

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	16-RPS-02
Project Title:	Appeal by Los Angeles Department of Water & Power re Renewables Portfolio Standard Certification Eligibility
TN #:	213286
Document Title:	CEC Committee Order on RPS Proceeding and CPUC Collaborative Guidelines 3-13-2003
Description:	Committee Order on RPS Proceeding and CPUC Collaboration
Filer:	Pam Fredieu
Organization:	California Energy Commission
Submitter Role:	Commission Staff
Submission Date:	8/31/2016 12:48:06 PM
Docketed Date:	8/31/2016

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA
ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION
AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION**

Implementation of Renewables Portfolio Standard)	Docket No. 03-RPS-1078
Legislation (Public Utilities Code Sections 381,)	Committee Order on
383.5, 399.11 through 399.15, and 445; [SB 1038],)	RPS Proceeding and CPUC
[SB 1078]))	Collaboration
_____)	

**COMMITTEE ORDER
ON RPS PROCEEDING AND
CPUC COLLABORATIVE GUIDELINES**

The Renewables Committee (Committee) issues this Order pursuant to California Energy Commission (Commission) Order No. 03-0305-04 and Section 1203 of Title 20 of the California Code of Regulations. This Order initiates a proceeding to implement the state's Renewables Portfolio Standard (RPS) Program in collaboration with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), as mandated by Senate Bill 1078 (stats. 2002, ch. 516) under Public Utilities Code sections 381, 383.5, 399.11 through 399.15, and 445.

I. Purpose

This Committee Order implements the directives of Commission Order No. 03-0305-04 as follows:

- Initiates a multi-phased RPS Proceeding to address the issues identified in the RPS Collaboration Workplan (Workplan) prepared jointly by the Commission and the CPUC.
- Establishes administrative procedures for participating in the RPS Proceeding.
- Establishes a schedule for addressing issues, developing work products and taking action on items identified in the Workplan.
- Establishes collaborative guidelines to facilitate the participation of CPUC staff in the RPS Proceeding, consistent with the guidelines established by the CPUC for the participation of Commission staff in CPUC Proceeding R.01-10-024.

II. RPS Proceeding

The RPS Proceeding is hereby initiated under Docket No. 03-RPS-1078 to address the issues identified in the attached Workplan (Attachment A). This Workplan was prepared jointly by the Commission and the CPUC, consistent with CPUC Decision D.02-12-074, and identifies critical issues, agency responsibilities, decision-making processes, and tentative schedules for action items needed to implement the RPS under Senate Bill 1078.

This RPS Proceeding shall be conducted in three phases to allow the Committee to address issues and take action in accordance with the schedule in the Workplan. Each phase will deal with a separate group of RPS issues as follows: Phase 1 or “Early Resolution” issues that can be addressed within several months, Phase 2 issues that can be resolved by June 30, 2003, and Phase 3 issues that can be resolved by December 31, 2003.

III. Schedule

A schedule for addressing the Phase 1 and Phase 2 issues under this RPS Proceeding is attached (Attachment B). This schedule varies from the tentative schedule identified in the Workplan because of delays experienced to-date and may need adjustments if there are further delays. The Committee will inform interested parties of any schedule revisions that may occur. The Committee will provide a schedule for addressing Phase 3 issues under this proceeding once work on these issues commences.

A combined schedule showing Commission and CPUC activities for Phase 1 and Phase 2 issues is provided in Attachment C.

IV. Participation

Participation in this RPS Proceeding is encouraged and shall be open to all stakeholders and members of the public. Petitions to Intervene shall not be required. Persons wishing to participate in any phase of this RPS Proceeding may do so by attending workshops and hearings scheduled by the Committee and filing written comments as specified by the Committee.

Persons present at any hearing or workshop shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity to make oral comments on the subject matter of the hearing or workshop. Persons interested in participating in this RPS Proceeding may seek assistance from the Commission’s Public Adviser, Roberta Mendonca, at (916) 654-4489 or toll free at (800) 822-6228 or by e-mail at pao@energy.state.ca.us.

V. Formality

To facilitate and encourage public participation, all workshops and hearings held as part of this RPS Proceeding will be conducted in an informal manner, unless specified otherwise in a subsequently issued Committee order or notice.

VI. Electronic Filing

To streamline the filing process, electronically filed comments will be permitted and encouraged in all phases of this RPS Proceeding as specified by the Committee in accordance with section 1209.5 of Title 20 of the California Code of Regulations.

