DOCKETED		
Docket Number:	: 12-AFC-03	
Project Title:	Redondo Beach Energy Project	
TN #:	204907	
Document Title:	Document Title: City of Redondo Beach - Status Report - 06/04/15	
Description:	N/A	
Filer:	Jon Welner	
Organization:	Jeffer Mangels Butler & Mitchell LLP	
Submitter Role:	Intervenor Representative	
Submission Date:	6/4/2015 5:52:08 PM	
Docketed Date:	6/5/2015	

	1					
	2					
	3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA California Energy Commission				
	4					
	5	In the Matter of:				
	6					
	7	REDONDO BEACH ENERGY PROJECTDocket No. 12-AFC-03				
	8	APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION				
	9					
	10					
$\left MBM \right _{Butler & Mitchell LLP}$	11	INTERVENOR CITY OF REDONDO BEACH'S				
	12	STATUS REPORT				
	13					
	14	June 4, 2015				
	15					
X	16					
	17	JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MITCHELL LLP				
	18	JON WELNER (Bar No. 178578), jwelner@jmbm.com				
	19	KIMBERLY A. HUANGFU (Bar No. 242251), <u>khuangfu@jmbm.com</u> Two Embarcadero Center, Fifth Floor				
	20	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 398-8080				
	21	Facsimile: (415) 398-5584				
	22	Attorneys for Intervenor CITY OF REDONDO BEACH				
	23					
	24 25					
	25 26					
	26 27					
	27 28					
PRINTED ON	N					
RECYCLED PAPER SF 2011046v1		City of Redondo Beach Status Report				

1	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
2	California Energy Co	ommission		
3				
4	In the Matter of:			
5	REDONDO BEACH ENERGY PROJECT	Docket No. 12-AFC-03		
6	APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION			
7				
8				
9	INTERVENOR CITY OF REDONDO BEACH'S STATUS REPORT			
10				
11	I. <u>PSA WORKSHOP</u>			
12	Intervenor City of Redondo Beach ("City") participated in the Preliminary Staff			
13	Assessment ("PSA") Workshop on May 20-21. The City made presentations on the following			
14	topics: Land Use, Air Quality, Noise, and Alternatives. The City has filed these presentations with			
15	the Commission.			
16	II. <u>PSA COMMENTS</u>			
17	In addition to the presentation materials, the City plans to file written comments on			
18	the following topics: Air Quality, Noise, Biological Resources, Visual Resources, and Water.			
19	(Comments on Visual Resources will be submitted after AES has produced the Site Screening and			
20	Landscape Concept Plan, requested by Staff.)			
21	The City notes there are several items requested from AES by Staff which have not			
22	yet been produced. These include: the Site Screening and Landscape Concept Plan; Air Quality			
23	Cumulative Modeling; and Health Risk Assessment Modeling. It appears these materials will not			
24	be produced until after the PSA comment deadline of June 4. If that is the case, the City hereby			
25	requests that Intervenors be given a reasonable period of	f time after the materials are produced to		
26	review them and submit written comments to the Comm	nission; and that Staff be given a reasonable		
27	period of time to review these comments and incorporat	e them into the Final Staff Assessment		
28	("FSA").			
ON D PAPER				

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPE SF 2011046v1

- 2 - City of Redondo Beach Status Report

JMBM Jeffer Mangels Butler & Mitchell LLP 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

III. AQMD HEARING

The South Coast Air Quality Management District ("AQMD") has announced that it will hold a public hearing on the proposed RBEP before it considers whether to issue a Final Determination of Compliance ("FDOC") for the Project. The City will participate in the public hearing.

The City hereby requests that preparation of the Air Quality portion of the FSA be suspended until after AQMD conducts the public hearing and makes a decision on whether to issue an FDOC. The City further requests that when AQMD makes its decision on the FDOC, that Intervenors be granted a reasonable period of time to review the decision and submit comments to the Commission; and that Staff be given a reasonable period of time to review these comments and incorporate them into the Final Staff Assessment ("FSA").

