DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	15-AFC-01
Project Title:	Puente Power Project
TN #:	206458
Document Title:	Applicant's Responses to City of Oxnard Data Requests Set 3 (68-79)
Description:	N/A
Filer:	Paul Kihm
Organization:	Latham & Watkins LLP
Submitter Role:	Applicant Representative
Submission Date:	10/30/2015 11:28:58 AM
Docketed Date:	10/30/2015



Application for Certification (15-AFC-01)

Puente Power Project (P3) Oxnard, CA

Responses to City of Oxnard Data Requests Set 3 (68-79)



October 2015

Submitted to: The California Energy Commission





TABLE OF CONTENTS

RESPONSES TO DATA REQUESTS SET 3

AIR QUALITY 68 THROUGH 79

APPENDICES

- Appendix A
- Air Quality Appendix A-1-1 Appendix A-1-2 Appendix A-2 Appendix A-3 Appendix A-4

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS USED IN RESPONSES

AAQS AERMOD	ambient air quality standard American Meteorological Society and Environmental Protection Agency
450	preferred atmospheric dispersion model
AFC	Application for Certification
APE	Area of Polential Effect
CAAQS	California ampient air quality standard
CAISO	California Independent System Operator
	California Energy Commission
	methane
00	
°F	Fanrenneit
g/gai	grams per gallon
g/hr	grams per hour
GHG	greenhouse gas
hrs/yr	hours per year
lb/hr	pounds per hour
lbs/mile	pounds per mile
lbs	pounds
LORS	laws, regulations, ordinances, and standards
LARWQCB	Los Angeles Regional Water Quality Control Board
m	meters
mgd	million gallons per day
μg/m ³	micrograms per cubic meter
µg/m³/g/s	micrograms per cubic meter pet gram per second
MLLW	mean low water
MMBtu/hr	million British thermal units per hour
MSL	mean sea level
NAAQS	national ambient air quality standard
NAVD88	
N ₂ O	nitrous oxide
NO ₂	nitrogen dioxide
NO _X	nitrogen oxides
OLM	ozone limiting method
PDOC	Preliminary Determination of Compliance
PM	particulate matter
PM ₁₀	particulate matter less than or equal to 10 microns in diameter
PM _{2.5}	particulate matter less than or equal to 2.5 microns in diameter
ppm	parts per million
REC	Recognized Environmental Condition
RO	reverse osmosis
RQ	reportable quantities
SO ₂	sulfur dioxide
tpd	metric tons per day
tpm	metric tons per month
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator
VOC	volatile organic compounds

BACKGROUND

On September 3, 2015, NRG provided responses and objections to the City of Oxnard's First Set of Data Requests related to air quality emissions from the proposed P3 facility. NRG objected to the requests for certain Excel spreadsheets and technical data on the grounds that the information is confidential trade secret. NRG indicated it would provide at least some of this information subject to a nondisclosure agreement, but has not yet done so. The following data requests follow up on responses to the City's First Set of Data Requests and seek additional information and/or clarification of NRG's initial responses.

DATA REQUEST

68. In its Data Requests 5, 6, and 8, the City requested a copy of the formal vendor quarantee and any evidence that supports the emissions calculations used for the gas turbine. In response, NRG referenced the vendor letter included in Appendix C-2 to the AFC. This is not a formal vendor guarantee. Please provide a copy of the formal vendor guarantee, including all of the operating conditions under which the vendor guarantee is valid. In addition, please explain the experience upon which the Applicant is confident that the turbines will meet the emission limits throughout the life of the project. Please include in such response all evidence (such as stack tests) that demonstrates that the emission rate of 10.6 lb/hour used in emissions calculations has been achieved by the gas turbine in comparable operating modes. The applicant's assertion that it "does not possess the requested information," is not responsive. The applicant or the applicant's consultants can request this information from the vendor and collect it from air districts that have required stack tests on similar GE Frame 7 turbines. Further, the applicant's consultant, Sierra Research, who prepared this response, certainly has a large collection of responsive stack tests conducted on similar GE Frame 7 turbines. If such evidence is in the possession of GE or Sierra Research, please request this information from them.

RESPONSE

Please refer to Applicant's objection to City Data Request 68 filed on October 21, 2015. Without waiving its prior objection, Applicant responds as follows.

In Appendix C-2 to the AFC, Applicant has provided written confirmation of the emission performance for the exact make/model gas turbine proposed for this project from the turbine vendor, GE. GE is one of the top gas turbine vendors in the world with vast experience and expertise in the manufacture of such equipment. Based on GE's experience and expertise, Applicant has a high degree of confidence in the emission performance information it has provided.

69. In response to Data Request 11, NRG referenced an emissions inventory from the Ventura Air Pollution Control District. Please provide a copy of the emission inventory that was relied upon to calculate the baseline data. Please provide any primary source data that you have to support these emissions factors, including actual stack tests for MGS Units 1 and 2. If such evidence is in the possession of GE or Sierra Research, please request this information from them.

RESPONSE

Please refer to Applicant's objection to City Data Request 69 filed on October 21, 2015. Without waiving its prior objection, Applicant responds as follows.

Enclosed as Appendix A-1 is a copy of the Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (VCAPCD) emission inventory data for the Mandalay Generating Station (MGS), including Units 1 and 2, for the period from 2005 to 2013. This data was used to establish the 2009 to 2013 CO, ROC, PM₁₀, and SOx baseline emissions for MGS Units 1 and 2. As noted in the AFC¹, the 2014 baseline CO, ROC, PM₁₀, and SOX emissions for MGS Units 1 and 2 were based on annual fuel use and the VCAPCD inventory emission factors. The 2009 to 2014 baseline NOx emissions for MGS Units 1 and 2 were based on Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) data. It is appropriate to use the VCAPCD emission inventory data to establish the baseline emissions for MGS Units 1 and 2 because this inventory data is used by both the VCAPCD and California Air Resources Board (CARB) for air quality regulatory planning purposes. Also, the VCAPCD emission inventory conservatively uses natural gas fired boiler emission factors from the 1995 version of AP-42, which are lower than the emission factors in the current (1998) version of AP-42. A comparison between the 1995 and 1998 AP-42 natural gas fired boiler CO, ROC, and PM_{10} emission factors is shown in the following table. NOx is not included in this table because, as discussed above, the baseline emissions for MGS Units 1 and 2 are based on CEMS data, and SOx is not shown in the table because that is based on the natural gas sulfur content in the project area².

	Table DR69	
	Boiler AP-42 Emission Factors	5
Pollutant	1995 AP-42 Emission Factors Natural Gas Fired Boilers ³ (Ibs/mmscf)	1998 AP-42 Emission Factors Natural Gas Fired Boilers⁴ (Ibs/mmscf)
CO	40	84
ROC	1.4	5.5
PM ₁₀	2.5	7.6

¹ See Tables C-2.13a to C-2.13f of the AFC.

² The VCAPCD emissions inventory for MGS Units 1 and 2 uses a SOx emission factor of 0.6 lbs/mmscf.

³ AP-42, Table 1.4-2, natural gas fired utility boilers, 1/95.

⁴ AP-42, Table 1.4-1, natural gas fired large wall fired boilers, 7/98.

70. In Data Request 16, the City requested that the Applicant identify options to mitigate the net emission increase for ROC, PM10, and PM2.5. The response indicates that the mitigation is the shutdown of MGS Units 1 and 2 and funding of air quality mitigation programs. The shutdown of MSG Units 1 and 2 is relied on in the netting analysis. Thus, it cannot also be mitigation for the resulting net increase. Please explain how the net increase in emissions will be mitigated. This response also identifies an "air quality mitigation program." This is too vague to satisfy mitigation. Please identify all actions/projects and resulting emission reductions that will be included in the "air quality mitigation program."

RESPONSE

The mitigation of the net emission increases of ROC, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} emissions for the P3 (after accounting for the benefits of the shutdown of MGS Units 1 and 2) will be provided by funding air quality mitigation programs. Funding an air quality mitigation program such as the Carl Moyer Program or a program developed with VCAPCD is not "too vague to satisfy mitigation" as claimed by the City. This same approach with the same basic requirement to fund a local air quality mitigation program has been approved by the CEC as an adequate form of mitigation of air quality impacts for several power plant projects, including the Carlsbad Energy Center Project (07-AFC-06), East Altamont Energy Center (01-AFC-04), and the Los Esteros Critical Energy Facility – Phase 1 (01-AFC-12).

71. In Data Request 18, the City requested vendor guaranteed startup/shutdown emission "curves", e.g., NOx in ppm versus load/time since the beginning of startup and shutdown to support the startup and shut down emissions. Instead, the applicant simply repeated the unsupported information in the AFC, referring to DR-8 and DR-17. Please provide the support for these assumed startup and shutdown emissions, in the form of startup/shutdown emission curves and any supporting measurement, e.g., stack test or CEMS data.

RESPONSE

Please refer to Applicant's objection to City Data Request 71 filed on October 21, 2015. Without waiving its prior objection, Applicant responds as follows.

The startup/shutdown emission levels are not "unsupported" - they are based on startup/shutdown emission levels provided by the gas turbine vendor for the new GE 7HA.01 gas turbine proposed for the P3. It is customary to use vendor-supplied emission rates to determine project impacts, and the Applicant has no reason to question the startup/shutdown emission levels provided by the gas turbine vendor.

72. In response to Data Request 24, NRG stated it does not possess the certificates for emissions offsets that it intends to rely on. The only way to verify the adequacy of the proposed offsets is by reviewing the certificates and the backup file that supports the certificates. Please provide copies of these certificates and the supporting files. If they are in the possession of SCE, SCE's consultants, or the air district, please request this information from them.

RESPONSE

Please refer to Applicant's objection to City Data Request 72 filed on October 21, 2015. Without waiving its prior objection, Applicant responds as follows.

Enclosed as Appendix A-2 are copies of the evaluations prepared by the VCAPCD for the NOx Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs) proposed for mitigation for the P3 (ERC certificate numbers 1078, 1079, 1080, 1083, 1084, 1085, 1091, 1092, 1094, 1097, 1104, 1107, and 1109). Per the Applicant's response to City Data Request 24, while the Applicant does not have copies of the actual ERC certificates for these ERCs, the Applicant has no reason to question the validity of these certificates because any changes to the amounts of these ERC certifications due to sales/trades would be reflected in the District's ERC Registry.

73. In Data Request 25, NRG states that it is not required to include start-up and shut down emissions in determining compliance with BACT. Please provide the legal justification for excluding start-up and shut down emissions from the BACT requirements.

RESPONSE

Please refer to Applicant's objection to City Data Request 73 filed on October 21, 2015.

74. In Data Requests 27 and 28, the City noted that the Applicant's analyses indicated mitigated construction emissions are significant and that additional mitigation is required. The applicant responded that these emissions are "short-term in nature with maximum ambient impacts that tend to occur very near the location of the activities." The response gives an example of the 24- hour and annual average PM10 ambient impacts, arguing that impacts are significant only within about 300 feet of the fenceline and thus not significant. This circular argument is not responsive. The construction air quality analysis in Appendix C-8 indicates that mitigated construction emissions are in fact significant, requiring additional mitigation. Please identify additional construction mitigation to reduce the significant construction emission impacts to a less than significant level.

RESPONSE

As discussed in the response to City Data Request 28, the Applicant does not believe the impacts due to construction/decommissioning activities will result in any significant unmitigated air quality impacts. With respected to NO₂, CO, SO₂, and PM_{2.5} impacts, the Applicant does not

expect any significant unmitigated air quality impacts because, as shown in Table C-6-5 of the AFC, the modeled maximum ambient impacts will not result in an exceedance of any Federal or State ambient air quality standards for these pollutants. Therefore, the Applicant does not believe construction/decommissioning impacts for these pollutants are significant. With respect to PM₁₀, as discussed in the response to City Data Request 28, while the maximum modeled construction/decommissioning ambient impacts are above State ambient air quality standards, these impacts drop below the Federal Significant Impact Levels (SILs) within approximately 300 feet of the facility fenceline. It is due to this combination of a very limited area exposed to ambient PM₁₀ impacts above the Federal SILs and the short-term nature of the construction/ decommissioning activities that the Applicant believes the construction/decommissioning activities that the Applicant believes the construction decommissioning to measures proposed for this project are consistent with those required by the Commission for other projects.

75. In Data Request 29 the City noted that construction emission calculations assume that EPA Tier 4i engines would be used for larger equipment and EPA Tier 4 engines for smaller equipment and requested that these assignments be specified as mitigation measures. The response argues that the assumed use is an element of project design. However, the assignments are hidden from view, buried in modeling files, preventing any meaningful public review. Thus, please provide a table that shows each piece of construction equipment, the EPA Tier engine assumed in the emission calculations, and a commitment in the AFC itself to implement the assignments as mitigation for construction emissions.

RESPONSE

The engine EPA Tier level for each type of nonroad Diesel construction equipment is shown in the CalEEMod input files included in the Construction/Decommissioning Emission File compact disc filed with the AFC.⁵ Enclosed as Appendix A-3 is a table summarizing the nonroad Diesel engine EPA Tier levels used in the CalEEMod model emission estimates for the construction equipment. The Applicant commits to using EPA Tier 4/4i nonroad Diesel construction equipment for this Project, consistent with the terms of standard CEC construction mitigation Conditions of Certification.

76. In Data Request 30, the City requested site-specific measurements of silt content to support estimated fugitive dust emission calculations. The response states that haul roads would be covered with gravel, which will not occur until prior to construction, making it impossible to sample these roads. However, the graveled haul roads are not the only source of fugitive dust emissions that rely on silt content. Site grading, haul road grading before gravelling, and all bulldozing also depend on silt content. These site preparation and grading activities will generate significant amounts of fugitive dust. The measurement of silt content is a very simple and inexpensive test that is recommended when AP-42 calculation methods are used, which is the case here. See AP-42, Appendix C.1. Thus, please provide representative site-wide and site- specific, measured values for silt

⁵ Under the "tblConstEquipMitigation" tab of the CalEEMod modeling input file.

content and silt loading to verify fugitive dust emissions from site preparation and grading.

RESPONSE

Please refer to Applicant's objection to City Data Request 76 filed on October 21, 2015. Without waiving its prior objection, Applicant responds as follows.

The CalEEMod model was used to estimate fugitive dust emissions associated with site preparation/grading activities for the P3. For these activities, the CalEEMod model used two different fugitive dust emission factors—an emission factor for grading activities (for graders, crawler tractors, and scrapers) and an emission factor for bulldozer activities (for bulldozers). For grading activities, the CalEEMod model used the AP-42 fugitive dust emission factor for grading. Because this emission factor is simply a function of mean vehicle speed,⁶ silt content/silt loading values are not applicable to this emission factor. For bulldozer activities, the CalEEMod model uses the AP-42 fugitive dust emission factor for bulldozer activities. This emission factor is a function of material silt content (% weight) and moisture content (% weight). The CalEEMod model used default values of 7.9% weight material moisture content and 6.9% weight material silt content for the bulldozer emission factor. These values are based on the mean material moisture/silt content values shown in AP-42.7 As shown in Appendix A-4, based on a summary of soil survey data for the beach areas of Ventura County performed for the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service,⁸ the soil silt contents range from 1% to 7% weight and the sand contents range from 93% to 99% weight. Therefore, the silt content of 6.9% weight used for the bulldozer emission factor is close to the maximum of this range. Also, given the well-draining sandy composition of the soil in the Ventura County beach areas, the use of the material moisture content of 7.9% weight used for the bulldozer emission factor is reasonable. Therefore, the Applicant believes that the CalEEMod model site preparation/ grading emission calculations for P3 are reasonable.

77. In Data Request 44, the City noted that the AFC estimated HAP emissions using outdated emission factors from AP-42 and the CARB CATEF database for all operational conditions. AFC Table C-8.1. We requested that the applicant verify these emission factors by providing stack tests to support normal operation and startup/shutdown HAP emissions. The applicant responded that it "does not possess the requested information for the GE 7HA.01 turbine." This is not responsive. The applicant or the applicant's consultants can request this information from the vendor and collect it from air districts that have required stack tests on similar GE Frame 7 turbines. Further, the applicant's consultant, Sierra Research, who prepared this response, certainly has a large collection of responsive stack tests conducted on similar GE Frame 7 turbines. The use of outdated HAP emission factors, conducted on turbines that are not representative of the Frame 7 turbines proposed here, especially during startups and shutdowns, is not a valid basis to estimate health +risks because since these emission factors were measured, changes have occurred in turbine design that affect emissions. Further, studies have demonstrated significant increases in many HAPS during

⁶ See AP-42, Table 11.9-1, grading activities, 7/98.

⁷ See AP-42, Table 11.9-3, bulldozer activities/overburden, 7/98.

⁸ http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/app/

startup and shutdown from similar Frame 7 turbines. The formaldehyde emission factor (formaldehyde is a carcinogen), for example, increased from 15 lb/10¹² Btu to 7,539 lb/10² Btu, or by a factor of 503, and the formaldehyde emissions increased from 0.11 to 16.08 tons/yr or by factor of 146 when the load was reduced from 100% to 30%.⁹ Thus, we request that the applicant obtain and docket more recent and relevant HAP stack test information for similar GE Frame 7 turbines that includes normal operation as well as startup and shutdown conditions and use it to revise its HAP emission estimates.

RESPONSE

Please refer to Applicant's objection to City Data Request 77 filed on October 21, 2015. Without waiving its prior objection, Applicant responds as follows.

The Gas Research Institute (GRI) report cited in City Data Request 77 was published in August 1996 and relies on stack tests performed in the 1993 to 1994 time period. Both the CATEF emission factors (most recent background report published in 2000) and the AP-42 gas turbine Section 3.1 published in 2000 also rely on gas turbine toxic air contaminant (TAC)/hazardous air pollutant (HAP) stack test data performed in the 1990s. With regards to the formaldehyde emissions factors from the GRI report cited in City Data Request 77, based on the more recent December 1996 version of the GRI report these emission factors are based on a single set of test results performed on a single water-injected first generation GE Frame 7 gas turbine.¹⁰ Therefore, the GRI report results do not include the multiple test/multiple unit statistical analysis of test data as is done in both the CATEF and AP-42 publications. The GRI report full load formaldehvde emission factor of 1.5 x 10⁻⁵ lbs/MMBtu is significantly lower than the normal operation/uncontrolled CATEF/AP-42 formaldehyde emission factor of 9.0 x 10⁻⁴ lbs/MMBtu used for the analysis of the P3 gas turbine (see Table C-8.1 of AFC). The GRI report low load formaldehyde emission factor of 7.5 x 10⁻³ lbs/MMBtu is very close to the uncontrolled startup/shutdown formaldehyde factors of 7.2 x 10⁻³ lbs/MMBtu¹¹ used for the analysis of the P3 gas turbine (see Table C-8.1 of AFC). None of these documents (GRI report, CATEF, AP-42) account for the lower TAC/HAP emissions associated with a new fast start GE 7HA.01 gas turbine equipped with dry low-NOx combustion combined with an oxidation catalyst system. Therefore, the use of the CATEF/AP-42 TAC/HAP emission factors is conservative and likely overestimates the TAC/HAP emissions for the P3 gas turbine. Even with the conservative nature of these TAC/HAP emission factors/emission calculations, as shown on Table 4.9-4 of the AFC the maximum modeled public health impacts are below significance levels. Finally, it is customary to use CATEF/AP-42 TAC/HAP emission factors to estimate emissions for power plant projects.