VII. Service List

The initial service list for this RPS Proceeding shall be established by combining the Commission’s current service lists for the Renewable Energy Program (Docket No. 02-REN-1038) with the service list for CPUC Proceeding R.01-10-024. Persons interested in remaining

on the RPS Proceeding service list must restate their interest by submitting a completed Service List Form (Attachment D) to the address below within 10 business days of the issuance of this Committee Order.

Address: California Energy Commission
Renewable Energy Program
1516 Ninth St., MS-45
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Persons failing to submit a completed Service List Form within this timeframe will be removed from the RPS Proceeding service list, but may be added to the list at a later date upon submitting a completed Service List Form. Similarly, new parties may be added to the service list upon submitting a completed Service List Form.

Persons not interested in actively participating in the RPS Proceeding are encouraged to monitor the proceeding by accessing information on a special web page, rather than being added to the service list. A special web page will be created on the Commission's web site (www.energy.ca.gov/) specifically for posting pertinent documents and keeping members of the public informed of the status of the proceeding.

VIII. Collaborative Guidelines

CPUC staff identified in Attachment E are designated CPUC Collaborative Staff and will work side by side with Commission staff to address the issues in this RPS Proceeding. Should the CPUC wish to add or subtract Collaborative Staff members, it must send an electronic notice to all parties on the RPS Proceeding service list with the name or names of members to be added or subtracted. Proposed changes are automatically effective five days after the notice is sent.

The CPUC Collaborative Staff will function like the Commission's own staff for purposes of the RPS Proceeding and may become aware of or have access to confidential or privileged information. The Commission has not waived any confidentiality or privilege by such disclosure, and (just like the Commission's own staff) the CPUC Collaborative Staff must maintain all of the Commission's applicable privileges and confidential designations. To facilitate this requirement, all Commission documents containing confidential or privileged information that may be shared with the CPUC Collaborative Staff shall be clearly marked or labeled to indicate their confidential or privileged nature to the extent possible. In addition, the CPUC shall ensure that these confidential or privileged documents are exempt from public disclosure under its regulations for confidential designation.

Since no adjudicatory hearings are contemplated during this RPS Proceeding, neither Commission staff nor CPUC Collaborative Staff will be subject to the Commission's *ex parte* rules as set forth in section 1216 of the Title 20 of the California Code of Regulations. Both Commission staff and CPUC Collaborative Staff are free to communicate with the Committee members and other Commissioners. However, CPUC Collaborative Staff may not disclose information concerning the Committee or Commission's deliberations or decision-making processes.

CPUC Collaborative Staff may not provide parties in the RPS Proceeding with information obtained from the collaborative process that could possibly provide an advantage to that party in the RPS Proceeding. Also, CPUC Collaborative Staff cannot assist or participate as a party in the RPS Proceeding or in any other proceeding before the Commission on issues closely related to the issues on which they are working as CPUC Collaborative Staff. In essence, members of the CPUC Collaborative Staff can only wear one hat when it comes to the issues that are addressed in this RPS Proceeding.

The obligations to maintain the Commission's privileges and to avoid providing an unfair advantage to a party in the RPS Proceeding do not terminate with the end of this proceeding or the end of an individual member's tenure as CPUC Collaborative Staff. Accordingly, the above restrictions continue to apply even after the conclusion of this proceeding, and to former members of the CPUC Collaborative Staff. To safeguard against the inadvertent disclosure of confidential and privileged information, CPUC Collaborative Staff will return or destroy all confidential or privileged records they have received from the Commission as part of the collaborative process at the conclusion of the RPS Proceeding, or upon their removal from the CPUC Collaborative Staff, whichever occurs first.

Although the passage of time will eventually make the information obtained in the collaborative process less significant, the privileges do not generally expire. If former members of the CPUC Collaborative Staff desire to disclose privileged or confidential information obtained as part of the collaborative process after the conclusion of the RPS Proceeding, a written request must be submitted to the Commission's Office of Chief Counsel to obtain authorization for such disclosure. The limitation on CPUC Collaborative Staff assisting or participating as a party in proceedings before the Commission expires one year after the conclusion of the RPS Proceeding.

Members of the CPUC Collaborative Staff may contact the Commission's Office of Chief Counsel with any questions relating to these Collaborative Guidelines.

These Collaborative Guidelines may be revised in the future to address issues or concerns not evident at this time.

