

## DOCKETED

<b>Docket Number:</b>	85-AFC-03C
<b>Project Title:</b>	Compliance - Application for Certification for Midway-Sunset Cogeneration Project
<b>TN #:</b>	214785
<b>Document Title:</b>	Staff Approved Project Modification
<b>Description:</b>	Approval to Disconnect Oil Supply System of 2 Turbines
<b>Filer:</b>	Raquel Rodriguez
<b>Organization:</b>	California Energy Commission
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Commission Staff
<b>Submission Date:</b>	12/14/2016 10:11:37 AM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	12/14/2016

## CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

NINTH STREET  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-5512



NOTICE OF POST-CERTIFICATION CHANGE  
TO THE PROJECT DESIGN  
MIDWAY SUNSET COGENERATION PROJECT  
85-AFC-3

The purpose of this notice is to inform you that the Midway Sunset Cogeneration Company (MSCC) has notified the Energy Commission that it will make a project design change. Pursuant to Title 20, California Code of Regulations, Section 1769 Commission approval is not required if Commission staff determines that the change does not effect a condition, does not cause a significant effect on the environment, and does not cause the project to be out of compliance with any applicable laws, ordinances, regulations or standards.

Staff has made the determination required pursuant to section 1769. Any person may file an objection to staff's determination within 14 days of service of this notice on the grounds that the project design change does not meet the criteria set forth in section 1769. If no objection is filed, no further Commission action will be taken other than placing the project design change in the project file.

**PROJECT DESIGN CHANGE**

In the current project design, the power plant's three gas-fired turbines have an oil-fired backup system for use during curtailment of the natural gas supply. The turbines are test-fired on oil for a brief time each month to verify that they operate at the required emissions level.

In June, 1993, MSCC completed connection to the Midway/Mojave natural gas supply line. This new gas supply, along with their continued connection to the SoCal gas supply line, are providing a reliable gas supply source. Based on the gas availability, MSCC has determined that they no longer need oil-fired backup capability on each of their gas-fired turbines.

In the design change, MSCC proposes to disconnect the oil supply system from two of the three turbines and maintain the third turbine for oil-fired emissions testing/certification. In addition, one of the two on-site oil storage tanks will be emptied and may be used at a later date as an additional feedwater storage tank.

The benefits from this change will be the reduced operating costs and lower emissions since only one turbine will be maintained for oil-fired testing rather than all three.

If you wish to file an objection to the staff determination made under section 1769, please contact Mr. Terry Maderos, Compliance



**COORDINATION SHEET**  
**CEC-23**

**NOTICE OF POST-CERTIFICATION CHANGE  
TO THE PROJECT DESIGN  
MIDWAY SUNSET COGENERATION PROJECT  
85-AFC-3**

The purpose of this notice is to inform you that the Midway Sunset Cogeneration Company (MSCC) has notified the Energy Commission that it will make a project design change. Pursuant to Title 20, California Code of Regulations, Section 1769 Commission approval is not required if Commission staff determines that the change does not effect a condition, does not cause a significant effect on the environment, and does not cause the project to be out of compliance with any applicable laws, ordinances, regulations or standards.

Staff has made the determination required pursuant to section 1769. Any person may file an objection to staff's determination within 14 days of service of this notice on the grounds that the project design change does not meet the criteria set forth in section 1769. If no objection is filed, no further Commission action will be taken other than placing the project design change in the project file.

**PROJECT DESIGN CHANGE**

In the current project design, the power plant's three gas-fired turbines have an oil-fired backup system for use during curtailment of the natural gas supply. The turbines are test-fired on oil for a brief time each month to verify that they operate at the required emissions level.

In June, 1993, MSCC completed connection to the Midway/Mojave natural gas supply line. This new gas supply, along with their continued connection to the SoCal gas supply line, are providing a reliable gas supply source. Based on the gas availability, MSCC has determined that they no longer need oil-fired backup capability on each of their gas-fired turbines.

In the design change, MSCC proposes to disconnect the oil supply system from two of the three turbines and maintain the third turbine for oil-fired emissions testing/certification. In addition, one of the two on-site oil storage tanks will be emptied and may be used at a later date as an additional feedwater storage tank.

The benefits from this change will be the reduced operating costs and lower emissions since only one turbine will be maintained for oil-fired testing rather than all three.