⁹ Gas Research Institute (GRI), Gas-Fired Boiler and Turbine Air Toxics Summary Report, Final Report, August 1996, Table S-5.

¹⁰ Carnot Technical Services, Gas-Fired Boiler and Turbine Air Toxics Summary Report, Prepared for the Gas Research Institute and the Electric Power Research Institute, December 1996, Tables 2-1 and 2-3.

¹¹ Based on the controlled gas turbine startup/shutdown formaldehyde emission factor of 3.6 x 10-3 lbs/MMBtu without the 50% oxidation catalyst control level.

78. In Data Request 23, the City requested raw NOx CEMS data for existing Units 1 and 2 that was relied on to estimate NOx emissions for the lookback period 2009 to 2014, including firing rate in MMBtu/hr and MW generated. The response is incomplete. Please provide the following information: (1) The units for the "GASFLOW" columns in the provided spreadsheet. (2) The firing rate in MMBtu/hr and the MWhr generated for each measurement period. (3) The unlocked Excel spreadsheet that shows the calculations used to generate NOx emissions for the lookback period 2009 to 2014. (4) All stack tests conducted on Units 1 and 2. (5) Please explain why there are many zero NOx values when Units 1 and 2 were running and emitting NOx. (6) Please explain how these zero NOx values were handled in calculating annual NOx emissions for the lookback period.

RESPONSE

Please refer to Applicant's objection to City Data Request 78 filed on October 21, 2015. Without waiving its prior objection, Applicant responds as follows.

78-1. The units for the GASFLOW column of the MGS Units 1 and 2 NOx Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS) data provided by the Applicant are hundred standard cubic feet per hour of natural gas.

78-2. The Applicant has provided hour-by-hour fuel use and NOx lbs/hr CEMS data for the period from 2009 to 2014 for MGS Units 1 and 2 and those data are sufficient to understand the annual baseline NOx emissions for MGS Units 1 and 2.

78-3. The annual baseline NOx emissions for MGS Units 1 and 2 shown on Table C-2.13a of the AFC are simply the annual totals of the hour-by-hour CEMS NOx lbs/hr emissions data already provided by the Applicant. This annual baseline NOx emission summary Table C-2.13a is included in the confidential Excel spreadsheet filed by the Applicant on 8/17/15.

78-4. All stack test data for these units are public documents available from the VCAPCD.

78-5. The zero NOx lbs/hr levels shown in the CEMS data occur when the unit has been operating at a relatively low level (for example, at gas flow rates below approximately 5,000 hscf/hr) and the selective catalytic reduction NOx control system is fully functional. The NOx emissions are so low that the CEMS is rounding the results down to zero.

78.6. The zero NOx values were included in the calculation of annual NOx emissions for the baseline period for MGS Units 1 and 2. Doing so results in a conservative NOx baseline emission estimate for MGS Units 1 and 2.

79. Unit 3 will continue to operate after the new unit starts up. An increase in emissions from this unit may affect the conclusions as to applicability of PSD review and air quality impacts. Thus, please respond to the following questions regarding Unit 3. (1) Are any changes in the operation of Unit 3 anticipated? If yes, please describe them and quantify any emission changes. (2) Please provide all CEMS data and stack tests for Unit 3.

RESPONSE

Please refer to Applicant's objection to City Data Request 79 filed on October 21, 2015. Without waiving its prior objection, Applicant responds as follows.

79-1a. The Applicant does not expect any changes in the future operation of MGS Unit 3.

79-1b. Not applicable.

79-2. MGS Unit 3 is not equipped with a Continuous Emissions Monitoring System (CEMS). The VCAPCD emission inventory data were used to establish the baseline emissions for MGS Unit 3. As with MGS Units 1 and 2, the VCAPCD emissions inventory for MGS Unit 3 is based on annual fuel use and 1995 AP-42 emission factors for natural gas fired stationary gas turbines. All stack test data for this unit are public documents available from the VCAPCD.

APPENDIX A

AIR QUALITY

APPENDIX A-1-1

VCAPCD ANNUAL EMISSION INVENTORY DATA

Year	Air Basin	Fac ID#	Facility Name	DEV ID#	Device Name	DEVD1	DEVD2	Process ID#	Process Description	SIC#	SCC#	Process Rate	Process Rate Units	TOG TPY	ROG TPY	NOX TPY	CO TPY	SOX TPY	PM TPY	PM 10 TPY	PM25 TPY	NH3 TPY
2005	SCC	13	MANDALAY POWER GENERATION		1 BABCOCK WILCOX	UNIT 1	215 MW	1	1990 MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS FOR UNIT #1	4911	1-01-006-01	1423	MILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED	2.359	0.996	3.390	28.460	0.427	1.779	1.779	1.779	3.010
2005	SCC	13	MANDALAY POWER GENERATION	2	2 BABCOCK WILCOX	UNIT 2	215 MW	1	1990MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS FOR UNIT #2	4911	1-01-006-01	2001.1	MILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED	3.317	1.400	5.389	40.022	0.600	2.501	2.501	2.501	1.401
2005	SCC	13	MANDALAY POWER GENERATION	7	7 PEAKING UNIT	UNIT 3		1	DIST OIL FOR TURBINES	4911	2-01-001-01	0	1000 GALLONS BURNED	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2005	SCC	13	MANDALAY POWER GENERATION	ī	7 PEAKING UNIT	UNIT 3		2	2 NAT GAS FOR TURBINES	4911	2-01-002-01	26.9	MILLION CUBIC FEET	1.112	0.102	0.580	1.553	0.008	0.273	0.271	0.271	0.000
2005	SCC	13	MANDALAY POWER GENERATION	12	2 WIPECLEANING			1	SOLVENT CLEANING	4911	4-01-003-98	0	GALLONS SOLVENT CONSUMED	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2005	SCC	13	MANDALAY POWER GENERATION	1:	3 EMERGENCY GEN.	EXEMPT		1	DIESEL GENERATOR	4911	2-03-001-01	0	THOUSANDS OF GALLONS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2005	SCC	13	MANDALAY POWER GENERATION	14	4 FIRE WATER PUMPS	EXEMPT	YB35018 U607396	1	117 HP PERKINS DIESEL ENG.	4911	2-03-001-01	0	THOUSANDS OF GALLONS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2005	SCC	13	MANDALAY POWER GENERATION	18	5 FUEL TANK			1	1000 GALLON AGT GASOLINE	4911	4-03-010-03	0.9	1000 GALLONS STORAGE CAPA	0.005	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2005	Total Ar	nnual Ei	missions											6.793	2.503	9.359	70.035	1.035	4.553	4.551	4.551	4.411
2006	SCC	13	MANDALAY POWER GENERATION		1 BABCOCK WILCOX	UNIT 1	215 MW	1	1990 MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS FOR UNIT #1	4911	1-01-006-01	1642.1	MILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED	2.723	1.149	3.340	32.842	0.493	2.053	2.053	2.053	1.149
2006	SCC	13	MANDALAY POWER GENERATION	2	2 BABCOCK WILCOX	UNIT 2	215 MW	1	1990MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS FOR UNIT #2	4911	1-01-006-01	1825.9	MILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED	3.027	1.278	4.291	36.518	0.548	2.282	2.282	2.282	0.456
2006	SCC	13	MANDALAY POWER GENERATION	7	7 PEAKING UNIT	UNIT 3		1	DIST OIL FOR TURBINES	4911	2-01-001-01	0	1000 GALLONS BURNED	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2006	SCC	13	MANDALAY POWER GENERATION	7	7 PEAKING UNIT	UNIT 3		2	2 NAT GAS FOR TURBINES	4911	2-01-002-01	50.5	MILLION CUBIC FEET	2.089	0.191	1.200	2.916	0.015	0.513	0.509	0.508	0.000
2006	SCC	13	MANDALAY POWER GENERATION	12	2 WIPECLEANING			1	SOLVENT CLEANING	4911	4-01-003-98	0	GALLONS SOLVENT CONSUMED	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2006	SCC	13	MANDALAY POWER GENERATION	13	3 EMERGENCY GEN.	EXEMPT		1	DIESEL GENERATOR	4911	2-03-001-01	0	THOUSANDS OF GALLONS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2006	SCC	13	MANDALAY POWER GENERATION	14	4 FIRE WATER PUMPS	EXEMPT	YB35018 U607396	1	117 HP PERKINS DIESEL ENG.	4911	2-03-001-01	0	THOUSANDS OF GALLONS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2006	SCC	13	MANDALAY POWER GENERATION	18	5 FUEL TANK			1	1000 GALLON AGT GASOLINE	4911	4-03-010-03	1.2	1000 GALLONS STORAGE CAPA	0.229	0.228	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2006	Total Ar	nnual Ei	missions											8.067	2.847	8.831	72.276	1.056	4.848	4.844	4.843	1.605
2007	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION		1 BABCOCK WILCOX	UNIT 1 BOILER NH3	215 MW	1	1990 MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS FOR UNIT #1	4911	1-01-006-01	1686.5	MILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED	2.796	1.181	2.403	33.730	0.506	2.108	2.108	2.108	3.204
2007	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	2	2 BABCOCK WILCOX	UNIT 2	215 MW	1	1990MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS FOR UNIT #2	4911	1-01-006-01	2736.5	MILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED	4.537	1.916	6.900	54.730	0.821	3.421	3.421	3.421	5.199
2007	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	-	7 PEAKING UNIT	UNIT 3		1	DIST OIL FOR TURBINES	4911	2-01-001-01	0	1000 GALLONS BURNED	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2007	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	7	7 PEAKING UNIT	UNIT 3		2	2 NAT GAS FOR TURBINES	4911	2-01-002-01	30.1	MILLION CUBIC FEET	1.245	0.114	0.700	1.738	0.009	0.306	0.304	0.303	0.000
2007	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	12	2 WIPECLEANING			1	SOLVENT CLEANING	4911	4-01-003-98	20.3	GALLONS SOLVENT CONSUMED	0.155	0.062	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Year	Air Basin	Fac ID#	Facility Name	DEV ID#	Device Name	DEVD1	DEVD2	Process ID#	Process Description	SIC#	SCC#	Process Rate	Process Rate Units	TOG TPY	ROG TPY	NOX TPY	CO TPY	SOX TPY	PM TPY	PM 10 TPY	PM25 TPY	NH3 TPY
2007	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	13	B EMERGENCY GEN.	EXEMPT		1	DIESEL GENERATOR	4911	2-03-001-01	0	THOUSANDS OF GALLONS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2007	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	14	4 FIRE WATER PUMPS	EXEMPT	YB35018 U607396	1	154 HP PERKINS DIESEL ENG.	4911	2-03-001-01	0	THOUSANDS OF GALLONS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2007	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	15	5 FUEL TANK			1	1000 GALLON AGT GASOLINE	4911	4-03-010-03	1.3	1000 GALLONS STORAGE CAPA	0.248	0.247	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2007	Total An	nual Ei	missions											8.981	3.519	10.003	90.198	1.336	5.834	5.832	5.832	8.404
2008	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	1	BABCOCK WILCOX	UNIT 1 BOILER NH3	215 MW	1	1990 MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS FOR UNIT #1	4911	1-01-006-01	2322.5	MILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED	3.851	1.626	3.310	46.450	0.697	2.903	2.903	2.903	4.413
2008	scc	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	2	2 BABCOCK WILCOX	UNIT 2	215 MW	1	1990MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS FOR UNIT #2	4911	1-01-006-01	3654.3	MILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED	6.059	2.558	9.214	73.086	1.096	4.568	4.568	4.568	6.943
2008	scc	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	7	PEAKING UNIT	UNIT 3		1	DIST OIL FOR TURBINES	4911	2-01-001-01	0	1000 GALLONS BURNED	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2008	scc	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	7	7 PEAKING UNIT	UNIT 3		2	NAT GAS FOR TURBINES	4911	2-01-002-01	38.1	MILLION CUBIC FEET	1.576	0.144	0.886	2.200	0.011	0.387	0.384	0.384	0.000
2008	scc	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	12	2 WIPECLEANING			1	SOLVENT CLEANING	4911	4-01-003-98	9.3	GALLONS SOLVENT CONSUMED	0.071	0.028	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2008	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	13	3 EMERGENCY GEN.	EXEMPT		1	DIESEL GENERATOR	4911	2-03-001-01	0	THOUSANDS OF GALLONS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2008	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	14	FIRE WATER PUMPS	EXEMPT	YB35018 U607396	1	154 HP PERKINS DIESEL ENG.	4911	2-03-001-01	0	THOUSANDS OF GALLONS	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2008	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	15	5 FUEL TANK			1	1000 GALLON AGT GASOLINE	4911	4-03-010-03	1.165	1000 GALLONS STORAGE CAPA	0.222	0.222	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2008	Total An	nual Ei	missions											11.778	4.578	13.410	121.736	1.804	7.858	7.855	7.855	11.356
2009	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	1	BABCOCK WILCOX	UNIT 1 BOILER NH3	215 MW	1	1990 MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS FOR UNIT #1	4911	1-01-006-01	1630.4	MILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED	2.703	1.141	2.323	32.608	0.489	2.038	2.038	2.038	1.141
2009	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	2	2 BABCOCK WILCOX	UNIT 2	215 MW	1	1990MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS FOR UNIT #2	4911	1-01-006-01	1690.4	MILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED	2.803	1.183	4.262	33.808	0.507	2.113	2.113	2.113	0.423
2009	scc	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	7	7 PEAKING UNIT	UNIT 3		1	DIST OIL FOR TURBINES	4911	2-01-001-01	0	1000 GALLONS BURNED	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2009	scc	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	7	7 PEAKING UNIT	UNIT 3		2	NAT GAS FOR TURBINES	4911	2-01-002-01	89.3	MILLION CUBIC FEET	3.693	0.338	2.077	5.157	0.027	0.906	0.901	0.899	0.000
2009	scc	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	12	2 WIPECLEANING			1	SOLVENT CLEANING	4911	4-01-003-98	8.6	GALLONS SOLVENT CONSUMED	0.066	0.026	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2009	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	13	B EMERGENCY GEN.	EXEMPT		1	DIESEL GENERATOR	4911	2-03-001-01	0.008	THOUSANDS OF GALLONS	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2009	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	14	FIRE WATER PUMPS	EXEMPT	YB35018 U607396	1	154 HP PERKINS DIESEL ENG.	4911	2-03-001-01	0.007	THOUSANDS OF GALLONS	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2009	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	15	5 FUEL TANK			1	1000 GALLON AGT GASOLINE	4911	4-03-010-03	1.283	1000 GALLONS STORAGE CAPA	0.245	0.245	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2009	Total An	nual E	missions											9.510	2.933	8.667	71.574	1.023	5.058	5.052	5.050	1.564
2010	scc	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	1	BABCOCK WILCOX	UNIT 1 BOILER NH3	215 MW	1	1990 MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS FOR UNIT #1	4911	1-01-006-01	314.3	MILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED	0.521	0.220	1.675	6.286	0.094	0.393	0.393	0.393	0.079

Year	Air Basin	Fac ID#	Facility Name	DEV ID#	Device Name	DEVD1	DEVD2	Process ID#	Process Description	SIC#	SCC#	Process Rate	Process Rate Units	TOG TPY	ROG TPY	NOX TPY	со тру	SOX TPY	PM TPY	PM 10 TPY	PM25 TPY	NH3 TPY
2010	scc	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	2	BABCOCK WILCOX	UNIT 2	215 MW	1	1990MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS FOR UNIT #2	4911	1-01-006-01	587.6	MILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED	0.974	0.411	1.482	11.752	0.176	0.735	0.735	0.735	0.147
2010	scc	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	7	PEAKING UNIT	UNIT 3		2	NAT GAS FOR TURBINES	4911	2-01-002-01	42.4	MILLION CUBIC FEET	1.754	0.160	0.986	2.449	0.013	0.430	0.428	0.427	0.000
2010	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	12	WIPECLEANING			1	SOLVENT CLEANING	4911	4-01-003-98	13.3	GALLONS SOLVENT CONSUMED	0.101	0.041	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2010	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	13	EMERGENCY GEN.	EXEMPT		1	DIESEL GENERATOR	4911	2-03-001-01	0.02	THOUSANDS OF GALLONS	0.000	0.000	0.006	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2010	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	14	FIRE WATER PUMPS	EXEMPT	YB35018 U607396	1	154 HP PERKINS DIESEL ENG.	4911	2-03-001-01	0.004	THOUSANDS OF GALLONS	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2010	scc	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	15	FUEL TANK			1	1000 GALLON AGT GASOLINE	4911	4-03-010-03	1.3	1000 GALLONS STORAGE CAPA	0.248	0.248	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2010	Total An	nual Er	nissions											3.599	1.081	4.150	20.488	0.283	1.558	1.556	1.555	0.225
2011	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	1	BABCOCK WILCOX	UNIT 1 BOILER NH3	215 MW	1	1990 MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS FOR UNIT #1	4911	1-01-006-01	334.2	MILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED	0.554	0.234	1.781	6.684	0.100	0.418	0.418	0.418	0.084
2011	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	2	BABCOCK WILCOX	UNIT 2	215 MW	1	1990MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS FOR UNIT #2	4911	1-01-006-01	507.8	MILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED	0.842	0.356	2.707	10.156	0.152	0.635	0.635	0.635	0.127
2011	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	7	PEAKING UNIT	UNIT 3		2	NAT GAS FOR TURBINES	4911	2-01-002-01	30.4	MILLION CUBIC FEET	1.257	0.115	0.707	1.756	0.009	0.309	0.307	0.306	0.000
2011	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	12	WIPECLEANING			1	SOLVENT CLEANING	4911	4-01-003-98	15.8	GALLONS SOLVENT CONSUMED	0.121	0.048	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2011	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	13	EMERGENCY GEN.	EXEMPT		1	DIESEL GENERATOR	4911	2-03-001-01	0.00592	THOUSANDS OF GALLONS	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2011	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	14	FIRE WATER PUMPS	EXEMPT	YB35018 U607396	1	154 HP PERKINS DIESEL ENG.	4911	2-03-001-01	0.00435	THOUSANDS OF GALLONS	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2011	scc	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	15	FUEL TANK			1	1000 GALLON AGT GASOLINE (BREATHE)	4911	4-03-010-03	1.2571	1000 GALLONS STORAGE CAPA	0.008	0.008	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2011	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	16	GASOLINE ABOVE GROUND TANK (GAGT)			1	GASOLINE TANK LOADING	4911	4-03-010-03	1.2571	1000 GALLONS STORAGE CAPA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2011	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	17	GAGT			1	GASOLINE TANK UNLOADING	4911	4-03-010-09	1.2571	1000 GALLONS THROUGHPUT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2011	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	18	GAGT			1	VEHICLE FUELING (TANK UNLOADING)	4911	4-04-004-06	1.2571	1000 GALLONS THROUGHPUT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2011	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	19	GAGT			1	GASOLINE VEHICLE FILLING	4911	4-06-004-03	1.2571	1000 GALLONS TRANSFERRED	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2011	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	20	GAGT			1	GASOLINE FILLING SPILLAGE	4911	4-06-004-02	1.2571	1000 GALLONS PUMPED	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2011	Total An	nual Er	missions											2.783	0.762	5.198	18.596	0.262	1.361	1.360	1.359	0.211
2012	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING	1	BABCOCK WILCOX	UNIT 1 BOILER NH3	215 MW	1	1990 MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS FOR UNIT #1	4911	1-01-006-01	1140.2	MILLION CUBIC	1.890	0.798	6.077	22.804	0.342	1.425	1.425	1.425	0.798
2012	SCC	13	STATION MANDALAY GENERATING	2	BABCOCK WILCOX	UNIT 2	215 MW	1	1990MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS FOR UNIT #2	4911	1-01-006-01	1166.5		1.934	0.817	6.217	23.330	0.350	1.458	1.458	1.458	0.292
2012	scc	13	STATION MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	7	PEAKING UNIT	UNIT 3		2	2510 MMBTU/HR TURBINE	4911	2-01-002-01	109.6	MILLION CUBIC FEET	4.533	0.414	2.549	6.329	0.033	1.112	1.106	1.104	0.000