Date: March 13, 2003

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION
AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

/S/
JOHN L. GEESMAN
Commissioner and Presiding Member
Renewables Committee

/S/
JAMES D. BOYD
Commissioner and Associate Member
Renewables Committee

Mass Mail List: Master 63/New
Date Mailed: March 14, 2003

Attachment A

RPS Collaboration Workplan

This workplan represents the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) and the California Energy Commission (CEC) staff recommendations regarding collaborative implementation of the state's new Renewables Portfolio Standard Program (RPS)¹. These findings were developed through regular collaborative staff meetings beginning in late October 2002, and reflect an initial consideration of party comments on collaborative structure and process. The results of this effort are described below, and include:

- I. An overview of the RPS requirements, including the implementation responsibility of the two agencies;
- II. Collaborative issues and proposed process;
- III. A proposed schedule and work product.

I. OVERVIEW OF THE RPS

California's Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) requires retail sellers of electricity such as investor owned utilities (IOUs) to increase the renewable content of their energy deliveries by one percent per year, provided certain conditions are met, over a baseline level determined by the CPUC. Annual incremental procurement continues until renewable energy comprises 20 percent of the IOU's energy portfolio, a target that must be achieved by December 31, 2017.

The RPS statutes outline a disciplined approach to investment in new renewable projects. The CPUC and CEC are to make a number of determinations on RPS rules within a specified timeframe. The CEC is directed to prepare a renewable resource development plan for the state by the end of 2003, and the CPUC is directed concurrently to study how to connect renewable resources to the transmission grid. At the conclusion of these concurrent studies, a structured approach to making the necessary incremental investments in new and repowered renewable projects will be apparent, and the stock of existing facilities with expiring contracts will be known. An orderly process can then be undertaken to both build and connect renewable energy resources.

Utility Procurement of Renewables²

¹ Statutory authority for the California Renewable Portfolio Standard Program is contained in Public Utilities Code Sections 387, 390.1, and Article 16 commencing with Section 399.11; related statutory authority governing the CEC's Renewable Energy Program is contained in Public Utilities Code Sections 381, 383.5, 394.25, 445, 353.2, 383.6, and 2826.5.

² The CPUC and CEC also recognize the need to develop RPS compliance rules for entities such as Electric Service Providers and Community Choice Aggregators.

Once the CPUC has made the necessary findings, the RPS procurement process begins with the IOU submitting a renewable procurement plan to the CPUC describing how annual procurement targets will be met. The CPUC reviews and ultimately approves the plan at least 90 days prior to a bid solicitation. Assuming the IOU is creditworthy and must meet an annual procurement target (APT) as defined by the CPUC, the IOU would commence a solicitation to procure renewables within 90 days of plan approval, consistent with its approved plan. Thus, in order to meet these two 90-day requirements, the CPUC must request these renewable procurement plans from all IOUs regardless of creditworthiness – if the IOU waits until it is creditworthy before it files an RPS procurement plan, the CPUC will be required to either approve the plan instantaneously or force the IOU to be out of compliance with the law.

The bid solicitation must offer CPUC-approved standardized contracts for a range of products, covering terms of at least ten years, unless the CPUC makes allowances for shorter terms. Bids received in response to the solicitation are to be ranked by the utility according to “least cost” and “best fit” for the IOUs’ long-term resource needs, criteria that will be defined via the implementation process outlined below.

The costs of the proposed contracts are then compared to a market price referent for each approved product, which will be determined independently of the solicitation process to avoid biasing bid results. The process for setting these referents, however, will be developed in advance of RPS solicitations as part of the RPS implementation rules, and will draw substantially from party input. Contract costs above these price referents will be covered by the Public Goods Charge (PGC), subject to availability of PGC funds, to be paid by the CEC directly to the renewable generator³. The CEC will establish processes for monitoring renewable transactions and affirming attainment of the APT, and the CPUC will establish flexible compliance and penalty mechanisms in accordance with the RPS statutes.

³ IOUs cannot be required to enter into long-term contracts with renewable generators at prices above the CPUC-established referents. Thus, if a selected renewable bid is priced above the relevant product price referent, it will receive a supplemental revenue stream equivalent to the difference between the referent and the bid price, assuming PGC fund availability.

CEC and CPUC Roles

The CPUC and the CEC each have statutorily defined roles in implementing the RPS⁴.