IV. COASTAL COMMISSION REPORT

The Coastal Commission has stated that it expects to issue its report on the RBEP at its regularly scheduled meeting on July 8-10, 2015. The City hereby requests that Intervenors be given a reasonable period of time after the Coastal Commission Report is issued to review the Report and submit written comments to the Energy Commission; and that Staff be given a reasonable period of time to review these comments and incorporate them into the Final Staff Assessment ("FSA").

V. <u>NOISE DATA</u>

At the PSA Workshop, AES told Commission Staff and the City that it is willing to produce the technical data on which its noise analysis is based:

Wheatland: And the answer is yes, in fact, the technical data that supports the AFC, the Application for Certification that was filed several years ago, has been available at all times for public review, for a party that requests it. And the City of Redondo Beach is certainly aware, it did numerous data requests, and certainly copies could have been requested at that time, and if it is requesting it at this time, we can provide it to you now. This is not, I don't want you to raise implications that this information has been withheld. It has always been available, it is has been made available to staff, and has been made available to anyone who requests it.

See Exhibit A below (Transcript from PSA Workshop).

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER SF 2011046v1

- 3 - City of Redondo Beach Status Report

Julling Butler & Mitchell LIP

Immediately after the PSA workshop, AES changed its tune. The City requested the 1 data, and AES responded that the technical data does not exist and cannot be produced: At the workshop I agreed to provide you with the noise analysis prepared by the Applicant in support of this AFC. As I indicated in my earlier email, the type of "technical noise analysis" described by the City at the workshop and in your email below...is not available prior to June 4. [T]he Applicant has not yet performed the type of detailed acoustical design and equipment specification study described by the City at the PSA Workshop. See Exhibit B below (Correspondence with AES Counsel). There are two possibilities. Either: (1) AES is refusing to provide the technical data underlying its noise analysis; or (2) such technical data does not exist. Neither possibility is acceptable. If AES is refusing to provide the technical data, the Committee should require that it be produced. (The City will be filing a motion with the Committee to require AES to produce the data. See Section VII below.) If the technical data does not exist, that means that AES' noise analysis has no scientific basis and cannot be accepted by the Commission as evidence that: (1) the Project will not cause significant noise impacts; or (2) the Project can comply with the City's noise ordinance. The City has retained the acoustics consulting firm Charles M. Salter Associates Inc. ("Salter") to conduct research on the potentially significant noise impacts that will be generated by the proposed RBEP. The City will keep the Commission apprised of this research and will submit the results to the Commission as expeditiously as possible.

VI. LORS CONFLICT

On May 21, 2015, the City Planning Commission unanimously approved an ordinance that would prohibit the construction or modification of any electricity generating power plant that is subject to CEC jurisdiction. The City Council will consider this ordinance at its regularly scheduled meeting on June 16, 2015.

VII. <u>MOTIONS</u>

The City is preparing to file three motions with the Committee in the near future:

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER SF 2011046v1

28

- 4 - City of Redondo Beach Status Report

PRINTED ON RECYCLED PAPER SF 2011046v1

1.

		impact, air quality, and public health. The City will file a motion asking the Committee to amend the current schedule to give Intervenors a meaningful opportunity to review and comment on these developments.
	2.	Motion to Require Production of AES Noise Data. As discussed in Section V above, the City will file a motion to require AES to produce the technical data underlying its noise analysis.
	3.	Motion to Subpoena Information from Southern California Edison ("SCE") or the Public Utilities Commission ("PUC"). As part of the Long Term Procurement Process ("LTPP"), SCE recently received numerous bids for proposed sites to construct gas-fired power plants in the Los Angeles Basin. Unfortunately, the location of these sites has been redacted from the public documents filed by SCE. The City will file a motion asking the Committee: (1) to request that a list of these sites be produced by SCE or the PUC; and (2) if necessary, to issue a subpoena for this information.
DATED: Jun	ne 4, 201	15 JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MITCHELL LLP By:
		JON WELNER
		Attorneys for Intervenor CITY OF REDONDO BEACH