Year	Air Basin	Fac ID#	Facility Name	DEV ID#	Device Name	DEVD1	DEVD2	Process ID#	Process Description	SIC#	SCC#	Process Rate	Process Rate Units	TOG TPY	ROG TPY	NOX TPY	CO TPY	SOX TPY	PM TPY	PM 10 TPY	PM25 TPY	NH3 TPY
2012	scc	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	12	2 WIPECLEANING			1	SOLVENT CLEANING	4911	4-01-003-98	16.8	GALLONS SOLVENT CONSUMED	0.128	0.051	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2012	scc	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	13	BEMERGENCY GEN.			1	201 BHP DIESEL GENERATOR	4911	2-03-001-01	0.0037	THOUSANDS OF GALLONS	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2012	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	14	FIRE WATER PUMPS	EXEMPT	YB35018 U607396	1	154 HP PERKINS DIESEL ENG.	4911	2-03-001-01	0.00261	THOUSANDS OF GALLONS	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2012	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	15	FUEL TANK			1	1000 GALLON AGT GASOLINE (BREATHE)	4911	4-03-010-03	1.0465	1000 GALLONS STORAGE CAPA	0.006	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2012	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	16	GASOLINE ABOVE GROUND TANK (GAGT)			1	GASOLINE TANK LOADING	4911	4-03-010-03	1.0465	1000 GALLONS STORAGE CAPA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2012	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	17	GAGT			1	GASOLINE TANK UNLOADING	4911	4-03-010-09	1.0465	1000 GALLONS THROUGHPUT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2012	scc	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	18	3 GAGT			1	VEHICLE FUELING (TANK UNLOADING)	4911	4-04-004-06	1.0465	1000 GALLONS THROUGHPUT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2012	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	19	GAGT			1	GASOLINE VEHICLE FILLING	4911	4-06-004-03	1.0465	1000 GALLONS TRANSFERRED	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2012	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	20	GAGT			1	GASOLINE FILLING SPILLAGE	4911	4-06-004-02	1.0465	1000 GALLONS PUMPED	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2012	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	21	CORROSION CONTROL			1	INDUSTRIAL COATING	4911	4-02-005-10	121.7	GALLONS COATING	0.014	0.013	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2012	Total An	nual Er	nissions											8.507	2.101	14.845	52.464	0.725	3.996	3.989	3.987	1.090
2013	scc	13	GENERATING STATION	1	BABCOCK WILCOX	UNIT 1 BOILER NH3	215 MW	1	1990 MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS FOR UNIT #1	4911	1-01-006-01	1063.2	MILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED	1.763	0.744	5.667	21.264	0.319	1.329	1.329	1.329	0.425
2013	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	2	2 BABCOCK WILCOX	UNIT 2	215 MW	1	1990MMBTU/HR NATURAL GAS FOR UNIT #2	4911	1-01-006-01	1429	MILLION CUBIC FEET BURNED	2.369	1.000	7.617	28.580	0.429	1.786	1.786	1.786	0.572
2013	scc	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	7	PEAKING UNIT	UNIT 3		2	2510 MMBTU/HR TURBINE	4911	2-01-002-01	67.5	MILLION CUBIC FEET	2.792	0.255	1.570	3.898	0.020	0.685	0.681	0.680	0.000
2013	scc	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	12	2 WIPECLEANING			1	SOLVENT CLEANING	4911	4-01-003-98	37.5	GALLONS SOLVENT CONSUMED	0.128	0.051	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2013	scc	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	13	BEMERGENCY GEN.			1	201 BHP DIESEL GENERATOR	4911	2-03-001-01	0.0056	THOUSANDS OF GALLONS	0.000	0.000	0.002	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2013	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	14	FIRE WATER PUMPS	EXEMPT	YB35018 U607396	1	154 HP PERKINS DIESEL ENG.	4911	2-03-001-01	0.00203	THOUSANDS OF GALLONS	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2013	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING	15	FUEL TANK			1	1000 GALLON AGT GASOLINE (BREATHE)	4911	4-03-010-03	0.913	1000 GALLONS STORAGE CAPA	0.006	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2013	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	16	GASOLINE ABOVE GROUND TANK (GAGT)			1	GASOLINE TANK LOADING	4911	4-03-010-03	0.913	1000 GALLONS STORAGE CAPA	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2013	scc	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	17	GAGT			1	GASOLINE TANK UNLOADING	4911	4-03-010-09	0.913	1000 GALLONS THROUGHPUT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2013	scc	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	18	GAGT			1	VEHICLE FUELING (TANK UNLOADING)	4911	4-04-004-06	0.913	1000 GALLONS THROUGHPUT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2013	scc	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	19	GAGT			1	GASOLINE VEHICLE FILLING	4911	4-06-004-03	0.913	1000 GALLONS TRANSFERRED	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2013	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	20	GAGT			1	GASOLINE FILLING SPILLAGE	4911	4-06-004-02	0.913	1000 GALLONS PUMPED	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000

Year	Air Basin	Fac ID#	Facility Name	DEV ID#	Device Name	DEVD1	DEVD2	Process ID#	Process Description	SIC#	SCC#	Process Rate	Process Rate Units	TOG TPY	ROG TPY	NOX TPY	CO TPY	SOX TPY	PM TPY	РМ 10 ТРҮ	PM25 TPY	NH3 TPY
2013	SCC	13	MANDALAY GENERATING STATION	21	1 CORROSION CONTROL			1	INDUSTRIAL COATING	4911	4-02-005-10	105	GALLONS COATING	0.012	0.011	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
2013	Total An	inual En	missions											7.070	2.069	14.856	53.743	0.768	3.801	3.796	3.795	0.997

APPENDIX A-1-2

VCAPCD ANNUAL INVENTORY EMISSION FACTORS

Equipment and Emissions Summary

00013 - REN Mandalay Generating Station

Permit Period: 7/1/2014 to 6/30/2015 SIC Code 4911 - Electricity Generation

DEVICE NO: 10353 1 - 1990 MMBTU/hr Babcock and Wilcox, rated at 215 MW, Steam Generator (Unit No. 1), equipped with a SCR with NH3 Injection and Low NOx combustion system

SOURCE CLASSIFIC	ATION COD	e sc	C Units	Prmt Annual	Throughput	Ma	ax Hourly	/ Throughput	Hours Per Year (if used)
10100601 - Utility Boil	er - Nat Gas	MN	/Icf	33204.6000	MMcf	;	3980.00	00 MMBTU NG	
POLLUTANT	Tons/Yr	Lbs/Hr	Uncntl EF	Cntl Factor	Cntl EF	APE?	HPE?	EF Over CF Over	r Control Device
Reactive Organics	23.24	5.31	1.4000	1.0000	1.4000	Y	Y	Y	
Nitrogen Oxides	176.98	40.41	10.6600	1.0000	10.6600	Y	Y	Y Y	Selective Cat Rdxn (SCR)
Particulate Matter	41.51	9.48	2.5000	1.0000	2.5000	Y	Y	Y	
Sulfur Oxides	9.96	2.27	0.6000	1.0000	0.6000	Y	Y	Y	
Carbon Monoxide	664.09	151.62	40.0000	1.0000	40.0000	Y	Y	Y	
Ammonia	78.03	17.82	4.7000	1.0000	4.7000	Y	Y	Y Y	

DEVICE NO: 10355 1 - 2510 MMBTU/hr Turbine Peaking Unit (Unit No. 3)

SOURCE CLASSIFIC	ATION CO	DE SC	C Units	Prmt Annual	Throughput	Ма	ax Hourly	r Through	out	Hours Per Year (if used)
20100201 - Turbine-N	latural Gas	MN	/lcf	197.5800	MMcf	:	2510.000	DO MMBT	U NG	
POLLUTANT	Tons/Yr	Lbs/Hr	Uncntl EF	Cntl Factor	Cntl EF	APE?	HPE?	EF Over	CF Over	Control Device
Reactive Organics	0.75	18.07	7.5600	1.0000	7.5600	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Nitrogen Oxides	45.64	1104.41	462.0000	1.0000	462.0000	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Particulate Matter	2.01	48.53	20.3000	1.0000	20.3000	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Sulfur Oxides	0.06	1.43	0.6000	1.0000	0.6000	Y	Y		Y	
Carbon Monoxide	11.41	276.10	115.5000	1.0000	115.5000	Y	Y	Y	Y	

Equipment and Emissions Summary

Permit Period: 7/1/2014 to 6/30/2015

00013 - REN Man	dalay Gene	rating Stat	ion Pe	ermit Period: 7	/1/2014 to 6	/30/2015	5 S	IC Code 4911 - E	Electricity Generation
DEVICE NO: 10360	1 - 1000 Vault Bala	Gallon AG Ince Syste	T Vault Abov m)	reground Gaso	line Storage	Tank, e	quipped	with VR Phase I (2	-Point System) & Phase II (AGT
SOURCE CLASSIFIC	ATION COI	DE SC	C Units	Prmt Annual	Throughput	Ма	ax Hourly	Throughput	Hours Per Year (if used)
40400102 - Gasoline	AG Tank Br	eath Sq	Rt Gal	31.6227	SqRt Gal		0.003	36 SqRt Gal	Calculate Hourly Using 8760 Hrs/Yr
POLLUTANT	Tons/Yr	Lbs/Hr	Uncntl EF	Cntl Factor	Cntl EF	APE?	HPE?	EF Over CF Over	Control Device
Reactive Organics	0.19	0.04	12.2000	1.0000	12.2000	Y	Y	Y	
40400404 - Gasoline /	AG Tank Lo	adin Mç	gal	6.0000	Mgal		0.750	00 Mgal	
POLLUTANT	Tons/Yr	Lbs/Hr	Uncntl EF	Cntl Factor	Cntl EF	APE?	HPE?	EF Over CF Over	Control Device
Reactive Organics	0.00	0.38	10.0000	0.0500	0.5000	Y	Y	Y	Vapor Recovery (95%)
40400406 - Gasoline -	Tank Unload	ding Mg	gal	6.0000	Mgal		0.050	00 Mgal	
POLLUTANT	Tons/Yr	Lbs/Hr	Uncntl EF	Cntl Factor	Cntl EF	APE?	HPE?	EF Over CF Over	Control Device
Reactive Organics	0.00	0.01	1.0000	0.1000	0.1000	Y	Y	Y	Vapor Recovery (90%)
40600401 - Gasoline '	Vehicle Fillir	ng Mg	gal	6.0000	Mgal		0.050	00 Mgal	
POLLUTANT	Tons/Yr	Lbs/Hr	Uncntl EF	Cntl Factor	Cntl EF	APE?	HPE?	EF Over CF Over	Control Device
Reactive Organics	0.00	0.03	10.0000	0.0500	0.5000	Y	Y	Y	Vapor Recovery (95%)
40600404 - Gasoline I	Filling Spilla	ge Mç	gal	6.0000	Mgal		0.050	00 Mgal	
POLLUTANT	Tons/Yr	Lbs/Hr	Uncntl EF	Cntl Factor	Cntl EF	APE?	HPE?	EF Over CF Over	Control Device
Reactive Organics	0.00	0.04	0.7000	1.0000	0.7000	Y	Y	Υ	
DEVICE NO: 17509	1 - 201 E electricity	HP Gener generatior	rac Diesel-Fir າ	ed Emergency	Standby En	gine, Mo	odel 96A	00728-5, Serial No	. 2025978, for emergency
SOURCE CLASSIFIC	ATION COI	DE SC	C Units	Prmt Annual	Throughput	Ма	ax Hourly	Throughput	Hours Per Year (if used)
20200103 - Diesel ICE	E - g/hp-hr<	1000 BH	IP-g<1000	4020.0000	BHP-d<100	00	20.100	00 BHP-d<1000	Calculate Hourly Using 200 Hrs/Yr
POLLUTANT	Tons/Yr	Lbs/Hr	Uncntl EF	Cntl Factor	Cntl EF	APE?	HPE?	EF Over CF Over	Control Device
Reactive Organics	0.00	0.05	1.0700	1.0000	1.0700	Y	Y	Y	
Nitrogen Oxides	0.07	0.67	15.1000	1.0000	15.1000	Y	Y	Y	
Particulate Matter	0.00	0.05	1.0800	1.0000	1.0800	Y	Y	Y	
Sulfur Oxides	0.00	0.01	2.4200	0.1000	0.2400	Y	Y	Y	Low Sulfur 0.05 fuel
Carbon Monoxide	0.01	0.15	3.2800	1.0000	3.2800	Y	Y	Y	

Equipment and Emissions Summary

00013 - REN Mandalay Generating Station Permit Period: 7/1/2014 to 6/30/2015 SIC Code

SIC Code 4911 - Electricity Generation

DEVICE NO: 17510 1 - 154 BHP Perkins England Diesel-Fired Emergency Standby Engine, Model 1006-GT, Serial No. 97-280426-00.001, used for fire suppression

SOURCE CLASSIFIC	CATION COL	DE S	CC Units	Prmt Annual	Throughput	Ma	ax Hourly	/ Throughput	Hours Per Year (if used)
20200103 - Diesel IC	E - g/hp-hr<	1000 B	HP-g<1000	3080.0000	BHP-d<100	00	15.400	00 BHP-d<1000	Calculate Hourly Using 200 Hrs/Yr
POLLUTANT	Tons/Yr	Lbs/Hr	Uncntl EF	Cntl Factor	Cntl EF	APE?	HPE?	EF Over CF Over	Control Device
Reactive Organics	0.00	0.04	1.0700	1.0000	1.0700	Y	Y	Y	
Nitrogen Oxides	0.05	0.51	15.1000	1.0000	15.1000	Y	Y	Y	
Particulate Matter	0.00	0.04	1.0800	1.0000	1.0800	Y	Y	Y	
Sulfur Oxides	0.00	0.01	2.4200	0.1000	0.2400	Y	Y	Y	Low Sulfur 0.05 fuel
Carbon Monoxide	0.01	0.11	3.2800	1.0000	3.2800	Y	Y	Y	

APPENDIX A-2

VCAPCD ERC EVALUATIONS

ECR Certificate No. 1078

ERC Certificate Analysis

ERC Certificate No. 1078

Issuance Date: September 16, 1992

Project Description:

,

Replacement of six 350 bhp Clark and four 80 bhp Waukesha rich-burn natural gas engines at the South Mountain compressor plant near Santa Paula with electric motors.

Emission Reduction Calculation Summary:

	ROC	NOx
Emission Reduction – Original Calculation	5.91 tpy	7.13 tpy
Emission Reduction – Current Calculation	5.91 tpy	7.13 tpy
EPA Surplus Emission Reduction (ER1)	5.91 tpy	3.59 tpy
District Emission Reduction Credit (ER2)	5.91 tpy	6.44 tpy

Analysis:

Real and Quantifiable – When this ERC Certificate was issued, Rule 74.9 had a 50 ppm NOx emission limit and a 250 ppm ROC emission limit for rich-burn engines. Source test data for the four 80 bhp Waukesha engines and four of the six 350 bhp Clark engines was reviewed. Except for one Waukesha engine, the source testing demonstrated that the engines did not meet the NOx emission limit and that the engines met the ROC emission limit. The one Waukesha engine met the NOx emission limit and did not meet the ROC emission limit.

Except for the one Waukesha engine, the ROC emission reduction was originally calculated using the source test data and actual fuel use data for two years (1989 and 1990) prior to engine replacement. For the two untested Clark engines, average source test data from the four tested Clark engines was used. Except for the one Waukesha engine, the NOx emission reduction was originally calculated using the Rule 74.9 emission limit and actual fuel use data for two years (1989 and 1990) prior to engine replacement.

The original emission reductions for the one Waukesha engine were calculated using the Rule 74.9 ROC emission limit, the source test NOx limit and actual fuel use data for two years (1989 and 1990) prior to engine replacement. The calculated ROC emission reduction exceeded ROC permitted emissions for the engine. The final calculated emission reduction for ROC was reduced to the permitted emissions limit for the engine.

Permanent and Enforceable – Natural gas engines of this size cannot be operated in the District without a Permit to Operate. The Permit to Operate for the ten engines was surrendered when the emission reduction credit certificate was issued.

Current Calculations – The District currently uses the same calculation method for calculating emission reductions from natural gas engines that was originally used.

a george

EPA Surplus Emission Reduction – Rule 74.9 currently has a 25 ppm NOx emission limit and a 250 ppm ROC emission limit for rich-burn engines. The one Waukesha engine met the NOx limit during its original source test. It contribution to the original NOx emission reduction (0.05 tpy) has not been reduced. For the other nine engines, the calculated EPA surplus emission reduction for NOx has been reduced to 50% (25 ppm/50 ppm) of the originally calculated emission reduction. Since the ROC emission limit has not changed, the originally calculated ROC emission reduction does not need to be reduced.

District Emission Reduction Credit – At the time the emission reduction credit was originally issued, the District had a further study measure that anticipated reducing the NOx emission limit for rich-burn engines to 45 ppm. The SCAQMD had a similar tactic and rule. The original emission reduction credit, therefore, contained a condition stating that the emission reduction credit would be reduced to 6.44 tpy of NOx after the effective date of a rule implementing the further study measure. On July 18, 1997, the emission reduction credit was reduced pursuant to this condition.

