### DOCKETED

Docket Number:	15-WATER-03
<b>Project Title:</b>	Water Energy Appliance Rebate Program
TN #:	204733
Document Title:	Richard Nielsen Comments: WAITING FOR HOT WATER COSTLY TO CALIFORNIA
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Richard Nielsen
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	5/26/2015 3:32:51 PM
Docketed Date:	5/26/2015

Comment Received From: RICHARD NIELSEN Submitted On: 5/26/2015 Docket Number: 15-WATER-03

#### WAITING FOR HOT WATER COSTLY TO CALIFORNIA

Adobe PDF file illustrates amount of water, time and resources a family of four waiting 60 seconds before for hot water at sinks and showers.

Additional submitted attachment is included below.





### We've all done it.

### Most wait multiple times a day.

# What's the big deal...

# It's only water, right?



# Waiting for **HOT WATER** wastes











## How much is wasted WAITING FOR HOT WATER?

Let's find out...





### EACH PERSON USING HOT WATER 3 TIMES EACH DAY











WAITED WAIT	DWAITEDWAITEDWAITEDWAITED
NAME WATES	SECONDESECONDESECONDES WAITED WAITED
MONTHLY WASTE	SECONDS SECOND SECONDS WATTED WATTED WATTED
	A THE WALLENGTHE
	SECONDS SECONDS SECONDS WAITED WAITED WAITED
SECONDS SECONDS WATTED WATTED	SECONDS SECONDS SECONDS WAITEDWAITEDWAITED
WADTED WATED	SECONDS SECONDS SECONDS WAITED WAITED WAITED
. wast ć Trvi: v ai ing	
Fight faulet wasting WATER - 709 Ga	
<b>EVEN</b> light(s) on wasting <b>ENERGY</b>	WATTED WATTED WATTED
	WAITEDWAITEDWAITED
	SECOND SECOND SECOND
*EPA TRADITIONAL FLOW RATE 2.2 GPM = 739 GALLONS	SECONDS SECONDS SECONDS WAITED WAITED WAITED
WATTED WAT	INVALUE SECONDS & CONDS & CONDS INVALUED WALLED
SECONDS SECOND	DESECONDS SECONDS SECONDS

# **4,380 MINUTES (73 HOURS) PER YEAR WASTED WAITING FOR HOT WATER**

### **IMAGINE LEAVING A TAP RUNNING 73 HOURS**

# YEARLY WAIT /WASTE **3 DAYS** WAITING WITH FAUCET OPEN 73 Hrs @60 sec 9,636 Gal

#### \*EPA FLOW RATE 2.2 GPM x 4,380 MINUTES = 9,636 GALLONS WASTED

9,636 GALLONS OF WATER WASTED PER YEAR WAITING FOR HOT WATER

**SOLENOID-BASED RECIRCULATORS SAVE TIME & WATER** 

2,190 MINUTES or 36.5 HOURS A YEAR if waiting 30 seconds for hot water

Calculation (12 minutes per day x 365 days) = 4380 MINUTES / 60 = (73 hours)



TOTAL TIME WASTED with WATER RUNNING WAITING FOR HOT WATER  $\gamma$ 

### **Accumulated Annual Totals**

and lights "on"

1 household = **3 DAYS** of time spent waiting, **9,636** Gallons wasted 1,000 homes = **8 YEARS** of time spent waiting, **9,636,000** Gallons wasted 10k homes = **8.2 DECADES** of time spent waiting, **96,360,000** Gallons wasted 100k homes = **6.2 CENTURIES** of time spent waiting, **963,600,000** Gallons wasted 1,000k homes = **8.2 MILLENNIUMS** of time spent waiting, **9.6 Billion** Gallons wasted

For homes waiting 30 seconds divide totals by 2

For 2 occupants per home waiting 30 seconds divide totals by 4



### WASTE WATER TREATMENT PLANTS DON'T LIKE CLEAN WATER

Concerned with what would happen at waste water treatment plants if people suddenly stopped wasting clean water down the drain Temtrol deltaT made some calls. A 20 year veteran of a waste water treatment plant stated that if just 20% of the "clean" water which enters waste water treatment plants ceased, **a plants life span would be extended 7 years** because it wouldn't have to work so hard to remove the clean water each time. With clean water entering waste water treatment plants its a lot more difficult to obtain "*activated sludge*" which is the point at which the bacteria begins eating away at the waste.

### What impact would 5 million "efficient" systems have on infrastructure?

It could save **48 Billion Gallons** of water from being run through the system needlessly each year.



### AGING WATER INFRASTRUCTURE COULD COST ALL OF US MORE IN THE END

"Clean water is fundamental to our economy and our health. We depend on water infrastructure, but our drinking water and wastewater systems are aging," said Andrew Herrmann, P.E., ASCE president. "Some of our water systems are 100 years old and in desperate need of replacement. When those systems fail, they disrupt businesses and families and cost all of us more in the end. The need is clearly there."

In order to meet the needs of our growing population for clean, available water, the annual investment must increase to \$91 billion. An additional \$9.4 billion per year between now and 2020 would avoid \$21 billion per year in costs to households and businesses.

### How many homes would benefit from \$1 billion in 'efficient' units? Answer: Over 5 million

Ref: http://yubanet.com/usa/New-Report-Finds-Aging-Water-Infrastructure-Burdens-U-S-Economy.php