If you wish to file an objection to the staff determination made under section 1769, please contact Mr. Terry Maderos, Compliance

INITIAL AND DATE

Originator:

Reviewed by:

Signed by:

RLT 2/11/94

*[Handwritten signature]*



**COORDINATION SHEET**  
CEC-23

**NOTICE OF POST-CERTIFICATION CHANGE  
TO THE PROJECT DESIGN  
MIDWAY SUNSET COGENERATION PROJECT  
85-AFC-3**

The purpose of this notice is to inform you that the Midway Sunset Cogeneration Company (MSCC) has notified the Energy Commission that it will make a project design change. Pursuant to Title 20, California Code of Regulations, Section 1769 Commission approval is not required if Commission staff determines that the change does not affect a condition, does not cause a significant effect on the environment, and does not cause the project to be out of compliance with any applicable laws, ordinances, regulations or standards.

Staff has made the determination required pursuant to section 1769. Any person may file an objection to staff's determination within 14 days of service of this notice on the grounds that the project design change does not meet the criteria set forth in section 1769. If no objection is filed, no further Commission action will be taken other than placing the project design change in the project file.

**PROJECT DESIGN CHANGE**

In the current project design, the power plant's three gas-fired turbines have an oil-fired backup system for use during curtailment of the natural gas supply. The turbines are test-fired on oil for a brief time each month to verify that they operate at the required emissions level.

In June, 1993, MSCC completed connection to the Midway/Mojave natural gas supply line. This new gas supply, along with their continued connection to the SoCal gas supply line, are providing a reliable gas supply source. Based on the gas availability, MSCC has determined that they no longer need oil-fired backup capability on each of their gas-fired turbines.

In the design change, MSCC proposes to disconnect the oil supply system from two of the three turbines and maintain the third turbine for oil-fired emissions testing/certification. In addition, one of the two on-site oil storage tanks will be emptied and may be used at a later date as an additional feedwater storage tank.

The benefits from this change will be the reduced operating costs and lower emissions since only one turbine will be maintained for oil-fired testing rather than all three.

If you wish to file an objection to the staff determination made under section 1769, please contact Mr. Terry Maderos, Compliance

Originator:

Reviewed by:

Signed by:

T. Maderos  
2/7

2/27/94  
1. OK  
2. OK  
2/8/94

RBH 2-8-94  
2. OK  
2/8/94

Mel 2/8/94  
1. OK  
2. OK

5 standard language

5 standard

Project Manager within 14 days of the date of this notice at the address below or call him at (916) 654-5191. | 8 standard

Terry Maderos, Compliance Project Manager  
Docket No. 85-AFC-3  
California Energy Commission  
1516 Ninth Street, MS 2000  
Sacramento, CA 95814

This notice is mailed to the post-certification mailing list No.786, the Commission Docket and to each Commissioner. | 8 standard

↙ Date \_\_\_\_\_

ROBERT THERKELSEN, Deputy Director for  
Energy Facilities Siting and  
← Environmental Protection



**COORDINATION SHEET**  
CEC-23

Notice of Receipt AND  
Determination of a Post-  
Certification CHANGE

*[Handwritten signature]*

**NOTICE OF POST**

MIDWAY SUN  
MIDWAY SUNSE

The California Energy Co  
change from the Midway Sun  
the project design. MSC  
supply lines to two of the  
two on-site oil storage tanks.

a proposed  
) to modify  
backup oil  
one of the

*Important to 20 CCR 1769,*

Please note that this document is official notification of the  
proposed change(s) listed below. Unless significant adverse  
comments are received within 14 days of the date of this notice  
staff will enter the change(s) <sup>IN</sup> as part of the permanent project <sup>compliance record for the</sup>  
~~record~~ and no further Commission action will occur. MSCC will be  
notified that they may proceed with the change(s).

Based <sup>SKH</sup> on ~~our~~ analysis of the material submitted by MSCC, and MSCC's  
continued compliance with the Conditions of Certification, ~~we~~ <sup>staff</sup>  
conclude that there is no possibility that the proposed change(s)  
will have a significant effect on the environment; and that this  
project remains in compliance with all laws, ordinances,  
regulations and standards. Also, this change will not result in a  
change or deletion of the Commission Decision's Conditions of  
Certification for the project.