ECR Certificate No. 1079

ERC Certificate Analysis

ERC Certificate No. 1079

Issuance Date: September 16, 1992

Project Description:

Replacement of two 350 bhp Clark lean-burn natural gas engines and one 330 bhp Ingersoll-Rand rich-burn natural gas engine at the Shiells Canyon gas plant near Fillmore with electric motors. (The electric motors were installed at the Torrey Canyon gas plant near Piru.)

Emission Reduction Calculation Summary:

	ROC	NOx
Emission Reduction – Original Calculation	6.08 tpy	5.67 tpy
Emission Reduction – Current Calculation	6.08 tpy	5.67 tpy
EPA Surplus Emission Reduction (ER1)	6.08 tpy	2.14 tpy
District Emission Reduction Credit (ER2)	6.08 tpy	2.14 tpy

Analysis:

Real and Quantifiable – When this ERC Certificate was issued, Rule 74.9 had a 125 ppm NOx emission limit and a 750 ppm ROC emission limit for lean-burn engines. Source testing of the two 350 bhp Clark engines demonstrated that the engines did not meet these limits. The emission reduction for the two engines was, therefore, originally calculated using the Rule 74.9 emission limits and actual fuel use data for two years (1989 and 1990) prior to engine replacement. The calculated ROC emission reduction exceeded ROC permitted emissions for the two engines. The final calculated emission reduction for ROC was reduced to the permitted emissions limit for the engines.

When this ERC Certificate was issued, Rule 74.9 had a 50 ppm NOx emission limit and a 250 ppm ROC emission limit for rich-burn engines. Source testing of the 330 bhp Ingersoll-Rand engine demonstrated that the engine met these limits. The emission reduction for the engine was originally calculated using the source test data and actual fuel use data for two years (1989 and 1990) prior to engine replacement.

Permanent and Enforceable – Natural gas engines of this size cannot be operated in the District without a Permit to Operate. The Permit to Operate for the three engines was surrendered when the emission reduction credit certificate was issued.

Current Calculations – The District currently uses the same calculation method for calculating emission reductions from natural gas engines that was originally used.

EPA Surplus Emission Reduction – Rule 74.9 currently has a 45 ppm NOx emission limit and a 750 ppm ROC emission limit for lean-burn engines. For the two lean-burn engines, the calculated EPA surplus emission reduction for NOx has been reduced to 36% (45

ppm/125 ppm) of the originally calculated emission reduction. Since the ROC emission limit has not changed, the originally calculated ROC emission reduction does not need to be reduced.

Rule 74.9 currently has a 25 ppm NOx emission limit and a 250 ppm ROC emission limit for rich-burn engines. The source test from original application demonstrated that the rich-burn engine met these limits. Its contribution to the original ROC emission reduction (0.08 tpy) and to the original NOx emission reduction (0.16 tpy) has not been reduced.

District Emission Reduction Credit – At the time the emission reduction credit was originally issued, the District had a further study measure that anticipated reducing the NOx emission limit for lean-burn engines to 45 ppm. The SCAQMD had a similar tactic and rule. The original emission reduction credit, therefore, contained a condition stating that the emission reduction credit would be reduced to 2.14 tpy of NOx after the effective date of a rule implementing the further study measure. On July 18, 1997, the emission reduction credit was reduced pursuant to this condition.

ECR Certificate No. 1080

ERC Certificate Analysis

ERC Certificate No. 1080

Project Description:

A 150 BHP Clark natural gas-fired lean-burn compressor engine was shut down and removed from the Bardsdale Compressor Plant (former VCAPCD Permit to Operate No. 00055). The function of the compressor engine was replaced by an electric motor-driven compressor.

Emission Reduction Calculation Summary:

	ROC	NOx
Emission Reduction – Original Calculation	0.95 tpy	0.57 tpy
Emission Reduction – Current Calculation	0.95 tpy	0.57 tpy
EPA Surplus Emission Reduction (ER1)	0.95 tpy	0.57 tpy
District Emission Reduction Credit (ER2)	0.95 tpy	0.57 tpy

Analysis:

Real and Quantifiable – This ERC was originally issued with the caveat that the NOx emission reduction would be reduced to the future NOx limit of Rule 74.9, "Stationary Internal Combustion Engines", of 45 ppm for lean-burn engines. The original NOx emission reduction of 0.57 tpy above reflects the Rule 74.9 limit of 45 ppm NOx. When this ERC Certificate was issued, Rule 74.9 had a 750 ppm ROC emission limit for leanburn engines which would have resulted in an ROC emission reduction of 8.85 tpy ROC. The emission reduction of 0.95 tpy above is significantly less than 750 ppm ROC as it was limited to the engine's permitted emissions pursuant to Rule 26.6.C. Actual source test data for ROC and NOx showed numbers well above these Rule 74.9 limits.

Actual fuel usage data for calendar year 1989 was used to determine actual emissions pursuant to Rule 26.6.C.

Permanent and Enforceable – Natural gas engines of this size cannot be operated in the District without a Permit to Operate. The Permit to Operate for the engine was surrendered.

Current Calculations – The District currently uses the same calculation method for calculating emission reductions from natural gas engines that was originally used.

EPA Surplus Emission Reduction – Rule 74.9 currently has a 45 ppm NOx emission limit and a 750 ppm ROC emission limit for lean-burn engines. For this engine, the emissions used to calculate the ERC were in compliance with these NOx and ROC limits.

District Emission Reduction Credit – When the emission reduction was issued, the District did not require the emission reduction to be discounted.

ECR Certificate No. 1083

.

EMISSION REDUCTION CREDIT SUMMARY Unocal Bridge Compressor Plant Santa Paula, CA

Application No. 0315-121 Date Application Deemed Complete: July 15, 1991 ERC Granted To: Southern California Edison Co. Date Engine Removed From Service: January 24, 1991

The following Emission Reduction Credits (ERC's) resulted from the removal an I.C. compressor engine. The work done by this compressor engine is now being done by an electric powered compressor at Texaco's South Mountain Compressor Plant. The District views this removal as a replacement of the Texaco Bridge compressor engine with an electric motor at the Texaco facility. APCD Rule 74.9 requires the following emission limits: ROC emissions from rich-burn engines shall not exceed 250 ppmv corrected to 15% oxygen. NOx emissions from rich-burn engines shall not exceed 50 ppmv corrected to 15% oxygen. Therefore, the ERC is for compliance beyond the requirements of APCD Rule 74.9.

ERC emission factors for ROC and NOx are either Rule 74.9 limits or actual emission factors if less than Rule 74.9 limits. Emissions for SOx are calculated using the emission factor from AP-42 of 0.60 lbs/MMCF. Emissions for PM are calculated using the AP-42 emission factor of 10 lbs/MMCF. In addition, APCD rules require that ERC's do not exceed Permitted Emissions.

Engine Make: I.R. Model:<u>8-SVG B</u> Serial No.:<u>8C5805</u> H.P.: 440 Fuel:natural gas, rich-burn

APCD Rule 74.9:In compliance, prior to removal

Engine Use:Compressor Engine at Bridge Compressor Plant

Source Test Date / Company: 02-06-89 BTC Environmental Inc.

Actual Fuel Use: 16.7 MMCF/Yr Permitted: 31.7 MMCF/Yr

Permitted Emissions: (Tons/Yr) Emission Factor: (lbs/MMCF)

ROC_	0.19	ROC 23.74 (Source Test)	
NOX	1.70	NOx 103.6 (Source test)	_
PM	0.16	PM 10.00 (AP-42)	_
SOx_	0.01	SOx 0.60 (AP-42)	_

Emission Reduction Credits: (Tons/Yr)

ROC	0.19	(ERC limited	l by Permitted Emissions)
NSx	0.87	(Actual Fue	L Use x Emission Factor)	
PM	0.08	(Actual Fue	L Use x Emission Factor)	
SOx	0.01	(Actual Fue	l Use x Emission Factor)	

CG0315

May 12, 1992

ERC NO. 1083
Permit Number: 0315

PERMITTED EMISSION CALCULATION SHEET Internal Combustion-Reciprocatins Natural Gas (Source Test Data)

PERMIT ITEM(S): Caracity 440.00 BHP FUEL USE INFORMATION: Baseline Annual Permitted Annual Permitted Hourly Natural Gas 16.7 MMcf 16.7 MMcf 2.0 Mcf **EMISSION FACTORS:** ROC NO× FM 103.6 10.0 S02 786 C0 Units 23.74 98.9 0.6 1813.9 lbs/MMcf PERMITTED EMISSIONS: ROC NO× FM S02 00 Tons per Year: 0.20 0.87 0.08 0.01 15.18 Pounds per Hour: 0.05 0.21 0.02 0.00 3.68 NOTES: 111 Source(s) for emission factors: TOC, NOx, CO: Derived from Source Test Data PM, SO2: Derived from AP-42 Factors (2)TOC factor derived using the following reactivity value: Natural Gas: ROC = 0.240 * TOC (Source: EPA Data) Source test information: (3)ENgine Shut down on JAN 24, 199 Fuel use (per engine) was 33.84 scfm. 1990 fuelux onto used The expansion factor was 8.191. For Enc calculation The ROC average molecular weight was 31.6. Fuel use Bred on letter The measured horsepower was unknown. DAted 3/22/91 FROM UNDER (4)Emissions in ppm (at 15% 02): to M. Eckenhode VCAPCD NO× 30 ppm (source test) ROC 10 ppm (source test) 1990 Process parte Informatic C0 863 ppm (source test) (5)Annual hours of operation estimated to be 8244.7 hours. 16,709000 500 Using a thermal efficiency of 10000 Btu/BHP-Hr, the 33.84 SCH (Gonin HA (6)hourly fuel use would be 4.2 Mcf. 8244.7 HRS Date Form Prepared: 30-APR-92 Initials: CG

Page ____ of ____

. . .

EMCALC 2/89

EMISSION REDUCTION CREDIT SUMMARY Unocal Acorn Lease Fillmore, CA

Application No. 0984 -17(Date Application Deemed Complete: 08-08-91 ERC Granted To: Southern California Edison Co. Date Engine Removed From Service: 02-05-91

The following Emission Reduction Credits (ERC's) resulted from the replacement of an I.C. engine with an electric motor. APCD Rule 74.9 requires the following emission limits: ROC emissions from rich-burn engines shall not exceed 250 ppmv corrected to 15% NOx emissions from rich-burn engines shall not exceed 50 oxygen. ppmv corrected to 15% oxygen. Therefore, the ERC is for compliance beyond the requirements of APCD Rule 74.9.

ERC emission factors for ROC and NOx are either Rule 74.9 limits or actual emission factors if less than Rule 74.9 limits. Emissions for SOx are calculated using the emission factor from AP-42 of 0.60 lbs/MMCF. Emissions for PM are calculated using the AP-42 emission factor of 10 lbs/MMCF. In addition, APCD rules require that ERC's do not exceed Permitted Emissions.

Engine	Make:	Le F	Roi	Mode	el: <u>A-114</u>	Seri	ial 1	No.		
	Н.Р.:	12		Fuel	l:natural	gas,	lea	n-b	urn	
	APCD	Rule	74.9:	In	Compliand	ce pri	lor ·	to	electrification	

Engine Use: Oil Well Rod Pump Prime Mover

Source Test Date / Company: 1-22,25-91 Petro Chem Environmental

Actual Fuel Use: 0.59 MMCF/Yr Permitted:0.61 MMCF/Yr

Permitted Emissions: (Tons/Yr) Emission Factor: (lbs/MMCF)

ROC	0.45	ROC10	01.90	(Source	Test)
NOx	0.02	NOx 3	61.10	(Source	Test))
PM	<0.01	PM	10.00	(AP-42)	
SOx	<0.01	SOx	0.60	(AP-42)	

Emission Reduction Credits: (Tons/Yr)

ROC 0.31	(Actual	Fuel	Use	х	Emission	Factor)
NOx 0.01	Actual	Fuel	Use	х	Emission	Factor)
PM <0.01	(Actual	Fuel	Use	х	Emission	Factor)
SOx<0.01	(Actual	Fuel	Use	х	Emission	Factor)

CGSCE

May 1, 1992

ERC NO. 1084

Permit Number: 0984

.

PERMITTED EMISSION CALCULATION SHEET Internal Combustion-Reciprocatins Natural Gas (Source Test Data)

PERMIT ITEM(S): 1 - Le Roi A-114.	* * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * *	* * * 1	Capacity 2.00 BHP	
FUEL USE INFORMATIO	N :					
Natural Gas	Baseline Ann 0.6 MMc	ual Pern f	nitted (0.6	Annual MMcf	Permitt	ed Hourly 0₊2 Mcf
EMISSION FACTORS:						
	TOC 9586.1	N0× 23+0	FM 10.0	SO2 0.6	CO 806.5	Units lbs/MMcf
PERMITTED EMISSIONS	÷ *					
Y	ROC	NOX	FI	1	S02	C0
lons per fear: Pounds per Hourt	0.70	0.00	0	+00 .00	0.00	0.15
	V ♦ "¥ A.	VVV	v		V+VV	V * 1 U
NOTES:						
 (1) Source(s) for a TOC, NOX, PM, SD2: (2) TOC factor der Natural G. (Sou (3) Source test in Fuel use The expan The expan The expan to the test of the expan to the test of test of	emission facto CO: Derived Derived from ived using the as: ROC = 0.2 rce: EPA Data formation: (per ensine) w sion factor wa	rs: from Sour AP-42 Fac followig 40 * TOC) as 3.06 s 5 9.11.	rce Tes ctors as reac scfm.	t Data tivity	value:	
The measu	red horserower	was unki	10WD+			
(4) Emissions in P NO× . ROC 1,7: CO 3	pm (at 15% 02) 6 ppm (source 22 ppm (source 45 ppm (source	: test) test) test)				
(5) Annual hours o	f operation es	timated t	to be 33	333.33	hours.	
(6) Using a therma hourly fuel us	l efficiency o e would be 0.	f 10000 1 Mcf.	Btu∕BHP∙	-Hr, th	e	

Date Form Presared: 07-MAY-92

Initials: CG

Pase ____ of ____

а<u>.</u> . .

EMCALC 2/89

Permit Number: 0984

PERMITTED EMISSION CALCULATION SHEET Internal Combustion-Reciprocatins Natural Gas (Source Test Data)

PERM 1	IT ITEM(S): - LeRoi Acori	n 8			* * * * * * *	**** 1	Capacity 2.00 BHP	
FUEL	USE INFORMA	TION:		u				, , ,
Natu	ral Gas	Base	line Anr 0.6 MMc	nual Per Sf	mitted 0.6	Annual MMcf	+ermitt	ed Houris 0.2 Mcf
EMIS	SION FACTORS	1001.854	ROC 780 -	NO× 23.0	РМ 10.0	502 0.6	CO 806.5	Units 1bs∕MMcf
PERM	ITTED EMISSI	ONS:						
Tons Poun	per Year: ds per Hour:		ROC 0.31 0.18	NO× 0.01 0.00	, ;	FM 0.00 0.00	SO2 0.00 0.00	CO 0.25 0.15
NOTE	s:							
(1)	Source(s) fo TOC; NO PM; SO2	or emissi Dx, CO: 2: Deriv	on facto Derived ed from	ors: from Sou AP-42 Fa	irce Te ctors	st Data		
(2)	TOC factor (Natura) ()	derived u 1 Gas: R Source:	sing the DC = 0.2 EPA Data	≥ followi 240 * TOC s)	ns rea	ctivity	value:	
(3)	Source test Fuel us The ex The RO(The me	informat se (per e pansion f C average asured ho	ion: nsine) w `actor wa molecul prsepower	Jas 3.06 ss 9.11. lar weish r was unk	scfm. nt was nown.	16.0.		
(4)	Emissions in NO× ROC CO	n ppm (at 6 ppm 750 ppm 345 ppm	15% 02) (source (rermit (source	(: e test) : limit) e test)				
(5)	Annual hours	s of oper	ation es	stimated	to be	3338 hou	rs.	
(6)	Usins a the hourly fuel	rmal effi use woul	ciency d d be 0,	of 10000 1 Mcf.	Btu∕BH	P-Hr, th	æ	

Date Form Prepared: 01-MAY-92

Initials: CG

Pase ____ of ____

EMCALC 2/89

Emission Reduction. Credit Summary:

Application No. 0050-121

The Emission Reduction Credits associated with this application resulted from the replacement of three (3) 15 hp rod pump I.C. Engines and one (1) 45 hp I.C. Engine with electric motors.

ERC NO. 1085

Engine	ROC	NOx	PM	SOx
E15-898	0.68	0.01	<0.01	<0.01
E15-910	0.34	0.20	<0.01	<0.01
E15-929	0.54	0.23	<0.01	<0.01
UCUB2317	0.16	0.12	<1.01	<0.01
Total:	1.72	0.56	0.00	0.00

EMISSION REDUCTION CREDIT SUMMARY T.B. Properties Burson & Elkins Leases Fillmore, CA

Application No.0050-121

Date Application Deemed Complete: 08-12-91 ERC Granted To: Southern California Edison Co. Date Engine Removed From Service: 04-11-91

The following Emission Reduction Credits (ERC's) resulted from the replacement of an I.C. engine with an electric motor. APCD Rule 74.9 requires the following emission limits: ROC emissions from rich-burn engines shall not exceed 250 ppmv corrected to 15% oxygen. NOx emissions from rich-burn engines shall not exceed 50 ppmv corrected to 15% oxygen. Therefore, the ERC is for compliance beyond the requirements of APCD Rule 74.9.

ERC emission factors for ROC and NOx are either Rule 74.9 limits or actual emission factors if less than Rule 74.9 limits. Emissions for SOx are calculated using the emission factor from AP-42 of 0.60 lbs/MMCF. Emissions for PM are calculated using the AP-42 emission factor of 10 lbs/MMCF. In addition, APCD rules require that ERC's do not exceed Permitted Emissions.

