

## DOCKETED

<b>Docket Number:</b>	15-AAER-05
<b>Project Title:</b>	Residential Lavatory Faucets and Showerheads
<b>TN #:</b>	205491
<b>Document Title:</b>	Paul Sturman Comments: Faucet flowrates affect biofilm accumulation
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	System
<b>Organization:</b>	Paul Sturman
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Public
<b>Submission Date:</b>	7/24/2015 11:02:58 AM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	7/24/2015

*Comment Received From: Paul Sturman*

*Submitted On: 7/24/2015*

*Docket Number: 15-AAER-05*

**Faucet flowrates affect biofilm accumulation**

*Additional submitted attachment is included below.*



9 October 2014

Matt Sigler  
Technical Director  
Plumbing Manufacturers International

California Energy Commission

**DOCKETED**

**14-AAER-01**

**TN 74004**

**NOV 19 2014**

In response to your request for more information about the potential effects from California's proposed water flowrate changes in faucets, I'd like to offer the following thoughts. It is well understood that household plumbing fixtures, including faucets accumulate attached bacterial populations – biofilms. Previous experimentation in plumbing-related systems such as pipes has shown that the flow regime within a pipe can influence the biofilm that grows on the pipe walls, particularly with regard to biofilm thickness, density and detachment. Flowrate influences shear stress on the biofilm, and biofilm grown under lower shear conditions tends to be thicker, less dense, and more easily detached than biofilm grown under high shear. The flow path within a faucet is considerably more complex than a simple pipe, but biofilm accumulation within the faucet would be expected to follow this pattern. It is also well understood that biofilms can potentially harbor frank or opportunistic pathogenic microorganisms, such as Legionella. While specific experimental results are not available to correlate pathogen survival within biofilms to biofilm thickness within faucets, it stands to reason that thicker biofilms have more capacity to harbor such organisms than do thinner biofilms.

Sincerely;

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Paul Sturman'.

Paul Sturman, PhD, PE  
Industrial Coordinator  
Center for Biofilm Engineering

**Center for Biofilm  
Engineering**

366 EPS Building  
P.O. Box 173980  
Bozeman, MT 59717-3980  
[www.biofilm.montana.edu](http://www.biofilm.montana.edu)

Tel 406-994-4770  
Fax 406-994-6098  
[cbeinfo@biofilm.montana.edu](mailto:cbeinfo@biofilm.montana.edu)

**Mountains & Minds**



17 November 2014

Matt Sigler  
Technical Director  
Plumbing Manufacturers International

As a follow-up to my comment submitted on 9 October 2014, this is to provide citations to published materials documenting that pathogenic organisms, such as *Legionella* can survive in biofilms (Donlan, 2002). Additionally, it has been understood for decades that hydrodynamic shear forces play an important part in biofilm structure, and that increased shear tends to promote biofilm detachment (Characklis, 1990).

Literature Cited

Characklis WG. Microbial fouling. In: Characklis WG, Marshall KC, editors. Biofilms. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 1990. p. 523–84

Donlan, R.M., 2002. Biofilms: Microbial Life on Surfaces. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, Vol 8, No 9, September 2002.

Sincerely;

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Paul Sturman'.

Paul Sturman, PhD, PE  
Industrial Coordinator  
Center for Biofilm Engineering

**Center for Biofilm  
Engineering**

366 EPS Building  
P.O. Box 173980  
Bozeman, MT 59717-3980  
[www.biofilm.montana.edu](http://www.biofilm.montana.edu)

Tel 406-994-4770  
Fax 406-994-6098  
[cbeinfo@biofilm.montana.edu](mailto:cbeinfo@biofilm.montana.edu)

**Mountains & Minds**