
DOCKETED		
Docket Number:	15-RETI-02	
Project Title:	Renewable Energy Transmission Initiative 2.0	
TN #:	212802	
Document Title:	Revised Presentation - Transmission Technical Input Group 8-15-16	
Description:	Supersedes TN # 212772. Revised to correct a typographical error.	
Filer:	Misa Milliron	
Organization:	California Energy Commission	
Submitter Role:	Commission Staff	
Submission Date:	8/16/2016 10:07:47 AM	
Docketed Date:	8/16/2016	

Renewable Energy Transmission Initiative 2.0 (RETI 2.0)

Transmission Technical Input Group (TTIG) Update

August 15, 2016







California Energy Commission



TTIG Background

Goals and Objectives:

The Transmission Technical Input Group will assemble relevant in-state and west-wide transmission capability and upgrade cost information to inform resource development conservations on the reasonably-needed transmission system implications and to assist in the developing potential corridor scenarios.

TTIG participants include all California Transmission Planning Entities

Sacramento Municipal Utility District	California Independent System Operator	
Imperial Irrigation District	Los Angeles Department of Water and Power	
Silicon Valley Power	Turlock Irrigation District	
Modesto Irrigation District	Western Area Power Administration - SNR	
San Francisco PUC	Transmission Agency of Northern California	
City of Santa Clara	Pacific Gas & Electric	
Southern California Edison	San Diego Gas & Electric	









TTIG Deliverables Status

Identified Deliverables	Status
Characterize <u>existing transmission system capacity</u> and planned improvements/ changes and their implications for accessing additional renewable resources	TTIG stakeholder Workshop Interim Report June 9, 2016
Provide <u>initial transmission input on likely in-state developments</u> necessary to access potential renewable generation and refine the data as combinations of renewable resources are developed through other RETI groups' activities	TTIG Stakeholder Workshop July 29, 2016
Compile transmission planning information on potential WECC-wide system <u>reinforcements</u> that may provide or improve access to renewable generation or to integration resources	Interim Report June 9, 2016 and WIEB outreach project; Aug-Sept
Work interactively with RETI Plenary Group to evaluate transmission implications for accessing potential renewable energy generation areas	Sept-Oct





California Energy

Commission

California ISO Fully Deliverable Capability Estimate

Sufficient capacity to meet 33% RPS Lassen & Round Mountain but not 50% RPS [unknown*] Additional capacity would be Sacramento River [unknown*] required to meet 50% RPS with full deliverability **Central Valley North** & Los Banos [130] Solano [unknown*] Westlands [1,823 MW] Mountain Pass & El Dorado [535 MW] Kramer & Inyokern **Greater Carrizo** [0 [~400 MW] MW1 **Riverside East** Tehachapi & Palm Springs [4,500 MW] [2,450 MW**] *Note: Not enough information available for transmission **Greater Imperial** 0 areas with little or no commercial interest [523 MW] **Note: West of Devers upgrade factored in **California Public California Energy** California ISO

Commission


Utilities Commission

California ISO Energy-Only (EO) Capability Estimate

- There is significant transmission available to accommodate resources beyond 33% on an "energy only" basis (with some concerns that Northern CA values may be optimistic)
- May allow faster and less expensive resource interconnection
- EO capacity areas generally comport with commercial interests

Estimated EO capacity is over 22,000 MW subject to curtailment

- Being assessed again as part of the 50% special study in the 2016-2017 TPP
- The sufficiency of EO from a policy perspective is yet to be determined



*Note: West of Devers upgrade factored in

California ISO






California Energy

Commission

TAFA Evaluation – Proposed Study Ranges



Transmission Assessment Focus Areas (TAFAs)

