DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	15-AAER-06
Project Title:	Small Diameter Directional LED Lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps
TN #:	210100
Document Title:	Various Public Comments: Docket Number 15-AAER-06
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Various Public
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	1/29/2016 1:18:51 PM
Docketed Date:	1/25/2016

Comment Received From: Various Public

Submitted On: 1/29/2016 Docket Number: 15-AAER-06

Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Various public comments submitted after the deadline (5p.m., January 22, 2016)

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

Julia Adkins

From: Sent: To: Subject:	KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services> Saturday, January 23, 2016 10:57 AM Energy - Docket Optical System Docket Number 15-AAER-06</noreply@knowwho.services>
Dear California Energy Commissi	on,
Dear Commissioner McAllister:	
Thank you for the opportunity to General Purpose LED Lamps publ	comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and ished on January 7, 2016.
1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or g	n (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide erformance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.
, ,	tandards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and HG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had witleco-friendly way.
efficient. This is a misunderstand both illuminate a room and provi	osal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less ing of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform apponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the jobservice.
In other words, the opponents w	ant me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.
	ndustry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality upport the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.
Sincerely	

From: Sent:

Sincerely,

Oscar Alvarez

To:

To: Subject:	Energy - Docket Optical System Docket Number 15-AAER-06
Dear California Energy Co	ommission,
Dear Commissioner McAl	lister:
	unity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and ups published on January 7, 2016.
1, 2018 shall have a CRI o	nmission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January f 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.
	onger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and sions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with t in an eco-friendly way.
efficient. This is a misund both illuminate a room ar	the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less erstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform gy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. 's poor service.
In other words, the oppor	nents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.
•	ant the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality ford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Saturday, January 23, 2016 2:00 PM

From:	KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services></noreply@knowwho.services>
Sent:	Saturday, January 23, 2016 1:30 PM
To:	Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject:	Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Craig Antrim

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent:Saturday, January 23, 2016 2:22 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

V And B Jones

From: Sent: To: Subject:	KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services> Saturday, January 23, 2016 12:06 PM Energy - Docket Optical System Docket Number 15-AAER-06</noreply@knowwho.services>
Dear California Energy Commission	on,
Dear Commissioner McAllister:	
Thank you for the opportunity to General Purpose LED Lamps publi	comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and shed on January 7, 2016.
1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or g	n (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January reater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide rformance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.
	randards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and HG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had witl co-friendly way.
efficient. This is a misunderstandi both illuminate a room and provid	osal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and lessing of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform apponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. service.
In other words, the opponents wa	ant me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.
	ndustry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality apport the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.
Sincerely,	
Keith Bein	

From:	KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services></noreply@knowwho.services>
Sent:	Saturday, January 23, 2016 1:03 PM
To:	Energy - Docket Ontical System

Subject: Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Chris Borkent

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services > Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2016 12:43 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System **Subject:** Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Donna Carr, M.D.

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services > Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2016 9:30 AM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System **Subject:** Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Laura Chiang

laura collins

From:	KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services></noreply@knowwho.services>
Sent:	Saturday, January 23, 2016 11:35 AM
То:	Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject:	Docket Number 15-AAER-06
Dear California Energ	y Commission,
Dear Commissioner N	1cAllister:
	portunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and Lamps published on January 7, 2016.
1, 2018 shall have a C	Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January RI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide on of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.
cut greenhouse gas e	d stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and missions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with, but in an eco-friendly way.
efficient. This is a mis both illuminate a rooi	of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less understanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to mand provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform nergy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. that's poor service.
In other words, the o	pponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.
	t want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality n afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.
Sincerely,	

Sincerely,

Ruth Consul

From: Sent: To: Subject:	KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services> Saturday, January 23, 2016 10:27 AM Energy - Docket Optical System Docket Number 15-AAER-06</noreply@knowwho.services>
Dear California Energy Commission	
Dear Commissioner McAllister:	
Thank you for the opportunity to General Purpose LED Lamps published	comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and shed on January 7, 2016.
1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or g	(CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January reater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide rformance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.
	andards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and IG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with co-friendly way.
efficient. This is a misunderstanding both illuminate a room and provide	isal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and lessing of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to de the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform pponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. ervice.
In other words, the opponents wa	nt me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.
	dustry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality pport the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

John Crahan

From: Sent:	KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services> Saturday, January 23, 2016 9:26 AM</noreply@knowwho.services>
To:	Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject:	Docket Number 15-AAER-06
Dear California Energy Commissi	ion,
Dear Commissioner McAllister:	
Thank you for the opportunity to General Purpose LED Lamps publ	comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and lished on January 7, 2016.
1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or §	n (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide erformance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.
	tandards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and HG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with eco-friendly way.
efficient. This is a misunderstand both illuminate a room and provi	osal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less ling of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to ide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. service.
In other words, the opponents w	rant me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.
	industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality upport the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.
Sincerely,	

