

## DOCKETED

<b>Docket Number:</b>	12-AFC-03
<b>Project Title:</b>	Redondo Beach Energy Project
<b>TN #:</b>	204689
<b>Document Title:</b>	Michael Stewart Comments: AES Redondo Power Plant - No Project Option
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	System
<b>Organization:</b>	Michael Stewart
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Public
<b>Submission Date:</b>	5/21/2015 5:13:21 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	5/22/2015

*Comment Received From: Michael Stewart*

*Submitted On: 5/21/2015*

*Docket Number: 12-AFC-03*

## **AES Redondo Power Plant - No Project Option**

When AES was promoting Measure B, they made the case to the public that the power plant wasn't needed for grid reliability. They made the case that the community would be better off if the plant went away forever. I totally agree.

I live close to the power plant and would be negatively affected by the noise and pollution of an operating power plant. We are fortunate that the current plant only rarely operates. My son's grade school, middle school, and high school are all within a mile of the plant. He is in kindergarten now so can look forward to 12 more years of playing in the hydrocarbon and particulate pollution plume from the prevailing on-shore breeze. Ironically, all of these schools have open-air hallway plans and beautiful playgrounds and sports fields to maximize daily exposure to our beautiful climate..

I can't imagine placing a new power plant at this location unless it was an absolute public necessary. The location is simply too densely populated and California's coastline is too valuable of a resource. If a new power plant is approved, only AES benefits and California loses for decades to come. The power plant is a blight on our coast, a public health risk, and degrades the quality of life of the surrounding area. IN my opinion, that is a bad bargain for unneeded fossil fuel power generation.