**Proposed Change(s):**

In June, 1993, MSCC completed connection to the Midway/Mojave  
natural gas supply line. This new gas supply, along with their  
continued connection to the SoCal gas supply line, are providing  
a reliable gas supply source. Based on the gas availability, MSCC  
has determined that they no longer need oil-fired backup capability  
on all three of their gas-fired turbines.

MSCC proposes to disconnect the oil supply system from two of the  
three turbines, and maintain the third turbine for oil-fired  
emissions testing/certification. In addition, one of the two on-  
site oil storage tanks will be emptied and may be used at a later  
date as an additional feedwater storage tank.

The benefits from this change will be the reduced operating costs  
and lower emissions since only one turbine will be maintained for  
oil-fired testing rather than the current three.

If you have procedural questions, you may contact the Commission's  
Public Adviser at (916) 654-4489, or toll free in California at

INITIAL AND DATE

Originator: <i>MADEPES T. MOJAVE 1/25/94</i>	Reviewed by: <i>JE 1/26/94 J. O. N. A. S. H. C. C. M. G. S. T.</i>	<i>L. H. A. S. S. L. E. R. S. T. A. N. D.</i>	<i>M. W. L. S. U. N.</i>	Signed by: <i>J. H. H. A. R. D. E. N.</i>
---	---	---	--------------------------	--

COMPLIANCE UNIT PROJECT CHANGE  
TRANSMITTAL AND COORDINATION SHEET

C/M LOG #: 93-0026(A)  
Project Name: Midway Sunset Cogen  
File #: 85-AFC-3  
Date Logged: 12/20/93

A. SUMMARY

To: J. BROWNEN Marc (Bio + Water), J.C.

Compliance PM: Terry Maderos DUE DATE: 01/05/94

AMENDMENT REQUEST: Change to the Project Design to allow removal of the Diesel Fuel Backup System from two of the three gas turbines and possible use of one of two oil storage tanks as an additional feed water storage unit.

AFFECTED CONDITION(s): Project Design

Compliance Unit believes this is an: ☒ Insignificant Change; OR a  
☐ SIMPLE, ☐ NONCONTROVERSIAL, ☐ COMPLEX, ☐ CONTROVERSIAL Amendment.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: Please let me know by FRIDAY, 12/24, if you cannot make the due date. ALSO, under the new regulations, we have 30 days to let the project owner know the results of our review (See attached).

B. TECHNICAL STAFF REVIEW:

Review the attached proposal, applicable condition(s) & check the appropriate box. Indicate if other conditions affected. Attach analysis per Compliance format or attach data request as necessary. Include all pertinent ROCs. If you need more review time, contact the CPM

- ☒ Agree with NO Significant Environment Impact ☐ Disagree with NO Significant Impact (ATTACH ANALYSIS)
- ☐ Additional Data Needed (ATTACH MEMO WITH DATA REQUESTS) ☐ Responsible/Delegate Agency Review Needed (ATTACH EXPLANATION/SCHEDULE)
- ☐ Does not Affect My Technical Area

Staff Comments/Action

(Initial, Date and Return to Compliance Unit)

Tech Reviewer S. J. 1-4-94 JCH 1/4/94	Tech Senior EB 1-4-94	OM RBT 1/4/94
--	--------------------------	------------------

C. COMPLIANCE USE ONLY:

COMMENTS BY CPM:

(Approval for Close-out)

RETURN DATE	CPM	C/M UNIT SENIOR	CLOSED OUT
1/04/94	JCH		

LONG TERM STORAGE  
LIST #: \_\_\_\_\_  
BOX #: \_\_\_\_\_  
OTHER (Describe): \_\_\_\_\_

COMPLIANCE UNIT PROJECT CHANGE  
TRANSMITTAL AND COORDINATION SHEET

C/M LOG #: 93-0026(B)  
Project Name: Midway Sunset Cogen  
File #: 85-AFC-3  
Date Logged: 12/20/93

A. SUMMARY

To: C. TOOLER

Compliance PM: Terry Maderos DUE DATE: 01/05/94

AMENDMENT REQUEST: Change to the Project Design to allow removal of the Diesel Fuel Backup System from two of the three gas turbines and possible use of one of two oil storage tanks as an additional feed water storage unit.

