

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	16-IEPR-05
Project Title:	Electricity Demand Forecast
TN #:	211987
Document Title:	Modeling and Forecasting System-Level Hourly Demand
Description:	Alan Sanstad, Energy Commission Expert Panel
Filer:	Raquel Kravitz
Organization:	California Energy Commission
Submitter Role:	Commission Staff
Submission Date:	6/27/2016 1:14:50 PM
Docketed Date:	6/27/2016

Modeling and Forecasting System-Level Hourly Demand

Alan H. Sanstad
(for DAO Expert Panel)

CEC Methodology Workshop
June 23, 2016

Context

- Traditionally and currently, long-run hourly demand forecasting has been conducted “subordinately” to monthly/ annual forecasting
 - This applies to both standard econometric models and, e.g., “hybrid” econometric/ end-use models
 - Annual/monthly and hourly are linked and calibrated, but not fully integrated
- Proposal here is for fully empirically-based, dynamic, integrated estimation
 - This is a state-of-the-art approach – related work being done in a few places

General approach

- Panel data linear regression by hour, year, and TAC area
- Dependent variable: Hourly system-level load
- Model should be estimated on an initial period of ~ 7 years of historical data, then tested on remaining ~ 3 years

Proposed independent variables

- Daily temperature (contemporaneous and lagged)
 - Humidity or cloud cover if available
- Previous day's total system demand for given hour
- Monthly and day-of-week fixed effects
 - Dummy for weekday/holiday
- Electricity prices – start will average rates
- Quarterly macroeconomics: GSP, sector outputs/employment
- Quarterly demographics: Population/households customers
- Monthly sectoral electricity load shares (residential, commercial, ...)
- Indices or other proxies for energy-efficiency policies/ programs / regulations

Issues include...

- Standard question: Will the assumptions underlying ordinary least squares (OLS) be satisfied?
 - Here, the use of mixed-frequency data - e.g., hourly loads but quarterly GSP – may be an issue
 - There are techniques for dealing with this, but they are more complicated and may not be practical vis-à-vis software implementation
- Recommendation is to start with OLS, testing for heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation
 - OLS will yield unbiased parameter estimates, but variances may be biased
 - for forecasting, however, this may be a lesser concern
- Weather normalization
- Instead using load-per-customer as the dependent variable
- Representing energy efficiency

Forecasting

- Forecasts will be a hybrid of statistical and scenario
 - Driven by projections of independent variables
- Accuracy over 10-year horizon should be increased over standard approaches
 - However, considerable uncertainty will still be present – e.g., from macroeconomic projections
- The focus on the system level contributes to accuracy/ credibility – aggregates can be more reliability projected than “dis-aggregates”
 - Uncertainty increases substantially if sub-system level loads become the dependent variables