


DOCKETED

Docket Number:	16-RPS-02
Project Title:	Appeal by Los Angeles Department of Water & Power re Renewables Portfolio Standard Certification Eligibility
TN #:	213408
Document Title:	341 City Council File 03-2688 - LADWP Supplemental Presentation to Council re Hydro 08.10.04 (Bates Nos. LA001639-LA001643)
Description:	N/A
Filer:	Pjoy Chua
Organization:	LADWP
Submitter Role:	Applicant
Submission Date:	8/31/2016 5:00:28 PM
Docketed Date:	9/1/2016

CF 03-2688

Submitted in ENEC on 8/10/04



RENEWABLE PORTFOLIO STANDARD DEVELOPMENT

Hydro Definition Considerations
– Supplemental Information

August 10, 2004

July 13, 2004 Information Request

1. Hoover Hydro Consideration Cost Impact on LADWP's RPS
2. California Municipalities' Hydro Eligibility Consideration



Hoover Hydro Consideration Cost Impact on LADWP RPS



- The percent difference of renewables with and without Hoover is about 2.8%
- Average residential bill increase by 2017:
 - \$2.64 with Hoover
 - \$3.18 without Hoover

} \$0.54 Difference
- Average commercial bill impact is about 12 times more than residential
- With and without Hoover surcharge difference is about 1% of the rate by 2017
- Revenue requirement difference:
 - 2008 - \$5 million/year
 - 2017 - \$26 million/year

} \$157 million Cumulative Total
- No surcharge for the first 3 years for both cases (LADWP will absorb costs)



Rate Impact of Hoover Baseline Definition



California Municipal Utilities' Hydro Eligibility Consideration

Utility	Include Large Hydro	Include Hoover
Alameda	Yes	N/A
Anaheim	Yes	Yes
Azusa	Yes	Yes
Banning	No RPS	No RPS
Biggs	Yes	N/A
Burbank	low impact	Unknown
Colton	Yes	Yes
Glendale	Yes	Yes
Gridley	Yes	N/A
Healdsburg	No RPS	No RPS
Imperial	No RPS	No RPS
Lodi	Yes	N/A
Lompoc	Unknown	Unknown
Los Angeles	Unknown	Unknown
Merced	No RPS	No RPS
Modesto	No	N/A
Palo Alto	Unknown	N/A
Pasadena	Yes	Yes
Plumas-Sierra Rural Electric Cooperative	Yes	N/A
Redding	No RPS	No RPS
Riverside	Yes	Unknown
Roseville	Yes	N/A
Sacramento	No	N/A
Santa Clara	Yes	N/A
Shasta Lake	No RPS	No RPS
Trinity	Yes	N/A
Truckee Donner	Yes	N/A
Turlock	No	N/A
Ukiah	Yes	N/A

Consideration Category	Large Hydro	Include Hoover
Yes	16	5
No	3	0
Not Applicable	0	14
Unknown/other	4	4
No RPS	6	6
Total	29	29

Note:

- Not Applicable (N/A): No Hoover allocation
- Unknown/other: have not been fully defined or could not be ascertained from the given definition