Engine Make: Intl Har Model:U1 Serial No.:UCUB2317 H.P.: 45 Fuel:natural gas, rich-burn APCD Rule 74.9:Not in compliance prior to electrification

 Engine Use:
 Oilwell rod pump prime mover

 Source Test Date / Company:
 11-04-90 CARNOT

 Actual Fuel Use:
 0.03 MMCF/Yr
 Permitted:
 N/A MMCF/Yr

 Permitted Emissions:
 (Tons/Yr)
 Emission Factor:
 (lbs/MMCF)

 ROC
 Exempt
 ROC1261.7
 (Source Test)

 Nor
 Event
 Nor
 197.2

NOx	Exempt	NOx	187.2	(Source Test)	
PM	Exempt	PM	10.00	(AP-42)	
SOx	Exempt	SOx_	0.60	(AP-42)	

Emission Reduction Credits: (Tons/Yr)

CGSCE

May 8, 1992

Permit Number: 0050

PERMITTED EMISSION CALCULATION SHEET Internal Combustion-Reciprocating Natural Gas (Source Test Data)

PERMIT ITEM(S): Caracity FUEL USE INFORMATION: Baseline Annual Fermitted Annual Fermitted Hourly Natural Gas 0.3 MMcf 0.3 MMcf 0.0 Mcf EMISSION FACTORS: NOx PM SO2 CO Units 947.1 10.0 0.6 2342.4 lbs/MMcf тос 5257.1 RUC=1261.7 PERMITTED EMISSIONS: ROC NO× ΡM S02 C 0 0.160.120.000.000.300.060.050.000.000.11 Tons per Year: Pounds per Hour: 0.30

NOTES:

1 · · · · · ·

- (1) Source(s) for emission factors: TOC, NOx, CO: Derived from Source Test Data PM, SO2: Derived from AP-42 Factors
- (2) TOC factor derived using the following reactivity value: Natural Gas: ROC = 0.240 * TOC (Source: EPA Data)
- (3) Source test information: Fuel use (per ensine) was .801 scfm. The expansion factor was 8.88. The ROC average molecular weight was 16.0. The measured horsepower was unknown.
- (4) Emissions in ppm (at 15% 02): NOx 253 ppm (source test) ROC 969 ppm (source test) CO 1:028 ppm (source test)
- (5) Annual hours of operation estimated to be 5376 hours.
- (6) Using a thermal efficiency of 10000 Btu/BHP-Hr, the hourly fuel use would be 0.4 Mcf.

Date Form Prepared: 08-MAY-92

Initials: CG

Pase ____ of ____

EMCALC 2/89

EMISSION REDUCTION CREDIT SUMMARY T.B. Properties Burson & Elkins Leases Fillmore, CA

Application No.0050-121

• '

Date Application Deemed Complete: 08-12-91 ERC Granted To: Southern California Edison Co. Date Engine Removed From Service: April 11, 1991

The following Emission Reduction Credits (ERC's) resulted from the replacement of an I.C. engine with an electric motor. APCD Rule 74.9 requires the following emission limits: ROC emissions from rich-burn engines shall not exceed 250 ppmv corrected to 15% oxygen. NOx emissions from rich-burn engines shall not exceed 50 ppmv corrected to 15% oxygen. Therefore, the ERC is for compliance beyond the requirements of APCD Rule 74.9.

ERC emission factors for ROC and NOx are either Rule 74.9 limits or actual emission factors if less than Rule 74.9 limits. Emissions for SOx are calculated using the emission factor from AP-42 of 0.60 lbs/MMCF. Emissions for PM are calculated using the AP-42 emission factor of 10 lbs/MMCF. In addition, APCD rules require that ERC's do not exceed Permitted Emissions.

Engine	Make:	nown	Model: <u>C108</u>			Ser	Serial No.: <u>E15-929</u>				
-	H.P.:	15		Fuel	:na	atural	gas,	rich-}	ouri	1	
	APCD I	Rule	74.9	:Not	in	compl	iance	prior	to	electri	fication

Engine Use:Oilwell rod pump prime mover

Source Test Date / Company: 11-30-90 Petro Chem Environmental

Actual Fuel Use: 0.40 MMCF/Yr Permitted: N/A MMCF/Yr

Permitted Emissions: (Tons/Yr)

ROC	2781.1	(Source	Test)	
NOx	1194.2	(Source	Test)	-
PM	10.00	(AP-42)		-

SOx 0.60 (AP-42)

Emission Factor: (lbs/MMCF)

Emission Reduction Credits: (Tons/Yr)

ROC 0.54	(Actual	Fuel	Use	х	Emission	factor)
NOx 0.23	(Actual	Fuel	Use	х	Emission	factor)
PM <0.01	(Actual	Fuel	Use	х	Emission	factor)
SOx<0.01	(Actual	Fuel	Use	х	Emission	factor)

CGSCE

ROC Exempt NOx Exempt PM Exempt SOx Exempt

May 12, 1992

Permit Number: 0050

PERMITTED EMISSION CALCULATION SHEET Internal Combustion-Reciprocating Natural Gas (Source Test Data)

PERMI 1 -	(T_ITEM(S): - Bardsdale // 929	* * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * *	* * * *	Capacity 1.00 BHP		
FUEL	USE INFORMATION:	Pacalico Ar	Daw	nittad	Accusi	Connitt	od Woumlu	
Natu	ral Gas	0.4 M	icf	0.4	MMcf	r er mix o te	0.0 Mcf	
EMISS	SION FACTORS:	TOC 11588.5	NO× 1194.2	FM 10₊0	S02 0.6	CO 492.2	Units lbs/MMcf	
PERMI	ITTED EMISSIONS:	50 0	አቶሮን እራ		D M	000	C D	
Tons Pound	per Year: is per Hour:	0.54 0.13	0.23 0.05	4	0.00	0.00 0.00	0.10 0.02	
NOTES	3:							
(1)	Source(s) for em TOC; NO×; C PM; SO2; Bu	ission fact D: Derived erived from	ors: i from Sou i AF-42 Fa	rce Te ctors	st Data		x	
(2)	TOC factor deriv Natural Gas (Source	ed using t; : ROC = 0. e: EPA Dat	e followi 240 * TOC a)	ns rea	ctivity	value:		
(3)	Source test info Fuel use (re The expansi The ROC ave The measure	rmation: er ensine) on factor w rase molecu d horsepowe	was .754 was 8.88. War weish ar was unk	scfm. t was : nown.	16.0.			
(4)	Emissions in PPM NO× 319 ROC 2,136 CO 216	(at 15% O2 PPM (sourc PPM (sourc PPM (sourc): e test) e test) e test)	J NO	Source Factor ENSING	Test - U From Other	bed Lowest Emiss an 2 15 HP	<u>,</u> ,
(5)	Annual hours of (preration e	stimated ·	to be {	3616 hou	175 .		
(6)	Usins a thermal hourly fuel use (efficiency √ould be ()	of 10000 .0 Mcf.	Btu∕BH	P−Hr, tł	1 @		
Nate	Form Presared:	12-MAY-92				Initial	s: CG	

Pase ____ of ____

, · · · ·

EMCALC 2/89

EMISSION REDUCTION CREDIT SUMMARY T.B. Properties Burson & Elkins Leases Fillmore, CA

Application No.0050-121

Date Application Deemed Complete: 08-12-91 ERC Granted To: Southern California Edison Co. Date Engine Removed From Service: April 11, 1991

The following Emission Reduction Credits (ERC's) resulted from the replacement of an I.C. engine with an electric motor. APCD Rule 74.9 requires the following emission limits: ROC emissions from rich-burn engines shall not exceed 250 ppmv corrected to 15% oxygen. NOx emissions from rich-burn engines shall not exceed 50 ppmv corrected to 15% oxygen. Therefore, the ERC is for compliance beyond the requirements of APCD Rule 74.9.

ERC emission factors for ROC and NOx are either Rule 74.9 limits or actual emission factors if less than Rule 74.9 limits. Emissions for SOx are calculated using the emission factor from AP-42 of 0.60 lbs/MMCF. Emissions for PM are calculated using the AP-42 emission factor of 10 lbs/MMCF. In addition, APCD rules require that ERC's do not exceed Permitted Emissions.

Engine Make: <u>Intl Der</u> Model:<u>E15RC</u> Serial No.:<u>E15-910</u> H.P.: <u>15</u> Fuel:<u>natural gas, rich-burn</u> APCD Rule 74.9:Not in compliance prior to electrification

Engine Use:Oilwell rod pump prime mover

Source Test Date / Company: 11-30-90 Petro Chem Environmental

Actual Fuel Use: 0.20 MMCF/Yr Permitted: N/A MMCF/Yr

Permitted Emissions: (Tons/Yr)

ROC2781.	l (74.9 limit)
NOx1650.	9 (74.9 limit)
PM 10.0) (AP-42)
SOx 0.6	(AP-42)

Emission Factor: (lbs/MMCF)

Emission Reduction Credits: (Tons/Yr)

ROC 0.34	(Actual	Fuel	Use	х	Emission	factor)
NOx 0.20	(Actual	Fuel	Use	х	Emission	factor)
PM <0.01	(Actual	Fuel	Use	х	Emission	factor)
SOx<0.01	(Actual	Fuel	Use	х	Emission	factor)

CGSCE

ROC Exempt NOx Exempt PM Exempt SOx Exempt

May 8, 1992

Permit Number: 0050

PERMITTED EMISSION CALCULATION SHEET Internal Combustion-Reciprocating Natural Gas (Source Test Data)

PERMIT ITEM(S): 1 - Bardsdale 2 E	15-910	* * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * *	• • • • 1	Caracity 5.00 BHP	
FUEL USE INFORMATIC)N :					
	Baseline An	nusl Per	mitted	Annual	Permitt	ed Hourly
Natural Gas	0.2 MM	cf	0.2 MMcf		0.0 Mcf	
EMISSION FACTORS:						
	TOC	NO×	FΜ	S02	CO	Units
	11588.5	1650.9	10.0	0+6	6904.3	lbs/MMcf
	206=2781.1					
PERMITTED EMISSIONS	3 4					
	ROC	NO×		ΡM	S02	со
Tons per Year:	0.34	0.20)	0.00	0.00	0.85
Pounds per Hour:	0.08	0.05	i	0.00	0.00	0.20
NOTES:					¢	

- (1) Source(s) for emission factors: TOC, NOx, CO: Derived from Source Test Data PM, SO2: Derived from AP-42 Factors
- (2) TOC factor derived using the following reactivity value: Natural Gas: ROC = 0.240 * TOC (Source: EPA Data)
- (3) Source test information: Fuel use (per ensine) was .473 scfm. The expansion factor was 8.88. The ROC average molecular weight was 16.0. The measured horsepower was unknown.
- (5) Annual hours of operation estimated to be 8712 hours.
- (6) Using a thermal efficiency of 10000 Btu/BHP-Hr, the hourly fuel use would be 0.1 Mcf.

Date Form Prepared: 08-MAY-92

Initials: CG

Pase ____ of ____

· · · · · · · ·

EMCALC 2/89

EMISSION REDUCTION CREDIT SUMMARY T.B. Properties Burson & Elkins Leases Fillmore, CA

Application No.0050-121

- (-)

Date Application Deemed Complete: 08-12-91 ERC Granted To: Southern California Edison Co. Date Engine Removed From Service: April 11, 1991

The following Emission Reduction Credits (ERC's) resulted from the replacement of an I.C. engine with an electric motor. APCD Rule 74.9 requires the following emission limits: ROC emissions from rich-burn engines shall not exceed 250 ppmv corrected to 15% NOx emissions from rich-burn engines shall not exceed 50 oxygen. ppmv corrected to 15% oxygen. Therefore, the ERC is for compliance beyond the requirements of APCD Rule 74.9.

ERC emission factors for ROC and NOx are either Rule 74.9 limits or actual emission factors if less than Rule 74.9 limits. Emissions for SOx are calculated using the emission factor from AP-42 of 0.60 lbs/MMCF. Emissions for PM are calculated using the AP-42 emission factor of 10 lbs/MMCF. In addition, APCD rules require that ERC's do not exceed Permitted Emissions.

Engine Make: USS Oil Model:E15RC Serial No.:E15-898 Fuel:natural gas, rich-burn H.P.: 15 APCD Rule 74.9:Not in compliance prior to electrification

Engine Use:Oilwell rod pump prime mover

Source Test Date / Company: 11-30-90 Petro Chem Environmental

Actual Fuel Use: 0.30 MMCF/Yr Permitted: N/A MMCF/Yr

Permitted Emissions: (Tons/Yr) Emission Factor: (lbs/MMCF)

ROC	Exempt	ROC5365.7	(74.9 limit)
NOx	Exempt	NOx 86.1	(74.9 limit)
PM [Exempt	PM 10.00	(AP-42)
SOx	Exempt	SOx 0.60	(AP-42)

Emission Reduction Credits: (Tons/Yr)

ROC	0.68	(Actual	Fuel	Use	х	Emission	factor)
NOx	0.01	(Actual	Fuel	Use	х	Emission	factor)
PM	<0.01	(Actual	Fuel	Use	х	Emission	factor)
SOx	<0.01	(Actual	Fuel	Use	х	Emission	factor)

CGSCE

May 8, 1992

Permit Number: 0050

PERMITTED EMISSION CALCULATION SHEET Internal Combustion-Reciprocatins Natural Gas (Source Test Data)

PERMIT ITEM(S): Caracity 1 - Elkins Z..... 15.00 BHP FUEL USE INFORMATION: Baseline Annual Permitted Annual Permitted Hourly Natural Gas 0.3 MMcf 0.3 MMcf 0.0 Mcf EMISSION FACTORS: NO× PM SO2 86.1 10.0 0.6 TOC CO Units 22357.7 0.6 658.5 1bs/MMcf Roce \$365.7 PERMITTED EMISSIONS: ΡM NO× 502 ROC 03 Tons per Year: 0.68 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.08 0.00 0.00 0.00 Pounds per Hour: 0.20 0.02

NOTES:

- (1) Source(s) for emission factors: TOC, NO×, CO: Derived from Source Test Data PM, SO2: Derived from AP-42 Factors
- (2) TOC factor derived using the following reactivity value: Natural Gas: ROC = 0.240 * TOC (Source: EPA Data)
- (3) Source test information: Fuel use (per ensine) was .616 scfm. The expansion factor was 8.88. The ROC average molecular weight was 16.0. The measured horsepower was unknown.
- (4) Emissions in ppm (at 15% O2): NOx 23 ppm (source test) ROC 4,121 ppm (source test) CO 289 ppm (source test)

.(5) Annual hours of operation estimated to be 6840 hours,

(6) Using a thermal efficiency of 10000 Btu/BHP-Hr, the hourly fuel use would be 0.1 Mcf.

Date Form Prepared: 08-MAY-92

Initials: CG

Pase ____ of ____

EMCALC 2/89

April 11, 1991

1991 APR -9 AM 10: 06

Mr. Karl Krause County of Ventura Air Pollution Control District Government Center 800 S. Victoria Ave. Ventura, CA 93009

SUBJECT: ENGINE REPLACEMENT PURSUANT TO SCE/VCAPCD MERGER MITIGATION AGREEMENT

Dear Mr. Krause:

This letter is to notify you that the following engines have been removed from service and replaced with electric motors at the Bardsdale and Elkins leases, South of Elkins Golf Course, Fillmore, CA.

Engine Type	Engine HP	Engine MFG	Engine Ser #	Engine Permit#
Process Gas	15	Oil Well	E15-929	Exempt
Process Gas	15	Oil Well	E15-898	Exempt
Process Gas	15	Oil Well	E15-910	Exempt
Process Gas	45	International	UCUB2317	Exempt

All engines listed above will have been permanently disabled and will be sold for scrap and/or spare parts.

These engines are being replaced under Southern California Edison Company's "Electric Motor Program," therefore, please transfer to SCE the emission reduction credits pursuant to the SCE/VCAPCD Merger Mitigation Agreement signed June 19, 1990.

Sincerely.

Warren W. Thompson 606 Sespe Ave #106 Fillmore, CA 93015

c:

Ted Gold, SCE P. O. Box 4757 Ventura, CA 93007

ERC Certificate Analysis

ERC Certificate No. 1091

Issuance Date: May 19, 1993

Project Description:

Two natural gas-fired lean-burn compressor engines were shut down and removed from the former Texaco Gas Plant 7 in the Ventura Avenue Oil Field (former VCAPCD Permit to Operate No. 00020). The function of the compressor engines was replaced by electric motor-driven compressors.

660 BHP Cooper-Bessemer GMV-6, no add-on controls for NOx 800 BHP Cooper-Bessemer GMV-8, with SCR control system for NOx

Emission Reduction Calculation Summary:

	ROC	NOx
Emission Reduction – Original Calculation	22.98 tpy	7.21 tpy
Emission Reduction – Current Calculation	22.98 tpy	7.21 tpy
EPA Surplus Emission Reduction (ER1)	22.98 tpy	7.21 tpy
District Emission Reduction Credit (ER2)	20.68 tpy	6.49 tpy

Analysis:

Real and Quantifiable – This ERC was originally issued with the caveat that the NOx emission reduction would be reduced to the South Coast AQMD Rule 1110.2 NOx limit of 36 ppm for leanburn engines greater than or equal to 500 BHP. The original NOx emission reduction of 7.21 tpy above reflects the Rule 1110.2 limit of 36 ppm NOx. Rule 26.4.D.1 requires this reduction as South Coast AQMD Rule 1110.2 was considered to be a "tactic" when the ERC was issued. When this ERC was issued, Rule 74.9 had a 750 ppm ROC emission limit for leanburn engines, and Rule 1110.2 had a 250 ppm ROC emission limit for leanburn engines, which would have resulted in an ROC emission reduction of 69.45 tpy ROC and 28.95 tpy ROC, respectively. The emission reduction of 22.98 tpy ROC above is less than 250 ppm ROC as it was limited to the engine's permitted emissions pursuant to Rule 26.6.C. Actual source test data for ROC and NOx showed numbers above the Rule 74.9 ROC limit of 750 ppm and the Rule 1110.2 limit of 250 ppm. Actual fuel usage data for calendar year 1989, and process rate information for 1990, was used to determine actual emissions pursuant to Rule 26.6.C.

Permanent and Enforceable – Natural gas engines of this size cannot be operated in the District without a Permit to Operate. The Permit to Operate for the engines was surrendered.

Current Calculations – The District currently uses the same calculation method for calculating emission reductions from natural gas engines that was originally used.

EPA Surplus Emission Reduction – Rule 74.9 currently has a 45 ppm NOx emission limit and a 750 ppm ROC emission limit for lean-burn engines. For this engine, the emissions used to calculate the ERC were in compliance with these NOx and ROC limits.

District Emission Reduction Credit – Pursuant to Rule 26.4.C.2, the original emission reduction was discounted by 10% when the ERC was issued.

ERC Certificate Analysis

ERC Certificate No. 1092

Issuance Date: May 19, 1993

Project Description:

 $\chi = \frac{p}{k} = \frac{p}{k}$

Replacement of eleven 200 bhp Waukesha rich-burn natural gas engines used to pump irrigation water within the Pleasant Valley Water District with electric motors.

Emission Reduction Calculation Summary:

Γ	ROC	NOx
Emission Reduction – Original Calculation	3.49 tpy	123.47 tpy
Emission Reduction – Current Calculation	3.49 tpy	123.47 tpy
EPA Surplus Emission Reduction (ER1)	3.49 tpy	4.08 tpy
District Emission Reduction Credit (ER2)	3.14 tpy	111.12 tpy

Analysis:

Real and Quantifiable – Pursuant to the emission reduction calculation method in Rule 26.6.E.1, the emission reduction for the eleven 200 bhp Waukesha engines was originally calculated using source test data for the engines and estimated actual fuel use data for two years prior to engine replacement. Information on actual fuel use and total acre-feet of water pumped was available for one year (1989). Information on total acre-feet of water pumped was available for a second year (1990). This data was combined to estimate the actual fuel use for 1990. (Note: 16,816 acre-feet was pumped in 1989 and 15,946 acre-feet was pumped in 1990.)