AFFECTED CONDITION(s): Project Design

Compliance Unit believes this is an: ☒ Insignificant Change; OR a  
☐ SIMPLE, ☐ NONCONTROVERSIAL, ☐ COMPLEX, ☐ CONTROVERSIAL Amendment.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: Please let me know by FRIDAY, 12/24, if you cannot make the due date. ALSO, under the new regulations, we have 30 days to let the project owner know the results of our review (See attached).

B. TECHNICAL STAFF REVIEW:

Review the attached proposal, applicable condition(s) & check the appropriate box. Indicate if other conditions affected. Attach analysis per Compliance format or attach data request as necessary. Include all pertinent ROCs. If you need more review time, contact the CPM

- ☒ Agree with NO Significant Environment Impact ☐ Disagree with NO Significant Impact (ATTACH ANALYSIS)
- ☐ Additional Data Needed (ATTACH MEMO WITH DATA REQUESTS) ☐ Responsible/Delegate Agency Review Needed (ATTACH EXPLANATION/SCHEDULE)
- ☐ Does not Affect My Technical Area

CEC Decision Conditions of Certification or the

Staff Comments/Action PROPOSED CHANGE WILL NOT AFFECT EXISTING P/O'S FOR THE THREE UNITS "A", "B" & "C". EMISSIONS ARE LIKELY TO BE REDUCED ALSO. This submittal should be a blue FYI.

(Initial, Date and Return to Compliance Unit)

Tech Reviewer TN 1/5/94	Tech Senior 1/5/94	OM RBH 1/6/94
----------------------------	-----------------------	------------------

C. COMPLIANCE USE ONLY:

COMMENTS BY CPM:

(Approval for Close-out)

RETURN DATE	CPM	C/M UNIT SENIOR	CLOSED OUT
1/06/94	DM		

LONG TERM STORAGE  
LIST #: \_\_\_\_\_  
BOX #: \_\_\_\_\_  
OTHER (Describe): \_\_\_\_\_

COMPLIANCE UNIT PROJECT CHANGE  
TRANSMITTAL AND COORDINATION SHEET

C/M LOG #: 93-0026(C)  
Project Name: Midway Sunset Cogen  
File #: 85-AFC-3  
Date Logged: 12/20/93

A. SUMMARY

To: T. Rygg

Compliance PM: Terry Maderos DUE DATE: 01/05/94

AMENDMENT REQUEST: Change to the Project Design to allow removal of the Diesel Fuel Backup System from two of the three gas turbines and possible use of one of two oil storage tanks as an additional feed water storage unit.

AFFECTED CONDITION(s): Project Design

Compliance Unit believes this is an: ☒ Insignificant Change; OR a  
SIMPLE, NONCONTROVERSIAL, COMPLEX, CONTROVERSIAL Amendment.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: Please let me know by FRIDAY, 12/24, if you cannot make the due date. ALSO, under the new regulations, we have 30 days to let the project owner know the results of our review (See attached).

B. TECHNICAL STAFF REVIEW:

Review the attached proposal, applicable condition(s) & check the appropriate box. Indicate if other conditions affected. Attach analysis per Compliance format or attach data request as necessary. Include all pertinent ROCs. If you need more review time, contact the CPM

- ☒ Agree with NO Significant Environment Impact ☐ Disagree with NO Significant Impact (ATTACH ANALYSIS)
- ☐ Additional Data Needed (ATTACH MEMO WITH DATA REQUESTS) ☐ Responsible/Delegate Agency Review Needed (ATTACH EXPLANATION/SCHEDULE)
- ☐ Does not Affect My Technical Area

Staff Comments/Action: Worker Safety, Public Health, Waste, HazMat →  
No sig. env. impact.

(Initial, Date and Return to Compliance Unit)

Tech Reviewer <u>[Signature]</u>	Tech Senior <u>AM 12/20/93</u>	OM <u>RJH 12/20/93</u>
-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	---------------------------

C. COMPLIANCE USE ONLY:

COMMENTS BY CPM: \_\_\_\_\_

(Approval for Close-out)

RETURN DATE <u>12/20/93</u>	CPM <u>[Signature]</u>	C/M UNIT SENIOR	CLOSED OUT
--------------------------------	---------------------------	-----------------	------------

LONG TERM STORAGE  
LIST #: \_\_\_\_\_  
BOX #: \_\_\_\_\_  
OTHER (Describe): \_\_\_\_\_

COMPLIANCE UNIT PROJECT CHANGE  
TRANSMITTAL AND COORDINATION SHEET

C/M LOG #: 93-0026(E)  
Project Name: Midway Sunset Cogen  
File #: 85-AFC-3  
Date Logged: 12/20/93

*RJ 12/21/93*

A. SUMMARY

To: E. JOHNSON (KATHY)

Compliance PM: Terry Maderos DUE DATE: 01/05/94

AMENDMENT REQUEST: Change to the Project Design to allow removal of the Diesel Fuel Backup System from two of the three gas turbines and possible use of one of two oil storage tanks as an additional feed water storage unit.