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services > Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2016 2:11 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Douglas Snyder

From:	KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services></noreply@knowwho.services>
Sent:	Saturday, January 23, 2016 11:27 AM
То:	Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject:	Docket Number 15-AAER-06
Dear California Energy Commiss	ion,
Dear Commissioner McAllister:	
Thank you for the opportunity to General Purpose LED Lamps pub	comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and lished on January 7, 2016.
1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or	on (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide erformance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.
, ,	standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and iHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with eco-friendly way.
efficient. This is a misunderstand both illuminate a room and prov	losal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less ling of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to ide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. service.
In other words, the opponents w	vant me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.
•	industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality upport the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.
Sincerely,	
lill Davine	

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2016 9:45 AM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Robert DiGiovanni

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services >

Sent:Saturday, January 23, 2016 9:09 AMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Gary Dowling

That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

From:

Sent:	Saturday, January 23, 2016 2:17 PM
To: Subject:	Energy - Docket Optical System Docket Number 15-AAER-06
,	
Dear California Energy Co	mmission,
Dear Commissioner McAll	ister:
	unity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and ps published on January 7, 2016.
1, 2018 shall have a CRI of	mission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide f key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.
	onger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and ions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with in an eco-friendly way.
efficient. This is a misunde both illuminate a room an	the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less erstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform y. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job.

KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services >

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Lynn Elliott

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2016 9:04 AM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Jose Figueroa Jr

Sincerely,

Jamie Green

From:	KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>
Sent:	Saturday, January 23, 2016 11:24 PM
То:	Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject:	Docket Number 15-AAER-06
Dear California Energy Com	mission,
Dear Commissioner McAllist	er:
•	ty to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and published on January 7, 2016.
1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82	ission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 2 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide ey performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.
	ger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and is (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with an eco-friendly way.
efficient. This is a misunders both illuminate a room and	proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less tanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. soor service.
In other words, the opponer	its want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.
As a consumer, I don't want	the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality

lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services >

Sent:Saturday, January 23, 2016 10:11 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Shelly Rodgers

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2016 9:42 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Rawan Almomani

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent:Saturday, January 23, 2016 10:00 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Sonia Alvarez-Oppus

Javier Andre

From: Sent: To: Subject:	KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services > Saturday, January 23, 2016 6:29 PM Energy - Docket Optical System Docket Number 15-AAER-06	
Subject.	Docket Number 13-AAEK-00	
Dear California Energy Commission	on,	
Dear Commissioner McAllister:		
Thank you for the opportunity to General Purpose LED Lamps publi	comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and ished on January 7, 2016.	
1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or g	n (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide erformance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.	
	candards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and HG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with co-friendly way.	
efficient. This is a misunderstandi both illuminate a room and provide	osal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and lessing of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to de the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform apponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. Service.	
In other words, the opponents wa	ant me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.	
As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.		
Sincerely,		

From: KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2016 7:36 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Norene Bailey

Mikal Baker

From:	KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>	
Sent:	Saturday, January 23, 2016 5:52 PM	
То:	Energy - Docket Optical System	
Subject:	Docket Number 15-AAER-06	
Dear California Energy Commissio	n,	
Dear Commissioner McAllister:		
Thank you for the opportunity to o General Purpose LED Lamps publis	comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and shed on January 7, 2016.	
1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or gr	(CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January reater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide formance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.	
, ,	andards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and G). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with o-friendly way.	
However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.		
In other words, the opponents wa	nt me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.	
As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.		
Sincerely,		

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2016 4:24 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Allen Bohnert

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2016 6:39 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Dusty and Laura Brosius

Sincerely,

Nancy Byers

From: Sent: To:	KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services> Saturday, January 23, 2016 10:52 PM Energy - Docket Optical System</noreply@knowwho.services>
Subject:	Docket Number 15-AAER-06
Dear California Energy Commission	on,
Dear Commissioner McAllister:	
Thank you for the opportunity to General Purpose LED Lamps public	comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and shed on January 7, 2016.
1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or g	reater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide rformance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.
	andards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and HG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with co-friendly way.
efficient. This is a misunderstanding both illuminate a room and provide	osal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and lessing of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to de the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform apponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. service.
In other words, the opponents wa	ant me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.
	ndustry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality apport the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

From:	KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services></noreply@knowwho.services>
Sent:	Saturday, January 23, 2016 3:44 PM
То:	Energy - Docket Optical System

Subject: Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Maureen Cole

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent:Saturday, January 23, 2016 11:35 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Jorge De Cecco

