

## DOCKETED

|                         |  |
|-------------------------|--|
| <b>Docket Number:</b>   | 15-WATER-01  |
| <b>Project Title:</b>   | Water Energy Technology (WET) Program  |
| <b>TN #:</b>            | 205179   |
| <b>Document Title:</b>  | 7-2-2015 WET Workshop Revised Agenda   |
| <b>Description:</b>     | Revised agenda for 7-2-2015 workshop on the WET Program - Staff Public Meeting - Drought Response: Water Energy Technology Program |
| <b>Filer:</b>           | Pamela Doughman  |
| <b>Organization:</b>    | California Energy Commission   |
| <b>Submitter Role:</b>  | Commission Staff   |
| <b>Submission Date:</b> | 6/27/2015 5:40:52 PM   |
| <b>Docketed Date:</b>   | 6/27/2015  |



## **Staff Public Meeting**

### ***Drought Response: Water Energy Technology Program***

#### **Agenda**

Thursday, July 2, 2015

Beginning at 2:00 p.m.

City of Lynwood - Bateman Hall, 11331 Ernestine Avenue, Room 2  
Lynwood, CA 90262

#### **Opening Comments**

Laurie ten Hope, California Energy Commission

#### **Sister Agency Perspectives**

Diana Brooks, Chief, Water Use and Efficiency Branch,  
California Department of Water Resources

Vice-Chair Frances Spivy-Weber, California Water Resources Control Board

Commissioner Catherine Sandoval, California Public Utilities Commission

#### **Overview of California Energy Commission Drought Response Programs**

Water Appliance Rebate Program and Direct Install Program  
Christine Collopy, Energy Commission

Water Energy Technology Program  
Virginia Lew, Energy Commission

#### **Questions for Discussion**

1. What emerging technologies should be considered that provide direct on-site energy, water, and greenhouse gas savings for each of the identified sectors?
2. What rebate levels would be most appropriate? What grant award amounts would be most appropriate for customized projects?
3. What changes to the draft guidelines are needed to ensure the purpose, instructions, and eligibility requirements are clear?
4. How can the WET Program best bring benefits to disadvantaged communities?
5. What are some of the main barriers preventing implementation of advanced water and energy saving projects?
6. To what extent is broadband or internet availability a factor that prevents implementation of water and energy saving projects, especially in rural areas?
7. What operational, regulatory, or other constraints may arise to prevent installing projects quickly? How would this differ from typical installation timelines for the equipment listed in the draft guidelines?
8. What is the capability of obtaining utility data for pre- and post-energy and water use? If utility data is not available, how will pre- and post-results be documented?

#### **Public Comments**

#### **Adjourn**