The CPUC's responsibilities include:

- Establishing a process to determine market price referents, setting the criteria for IOU ranking of renewable bids by least cost and best fit, and establishing flexible compliance rules, penalty mechanisms and standard contract terms and conditions;
- Establishing initial renewable generation baselines for each IOU, making subsequent changes to these baselines as needed, and determining annual procurement targets (APTs);
- Directing the IOUs to develop procurement plans, and approving, amending or rejecting the plans;
- Making specific determinations of market price referents for products under contract;
- Approving or rejecting IOU requests to enter specific contracts for renewable power, including determining if a solicitation was adequately competitive;
- Factoring transmission and imbalance costs into the RPS process and identifying the transmission grid implications of renewable development;
- Defining rules for the participation of renewable Distributed Generation (DG), Electric Service Providers (ESP), Community Choice Aggregators (CCA), and potential Procurement Entities⁵.

⁴ Collaboration is specifically called for in the RPS legislation with the following language: 399.11 (a) "It is the intent of the Legislature that the California Public Utilities Commission and the State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission implement the California Renewables Portfolio Standard Program described in this article"; 399.11(d) the RPS Program "is intended to complement the Renewable Energy Program administered by the State Energy Conservation and Development Commission"; 399.13(b) "The commission shall collect data from electrical corporations and remit the data to the Energy Commission within 90 days of the request"; and 399.15(e) "The commission shall consult with the Energy Commission in calculating market prices under subdivision (c) and establishing other renewables portfolio standard policies."

⁵ The RPS statute allows for the following at Pub.Util.Code 399.14(e): "Upon application by an electrical corporation, the commission may authorize another entity to enter into contracts on behalf of customers of the electrical corporation for deliveries of eligible renewable energy resources to satisfy the annual portfolio standard obligations, subject to similar terms and conditions applicable to an electrical corporation. The commission shall allow the procurement entity to recover reasonable costs through retail rates subject to review and approval."

The CEC's responsibilities include:

- Certifying eligible renewable resources, including those generating out-of-state;
- Establishing criteria to determine "incremental" output from existing geothermal resources for eligibility to meet the APT;
- Developing and implementing an accounting system to verify compliance with the RPS;
- Allocating and awarding supplemental energy payments (funded by the Public Goods Charge) to renewable generators to cover costs above the CPUC's market price referents for energy that is procured to meet the APT.

As noted above, the RPS legislation requires collaboration on certain points, and suggests a degree of collaboration across the range of implementation issues. CPUC and CEC staff has prepared an analysis of these points of collaboration and recommended approaches the agencies can take on an issue-specific basis, which follows here.

II. COLLABORATIVE ISSUES AND PROCESS

CPUC and CEC staff has developed the following guidelines for apportioning responsibilities and designing the collaborative process.

Issues and Forums

Staff has allocated final decision-making authority on each identified issue to the appropriate agency and described the proposed nature of this collaboration. Final decisions are to be made at the appropriate Commission, with opportunities made available for each agency to comment with special status on each issue.

Hearings are considered appropriate in the following instances: 1) where facts are in dispute; 2) where decisions will have "substantial economic impact," defined as potential impacts on rates or inclusion and exclusion of economic factors.

Workshops are suggested for purposes of: 1) information gathering, to define concepts or to trigger hearings as needed; 2) expanding the record, and to increase party representation, beyond the January filings; 3) resolving issues from hearings that are less contentious than anticipated; 4) preparing staff and interested party reports for submission to the Commission(s) as appropriate.

Staff collaboration will take place on an ongoing basis, including the preparation of topical white papers and workshop reports and the communication of agency views between the two Commissions.

Using this methodology, and in consideration of comments filed in the CPUC Procurement Rulemaking Proceeding (R.01-10-024) CPUC and CEC staff proposes the following organization and timing of issue development in the RPS implementation process.

A Three-Phased Approach to RPS Implementation

Staff has grouped the identified RPS issues into the following three categories, organized by deciding agency and expected date of resolution between now and year's end.

- Early Resolution (Phase 1)
- Resolution by June 30, 2003 (Phase 2)
- Resolution by December 31, 2003 (Phase 3)

1. Early Resolution (Phase 1)

CEC as Deciding Agency

CEC staff proposes that the issues below be addressed through CEC decisions in an expedited timeframe. Draft decisions are typically based on the recommendations of an assigned Commission Committee, which in turn may be based on a staff proposal or white paper, any public comments received as part a workshop or hearing, and the existing record of any pertinent CEC proceedings. After the issuance of a draft decision, the full CEC Commission would consider adoption of a final decision at a regularly scheduled Business Meeting. This process is relatively expeditious and is particularly useful in resolving issues for which there is little contention or that can be resolved quickly.

Making a Determination on the Eligibility of Out-of-State Power

- The CEC would follow up with any needed guidelines under item 2 below.