From:	KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services></noreply@knowwho.services>
Sent:	Saturday, January 23, 2016 5:20 PM
To:	Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject:	Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Chris Dunnbier

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services > Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2016 7:45 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

Subject: Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Crystal Elston

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent:Saturday, January 23, 2016 5:43 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Peter And Holly Fuhrer

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services >

Sent:Saturday, January 23, 2016 6:36 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Savannah Gil

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2016 7:20 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Carol Goldstein

Sincerely,

Susan Green

From: Sent: To: Subject:	KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services> Saturday, January 23, 2016 9:32 PM Energy - Docket Optical System Docket Number 15-AAER-06</noreply@knowwho.services>
Subject.	Docket Number 13-AAEK-00
Dear California Energy Commission	on,
Dear Commissioner McAllister:	
Thank you for the opportunity to General Purpose LED Lamps public	comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and shed on January 7, 2016.
1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or g	(CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January reater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide rformance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.
	andards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and IG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with co-friendly way.
However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job That's not efficiency, that's poor service.	
In other words, the opponents wa	ant me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.
As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.	

From: Sent: To: Subject:	KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services> Saturday, January 23, 2016 6:26 PM Energy - Docket Optical System Docket Number 15-AAER-06</noreply@knowwho.services>
Dear California Energy Commission	on,
Dear Commissioner McAllister:	
Thank you for the opportunity to General Purpose LED Lamps public	comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and shed on January 7, 2016.
1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or g	reater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide rformance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.
	andards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and IG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with co-friendly way.
efficient. This is a misunderstanding both illuminate a room and provide	osal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and lessing of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform apponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. service.
In other words, the opponents wa	ant me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.
As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards	
Sincerely,	
Noah Hall	

From:

Sincerely,

Nalani Ha'o

Sent:	Saturday, January 23, 2016 4:32 PM
To:	Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject:	Docket Number 15-AAER-06
Dear California Energ	y Commission,
Dear Commissioner M	IcAllister:
	portunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and Lamps published on January 7, 2016.
1, 2018 shall have a C	Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January RI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide on of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.
cut greenhouse gas er	I stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and missions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with but in an eco-friendly way.
efficient. This is a miss both illuminate a roor	of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less understanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to m and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform nergy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. that's poor service.
In other words, the op	pponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.
•	t want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services >

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services > Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2016 8:50 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System **Subject:** Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Harris

From:	KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services></noreply@knowwho.services>
Sent:	Saturday, January 23, 2016 4:02 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System **Subject:** Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous efficacy, color rendering and longevity.

The proposed standards provide an opportunity for Californians to save \$4 billion over the next 13 years. By 2029, the standards will be saving about 3,000 Gwh per year, which is equivalent to about 400,000 average homes indefinitely or avoiding the construction of one 500 MW power plant. That means 10.3 million metric tons of CO2 avoided between 2017 and 2029, which is equivalent to the emissions of about 168,000 cars.

However, opponents of the proposal want to weaken the standards, which will harm the reputation of LED lighting, thereby chipping away at potential monetary and energy savings, thwarting efforts to fight climate change and making them less enjoyable.

As a consumer, I don't want to see the standards weakened because it means I save less money, the planet suffers and I don't get to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Colleen Harrison

From:

Todd Heiler

From: Sent: To: Subject:	KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services> Saturday, January 23, 2016 6:53 PM Energy - Docket Optical System Docket Number 15-AAER-06</noreply@knowwho.services>
Dear California Energy Commission	on,
Dear Commissioner McAllister:	
Thank you for the opportunity to General Purpose LED Lamps publi	comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and ished on January 7, 2016.
1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or g	n (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide erformance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.
	candards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and HG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with co-friendly way.
efficient. This is a misunderstandi both illuminate a room and provid	osal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and lessing of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to de the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform apponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. service.
In other words, the opponents wa	ant me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.
As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality ighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.	
Sincerely,	

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2016 5:19 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Christian Heinold

From: Sent:

Sincerely,

Sandra Jaffe

To:	Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: Docket Number 15-AAER-06	
Dear California Energ	y Commission,
Dear Commissioner M	1cAllister:
	portunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and Lamps published on January 7, 2016.
1, 2018 shall have a C	Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January RI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide on of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.
cut greenhouse gas er	d stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and missions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with , but in an eco-friendly way.
efficient. This is a miss both illuminate a roor	of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less understanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to m and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform nergy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. that's poor service.
In other words, the op	oponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.
As a consumer, I don't	t want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality

lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services >

Saturday, January 23, 2016 11:30 PM

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent:Saturday, January 23, 2016 7:32 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Mha Atma S Khalsa

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent:Saturday, January 23, 2016 7:03 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Wendy Krupnick