AFFECTED CONDITION(s): Project Design paleo (ROC #1) archeo (ROC #2)

Compliance Unit believes this is an: X Insignificant Change; OR a  
    SIMPLE,     NONCONTROVERSIAL,     COMPLEX,     CONTROVERSIAL Amendment.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: Please let me know by FRIDAY, 12/24, if you cannot make the due date. ALSO, under the new regulations, we have 30 days to let the project owner know the results of our review (See attached).

B. TECHNICAL STAFF REVIEW:

Review the attached proposal, applicable condition(s) & check the appropriate box. Indicate if other conditions affected. Attach analysis per Compliance format or attach data request as necessary. Include all pertinent ROCs. If you need more review time, contact the CPM

- ☒ Agree with NO Significant Environment Impact      ☐ Disagree with NO Significant Impact (ATTACH ANALYSIS)
- ☐ Additional Data Needed (ATTACH MEMO WITH DATA REQUESTS)      ☐ Responsible/Delegate Agency Review Needed (ATTACH EXPLANATION/SCHEDULE)
- ☐ Does not Affect My Technical Area

Staff Comments/Action Disconnection of fuel oil pipes & tank(s) should have no impact on paleo resources since there will be no disturbance of the ground surface.

(Initial, Date and Return to Compliance Unit)

Tech Reviewer <i>KMM</i> 1/19/94	Tech Senior <i>RJ</i> 1/19/94	OM <i>RBH</i> 1/20/94
-------------------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------------------

C. COMPLIANCE USE ONLY:

COMMENTS BY CPM: \_\_\_\_\_

(Approval for Close-out)

RETURN DATE	CPM	C/M UNIT SENIOR	CLOSED OUT

LONG TERM STORAGE  
LIST #: \_\_\_\_\_  
BOX #: \_\_\_\_\_  
OTHER (Describe): \_\_\_\_\_

COMPLIANCE UNIT PROJECT CHANGE  
TRANSMITTAL AND COORDINATION SHEET

C/M LOG #: 93-0026(D)  
Project Name: Midway Sunset Cogen  
File #: 85-AFC-3  
Date Logged: 12/20/93

A. SUMMARY

To: R. Julian - Baker

Compliance PM: Terry Maderos DUE DATE: 01/05/94

AMENDMENT REQUEST: Change to the Project Design to allow removal of the Diesel Fuel Backup System from two of the three gas turbines and possible use of one of two oil storage tanks as an additional feed water storage unit.

AFFECTED CONDITION(s): Project Design

Compliance Unit believes this is an: X Insignificant Change; OR a  
SIMPLE, NONCONTROVERSIAL, COMPLEX, CONTROVERSIAL Amendment.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: Please let me know by FRIDAY, 12/24, if you cannot make the due date. ALSO, under the new regulations, we have 30 days to let the project owner know the results of our review (See attached).

B. TECHNICAL STAFF REVIEW:

Review the attached proposal, applicable condition(s) & check the appropriate box. Indicate if other conditions affected. Attach analysis per Compliance format or attach data request as necessary. Include all pertinent ROCs. If you need more review time, contact the CPM

- ☒ Agree with NO Significant Environment Impact ☐ Disagree with NO Significant Impact (ATTACH ANALYSIS)
- ☐ Additional Data Needed (ATTACH MEMO WITH DATA REQUESTS) ☐ Responsible/Delegate Agency Review Needed (ATTACH EXPLANATION/SCHEDULE)
- ☐ Does not Affect My Technical Area

Staff Comments/Action NONE REQUIRED

(Initial, Date and Return to Compliance Unit)

Tech Reviewer J.Bals 12/20/93	Tech Senior J.Bals 12/20/93	OM Bj 4RLS 12/23/93
----------------------------------	--------------------------------	------------------------