Permanent and Enforceable – Engines used for driving irrigation pumps were not required to obtain a Permit to Operate in the District (former exemption of Rule 23.D.5) when the ERC Certificate was granted. Prior to issuing the original ERC Certificate, the District inspected each of the well sites where these engines had been located and verified that the engines had been replaced with electric motors. As indicated below, although these engines were not required to have a Permit to Operate, they would still be subject to the current version of Rule 74.9.

Current Calculations – The District currently uses the same calculation method for calculating emission reductions from natural gas engines that was originally used. The method of estimated actual fuel use based on one year of actual fuel use and two years of actual acre-feet of water pumped would continue to be considered a reasonable approach. As noted above, approximately the same amount of water was pumped each year.

EPA Surplus Emission Reduction – The current version of Rule 74.9 includes an exemption for agricultural engines but it does not include an exemption for engines used for driving irrigation pumps. Because these engines were operated by the Pleasant Valley Water District, they would not be exempt from Rule 74.9 pursuant to the agricultural

engine exemption. Rule 74.9 currently has a 25 ppm NOx emission limit and a 250 ppm ROC emission limit for rich-burn engines. At the time the emission reduction credit was originally issued, District staff calculated that the NOx emission reduction from the engines would be 8.16 tpy if the engines were subject to a 50 ppm NOx limit. The calculated EPA surplus emission reduction is, therefore, 50% (25 ppm/50 ppm) of this number or 4.08 tpy. At the time the emission reduction credit was issued, the source tests of the engines demonstrated that they all complied with the 250 ppm ROC limit.

District Emission Reduction Credit – At the time the emission reduction credit was originally issued, the District did not anticipate that the engines would be subject to a future version of Rule 74.9. However, pursuant to Rule 26.4.C.2, the original emission reduction was discounted by 10% when the ERC Certificate was issued.

ERC Certificate Analysis

ERC Certificate No. 1094

Issuance Date: May 19, 1993

Project Description:

This ERC was granted for the electrification of forty-one (41) oil well rod pumping units, resulting in the 41 natural gas fired internal combustion engines, at the Vintage Petroleum (formerly Arco) Fee Lease in Ojai, CA.

Emission Reduction Calculation Summary:

	ROC	NOx
Emission Reduction – Original Calculation	5.47 tpy	5.77 tpy
Emission Reduction – Current Calculation	5.47 tpy	5.57 tpy
EPA Surplus Emission Reduction (ER1)	5.47 tpy	5.57 tpy
District Emission Reduction Credit (ER2)	5.47 tpy	5.57 tpy

Analysis:

Real and Quantifiable – When this ERC Certificate was originally issued on May 19, 1993, it was issued with a limitation contained in Rule 26.4 that part of the emission reduction was accounted for as a further study measure in the AQMP. On July 18, 1997, the emission reduction credit, NOx, was reduced as required by Rule 26.4. and was reissued on July 18, 1997. This reduction occurred before the emission reduction credit was ever used, therefore the revised reduction is considered to be surplus to all requirements.

The 41 engines ranged in size from 19 to 74 BHP. Actual emissions were obtained from source tests on all engines, except for two. Engine operating hour data was submitted for two years for 1989 and 1990. Fuel use data was calculated from the hour data and fuel flow rates determined during the source testing. All actual emissions were adjusted for compliance with Rule 74.9, "Stationary Internal Combustion Engines", as necessary.

Permanent and Enforceable – Natural gas engines of 50 BHP and greater cannot be operated in the District without a Permit to Operate. The Permit to Operate for the engines was surrendered when the emission reduction credit certificate was issued. For engines less that 50 BHP, permit conditions were added to enforce a permanent emission reduction.

Current Calculations – The District currently uses the same calculation method for calculating emission reductions from natural gas engines that was originally used. As discussed above, the emission reduction calculations were revised to include the further study measure in the AQMP.

EPA Surplus Emission Reduction – As discussed above, the emission reduction credit as revised, complied with Rule 74.9 and the further study measure in the AQMP. Therefore, all of the recalculated (current) reduction is considered to be an EPA surplus emission reduction.

District Emission Reduction Credit – The recalculated and original emission reductions shown above do not include (as an addition) the portion of the ERC that was required to be discounted pursuant to Rule 26.4.C. This portion can normally be shown as an EPA surplus reduction as the discount is not required by EPA rules. However, this portion was also considered to be subject to the further study measure and would have also been reduced. Therefore, it was not credited as a part of this analysis.

M:\Engineering Analyses\ERC Certificate Summary\Certificate 1094.doc

,

.

ERC Certificate Analysis

ERC Certificate No. 1097

Issuance Date: February 24, 1994

Project Description:

This ERC was granted for the partial electrification of the Grubb Lease Compressor Plant resulting in the removal of two (2) 330 BHP and two (2) 660 BHP compressor engines.

Emission Reduction Calculation Summary:

Γ	ROC	NOx
Emission Reduction – Original Calculation	18.30 tpy	19.46 tpy
Emission Reduction – Current Calculation	14.37 tpy	4.97 tpy
EPA Surplus Emission Reduction (ER1)	14.37 tpy	4.97 tpy
District Emission Reduction Credit (ER2)	14.37 tpy	4.97 tpy

Analysis:

Real and Quantifiable – When this ERC Certificate was originally issued on February 24, 1994, it was issued with a limitation contained in Rule 26.4 that part of the emission reduction was accounted for as a further study measure in the AQMP. On July 18, 1997, the emission reduction credit, both ROC and NOx, was reduced as required by Rule 26.4. and was reissued on July 22, 1997. This reduction occurred before the emission reduction credit was ever used, therefore the revised reduction is considered to be surplus to all requirements.

Actual emissions were obtained from source tests on the engines conducted during January 1991. Engine operating hour data was submitted for two years for 1989 and 1990. Fuel use data was calculated from the hour data and fuel flow rates determined during the source testing.

Permanent and Enforceable – Natural gas engines of this size cannot be operated in the District without a Permit to Operate. The Permit to Operate for the engines was surrendered when the emission reduction credit certificate was issued.

Current Calculations – The District currently uses the same calculation method for calculating emission reductions from natural gas engines that was originally used. As discussed above, the emission reduction calculations were revised to include the further study measure in the AQMP.

EPA Surplus Emission Reduction – As discussed above, the emission reduction credit as revised, complied with Rule 74.9 and the further study measure in the AQMP. Therefore, all of the recalculated (current) reduction is considered to be an EPA surplus emission reduction.

District Emission Reduction Credit – The recalculated and original emission reductions shown above do not include (as an addition) the portion of the ERC that was required to be discounted pursuant to Rule 26.4.C. This portion can normally be shown as an EPA surplus reduction as the discount is not required by EPA rules. However, this portion was also considered to be subject to the further study measure and would have also been reduced. Therefore, it was not credited as a part of this analysis.

M:\Engineering Analyses\ERC Certificate Summary\Certificate 1097.doc

ERC Certificate Analysis

ERC Certificate No. 1104

Issuance Date: February 27, 1996

Project Description:

Replacement of five rich-burn natural gas engines at the Mel Blanc and Cal Pac Leases in the Sespe Field near Fillmore with electric motors. The five engines, ranging in size from 28 bhp to 60 bhp, were used to power oil well pumps.

Emission Reduction Calculation Summary:

	ROC	NOx
Emission Reduction – Original Calculation	4.33 tpy	3.00 tpy
Emission Reduction – Current Calculation	4.33 tpy	3.00 tpy
EPA Surplus Emission Reduction (ER1)	4.33 tpy	2.95 tpy
District Emission Reduction Credit (ER2)	3.90 tpy	2.66 tpy

Analysis:

Real and Quantifiable – Pursuant to the emission reduction calculation method in Rule 26.6.E.1, the emission reduction for the five engines was originally calculated using source test data for the five engines and the actual hours of operation data for two years (1989 and 1990) prior to engine replacement. Only one of the engines was rated at 50 bhp or more. This engine was subject to Rule 74.9 that had a 50 ppm NOx emission limit and a 250 ppm ROC emission limit for rich-burn engines. This engine was not in compliance with either emission limit. For this engine, the emission reduction calculation for ROC and NOx was done assuming compliance with the Rule 74.9 emission limits rather than the ROC and NOx emission rates measured during the source test.

Permanent and Enforceable – Oil wells cannot be operated in the District without a Permit to Operate. The Permit to Operate that includes the Mel Blanc and Cal Pac Leases is conditioned to require that the five oil wells associated with these engines be free-flowing or operated on electric-motor driven artificial lift equipment. If any of the wells are shut down, another well at the facility is required to be operated in this manner. All new wells in the District are required to be free-flowing or operated on electric-motor driven artificial lift equipment pursuant to the new source review requirement to have BACT.

Current Calculations – The District currently uses the same calculation method for calculating emission reductions from natural gas engines that was originally used. The District would prefer to use actual fuel use data rather than actual hours of operation. For small engines, however, actual hours of operation would generally be accepted.

EPA Surplus Emission Reduction – Rule 74.9 currently has a 25 ppm NOx emission limit and a 250 ppm ROC emission limit for rich-burn engines. The one rich-burn engine with a horsepower rating greater than 50 bhp would be required to achieve these emission limits. Its NOx contribution to the original calculation was 0.10 tpy of NOx. The calculated EPA surplus emission reduction has been reduced to 50% (25 ppm/50 ppm) of the originally calculated emission reduction for this engine. Since the ROC emission limit has not changed, the originally calculated ROC emission reduction does not need to be reduced.

District Emission Reduction Credit – Pursuant to Rule 26.4.C.2, the original emission reduction was discounted by 10%, to 2.70 tpy of NOx, when the ERC Certificate was issued. Moreover, at the time the emission reduction credit was originally issued, the District had a tactic that anticipated reducing the NOx emission limit for rich-burn engines to 25 ppm. The original emission reduction credit, therefore, contained a condition stating that the emission reduction credit would be reduced to 2.66 tpy of NOx after the effective date of a rule implementing the tactic. On July 18, 1997, the emission reduction credit was reduced pursuant to this condition.

COUNTY OF VENTURA RESOURCE MANAGEMENT AGENCY/APCD

Memorandum

TO: Permit to Operate File No. 366-241 April 18, 1994

FROM: Christopher Gallenstein

SUBJECT: Engineering Analysis; ERC Application No. 366-241

Southern California Edison Company (SCE) submitted ERC Application No. 366-241 on July 10, 1992, for the electrification of 8 rich burn internal combustion engines. These engines functioned as prime movers on oil wells owned by Seneca Resources. The application was considered complete on February 10, 1994. Source tests for each engine were conducted by CARNOT and completed between the dates November 28 and December 6, 1990.

These engines were subject to Tactics N-108 and R-108. Tactics N-108 and R-108 were recently implemented by revising Rule 74.9, "Stationary Internal Combustion Engines". As mandated by District Rule 26.4.B.2, emission reductions which result from emissions units subject to a tactic are eligible for banking if the application is deemed complete before the effective date of a rule implementing the tactic. After the effective date, any emission reduction credits subject to the tactic are to be reduced to the levels mandated by the rule implementing the tactic (revised Rule 74.9). The effective date of revised Rule 74.9, implementing Tactics N-108 and R-108, is January 1, 1997.

The following are the engines included in this application: Note: all engines listed are rich burn engines

Well Number	BHP Make/Model
Goodman No. 4	28 M&M 283-4A
Goodman No. 6	60 Waukesha
Anza Mohawk No. 57	42 M&M 425-6A
Frankel No. B-18	80 M&M 800-6A
Frankel No. B-20	42 M&M 425-6A
Frankel No. B-21	42 M&M 425-6A
Frankel No. B-22	80 M&M 800-6A
Anza Orcutt No. 57	42 Waukesha VRG220

ERC NO.1107

Engines rated at greater than 50 BHP are subject to permit/rule compliance/tactic limits. Engines rated at less than 50 BHP are not subject to permit/rule compliance/tactic emission limits. Emissions from engines rated at less than 50 BHP were based on actual emissions. Emissions for engines rated at greater than 50 BHP were calculated using current 74.9 emission limits, as the implementation of revised Rule 74.9 is January 1, 1997, (Rich Burn Engines; ROC: 250 PPMV @15%O2; NOx: 50 PPMV @15%O2; CO: 4500 PPMV @15%O2), permitted emissions, actual emissions, and tactic emission limits (revised Rule 74.9)(ROC:250 PPMV @15%O2; NOx: 25 PPMV @15%O2) as applicable.

Fuel gas analyses were performed only on field gas servicing engines on wells: Anza Mohawk No. 57, Goodman Nos. 4 and 6, and Anza Orcutt 57. The high heating value (HHV) for these engines were based on the fuel analysis. Using this information, the expansion factor sum was estimated to be 10.23. The HHV for the other engines were based on EPA method 19 natural gas using a carbon based "F" factor (1040 Btu/scf). Using this information, the expansion factor sum was estimated to be 9.1. Fuel flow rates for all engines (in SCFM) were measured during each source test using a dry gas meter.

The source test data for the 42 BHP Minneapolis & Moline engine on well Anza Mohawk 57 indicated a ROC emission level of 11,000 PPMV as CH4. The District believes that the ROC source test data for this engine is unrealistically high. The ROC emissions for this engine were therefore obtained by averaging the ROC concentrations of the other two 42 BHP Minneapolis & Moline engines in this application (Frankel B-20 and B-21) to obtain a 461 ppmv as CH4 and using the actual fuel use data for Anza Mohawk 57 (see calculation sheet).

Table 1 lists the hours of operation, fuel flow rates, and total fuel consumption averaged over the two year period (1989, 1990).

Table 2 lists the emissions from each engine using actual emissions, permitted emissions, rule compliance emission limits, and tactic emission limits.

Table 3 lists the amount of emissions in actual emissions, permitted emissions, and emissions with and without tactic emission limits.

Table 4 lists the actual ROC and CO emissions. This information was calculated by using the source test data, correcting the concentrations to 15% O2, and averaging the results.

As required by District Rule 26.4.C.2, emission reductions which result from the replacement of an emissions unit with a lower emitting unit are discounted by 10%, as is the case of replacing internal combustion engines with electric motors. In addition, particulate emissions (PM) are banked as PM10. The conversion of PM to PM10 is

found by using EPA Air Emissions Species Manual, Volume II, Second Edition for internal combustion engines (EPA -450/2-90-001b). The mass fraction of PM in the 0-10 um range is 0.553. Table 3 also lists the amount of emissions available to be banked. One amount includes all emissions subject to Tactic R-108 and N-108 after discounting by 10% and the conversion of PM to PM10. The second amount includes all emissions to be banked without limiting the ERC to the tactic and after discounting by 10% and converting PM to PM10. The use of the difference in the two emissions amounts is limited to projects with a limited lifetime. Calculation sheets are included for each engine.

The emissions reductions resulting from this application were banked as Emission Reduction Credit (ERC) Certificate No. 1107.

Table 1

Eng	BHP	Ho	urs	+	lours		Hours		Fuel Rate	Total F	⁻ uel	
No.			1989		1990		Average	İİ	SCFM*		·/Yr	İİ
 GM4	28		7608		4848		6228	 	1.575	 	0.59	
GM6	60		7680	ÌÌ	5924	İİ	6802	İİ	2.493	ii	1.02	İİ
AM57	42	İİ	6600	İİ	7055.5	İİ	6827.8	İİ	2.445	İİ.	1	İİ
FB18	80		7848	İİ	8243.5	İÌ	8045.8	İİ	6.961	i i	3.36	İİ
FB20	42		8136	İİ	7909	İİ	8022.5	İİ	4.407		2.12	İİ
FB21	42	11	8280	11	8030	İİ	8155	İİ	3.35		1.64	İİ
FB22	80		7920	11	8028.5	İİ	7974.3	İİ	4.318		2.07	İİ
AO57	42		8184	Ìİ	8211	İİ	8197.5	İİ	1.984		0.98	ÌÌ

Table 2

Eng	HP	ROC(250)	ROC(A)	ROC(P)	NOx(50)	NOx(A)	NOx	NOx(25)	PM(A)	PM(P)	SOx(A)	SOx(P)	CO(a)	CO(P)	CO(R)	[]
No.		Tactic/Rule	Actual	Permit	Rule 74.9	Actual	Permit	Tactic	Actual	Permit	Actual	Permit	Actual	Permit	Rule 74.9	
	-															11
GM4	28	N/A	0.14	N/A	N/A	0.69	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	0.63	N/A	N/A	11
GM6	60	0.19	4.77	0.6	0.11	0.27	6.26	0.05	0.01	0.03	0	0	27.89	0.8	6:01	11
AM57	42	N/A	0.35	N/A	N/A	0.26	N/A	N/A	0.01	N/A	0	N/A	27.95	N/A	N/A	II.
FB18	80	0.56	10.59	1	0.32	0.89	10.42	0.16	0.02	0.04	0	0	90.21	1.33	17.85	
FB20	42	N/A	0.39	N/A	N/A	0.23	N/A	N/A	0.01	N/A	0	N/A	32.62	N/A	N/A	
FB21	42	N/A	0.7	N/A	N/A	1.9	N/A	N/A	0.01	N/A	0	N/A	0.93	N/A	N/A	II.
FB22	80	0.34	2.67	1	0.2	0.6	10.42	0.1	0.01	0.04	0	0	48.81	1.33	10.85	İİ
AO57	42	N/A	1.73	N/A	N/A	0.17	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	35.91	N/A	N/A	
						·	1	.		_				i I		11
			21.2	¥		5.01										
				*					0.07							
ENG No.	ROC 	ROC Tactic	NOx 	NOx Tactio	PM >	SOx 	CO 									
---	---------	---	---	---	---	---	--	--								
GM4 GM6 AM57 FB18 FB20 FB21 FB22 AO57		0.14 0.19 0.35 0.56 0.39 0.70 0.34 1.73	0.14 0.19 0.35 0.56 0.39 0.70 0.34 1.73	0.69 0.11 0.26 0.32 0.23 1.90 0.20 0.17	0.69 0.05 0.26 0.16 0.23 1.90 0.10 0.17	0.00 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.63 0.80 27.95 1.33 32.62 0.93 1.33 35.91								
Total: Adjust PM to -10%	PM10	4.40 0.44	4.40 0.44	3.88 0.39	3.56 0.36	0.07 0.04 0.00	0.00	101.50 10.15								
To ERC:		3.96	3.96	3.49	3.20	0.04	0.00	0.00								

*** CO is not banked in Ventura County

ERC366-3

Eng	BHP F	OC@15%O2 C	0@15%O2
No.	A	.verage A	verage
GM4 GM6 AM57 FB18 FB20 FB21 FB22 AO57	28 60 42 80 42 80 80	314.7 6244.4 460.5* 4723.1 277.1 644.2 1936.3 2370.4	815.5 20886.8 21262.4 22993.2 13170.6 486.4 20237.2 28037