From:

Sincerely,

Jon Lammers

Sent: To:	Saturday, January 23, 2016 9:47 PM Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject:	Docket Number 15-AAER-06
Dear California Energy Comr	nission,
Dear Commissioner McAlliste	er:
	ry to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and published on January 7, 2016.
1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82	ssion (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide by performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.
, ,	ger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and s (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with an eco-friendly way.
efficient. This is a misunderst both illuminate a room and p	proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less canding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. oor service.
In other words, the opponen	ts want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.
-	the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality I. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services >

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2016 11:17 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System

Subject: Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Maryann LaNew

From:	KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services></noreply@knowwho.services>
Sent:	Saturday, January 23, 2016 7:33 PM
To:	Energy - Docket Optical System

Subject: Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Jamie Lowry

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent:Saturday, January 23, 2016 8:37 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Bonnie McGraw

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services >

Sent:Saturday, January 23, 2016 8:32 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Howard Moore

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2016 6:08 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Matthew Morikawa

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent:Saturday, January 23, 2016 4:22 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Brandon Owens

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2016 11:44 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Pallatto

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2016 8:12 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Robert Pangelina

From:	KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services></noreply@knowwho.services>
Sent:	Saturday, January 23, 2016 3:54 PM
To:	Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject:	Docket Number 15-AAER-06
Dear California Energ	y Commission,
Dear Commissioner M	1cAllister:
	portunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and Lamps published on January 7, 2016.
1, 2018 shall have a C	Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January RI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide on of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.
cut greenhouse gas er	d stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and missions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with , but in an eco-friendly way.
efficient. This is a miss both illuminate a roor	of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less understanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to m and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform nergy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. that's poor service.
In other words, the op	oponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.
	t want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality a afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.
Sincerely,	
Julie Pizzo	

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent:Saturday, January 23, 2016 6:09 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Mark Rhomberg

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services > Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2016 9:24 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System **Subject:** Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Shelly Rodgers

From:	KnowWho Services <noreply@knowwho.services></noreply@knowwho.services>
Sent:	Saturday, January 23, 2016 4:55 PM
T	Francis Dadiet Outland Contains

To: Energy - Docket Optical System **Subject:** Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Therese Ryan

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2016 3:46 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Michelle Schumacher

From:

Sincerely,

Nancy Sikes

Sent:	Saturday, January 23, 2016 4:51 PM
To: Subject:	Energy - Docket Optical System Docket Number 15-AAER-06
Dear California Ener	gy Commission,
Dear Commissioner	McAllister:
	oportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and D Lamps published on January 7, 2016.
1, 2018 shall have a	y Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide tion of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.
cut greenhouse gas	ed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with s, but in an eco-friendly way.
efficient. This is a mi both illuminate a roc	s of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less sunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to om and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. that's poor service.
In other words, the o	opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services >

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services >

Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2016 7:06 PM
To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Shelby And Vicki Solomon

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services > Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2016 3:56 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System **Subject:** Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Anne Veraldi

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>
Sent: Saturday, January 23, 2016 4:22 PM

To: Energy - Docket Optical System
Subject: Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Leslie Winston

From: KnowWho Services < noreply@knowwho.services>

Sent:Saturday, January 23, 2016 4:40 PMTo:Energy - Docket Optical SystemSubject:Docket Number 15-AAER-06

Dear California Energy Commission,

Dear Commissioner McAllister:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the revised 15-day proposal for small diameter directional LED lamps and General Purpose LED Lamps published on January 7, 2016.

The California Energy Commission (CEC) has proposed that general service LED lamps manufactured on or after January 1, 2018 shall have a CRI of 82 or greater and individual color scores (R) of 72 or greater. These new regulations provide an optimal combination of key performance attributes, luminous, color rendering and longevity.

The CEC has proposed stronger standards for LED lighting, which will save Californians billions in the coming years, and cut greenhouse gas emissions (GHG). These standards also allow us to enjoy the quality of full-color lighting we had with traditional light bulbs, but in an eco-friendly way.

However, opponents of the proposal claim the CEC wants to promote LED lighting that is more expensive and less efficient. This is a misunderstanding of what is being required of the lamps. The function of the general service lamp is to both illuminate a room and provide the ability to discern colors. Efficiency would be defined as its ability to perform both tasks with less energy. The opponents want to "improve" efficiency by making light bulbs that only do half the job. That's not efficiency, that's poor service.

In other words, the opponents want me to pay for bulbs that don't deliver.

As a consumer, I don't want the industry to short change me. I want robust standards that allow me to enjoy quality lighting at a price I can afford. I support the CEC's proposal and reject the opposition's efforts to weaken the standards.

Sincerely,

Marc Woersching