DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	14-AAER-02
Project Title:	Computer, Computer Monitors, and Electronic Displays
TN #:	206279
Document Title:	ITI & Technet 9/29 F2F Presentation: External Monitors
Description:	Energy Efficient Desktop Feasibility Discussion (External Monitors)
Filer:	System
Organization:	Chris Hankin, Information Technology Industry Council
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	10/5/2015 6:43:51 AM
Docketed Date:	10/5/2015

Comment Received From: Chris Hankin, Information Technology Industry Council Submitted On: 10/5/2015 Docket Number: 14-AAER-02

ITI & Technet 9/29 F2F Presentation: External Monitors

Additional submitted attachment is included below.



Energy Efficient Desktop Feasibility Discussion (External Monitors)

Barnes Cooper Chief Power Management Architect (Sr. PE)

Vidoot Ponnala Desktop PM Architect

Client Computing Group

Client Computing Group



External Monitors - Short Idle impact

- Long term, short idle (screen on) system power will increase significantly
- As resolution, color depths, and refresh rates increase
- transport BW Efficiency @ \geq 4K: exponential display/imaging pixel growth = unsustainable memory and
- -~14Gbps for each 4K display; 4x higher at 8K
- -Increasing bits-per-pixel (e.g. HDR) means even worse than shown (e.g. 30bpp+)
- Impacts baseline short idle power floor

Display Bandwic	1th Requirements, 24br	pp (Uncompressed)
Resolution	Bandwidth	Minimum DisplayPort Version
20x1080@60Hz	3.5Gbps	1.1
60x1440@60Hz	6.3Gbps	1.1
40x2160@60Hz (4K)	14Gbps	1.2
80x4320@60Hz (8K)	>50Gbps	1.3 + DSC

19 25 38 76

Source: Anandtech.com

- Critical for new form-factors, big part of regulatory power budget due to short idle contribution
- Short term we must spec test conditions for short idle
- Long term we need to invest in new I/O technologies to limit transport bandwidth

-e.g. PSR, PSR2 for eDP are protocols that only send changed information Client Computing Group