<u>Motion to Amend Schedule.</u> As described above, a number of significant developments will occur after the PSA comment deadline of June 4. These

include: AQMD's public hearing and decision on the FDOC; issuance of the Coastal Commission Report; and AES' production of new analyses on visual

EXHIBIT A

Transcript from PSA Workshop of AES Offer to Provide Noise Study Technical Data

Webex Recording May 20, 2015

(04:29:21)

Welner: Finally I have a question back to AES which is something that was asked in a number of places by our consultant and –actually this really surprised me—and that is that no one from the public, no intervenor, and no one from the Commission has seen the technical study. What they have seen is the description of the study obtained from AES. Our question to AES is: will you provide the study for public review, and if not, why not?

(4:29:59)

Wheatland: And the answer is yes, in fact, the technical data that supports the AFC, the Application for Certification that was filed several years ago, has been available at all times for public review, for a party that requests it. And the City of Redondo Beach is certainly aware, it did numerous data requests, and certainly copies could have been requested at that time, and if it is requesting it at this time, we can provide it to you now. This is not, I don't want you to raise implications that this information has been withheld. It has always been available, it is has been made available to staff, and has been made available to anyone who requests it.

(4:30:40)

Welner: Well, we're very glad to hear that, because we are requesting it.

EXHIBIT B

Correspondence with AES Counsel Regarding Noise Study Technical Data

Welner, Jon

From:	Greggory L. Wheatland <glw@eslawfirm.com></glw@eslawfirm.com>
Sent:	Wednesday, June 03, 2015 11:44 AM
То:	Welner, Jon
Cc:	Samantha Pottenger; Jeffery Harris; Stephen O'Kane
Subject:	RE: Noise Study

Mr. Welner:

At the workshop I agreed to provide you with the noise analysis prepared by the Applicant in support of this AFC.

As I indicated in my earlier email, the type of "technical noise analysis" described by the City at the workshop and in your email below is prepared prior to the start of construction (as it has been for every other power plant licensed by the Commission). The type of analysis you refer to is not available prior to June 4.

Gregg Wheatland Ellison, Schneider & Harris L.L.P.

2600 Capitol Avenue, Suite 400 Sacramento, CA 95816-5905 (916) 447-2166 (925) 202-4400 Cell mailto:glw@eslawfirm.com www.eslawfirm.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication and any accompanying document(s) may be confidential and privileged. They are intended for the sole use of the addressee. If you receive this transmission in error, you are advised that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance upon the communication is strictly prohibited. Moreover, any such inadvertent disclosure shall not compromise or waive the attorney-client privilege as to this communication or otherwise. If you have received this communication in error, please contact the sender at the internet address indicated or by telephone at (916)447-2166, delete this e-mail and destroy all copies. Thank you.

From: Welner, Jon [mailto:jxw@jmbm.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 2, 2015 5:36 PM
To: Greggory L. Wheatland
Cc: Samantha Pottenger; Jeffery Harris; Stephen O'Kane
Subject: RE: Noise Study

Gregg,

At the PSA workshop, you agreed to provide a copy of your technical noise analysis. At a minimum, we would expect the analysis to include:

- Equipment noise levels that are the basis of your analysis (including their reference source for information).
- Documentation showing which noise reduction measures were included in their analysis and thus should become necessary mitigation to achieve their projected noise levels.
- Noise reduction data for the mitigation measures.

- Calculation methodology with site plan details and other assumptions of acoustical shielding, directivity, and similar factors.
- Safety factor used in their analysis

Does AES or CH2M Hill have this data? Can you provide it to us prior to June 4?

Thanks,

jw

Jon Welner | Partner Jeffer Mangels Butler & Mitchell LLP | JMBM Two Embarcadero Center, 5th Floor, San Francisco, California 94111 P: (415) 984-9656 | E: JWelner@JMBM.com VCARD | BIO | BLOG | TWITTER | LINKEDIN



This e-mail message and any attachments are confidential and may be attorney-client privileged. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this message or attachments without proper authorization is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify JMBM immediately by telephone or by e-mail, and permanently delete the original, and destroy all copies, of this message and all attachments. For further information, please visit JMBM.com.

