status.
- 25 MR. HERRERA: Yeah, this is Gabe Herrera. I'm

- 1 not aware of any change in status.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER KRAMER: Okay, thank you.
- 3 So, with that, we will adjourn into closed
- 4 session, pursuant to Government Code Section
- 5 11126(c)(3), which allows a State body, including a
- 6 delegated committee, to hold a closed session to
- 7 deliberate on a decision to be reached in a proceeding
- 8 the State body was required by law to conduct.
- 9 For your convenience, we're going to ask that
- 10 you return at 3:00 p.m., today, to the WebEx or here.
- 11 Too short? Oh, I'll be safe. 3:00 p.m., and we'll be
- 12 back here to report out anything we have to report out
- 13 from the closed session.
- 14 And with that, we will go off the record and see
- 15 you at 3:00. Thank you.
- MR. BERTET: All right, thank you.
- 17 (Convene Closed Session at 1:08 p.m.)
- 18 (Reconvene Public Session at 3:11 p.m.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER KRAMER: Okay, this is Paul
- 20 Kramer, reporting that we've returned from closed
- 21 session.
- 22 And our report out is that we had anticipated
- 23 being able to release the tentative decision that we've
- 24 spoken about this week. But we are now anticipating
- 25 that it will be released approximately January 5th, and

- 1 accompanied by the ruling on the LADWP Evidentiary
- 2 Motion.
- 3 Of course, we need to allow time for the parties
- 4 to review and comment on that, prepare their comments.
- 5 And that makes the date we had previously asked you to
- 6 hold on your calendars, which was January 10th, not the
- 7 best choice to allow us to achieve that goal.
- 8 So, what we are planning is to ask that you get
- 9 your comments back to us on the 19th of January. And we
- 10 are shooting to have our next meeting of the Committee
- 11 on the -- the 25th is a Business Meeting day, so perhaps
- 12 that afternoon. If you report back to us that that day
- 13 is, for some reason, not good for your calendars, we
- 14 have the easy option, the relatively easy option of
- 15 going to the afternoon of the 26th.
- 16 So, we'd like to know, Mr. Bertet, especially
- 17 from your group, and also from staff if there is a
- 18 problem on the 25th. But that's our first choice.
- 19 And then, finally, we were trying to remember,
- 20 if you recall last week, you gave some estimates about
- 21 what I called the outside limit on the amount of time
- 22 you might need to speak to the Committee orally, at the
- 23 next meeting, about the tentative decision and, now, the
- 24 ruling on the -- well, the ruling on the Evidentiary
- 25 Motion is likely to be final.

- 1 But please correct me if I'm wrong, but I recall
- 2 that -- kind of like the most you expected that you
- 3 would need to speak for was approximately 30 minutes,
- 4 each, 30 minutes staff, 30 minutes LADWP. Does that
- 5 sound right?
- The reason I ask is that we don't, yet, have the
- 7 WebEx recording available to go listen to, and the
- 8 transcript draft is probably going to come in next week.
- 9 So, we're relying on memory and it's a little foggy.
- 10 MR. BERTET: Yes, this is Jean-Claude Bertet,
- 11 from LADWP. To the extent that there will -- that
- 12 witnesses will not be needed, then 30 minutes to 45
- 13 minutes, max, would be, I think, needed.
- 14 However, if 30 minutes is the limit, then we'll
- 15 stick by the time frame in which the Committee
- 16 administers.
- 17 As far as the January 25th date, I will confer
- 18 internally to make sure that that is still workable on
- 19 DWP's side. DCA Felix Lebron is not at this meeting, so
- 20 I'll have to confer with him. He's out. And,
- 21 hopefully, I'll receive a response fairly soon to make
- 22 sure that the 25th is acceptable.
- Otherwise, we'll file something with the
- 24 Committee, letting you know alternative dates.
- 25 HEARING OFFICER KRAMER: Okay, and keep in mind

- 1 the 26th is an option, as well.
- MR. BERTET: Okay, thank you.
- 3 MR. HERRERA: Mr. Kramer, staff didn't give a
- 4 projection on time, but if the Committee sets a half-
- 5 hour, then that's the time we'll need, we'll take up to
- 6 do that.
- 7 I think, at the Status Conference last week, you
- 8 directed parties to provide an estimate, in their
- 9 comments, on how much time they would need. But again,
- 10 I think a half-hour would be adequate, if that's what
- 11 the Committee provides.
- 12 And I will check with both Drew Bohan and
- 13 Courtney Smith, to see if they're available on the 25th,
- 14 in the afternoon, after the Business Meeting, or the
- 15 26th, and can get back to the Committee on that.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER KRAMER: Okay, thank you.
- We have a few more people on the telephone, but
- 18 we took public comments, or at least attempted to
- 19 earlier. So, any other -- any other business from the
- 20 parties before we adjourn?
- 21 MR. BERTET: This is Jean-Claude Bertet. So,
- 22 are we to anticipate a release of -- on January 5th?
- 23 And if that time frame changes, will there just simply
- 24 be a notice posted by the Committee? Or, is January 5th
- 25 the date that it will be released?

- 1 HEARING OFFICER KRAMER: It's a target date. It
- 2 could be a little sooner. If it's a day later, I can't
- 3 imagine that we're going to put out another piece of
- 4 paper saying that. But if it's going to be
- 5 significantly delayed, we will consider letting you
- 6 know.
- 7 If it's, for instance, delayed a day, I don't
- 8 think we would change the response deadline or anything.
- 9 Commissioner Weisenmiller?
- 10 CHAIR WEISENMILLER: Yeah. No, I was just going
- 11 to say, given that there's two parties, I assume if we
- 12 happen to post on the 4th, or the 6th, instead, you
- 13 know, I'll volunteer you to call the two parties and say
- 14 it's been posted.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KRAMER: They will get an e-
- 16 mail.
- 17 CHAIR WEISENMILLER: An e-mail. Anyway, just
- 18 something that they get a communication.
- 19 And again, I think on the 25th and 26th, let's
- 20 just circle again on those dates. We were struggling,
- 21 A, to find times where both of us -- both Commissioner
- 22 Hochschild and I were available next month, to nail
- 23 things down. And the good or bad news is these are the
- 24 dates.
- 25 But at the same time, trying to make sure

1	there's adequate time for the parties to review the
2	decision, and adequate time for us to review your
3	comments.
4	So, if these don't work, I hate to imagine what
5	February looks like, but we would have to dig into that.
6	MR. BERTET: Well, thanks very much. We'll look
7	forward to the ruling, either on January 5th or 6th, or
8	if it's earlier, then we'll look forward to that, as
9	well.
10	HEARING OFFICER KRAMER: Okay. With that, then,
11	we are adjourned. Thank you.
12	MR. BERTET: Thank you.
13	(Thereupon, the Status Conference was adjourned
14	at 3:18 p.m.)
15	000
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

#### REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were reported by me, a certified electronic court reporter and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 10th day of January, 2017.

Kent Odell
CER\*\*00548

#### TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were transcribed by me, a certified transcriber.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 10 of January, 2017.

Barbara Little Certified Transcriber AAERT No. CET\*\*D-520