| <b>Docket Number:</b>  | 15-AAER-05  |
|------------------------|---|
| <b>Project Title:</b>  | Residential Lavatory Faucets and Showerheads  |
| TN #:                  | 205497  |
| <b>Document Title:</b> | Larry Himmelblau Comments: 1605.3 (h) Table H-3 App Efficiency for Faucet Sprayhead |
| <b>Description:</b>    | N/A   |
| Filer:                 | System  |
| Organization:          | Larry Himmelblau  |
| <b>Submitter Role:</b> | Public  |
| Submission Date:       | 7/24/2015 11:08:17 AM   |
| <b>Docketed Date:</b>  | 7/24/2015   |

Comment Received From: Larry Himmelblau

Submitted On: 7/24/2015 Docket Number: 15-AAER-05

## 1605.3 (h) Table H-3 App Efficiency for Faucet Sprayhead

controls. The maximum flow rate of each orifice that manually turns on or off shall not exceed the maximum flow rate

for a lavatory faucet.ââ,¬

It is not common for a  $\tilde{A} \not c \hat{a}$ ,  $\neg oesprayhead \tilde{A} \not c \hat{a}$ ,  $\neg commonly referred to as a <math>\tilde{A} \not c \hat{a}$ ,  $\neg oeSide spray \tilde{A} \not c \hat{a}$ ,  $\neg oeSid$ 

Sprayer $\tilde{A} \not c \hat{a}$ ,  $\neg$  to be installed in a Lavatory. I do not believe this was the intent of the author and the footnote should be deleted.

If the intent was to apply this text to Kitchen faucets I would think that the Side spray would qualify as a device that is only used on a temporary basis at a higher flow. I would also like to point out that the effectiveness of a Side spray

with only 1.2 gpm may be dramatically reduced. Thus the user would just use the faucet at an equal or greater flowrate thus negating any water savings.

Respectfully

Larry Himmelblau