From: Greggory L. Wheatland [mailto:glw@eslawfirm.com]
Sent: Tuesday, June 02, 2015 11:47 AM
To: Welner, Jon
Cc: Samantha Pottenger; Jeffery Harris; Stephen O'Kane
Subject: Noise Study

Mr. Welner:

The following information is provided in response to your request for the Applicant's "Noise Study".

We are providing a copy of the Noise Section of the AFC and related Appendices. We are also providing copies of Data Responses to the Staff and the City regarding noise. Data Response Set 1A is too large to attach; therefore, please refer to this link:

http://docketpublic.energy.ca.gov/PublicDocuments/12-AFC-

03/TN201167 20131112T144549 RBEP 12AFC03 DR Set 1A 17 1112 1419 2425 2947.pdf

Consistent with established CEC protocols and typical project development and design processes, the Applicant has not yet performed the type of detailed acoustical design and equipment specification study described by the City at the PSA Workshop. Instead, as we explained in response to Staff Data Request 30, "Prior to the start of construction, the Project Owner's engineering contractor will determine the necessary acoustical design treatments to ensure that the City of Redondo Beach noise standards are satisfied." The expected project operational noise level at the closest residence on N. Elena Avenue is less than 55 dBA. A project level of 55 dBA complies with the applicable City of Redondo Beach noise limitations

at this location, and, following the assessment methodology used by the CEC as proposed by Charles Salter, will also comply with the indoor noise limitations at this location.

Gregg Wheatland Ellison, Schneider & Harris L.L.P.

2600 Capitol Avenue, Suite 400

Sacramento, CA 95816-5905 (916) 447-2166 (925) 202-4400 Cell mailto:glw@eslawfirm.com www.eslawfirm.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication and any accompanying document(s) may be confidential and privileged. They are intended for the sole use of the addressee. If you receive this transmission in error, you are advised that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance upon the communication is strictly prohibited. Moreover, any such inadvertent disclosure shall not compromise or waive the attorney-client privilege as to this communication or otherwise. If you have received this communication in error, please contact the sender at the internet address indicated or by telephone at (916)447-2166, delete this e-mail and destroy all copies. Thank you.

Welner, Jon

From: Sent: To: Subject: Greggory L. Wheatland <glw@eslawfirm.com> Tuesday, May 26, 2015 8:53 AM Welner, Jon RE: Noise study

I am in receipt of your request and I have forwarded it to AES.

Gregg Wheatland Ellison, Schneider & Harris L.L.P.

2600 Capitol Avenue, Suite 400 Sacramento, CA 95816-5905 (916) 447-2166 (925) 202-4400 Cell mailto:glw@eslawfirm.com www.eslawfirm.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication and any accompanying document(s) may be confidential and privileged. They are intended for the sole use of the addressee. If you receive this transmission in error, you are advised that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance upon the communication is strictly prohibited. Moreover, any such inadvertent disclosure shall not compromise or waive the attorney-client privilege as to this communication or otherwise. If you have received this communication in error, please contact the sender at the internet address indicated or by telephone at (916)447-2166, delete this e-mail and destroy all copies. Thank you.

From: Welner, Jon [mailto:jxw@jmbm.com] Sent: Friday, May 22, 2015 7:05 PM To: Greggory L. Wheatland Subject: Noise study

Gregg,

I am writing to follow up on your offer during the workshop to provide a copy of the noise study performed by your consultants for the RBEP. Please let me know how I can obtain it.

Have a nice holiday weekend!

jw

Jon Welner | Partner Jeffer Mangels Butler & Mitchell LLP | JMBM Two Embarcadero Center, 5th Floor, San Francisco, California 94111 P: (415) 984-9656 | E: JWelner@JMBM.com VCARD | BIO | BLOG | TWITTER | LINKEDIN



This e-mail message and any attachments are confidential and may be attorney-client privileged. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this message or attachments without proper authorization is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify JMBM immediately by telephone or by e-mail, and permanently delete the original, and destroy all copies, of this message and all attachments. For further information, please visit JMBM.com.