C. COMPLIANCE USE ONLY:

COMMENTS BY CPM:

(Approval for Close-out)

RETURN DATE 12/23/93	CPM TM	C/M UNIT SENIOR	CLOSED OUT
-------------------------	-----------	-----------------	------------

LONG TERM STORAGE  
LIST #: \_\_\_\_\_  
BOX #: \_\_\_\_\_  
OTHER (Describe): \_\_\_\_\_

COMPLIANCE UNIT PROJECT CHANGE  
TRANSMITTAL AND COORDINATION SHEET

C/M LOG #: 93-0026(F)  
Project Name: Midway Sunset Cogen  
File #: 85-AFC-3  
Date Logged: 12/20/93

A. SUMMARY

To: J. OGATA

Compliance PM: Terry Maderos DUE DATE: 01/05/94

AMENDMENT REQUEST: Change to the Project Design to allow removal of the Diesel Fuel Backup System from two of the three gas turbines and possible use of one of two oil storage tanks as an additional feed water storage unit.

AFFECTED CONDITION(s): Project Design

Compliance Unit believes this is an: X Insignificant Change; OR a  
SIMPLE, NONCONTROVERSIAL, COMPLEX, CONTROVERSIAL Amendment.

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: Please let me know by FRIDAY, 12/24, if you cannot make the due date. ALSO, under the new regulations, we have 30 days to let the project owner know the results of our review (See attached).

B. TECHNICAL STAFF REVIEW:

Review the attached proposal, applicable condition(s) & check the appropriate box. Indicate if other conditions affected. Attach analysis per Compliance format or attach data request as necessary. Include all pertinent ROCs. If you need more review time, contact the CPM

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agree with NO Significant Environment Impact            | <input type="checkbox"/> Disagree with NO Significant Impact (ATTACH ANALYSIS)                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Additional Data Needed (ATTACH MEMO WITH DATA REQUESTS) | <input type="checkbox"/> Responsible/Delegate Agency Review Needed (ATTACH EXPLANATION/SCHEDULE) |
|  | <input type="checkbox"/> Does not Affect My Technical Area                                       |

Staff Comments/Action Processing as "insignificant change" is OK if staff agrees

(Initial, Date and Return to Compliance Unit)

Tech Reviewer J. Ogata 12/20/93	Tech Senior	OM
------------------------------------	-------------	----

C. COMPLIANCE USE ONLY:

COMMENTS BY CPM:

(Approval for Close-out)

RETURN DATE	CPM	C/M UNIT SENIOR	CLOSED OUT

LONG TERM STORAGE  
LIST #: \_\_\_\_\_  
BOX #: \_\_\_\_\_  
OTHER (Describe): \_\_\_\_\_

## **1769. Post Certification Amendments and Changes.**

### **(a) Project Modifications**

(1) After the final decision is effective under section 1720.4, the applicant shall file with the commission a petition for any modifications it proposes to the project design, operation, or performance requirements. The petition must contain the following information:

(A) A complete description of the proposed modifications, including new language for any conditions that will be affected;

(B) A discussion of the necessity for the proposed modifications;

(C) If the modification is based on information that was known by the petitioner during the certification proceeding, an explanation why the issue was not raised at that time;

(D) If the modification is based on new information that changes or undermines the assumptions, rationale, findings, or other bases of the final decision, an explanation of why the change should be permitted;

(E) An analysis of the impacts the modification may have on the environment and proposed measures to mitigate any significant adverse impacts;

(F) A discussion of the impact of the modification on the facility's ability to comply with applicable laws, ordinances, regulations, and standards;

(G) A discussion of how the modification affects the public;

(H) A list of property owners potentially affected by the modification; and

(I) A discussion of the potential effect on nearby property owners, the public and the parties in the application proceedings.

(2) Within 30 days after the applicant files a petition pursuant to subsection (a)(1) of this section, the staff shall review the petition to determine the extent of the proposed modifications. Where staff determines that there is no possibility that the modifications may have a significant effect on the environment, and if the modifications will not result in a change or deletion of a condition adopted by the commission in the final decision or make changes that would cause the project not to comply with any applicable laws, ordinances, regulations, or standards, no commission approval is required and the staff shall file a statement that it has made such a determination with the commission docket and mail a copy of the statement to each commissioner and every person on the post-certification mailing list. Any person may file an objection to staff's determination within 14 days of service on the grounds that the modification does not meet the criteria in this subsection.