Defining Eligible Renewable Technologies

- Consideration of renewable DG will be handled separately (see below).

Making a Determination on Incremental Geothermal Generation

- The CEC plans to make a determination on what constitutes incremental geothermal generation by the end of March, and will follow up with any needed guidelines to the Existing Renewable Resources Account under item 2 below.

CPUC as Deciding Agency

All issues to be decided by the CPUC will be addressed in the Procurement Rulemaking (R.01-10-024), in the manner described in each category.

Establishing and Adjusting Renewable Generation Baseline and Setting Annual Procurement Targets

- CPUC and CEC staff will analyze IOU data and prepare a recommendation to the CPUC, and will coordinate baseline adjustment procedures with the CEC's resource certification process. Integration of IOU Interim renewable procurement that fits into baseline resources will be accomplished in this manner. No hearings, workshops or working groups are anticipated.

2. Resolution by Rule by June 30, 2003 (Phase 2)

CEC as Deciding Agency

Drawing on the experience of the Renewable Energy Program, the CEC staff proposes that the certification of in-state resources and the distribution of supplemental energy payments (SEPs) identified below be addressed under the CEC's authority to develop guidelines. The authority to develop guidelines to implement portions of the RPS under SB 1038 and SB 1078 is set forth in Public Utilities Code section 385.5(h)(1).

The adoption of these guidelines is exempt from the formal rulemaking requirements of the Administrative Procedures Act (APA). As a result, guidelines can be developed within months, and can be modified as necessary to adapt to developments in the market and make any other necessary adjustments in how the program is implemented. The guideline process is efficient and affords a great deal of flexibility.

Guidelines are developed through a public process, where the CEC typically releases staff or Committee draft guidelines for public comment in writing or verbally at workshops or hearings. A staff white paper, or public input from working groups could also serve as the basis of guideline development. Ultimately the guidelines, as well as any substantive revisions, must be formally adopted at a CEC Business Meeting.

Establishing Process to Certify In-State Resources

- CPUC and CEC staff will address this issue as part of guidelines for the New and Existing Renewables Resources Accounts. This includes addressing certification of incremental geothermal, small hydro, solid waste conversion, combustion of municipal solid waste, and biomass facilities. Staff will also consider Renewable Energy Credits (REC), if applicable, in the certification procedures.

Establishing Guidelines for SEP Payment

- CPUC and CEC staff will address this issue including how it applies to interim procurement as part of guidelines for the New Renewables Resources Account. Staff recommendations to the CEC will be coordinated with recommendations to the CPUC on bidding and contract evaluation rules.

Developing RPS Tracking and Verification System

- CPUC and CEC staff will develop an interim tracking and verification system applicable to the IOU interim renewable procurement and previously existing renewable stock for consideration by CEC decision. Staff will issue a white paper for party comment in **March**, and the CEC will host joint workshops in **April**. A CEC decision on the development of these rules for long-term use will be addressed separately (see below). Staff will consider RECs, if applicable, in the tracking and verification process.

CPUC as Deciding Agency

Developing Flexible Compliance and Penalty Mechanisms

- CPUC and CEC staff will facilitate workshops through mid-**March**, and hearing times will be reserved in advance for issues that may require further development. Initial exploration will focus on compliance and penalty provisions expressly called for in the RPS statutes; considerations relating to RECs will be addressed if applicable, potentially reserved for resolution at a later date.

Establishing Standard Contract Terms and Conditions

- CPUC and CEC staff will facilitate a workshop in mid-March to address these issues.

Determining the Market Price Referents

- CPUC and CEC staff will facilitate a workshop in mid-March to address these issues.

Defining Least Cost and Best Fit and Bid Ranking Criteria

- CPUC and CEC staff will issue a white paper for party comment in mid-**March**, and the CPUC will hold workshops on these issues from the middle to the end of **March**.

3. Resolution by December 31, 2003 (Phase 3)

Determining Eligibility of Renewable DG (CPUC and CEC)

Ensuring Resource Diversity (CPUC and CEC)

Commencement of RPS Implementation for Electric Service Providers (ESP) and Community Choice Aggregators (CCA) (CPUC and CEC)

Developing Criteria to Determine Competitive Sufficiency (CPUC and CEC)

Planning RPS Tracking and Verification System (CEC)

- Development of the tracking and verification system will include consideration of the role of RECs, if applicable. The system will likely be finalized in 2004, as the rules for the system are expected to be developed through a formal rulemaking process pursuant to the APA. The formal rulemaking process takes at least seven months to complete and typically a year for relatively non-controversial regulations.