*Concentration derived from averaging FB20 and FB21

2

Table 4

PERMITTED EMISSION CALCULATION SHEET Internal Combustion-Reciprocating Natural Gas (Source Test Data)

PERMIT ITEM(S): 1 - GM4	itual			2	Capacity 8.00 BHP	·
FUEL USE INFORMATION:						
Ba Natural Gas	seline Ann 0.6 MMc	ual Peri f	nitted 0.6	Annual MMcf	Permitt	ed Hourly 0.1 Mcf
EMISSION FACTORS:						
	TUC 1966+9 23	NO× 341.7	PM 10.0	502 0+6	CU 2140₊7	Units lbs/MMcf
PERMITTED EMISSIONS:						
Tame son Yesst	ROC	NOX	F	'M \ \ \ \	S02	CO
Founds per Hour:	0.04	0.87		.00	0.00	0.20
NOTES:						
 (1) Source(s) for emis TOC; NOX; CO PM; SO2: Der (2) TOC factor derived Natural Gas: (Source) 	sion facto Derived vived from Usins the ROC = 0.2 EPA Data	rs: from Sou AP-42 Fa followi 40 * TOC)	rce Tes stors ng reac	st Data	value:	
(3) Source test information: Fuel use (per endine) was 1.575 scfm. The expansion factor was 10.23. The ROC average molecular weight was 16.0. The measured horsepower was unknown.						
(4) Emissions in pem (NOx 543 r ROC 315 p CO 816 r	lat 15% O2) Prm (source Prm (source Prm (source	test) test) test) test)		••		
(5) Annual hours of or	eration es	timated (to be 6	228 hou	rs •	
(6) Using a thermal et hourly fuel use wo	ficiency o buld be 0,	f 10000 3 Mcf.	Btu∕BHF	'-Hr≠ th	e e	
				•		

Date Form Prepared: 14-MAR-94

Initials: CG

Pase ____ of ____

PERMITTED EMISSION CALCULATION SHEET Internal Combustion-Reciprocatins Natural Gas (Source Test Data)

PERMIT ITEM(S): Capacity 60.00 BHP 1 - GM6....... FUEL USE INFORMATION: Baseline Annual Permitted Annual Permitted Hourly Natural Gas 1.0 MMcf 1.0 MMcf 0.1 Mcf **EMISSION FACTORS:** TOC NO× PM 502 CO Units 530.4 10.0 39028.2 0.6 54828.8 lbs/MMcf **PERMITTED EMISSIONS:** ROC NO× 4+77 0+27 РM S02 CO 0.27 0.01 Tons per Year: 27.89 0.00 0.08 0.00 Pounds per Hour: 1.40 0.00 8.20 NOTES: (1)Source(s) for emission factors: TOC, NOx, CO: Derived from Source Test Data PM, SO2: Derived from AP-42 Factors (2)TOC factor derived using the following reactivity value: Natural Gas: ROC = 0.240 * TOC (Source: EPA Data) (3) Source test information: Fuel use (per ensine) was 2.493 scfm. The expansion factor was 10.23. The ROC average molecular weight was 16.0. The measured horserower was unknown. (4)Emissions in ppm (at 15% 02): NO× 123 ppm (source test) ROC 6,244 ppm (source test) C0 % 20886.8 ppm (source test) (5)Annual hours of operation estimated to be 6802 hours. (6) Using a thermal efficiency of 10000 Btu/BHP-Hr, the hourly fuel use would be 0.6 Mcf.

Date Form Prepared: 14-MAR-94

Initials: CG

Pase ____ of ____

(

PERMITTED EMISSION CALCULATION SHEET Internal Combustion-Reciprocating Natural Gas (Source Test Data)

PERMIT ITEM(S): Capacity 60.00 BHP 1 - GK6.......... FUEL USE INFORMATION: Baseline Annual Permitted Annual Permitted Hourly Natural Gas 1.0 MMcf 1.0 MMcf 0.1 Mcf **EMISSION FACTORS:** TOC NOx PM S02 CO Units 1562.5 107.8 10.0 0.6 11812.7 lbs/MMcf PERMITTED EMISSIONS: ROC NOx PM S02 CO Tons per Yeart 0.19 0.05 0.01 0.00 6.01 Pounds per Hour: 0.06 0.02 0.00 0.00 1.77

NOTES:

- (1) Source(s) for emission factors: TOC, NOx, CO: Derived from Source Test Data PM, SO2: Derived from AP-42 Factors
- (2) TOC factor derived using the following reactivity value: Natural Gas: ROC = 0.240 * TOC (Source: EPA Data)
- (3) Source test information: Fuel use (per ensine) was 2.493 scfm. The expansion factor was 10.23. The ROC average molecular weight was 16.0. The measured horserower was unknown.
- (4) Emissions in PPm (at 15% 02): NOx 25 PPm (Permit limit) ROC 250 PPm (Permit limit) CO 4,500 PPm (Permit limit)
- (5) Annual hours of operation estimated to be 6802 hours.
- (6) Using a thermal efficiency of 10000 Btu/BHP-Hr, the hourly fuel use would be 0.6 Mcf.

.

Date Form Prepared: 02-MAR-94

Initials: cs

Page _____ of _____

PERMITTED EMISSION CALCULATION SHEET Internal Combustion-Reciprocating Natural Gas (Source Test Data)

PERMIT ITEM(S): 1 - GM6			• • • • • •	6	Capacity 50.00 BHP	
FUEL USE INFORMATION	*					
	Baseline An	nual Per	mitted	Annual	Permitt	ed Hourly
Natural Gas	1.0 MM	cf	1.0	MMcf		0.1 Mcf
EMISSION FACTORS:						
	тос	NO×	РМ	S02	CO	Units
	1562.5	215.6	10.0	0.6	11812.7	lbs/MMcf
PERMITTED EMISSIONS:						
	ROC	NO×	ſ	РM	S02	CO
Tons per Year:	0.19	0.11	(0.01	0.00	6.01
Pounds per Hour:	0.06	0.03	(0.00	0.00	1.77

NOTES:

- (1) Source(s) for emission factors: TOC, NOx, CO: Derived from Source Test Data PM, SO2: Derived from AP-42 Factors
- TOC factor derived using the following reactivity value: (2)Natural Gas: ROC = 0.240 * TOC (Source: EPA Data)
- (3) Source test information: Fuel use (per ensine) was 2.493 scfm. The expansion factor was 10.23. The ROC average molecular weight was 16.0. The measured horsepower was unknown.
- (4) Emissions in ppm (at 15% 02): NOx 50 ppm (permit limit) ROC 250 ppm (permit limit) CO 4,500 ppm (permit limit)
- (5) Annual hours of operation estimated to be 6802 hours.
- (6) Using a thermal efficiency of 10000 Btu/BHP-Hr, the hourly fuel use would be 0.6 Mcf.

Date Form Prepared: 02-MAR-94

Initials: cs

Page ____ of ____

	(c)			(C		
	Fermit	Number:	0373			
PER I	MITTED EMIS nternal Com Natural Gas	SION CALCU bustion-Re Less Than	LATION ciproc 1000	N SHEET sating BHF		
PERMIT ITEM(S): 1 - Waukesha VRG 3	10U \$ 4Go	udmin (12nzin M	rhank	hull No. 6	Capacity).00 BHF	
FUEL USE INFORMATION Fuel Natural Gas:	: Baseline An 5.0 Mi	nnual Per Mof	mittec 5.(1 Annual) MMcf <i>(5)</i>	Permitt	ed Hourly 0.6 Mcf
EMISSION FACTORS: Fuel Natural Gas:	TOC 1000.0	NOx 2500.0	FM 10.0	S02 0.6	CO 320.0	Units lbs/MMcf
PERMITTED EMISSIONS:	ROC	NO×		PM	S02	CO
Tons per Year: Pounds per Hour:	0.60 0.14	6.26 1.43		0.03 0.01	0.00 0.00	0.80 0.18
<i>i</i>						

NOTES:

- (1)Hourly fuel use derived using the following heating value(s); Natural Gas: 1050 Btu/cubic foot
- (2)Source(s) for emission factors: Natural Gas: Derived from AP-42 factors
- (3) ROC Emissions derived using the following reactivity value(s): Natural Gas: ROC = 0.240 * TOC (Source: EPA Data)
- (4) Emission factors and hourly fuel use derived using a thermal efficiency of 10000 Btu/BHF-Hr

-

(5) Based on operation at full load-full time

.

Jun, ce

Date Form Prepared: 28-NOV-88 Fase 27 of 39

Initials: tt

EMCALC 7/85

PERMITTED EMISSION CALCULATION SHEET Internal Combustion-Reciprocating Natural Gas (Source Test Data)

PERMI 1 -	(T ITEM(S): - AM57			• • • • • • • •	• • •	Capacity 42.00 BHP	
FUEL	USE INFORMATION	:					
Natu	ral Gas	Baseline An 1.0 MM	nual Per icf	mitted (1.0 i	Annual MMcf	Permitt	ed Hourly 0.1 Mcf
EMISS	SION FACTORS:	TOC 2878.2	NO× 526.1	FM 10.0	502 0.6	CO 55814.7	Units lbs/MMcf
PERM	ITTED EMISSIONS:						
Tons Pounc	per Year: is per Hour:	ROC 0.35 0.10	NO× 0+26 0+08	F1 0 0	1 • 01 • 00	502 0.00 0.00	CO 27.95 8.19
NOTES	3:						
(1)	Source(s) for en TOC; NOX; (PM; SO2: 1	aission fact 20: Derived)erived from	ors: from Sou AP-42 Fa	rce Tes [.] ctors	t Data		
(2)	TOC factor deriv Natural Gas (Sour	ved using th s: ROC = 0. ce: EPA Dat	e followi 240 * TOC a)	ns reac [.]	tivity	value:	
(3)	Source test info Fuel use (x The expans The ROC ave The measure	ormation: Per ensine) ion factor w grase molecu ed horsepowe	was 2.445 as 10.23. lar weish r was unk	scfm. t was 10 nown.	à•0•		
(4)	Emissions in per NOx 123 ROC 463 CO % 213	n (at 15% O2 2 ppm (sourc 1 ppm (sourc 262.4 ppm (s): e test) e test) ource tes	t)			
(5)	Annual hours of	operation e	stimated	to be 68	327.8 ł	ours.	
(4)	Uning a thormal	officionou	of 10000	0+/BUD.	-4n- +>	20	

(6) Using a thermal efficiency of 10000 Btu/BHP-Hr, the hourly fuel use would be 0.4 Mcf.

Date Form Frepared: 13-APR-94

Initials: CG

Pase ____ of ____

Permit Number: 0366.

ĺ

PERMITTED EMISSION CALCULATION SHEET Internal Combustion-Reciprocating Natural Gas (Source Test Data)

PERMIT ITEM(S): Caracity 1 - FB18..... 80.00 BHP FUEL USE INFORMATION: Baseline Annual Permitted Annual Permitted Hourly Natural Gas 3.4 MMcf 3.4 MMcf 0.4 Mcf EMISSION FACTORS: NOx PM SO2 CO Units 529.4 10.0 0.6 53691.0 lbs/MMcf TOC 26258.6 PERMITTED EMISSIONS: ROC NO× 10.59 0.89 РМ 0,02 S02 CO . 0.00 Tons per Year: 90.21 0.00 Pounds per Hour: 2.63 0.22 0.00 22.42 NOTES: Source(s) for emission factors: (1)TOC, NOx, CO: Derived from Source Test Data PM, SO2: Derived from AP-42 Factors (2) TOC factor derived using the following reactivity value: Natural Gas: ROC = 0.240 * TOC (Source: EPA Data) (3) Source test information: Fuel use (per engine) was 6.961 scfm. The expansion factor was 9.1. The ROC average molecular weight was 16.0. The measured horsepower was unknown. (4) Emissions in ppm (at 15% 02): 138 ppm (source test) NOX ROC 4,723 ppm (source test) % 22993.2 ppm (source test) C.0 (5) Annual hours of operation estimated to be 8045.8 hours. (6)Using a thermal efficiency of 10000 Btu/BHP-Hr, the hourly fuel use would be 0.8 Mcf.

Date Form Prepared: 17-MAR-94

Initials: CG

Pase ____ of ____

(

PERMITTED EMISSION CALCULATION SHEET Internal Combustion-Reciprocating Natural Gas (Source Test Data)

PERMIT ITEM(S): Capacity 1 - FB18..... 80.00 BHF FUEL USE INFORMATION: Baseline Annual Permitted Annual Permitted Hourly Natural Gas 3.4 MMcf 3.4 MMcf 0.4 Mcf EMISSION FACTORS: PM TOC SO2 CO NO× Units 1389.9 191.8 10.0 0.6 10507.9 lbs/MMcf **PERMITTED EMISSIONS:** ROC NO× PM S02 CO Tons per Year: 0.56 0.32 17.66 0.02 0.00 Pounds per Hour: 0.14 0.08 0.00 0.00 4.39 NOTES: (1)Source(s) for emission factors: TOC, NOx, CO: Derived from Source Test Data PM, SO2: Derived from AP-42 Factors (2)TOC factor derived using the following reactivity value: Natural Gas: ROC = 0.240 * TOC (Source: EPA Data) (3)Source test information: Fuel use (per engine) was 6.961 scfm. The expansion factor was 9.1. The ROC average molecular weight was 16.0. The measured horsepower was unknown. (4)Emissions in ppm (at 15% 02): NO× 50 ppm (permit limit) ROC 250 ppm (permit limit) 00 4,500 ppm (permit limit) (5)Annual hours of operation estimated to be 8045.8 hours. (6) Using a thermal efficiency of 10000 Btu/BHP-Hr, the hourly fuel use would be 0.8 Mcf.

Date Form Prepared: 17-MAR-94

Initials: CG

Pase ____ of ____

PERMITTED EMISSION CALCULATION SHEET Internal Combustion-Reciprocating Natural Gas (Source Test Data)

PERMIT ITEM(S): Capacity 1 - FB18..... 80.00 BHP FUEL USE INFORMATION: Baseline Annual Permitted Annual Permitted Hourly Natural Gas 3.4 MMcf 3.4 MMcf 0.4 Mcf EMISSION FACTORS: 1389.9 °F ~ NOx PM SO2 CO Units 95.9 10.0 0.6 10507.9 lbs/MMcf PERMITTED EMISSIONS: ROC NO× PM S02 · CO Tons per Year: 0.56 0.16 0.02 0.00 17.66 Pounds per Hour: 0.14 0.04 0.00 0.00 4.39 NOTES: (1) Source(s) for emission factors: TOC; NOx; CO: Derived from Source Test Data PM, SO2: Derived from AP-42 Factors (2) TOC factor derived using the following reactivity value: Natural Gas: ROC = 0.240 * TOC (Source: EPA Data) Source test information: (3) Fuel use (per ensine) was 6.961 scfm. The expansion factor was 9.1. The ROC average molecular weight was 16.0. The measured horsepower was unknown. (4) Emissions in ppm (at 15% 02): NOx 25 ppm (permit limit) ROC 250 ppm (permit limit) C0 4,500 ppm (permit limit) (5) Annual hours of operation estimated to be 8045.8 hours. (6) Using a thermal efficiency of 10000 Btu/BHP-Hr, the hourly fuel use would be 0.8 Mcf.

Date Form Prepared: 17-MAR-94

Initials: CG

Pase ____ of ____

(<i>K</i> /		
	Fermit	Number:	0366			
FERM In N	ITTED EMISS ternal Comb atural Gas	SION CALCU Dustion-Re Less Than 2001 (13'	LATION ciproca 1000 E	SHEET sting (HP	0101	
PERMIT ITEM(S): 3 - M & M Models S0 EHEL USE INFORMATION:	0-3AP	ern it	3-18 	••• 8	Caracity 0.00 BHP	
Fuel Natural Gas:	Baseline Ar 25.0 Mi	nnual Peri fef	nitted 25.0	Annual MMcf	Fermitt	ed Hourly 2.3 Mct
EMISSION FACTORS: Fuel Natural Gas:	TOC 1000.0	N0× 2500.0	FM 10.0	SO2 0.6	C0 320.0	Units lbs/MMcf
PERMITTED EMISSIONS:	ROC	NO×	ę	۲.	S02	CO
Tons per Year: Pounds per Hour:	3.00 0.55	31.25 5.71	0 0).13).02	0.01 0.00	4.00 0.73
NOTES:						

6

- (1) Hourly fuel use derived using the following heating value(s): Natural Gas: 1050 Btu/cubic foot
- (2) Source(s) for emission factors: Natural Gas: Derived from AP-42 factors
- (3) ROC Emissions derived using the following reactivity value(s): Natural Gas: ROC = 0.240 * TOC (Source: EPA Data)
- (4) Emission factors and hourly fuel use derived using a thermal efficiency of 10000 Btu/BHP-Hr

Date Form Prepared: 29-MAY-90

Initials: so

Pase ____ of ____

EMCALC 7/85

PERMITTED EMISSION CALCULATION SHEET Internal Combustion-Reciprocating Natural Gas (Source Test Data)

PERMIT ITEM(S): Capacity 42.00 BHP 1 - FB20..... FUEL USE INFORMATION: Baseline Annual Permitted Annual Permitted Hourly Natural Gas 2.1 MMcf 2.1 MMcf 0.3 Mcf **EMISSION FACTORS:** TOC NOx PM S02 CO Units 1540.6 218.7 10.0 0.6 30754.4 lbs/MMcf PERMITTED EMISSIONS: ROC NOx PM S02 CO 0.23 0.00 Tons per Year: 0.39 0.01 32.62 8.13 0.06 0.00 0.00 Pounds per Hour: 0.10

NOTES:

- (1) Source(s) for emission factors: TOC, NOx, CO: Derived from Source Test Data PM, SO2: Derived from AP-42 Factors
- (2) TOC factor derived using the following reactivity value: Natural Gas: ROC = 0.240 * TOC (Source: EPA Data)
- (3) Source test information: Fuel use (rer ensine) was 4.407 scfm. The expansion factor was 9.1. The ROC average molecular weight was 16.0. The measured horserower was unknown.
- (4) Emissions in PPM (at 15% 02): NOx 57 PPM (source test) ROC 277 PPM (source test) CO % 13170.6 PPM (source test)
- (5) Annual hours of operation estimated to be 8022.5 hours.
- (6) Using a thermal efficiency of 10000 Btu/BHP-Hr, the hourly fuel use would be 0.4 Mcf.