Study Ranges Hypothetical additions of new renewable resources			
Delivery Area or path	Study Range of New Capacity (MW)		
Tehachapi	Up to 5000		
Victorville/Barstow	Up to 5000		
Riverside East	Up to 5000		
Imperial Valley	Up to 5000		
San Joaquin Valley	Up to 5000		
Solano	1500-3000		
Sacramento River Valley	1500-3000		
Lassen / Round Mountain	1450-2450		
Path 46 / Palo Verde / Delaney	Up to 3000		
Path 46 / Eldorado / Marketplace	Up to 3000		
Path 66 / California-Oregon Intertie	Up to 2000		
Central/Northern Sierra (Path 76; Path 24; Path 52)	Up to 500		








Interaction Between TAFAs


Not all capacity from all transmission areas is simultaneously available

- Transmission capacity is dynamic resources additions in one area may impact transmission availability in another area
- Mitigating a constraint that limits multiple TAFAs can benefit two or more renewable development areas
- The TAFA capacity assumes all incremental MW in each area to be FCDS (for the purpose of identifying conceptual upgrades)
- Specific studies will be required to estimate impact of a mix of resources across TAFAs














Northern CA TAFA – Evaluation Summary









California Energy

Commission

Solano TAFA – Evaluation Summary



San Joaquin Valley TAFA – Evaluation Summary








Commission



Tehachapi TAFA – Evaluation Summary








California Energy Commission



Victorville/Barstow TAFA – Evaluation Summary








California Energy

Commission

Riverside TAFA – Evaluation Summary








California Energy

Commission

Imperial Valley TAFA – Evaluation Summary



California Public Utilities Commission



Los Angeles

D

California Energy Commission

San Diego

Riverside

Imperial





* This number is subject to change. IID has recently provided the ISO with new study assumptions regarding its system that will require further study. The ISO 2016-2017 Transmission Plan currently under development will take into account the latest system conditions and provide information regarding additional deliverability expected to be available for IID and ISO connected Imperial area generation.

Imperial)

To make the study range deliverable:

1. East of Miguel area issues will require one or more upgrades such as (i) A new IV-Valley 500 kV line (~\$2 billion), (ii) DC conversion of N. Gila-Miguel 500 kV line (~\$900 million), (iii) Midway – Devers 500 kV AC Intertie (~350 million) (iv) Hoober – SONGS HVDC (~2 billion).

Imperial (study range: ~5,000 MW)


(California ISO Input)

~5,500 to ~8,500 MW (East of Pisgah, Riverside and

~523* MW (only Greater Imperial)

- 2. Desert area constraint will require upgrades such as Lugo-Victorville 500 kV upgrade. (~34 million). Upgrades such as Hoober-SONGS HVDC may partially help mitigate this constraint.
- 3. West of the River path rating limitation may be reached at this level of generation requiring exploration of path rating increase. Upgrade such as DC conversion of N. Gila-Miguel 500 kV line and Lugo-Victorville 500 kV upgrade may increase Path 46 rating.

Imports into TAFAs – Evaluation Summary



TAFA Evaluation Summary

- 1. The total of the <u>resource ranges for each TAFA</u> evaluated by TTIG is larger than the resource levels needed to meet the 50% renewable goal
- 2. Based on the existing and prior transmission studies across California, TTIG has provided <u>estimates of available transmission capability</u> assuming all the planned upgrades.
- 3. TTIG has provided conceptual <u>transmission upgrades based on prior and</u> <u>existing studies</u> performed by California planning entities. Upgrades such as these will be required in all but Tehachapi TAFA to make the prescribed resource ranges deliverable.
- 4. Limited information is available on the implications of <u>Energy Only</u> resource development prescribed by RETI 2.0 plenary group.
- 5. <u>Out-of-state resources</u> being delivered to California will be injected into one of the TAFAs and will be subjected to the same transmission constraints experienced by the TAFA.







alitornia ISO

Next Steps

- The <u>regional outreach</u> project will gather stakeholders' input about existing and potential transmission capability to deliver out-of-state renewable energy to California.
- TTIG will <u>deliver the information presented today</u> to the RETI
 2.0 plenary group (as a final report) and will follow up with any consequent requests for evaluation.





California Energy