Docket Number:	15-AFC-02
Project Title:	Mission Rock Energy Center
TN #:	212522
Document Title:	Sheryl Hamlin Comments: Follow up Issues From July 28, 2016 Meeting
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Sheryl Hamlin
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	7/31/2016 2:31:36 PM
Docketed Date:	8/1/2016

Comment Received From: Sheryl Hamlin Submitted On: 7/31/2016 Docket Number: 15-AFC-02

Follow up Issues From July 28, 2016 Meeting

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

Dear Commissioners:

RE: Mission Rock Peaker Plant

Thank you for hosting the professional meeting on July 28th in Santa Paula. The event's orchestration was excellent and allowed all to participate and understand the process.

I would like to call your attention to several details of the project as they relate to specific presentations:

1. Patricia Kennedy testified about the dangers of planes catching on the transmission wires, which she has experienced on her farm where the sparks from an entangled plane caused fires below on her property. Just this week, a horrendous accident occurred in Texas where a balloon was caught in transmission wires killing all passengers. And earlier this year in Santa Paula an experimental plane crashed in Santa Paula, killing both passengers. The VCSTAR said this: *Officials said they were told the aircraft's single engine started cutting out and the plane got tangled in power lines before crashing*.

With many days of fog in the Heritage Valley, why are we considering the addition of more dangerous power lines so close to an airport and crop dusting?

2. Mitch Weinberg told the citizens attending the June 28, 2016 site tour that Calpine plans to elevate the plant ten feet. When asked about the source of the fill, he said the fill would come from Grimes Canyon, east of the site, where there is "plenty of rock". You should know that the residents of Broad Beach in Malibu are planning to purchase a steady stream of crushed rock from the quarries in Grimes Canyon to stabilize their crumbling beachfront properties. This caravan of rock will travel north on Route 23 to Route 126 to 118 across to Malibu. There is no ending date for this project, as it is envisioned that the erosion will be continuous.

Why would we want more trucks moving fill in this area when the Malibu site is going to be a dangerous load on 126 estimated at 400,000 truck trips over a 20 year period? At the California Coastal Commission, one of the commissioners said that in this day and age, the Broad Beach project would not have been permitted as designed because of potential erosion. With this in mind, how can we even think of making a gas fired peaker plant free from erosion in the event of a major flood which we saw in 2005?

3. The use of story poles and/or the use of cranes suggested by one of the citizens at the July 28, 2016 was excellent. Another option would be a 3-D software simulation with walk-through capability where the entire area from the site up to Foothill was included in the modeling. Any modeling or story poles should include the planned lighting.

It was not clear that the photo montage shown by Mr. Weinberg at the CEC July 28, 2016 meeting represented the raised project or not. This should be clarified before any story poles or modeling is attempted.

Thank you again.

Sherye Hamlin

sherylhamlin@gmail.com

Santa Paula, CA