Date Form Prepared: 30-MAR-94

Initials: CG

Pase _____ of _____

ſ

PERMITTED EMISSION CALCULATION SHEET Internal Combustion-Reciprocating Natural Gas (Source Test Data)

PERMIT ITEM(S): 1 - FB21	• • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • •	•••• 4	Caracity 2.00 BHF	e
FUEL USE INFORMATION:						
B Natural Gas	aseline Ar 1.6 Mi	nnual Pen Mof	rmitted 1.6	Annual MMcf	Permitt	ed Hourly 0.2 Mcf
EMISSION FACTORS:						
	TOC 3580.5	NO× 2317.1	PM 10.0	502 0.6	CO 1134.9	Units lbs/MMcf
PERMITTED EMISSIONS:						
Tons per Year: Pounds per Hour:	ROC 0.70 0.17	ND× 1+9(0+47) 7 (≥M 0.01 0.00	SO2 0.00 0.00	CD 0+93 0+23
NOTES:						
 (1) Source(s) for emi TOC; NOx; CO PM; SO2: De (2) TOC factor derive Natural Gas: (Source) 	ssion fact L Derived rived from d using t ROC = 0 L EPA Dat	tors: d from Sou h AP-42 Fa he follow: ,240 % TO(ta)	urce Te actors ing read C	st Data ctivity	value:	
(3) Source test infor Fuel use (re The expansio The ROC aver The measured	mation: r ensine) n factor (ase molecu horserow(was 3.35 was 9.1. Ilar weigh er was und	scfm. nt was : <nown.< td=""><td>16.0.</td><td></td><td></td></nown.<>	16.0.		
(4) Emissions in ppm NO× 604 ROC 644 CO 486	(at 15% 02 PPM (sourc PPM (sourc PPM (sourc	2): ce test) ce test) ce test)				
(5) Annual hours of o	peration e	estimated	to be {	3155 hou	rs.	
(6) Using a thermal e hourly fuel use w	fficiency ould be (of 10000).4 Mcf.	Btu∕BHI	°−Hr, th	e	

Bate Form Prepared: 17-MAR-94

Initials: CG

Pase ____ of ____

PERMITTED EMISSION CALCULATION SHEET Internal Combustion-Reciprocating Natural Gas (Source Test Data)

PERMIT ITEM(S): Capacity 80.00 BHP FUEL USE INFORMATION: Baseline Annual Permitted Annual Permitted Hourly Natural Gas 2.1 MMcf 2.1 MMcf 0.3 Mcf EMISSION FACTORS: N0×PMSO2CO583.110.00.647255.5 тос Units 10763.6 583.1 0.6 47255.5 1bs/MMcf PERMITTED EMISSIONS: ROC PM S02 C0 NO× 2.67 0.60 0.01 Tons per Year: 0.00 48.81 0.67 0.00 0.00 Pounds per Hour! 0.15 12.24 NOTES: (1)Source(s) for emission factors: TOC, NOx, CO: Derived from Source Test Data PM, SO2: Derived from AP-42 Factors TOC factor derived using the following reactivity value: (2) Natural Gas: ROC = 0.240 * TOC (Source: EPA Data) (3)Source test information: Fuel use (per ensine) was 4.318 scfm. The expansion factor was 9.1. The ROC average molecular weight was 16.0. The measured horsepower was unknown. Emissions in ppm (at 15% 02): (4) 152 ppm (source test) NON 1,936 FFM (source test) ROC C O % 20237.2 ppm (source test) (5)Annual hours of operation estimated to be 7974.3 hours. (6) Using a thermal efficiency of 10000 Btu/BHP-Hr, the hourly fuel use would be 0.8 Mcf.

Date Form Prepared: 17-MAR-94

Initials: CG

Pade ____ of ____

(

PERMITTED EMISSION CALCULATION SHEET Internal Combustion-Reciprocating Natural Gas (Source Test Data)

PERMIT ITEM(S): Capacity 1 - FB22...... 80.00 BHP FUEL USE INFORMATION: Baseline Annual Permitted Annual Permitted Hourly Natural Gas 2.1 MMcf 2.1 MMcf 0.3 Mcf EMISSION FACTORS: TOC NOX PM SO2 CO Units 1389.9 191.8 10.0 0.6 10507.9 lbs/MMcf **PERMITTED EMISSIONS:** ROC NO× 0.34 0.20 0.09 0.05 ۴M S02 CO 0.01 0.00 Tons per Year: 10.85 Pounds per Hour: 0.00 2,72 NOTES: (1) Source(s) for emission factors: TOC, NOx, CO: Derived from Source Test Data PM, SO2: Derived from AP-42 Factors TOC factor derived using the following reactivity value: (2)Natural Gas: ROC = 0.240 * TOC (Source: EPA Data) (3) Source test information: Fuel use (per ensine) was 4.318 scfm. The expansion factor was 9.1. The ROC average molecular weight was 16.0. The measured horserower was unknown. (4) Emissions in pem (at 15% 02): NOx 50 ppm (permit limit) ROC 250 ppm (permit limit) 4,500 ppm (permit limit) C0 (5) Annual hours of operation estimated to be 7974.3 hours. (6) Using a thermal efficiency of 10000 Btu/BHP-Hr, the hourly fuel use would be 0.8 Mcf.

.

Date Form Prepared: 17-MAR-94

Initials: CG

Page ____ of ____

ſ

PERMITTED EMISSION CALCULATION SHEET Internal Combustion-Reciprocating Natural Gas (Source Test Data)

PERMIT ITEM(S): Capacity 1 - FB22..... 80.00 BHP FUEL USE INFORMATION: Baseline Annual Permitted Annual Permitted Hourly 2.1 MMcf 2.1 MMcf Natural Gas 0.3 Mcf **EMISSION FACTORS:** PM SO2 CO 10.0 0.6 10507.9 тос Units NO× 95.9 1389.9 0.6 10507.9 lbs/MMcf PERMITTED EMISSIONS: NO× ROC S02 PM CO 0.01 0.34 0.10 0.00 Tons per Year: 10.85 Pounds per Hour: 0.09 0.02 0.00 0.00 2.72 NOTES: (1) Source(s) for emission factors: TOC, NOx, CO: Derived from Source Test Data PM, SO2: Derived from AP-42 Factors (2) TOC factor derived using the following reactivity value: Natural Gas: ROC = 0.240 * TOC (Source: EPA Data) (3) Source test information: Fuel use (per ensine) was 4.318 scfm. The expansion factor was 9.1. The ROC average molecular weight was 16.0. The measured horsepower was unknown. (4) Emissions in pem (at 15% 02): 25 ppm (permit limit) NO× ROC 250 ppm (permit limit) 00 4,500 ppm (permit limit) Annual hours of operation estimated to be 7974.3 hours. (5) (6) Using a thermal efficiency of 10000 Btu/BHP-Hr, the hourly fuel use would be 0.8 Mcf.

Date Form Prepared: 17-MAR-94

Initials: CG

Pase ____ of ____

Ň			·	X		
	1					
	Fermit	Numcer:	0366		1.1	
PERMIT	TED EMIS:	SIÚN CALCU	ATION :	SHEET	ojci	
Inte	rnal Com	bustion-Re	ciproca	ting		
Natu	ural Gas	Less Than	1000 BI	47:		
	Frant	cul 13"	<i>.</i> .			
PERMIT ITEM(S):	13-7	22,13-39,13	3-18		Capacity	
3 - M & M Models 800-8	6A			••• 8	0.00 BHP	
	P_{i}	remit				
FUEL USE INFURMATION: Fuel Res	aline A	noual Per	nitted 4	ànnual	Parmitt	od Hously
Natural Gas:	25.0 Mi	Mef	25.0 1	incf		2.3 Met
						,
EMISSION FACTORS:	T 3 3		6 . 11			
Fuel Natural Gazt	1000 0	NUX 2500 0		502	00 0 007	Units 15-/#Waf
Nether DS2+	100010	2000.0	10+0	Vre	32040	10520004
PERMITTED EMISSIONS:						
	ROC	NO×	F'h	ŕ	S02	CO
Tons per Year:	3.00	31.25	0	.13	0.01	4.00
Pounds per Hour:	0,55	5.71	0.	.02	0.00	0.73
					• ;	
NOTES:						
(1) Houris fuel use der Natural Gast	1050 D4.	ing the fol V/oubic for	1004165	neatin	s value(5);

- Natural Gas: 1050 Btu/cubic foot
- (2)Source(s) for emission factors: Natural Gas: Derived from AP-42 factors
- (3)ROC Emissions derived using the following reactivity value(s): Natural Gas: ROC = 0.240 * TOC (Source: EFA Data)
- Emission factors and hourly fuel use derived using a thermal (4)efficiency of 10000 Btu/BHP-Hr

Date Form Frepared: 29-MAY-90

Initials: so

Fase ____ of ____

EMCALC 7/85

÷

PERMITTED EMISSION CALCULATION SHEET Internal Combustion-Reciprocating Natural Gas (Source Test Data)

PERMI 1 -	T ITEM(S): A057	Actua		• • • • • •		Capacity 12.00 BHP	
FUEL	USE INFORMATION	1					
Natur	al Gas	Baseline An 1.0 MM	nual Per cf	mittec 1.(i Annual) MMcf	Permitt	ed Hourly 0.1 Mcf
EMISS	ION FACTORS:						
		TOC 14812.7	NO× 340.7	PM 10.0	SD2 0.6	CO 73598.4	Units lbs/MMcf
PERMI	TTED EMISSIONS:						
Tons Pound	per Year: Is per Hour:	ROC 1.73 0.42	NO× 0.17 0.04	7 -	PM 0.00 0.00	SO2 0.00 0.00	CO 35.91 8.76
NOTES	3:						
(1)	Source(s) for e TOC; NOx; PM; SO2:	mission fact CO: Derived Derived from	ors: from Sou AP-42 Fa	urce Te actors	est Data		
(2)	TOC factor deri Natural Ga (Sour	ved using th s: ROC = 0. ce: EPA Dat	e follow: 240 * TOC 8)	ing rea ;	sctivity	value:	
(3)	Source test inf Fuel use (The expans The ROC av The measur	ormation: per ensine) sion factor w erase molecu ed horsepowe	was 1.98⊄ as 10.23 lar weist r was un↓	} scfm. nt was .nown.	16.0.		
(4)	Emissions in PP NO× 7 ROC 2,37 CO % 28	m (at 15% O2 79 ppm (sourc 80 ppm (sourc 8037 ppm (sou): e test) e test) rce test)	,			
(5)	Annual hours of	operation e	stimated	to be	8197.5 ł	nours.	
(6)	Using a thermal hourly fuel use	. efficiency would be 0	of 10000 .4 Mcf.	Btu∕Bł	HP−Hr, ti	ne	

Date Form Prepared: 14-MAR-94

Initials: CG

Pase ____ of ____

ł.



a National Fuel Gas System company

June 22, 1992

Mr. Karl Krause Manager of Engineering Ventura County APCD 702 County Square Drive Ventura, California 93003

Re: Southern California Edison-Motors Program Conversion, Phase II

Dear Karl:

With letter Seneca Resources Corporation (SRC) advises the District of the successful conversion of eight (8) additional ICE conversions to electric (reference SRC Phase I letter dated November 14, 1991) in accordance with SRC's participation in Southern California Edison's motors program.

The following wells have been converted to electric and subject to Phase II of the program:

- 1. Mel Blanc 18, 19 and 20 Consolidated PTO #370
- 2. Goodman 4 and 6 Consolidated PTO 366
- 3. Anza Orcutt and Anza Mohawk Consolidated PTO #366
- 4. Cal Pac #66 Consolidated PTO #0370

Seneca, hereby relinquishes the claim to the Emission Reduction Credits for the above mentioned conversions to Southern California Edison.

If additional information is required, please advise.

J. K. Erisman Operations Administrator

cc:

B. McMahan, SRC Dave Manis, SCE Kusha Janati, SCE 3

[] 25 35

ហ យ



Seneca Resources Corporation

a National Fuel Gas System company

November 14, 1991

Mr. Karl Krause Manager of Engineering Ventura County A.P.C.D 702 County Square Drive Ventura, California 93003

RE: Southern California Edison-Motors Program Conversion

Dear Karl:

Seneca Resources Corporation participated in Southern California Edison's ICE conversion to electric motor program. This letter is to advise you of the successful conversion of six (6) motors operating pumping units and the respective Permit to Operate (PTO):

91 NOV 15 PH 2:03

- Harth #1- PTO 0381- 80 HP Minneapolis Moline, serial number 06602924 (currently up for renewal),
- 2. Frankel B #18- PTO 0366- 90 HP Waukesha, serial number 7817GU (removed from permit by prior renewal),
- 3. Frankel B #20- PTO 0366- 60 HP Waukesha, serial number VRS 310 U (removed from permit by prior renewal),
- 4. Frankel B #21- PTO 0366- 42 HP Minneapolis Moline, no serial number (removed from permit by prior renewal),
- 5. Frankel B #22- PTO 0366- 80 HP Minneapolis Moline, serial number 06602924 (removed from prior renewal),
- 6. Mel Blanc #525-PTO 0370- 60 HP Waukesha, serial number 363102 (removed from permit by prior renewal).

Seneca, hereby relinquishes the claim to the Emission Reduction Credits for the above mentioned, however in the event Southern California Edison does not claim these credits within two (2) years of the date of this letter, at Seneca's option, be converted and banked by Seneca.

If additonal information is required please do not hesitate to give me, a call at (805) 656-2445.

Sinc risman

cc: B. McMahan, SRC Dave Manis, SCE ECR Certificate No. 1109

ERC Certificate Analysis

ERC Certificate No. 1109

Project Description:

Replacement of a 227 BHP Waukesha natural gas engine used to power an agricultural irrigation water well pump owned by the Nisbet Family Trust.

Emission Reduction Calculation Summary:

	ROC	NOx
Emission Reduction – Original Calculation	13.41 tpy	2.14 tpy
Emission Reduction – Current Calculation	13.41 tpy	2.14 tpy
EPA Surplus Emission Reduction (ER1)	13.41 tpy	2.14 tpy
District Emission Reduction Credit (ER2)	12.07 tpy	1.93 tpy

Analysis:

Real and Quantifiable – Pursuant to the emission reduction calculation method in Rule 26.6.E.1, the emission reduction for the 227 BHP Waukesha engine was originally calculated using source test data for ROC and NOx, and actual fuel use data for 1990 that represents approximately 1800 hours per year of operation. The engine was exempt from the ROC and NOx limits of Rule 74.9, "Stationary Internal Combustion Engines", based on Rule 74.9.D.5 exemption for engines used in agricultural operations.

Permanent and Enforceable – Engines used for driving irrigation pumps were not required to obtain a Permit to Operate in the District (former exemption of Rule 23.D.5) when the ERC Certificate was granted. Prior to issuing the original ERC Certificate, the District inspected the well site where this engine had been located and verified that the engine had been replaced with an electric motor.

Current Calculations – The District currently uses the same calculation method for calculating emission reductions from natural gas engines that was originally used.

EPA Surplus Emission Reduction – The current version of Rule 74.9 includes the same exemption in Rule 74.9.D.5 for agricultural engines. Therefore, the engine is not subject to the ROC and NOx limits of Rule 74.9.

District Emission Reduction Credit – Pursuant to Rule 26.4.C.2, the original emission reduction was discounted by 10% when the ERC Certificate was issued.

M:\Engineering Analyses\ERC Certificate Summary\Certificate 1109.doc

APPENDIX A-3

DEISEL CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT EPA TIER LEVELS

Table A-3 P3 Diesel Construction Equipment EPA Tier Levels						
Construction Equipment	Engine Rating HP	EPA Tier Level				
Tractor	200	Tier 4i				
Forklift	40	Tier 4				
M2250 ringer/2250 crawler crane	500	Tier 4i				
150-ton crawler	300	Tier 4i				
Hydraulic crane (55-ton)	300	Tier 4i				
Hydraulic crane (45-ton)	250	Tier 4i				
Articulating boom manlift	75	Tier 4				
Air compressor	50	Tier 4				
Backhoe loader	80	Tier 4i				
Front-end loader	130	Tier 4i				
Hydraulic excavator	250	Tier 4i				
Bulldozer	300	Tier 4i				
Bulldozer w/ripper	300	Tier 4i				
Vibratory roller	125	Tier 4i				
Walk behind vibratory roller	25	Tier 4				
Motor grader	200	Tier 4i				
Jumping jack compactors	7.5	Tier 4				
Welding machine	25	Tier 4				
Light plant	25	Tier 4				

APPENDIX A-4

VENTURA COUNTY BEACH AREA SOIL DATA

Particle Size and Coarse Fragments

This table shows estimates of particle size distribution and coarse fragment content of each soil in the survey area. The estimates are based on field observations and on test data for these and similar soils.

Depth to the upper and lower boundaries of each layer is indicated.

Particle size is the effective diameter of a soil particle as measured by sedimentation, sieving, or micrometric methods. Particle sizes are expressed as classes with specific effective diameter class limits. The broad classes are sand, silt, and clay, ranging from the larger to the smaller.

Sand as a soil separate consists of mineral soil particles that are 0.05 millimeter to 2 millimeters in diameter. In this table, the estimated sand content of each soil layer is given as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter.

Silt as a soil separate consists of mineral soil particles that are 0.002 to 0.05 millimeter in diameter. In this table, the estimated silt content of each soil layer is given as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter.

Clay as a soil separate consists of mineral soil particles that are less than 0.002 millimeter in diameter. In this table, the estimated clay content of each soil layer is given as a percentage, by weight, of the soil material that is less than 2 millimeters in diameter.

The content of sand, silt, and clay affects the physical behavior of a soil. Particle size is important for engineering and agronomic interpretations, for determination of soil hydrologic qualities, and for soil classification.

The amount and kind of clay affect the fertility and physical condition of the soil and the ability of the soil to adsorb cations and to retain moisture. They influence shrink-swell potential, saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat), plasticity, the ease of soil dispersion, and other soil properties. The amount and kind of clay in a soil also affect tillage and earthmoving operations.

Total fragments is the content of fragments of rock and other materials larger than 2 millimeters in diameter on volumetric basis of the whole soil.

Fragments 2-74 mm refers to the content of coarse fragments in the 2 to 74 millimeter size fraction.

Fragments 75-249 *mm* refers to the content of coarse fragments in teh 75 to 249 millimeter size fraction.

Fragments 250-599 mm refers to the content of coarse fragments in the 250 to 599 millimeter size fraction.

Fragments >=600 *mm* refers to the content of coarse fragments in the greater than or equal to 600 millimeter size fraction.

Reference:

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. (http://soils.usda.gov)

Report—Particle Size and	Coarse Fragments
--------------------------	------------------

Particle Size and Coarse Fragments–Ventura Area, California										
Map symbol and soil name	Horizon	Depth	Sand	Silt	Clay	Total fragments	Fragments 2-74 mm	Fragments 75-249 mm	Fragments 250-599 mm	Fragments >=600 mm
		In	L-RV-H Pct	L-RV-H Pct	L-RV-H Pct	RV Pct	RV Pct	RV Pct	RV Pct	RV Pct
CnB—Coastal beaches										
Coastal beaches	H1	0-6	-99-	- 1-	0- 1- 1	7	7	_	—	—
	H2	6-60	-93-	- 7-	0- 1- 1	7	7	_	—	—
W—Water										
Water	_	_	_	_	_	—	_	_	_	_

Data Source Information

Soil Survey Area: Ventura Area, California Survey Area Data: Version 8, Sep 25, 2014