(3) If staff determines that a modification does not meet the criteria in subsection (a)(2), or if a person objects to a staff determination that a modification does meet the criteria in subsection (a)(2), the petition must be processed as a formal amendment to the decision

DEC 15 1993

85-AFC-3c



December 10, 1993

CC-830

Mr. Terry Maderos  
Compliance Project Manager  
California Energy Commission MS-2000  
1516 Ninth Street  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Subject: CEC Docket 85-AFC-3c  
Removal Of Diesel Fuel System From Units "A" & "B"

Dear Mr. Maderos:

Midway Sunset Cogeneration Company (MSCC) has been operating it's second natural gas pipeline (Midway/Mojave Gas Line) since June 17, 1993. The new gas line has proven to be reliable and is a definite asset to the plant.

MSCC has used it's diesel fuel back-up only twice since the plant began operation. As a consequence of having the two reliable natural gas sources, MSCC has determined that there is no longer a requirement for diesel fuel capability for all three units. Permitted emissions levels allow MSCC to run only two units on fuel oil at one time anyway. Subsequently, the diesel fuel capability for Units "A" and "B" will be removed and one fuel oil storage tank will be abandoned with the possibility of converting it to an extra HRSG feedwater storage tank. The result for MSCC is lower maintenance costs, higher efficiency from the reduction of the diesel fuel auxiliary equipment, the possible increase in feedwater storage, and less emissions since only one unit will tested on fuel oil per month instead of three.

If you have any questions or comments, please call me at (805) 768-3020 or Ray Smith at (805) 768-3016.

Yours truly,

E.R. Western

cc: File  
Compliance Office

**MIDWAY SUNSET**  
COGENERATION COMPANY

3466 W. Crocker Springs Road  
Fellows, CA 93224-0457  
Ph#: (805) 768-3000  
FAX: (805) 768-4570

October 14, 1998	Commission Order (98-1014-10) approving the addition of warehouse <i>Date 10-15-98</i>	TN 9533
October 8, 1997	Staff Approved Project Modification approval for substation construction modifications.	TN 7259
September 10, 1997	Staff Approved Project Modification approval to add 1,500 ft 3" potable water line.	
April 15, 1997	Staff Approved Project Modification approval to discontinue annual air photos.	
November 1, 1995	Commission Order (95-1101-01c) approving purchase of endangered species habitat by the Center for Natural Lands Management.	TN 2054
September 20, 1995	Commission Order (95-0920-01a) approving modification of Air Quality Conditions of Certification to reflect the Air District's requirements.	TN 2657
March 30, 1995	Staff Approved Project Modification approval to install tee & steam valve to accommodate steam wheeling for Santa Fe Energy Co.	
March 4, 1994	Staff Approved Project Modification approval to install a reverse Osmosis Unit.	
February 11, 1994	Staff Approved Project Modification approval to disconnect oil supply system of 2 turbines.	
May 13, 1992	Commission Order (92-0513-08c) approving the addition of a steam shut-off valve.	
January 22, 1992	Commission Order (92-0122-03) approving the endowment request by the Nature Conservancy.	
November 20, 1991	Commission Order (91-1120-04a) approving the installation and operation of a four-mile natural gas pipeline.	
October 23, 1991	Commission Order (91-1023-03d) approving the development of modified permit conditions consistent with District's Authority to Construct.	
April 17, 1991	Commission Order (91-0417-3c) approving a change in ownership of 49% of MSCC from Oryx Energy to the Atlantic Richfield Co.	
June 6, 1990	Commission Order (90-0606-23b) allowing sale of steam to steam buyers other than Oryx Energy and add interconnecting steam pipeline to project description.	
January 17, 1990	Commission Order (90-0117-08c) allowing power sales to PG&E and others.	
June 28, 1989	Commission Order (89-0628-23c) approving purchase endangered species habitat by Nature Conservancy.	
June 7, 1989	Commission Order (89-0607-15e) approving expansion of steam pipeline to connect to distribution system.	
June 7, 1989	Commission Order (89-0607-14) approving purchase Kit Fox Habitat by Nature Conservancy.	
May 10, 1989	Commission Order (89-0510-06a) approving petition to build a rock muffler/steam injection pit to dispose of startup and shutdown water and steam produced by the HRSG.	

TN 8883