Attachment B

Tentative Schedule for Phase 1 and Phase 2

PHASE 1: Incremental Geothermal, Out of State Power, Definitional Issues

March 25	Staff Workshop at CEC, Phase 1
March 28	CEC Request Written Comments, Phase 1
April 18	CEC Mail out Committee Preliminary Draft Decision, Phase 1
May 1	CEC Committee Hearing on Preliminary Draft Decision, Phase 1
May 16	CEC Mail out Committee Final Draft Decision, Phase 1
May 28	CEC Business Meeting to Consider Adopting Committee Final Draft Decision, Phase 1

NOTE

CHANGE: The RPS Collaboration Workplan indicates the CEC will make a decision on incremental geothermal by the end of March. Under the revised schedule this decision will be made by the end of May.

PHASE 2: Process for Certification, Tracking System, SEP Payments

May 13	Staff Workshop at CEC, Phase 2
June 18	CEC mail out Committee Preliminary Draft Report, Phase 2
July 1	CEC Committee Hearing on Committee Preliminary Draft Report, Phase 2
July 25	CEC mail out Committee Final Report, Phase 2
Aug 6	CEC Business Meeting to Consider Adopting Committee Final Report, Phase 2
August 18	CEC mail out Draft Guidelines on Certification for Existing Account, and Certification and SEP payments for New Account
Sept. 17	CEC Business Meeting to Consider Adopting Guidelines

NOTE

CHANGE: The RPS Collaboration Workplan indicates the CEC will make a decision on the interim tracking system, adopt guidelines for making SEP payments, and adopt guidelines for certifying eligible resources by June 30, 2003. Under this revised schedule the CEC will make a decision on these topics in August, and will adopt guidelines on SEP payments and certification of eligible resources by the end of September.

Attachment C

Combined Schedule of Commission and CPUC Activities For Phase 1 and Phase 2 Issues

Feb. 25-27	Workshop at CPUC: Developing Flexible Compliance Mechanisms
March 4-6	Workshop at CPUC: Determining the Market Price Referents
March 11-13	Workshop at CPUC: Establishing Standard Contract Terms and Conditions
March 18-20	Workshop at CPUC: Defining Least Cost and Best Fit and Bid Ranking Criteria
March 25	Staff Workshop at CEC, Phase 1
March 27	Testimony on RPS due to CPUC
March 28	CEC Request Written Comments, Phase 1
April 7-11	Evidentiary Hearings at CPUC
April 18	CEC Mail out Committee Preliminary Draft Decision, Phase 1
April 25	Concurrent Opening Briefs due to CPUC
May 1	CEC Committee Hearing on Preliminary Draft Decision, Phase 1
May 2	Concurrent Reply Briefs due to CPUC
May 13	Staff Workshop at CEC, Phase 2
May 16	CEC Mail out Committee Final Draft Decision, Phase 1
May 16	Proposed ALJ Decision
May 28	CEC Business Meeting to Consider Adopting Committee Final Draft Decision, Phase 1
June 18	CEC mail out Committee Preliminary Draft Report, Phase 2
June 19	CPUC Decision
July 1	CEC Committee Hearing on Committee Preliminary Draft Report, Phase 2
July 25	CEC mail out Committee Final Report, Phase 2
Aug 6	CEC Business Meeting to Consider Adopting Committee Final Report, Phase 2
August 18	CEC mail out Draft Guidelines on Certification for Existing Account, and Certification and SEP payments for New Account
Sept. 17	CEC Business Meeting to Consider Adopting Guidelines

Attachment D

**California Energy Commission
Service List Form**

**Docket No. 03-RPS-1078
RPS Proceeding**

Persons interested in receiving docketed information in the RPS Proceeding must complete this Service List Form and return it by mail to the address below.

Address: California Energy Commission
Renewable Energy Program
ATTN: Janet Preis
1516 Ninth St., MS-45
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Name and/or Title (As it is to appear on mail label)

Organization (If applicable)

Street Address or P.O. Box

City

State

Zip Code

Telephone No.

Fax No.

E-mail Address

Privacy Policy: The personal information provided in this form will only be used to update the service list for the RPS Proceeding. The California Energy Commission will not use this information for any other purpose or provide it to any other entity.

Attachment E

Designated CPUC Collaborative Staff

1. Dan Adler, CPUC Energy Division
2. John Galloway, CPUC Energy Division