DOCKETED				
Docket Number:	15-AFC-01			
Project Title:	Puente Power Project			
TN #:	204220-12			
Document Title:	Appendix L KOP Rating Sheets			
Description:	cription: AFC Volume II			
Filer: Sabrina Savala				
Organization:	NRG Oxnard Energy Center, LLC			
Submitter Role:	Applicant			
Submission Date:	4/16/2015 11:22:10 AM			
Docketed Date:	4/15/2015			

APPENDIX L

KEY OBSERVATION POINT RATING SHEETS

KOP 1: Mandalay State Beach

Landscape Inventory		
Landscape View Inventory		
Outstanding		
High		
Moderate to High		
Moderate		
Low to Moderate	The existing view from KOP 1 is rated moderate due to its recreational potential and elevated sensitivity due to its proximity to the ocean. However, the scale of the existing MGS contrasts with is visually discordant with the undeveloped natural topography of the beach and coastal dunes. The existing MGS is a focal and dominant feature in northerly views from this location.	
Low		

Public View	
High	
Moderate	The existing view from KOP 1 is rated moderate due the locations use for recreation and assumed sensitivity due to its proximity to the ocean. While it has a high recreation value, it is not unique when compared to other locations along the coastline of Oxnard.
Low	

Visibility	
Dominant	The existing view from KOP 1 is rated dominant because the MGS commands viewer attention from this location looking north. The existing stack is a focal feature of the view. The proposed stack would be a lesser height, but would still occupy most of the field of view and draw attention of the viewer from this location.
High	
Moderate to High	
Moderate	
Low to Moderate	
Low	

Contrast Rating			
Contrast	Rating	Weighting	Score
Form	High = 3		
	Medium = 2		
	Low = 1	x 2	2
	None = 0		
Line	High = 3		
	Medium = 2	x 1	1
	Low = 1	XI	1
	None = 0		
Color	High = 3		
	Medium = 2	x 3	3
	Low = 1	X 3	3
	None = 0		
Texture	High = 3		
	Medium = 2	x 1	1
	Low = 1		
	None = 0		
Scale	High = 3		
	Medium = 2	x 2	2
	Low = 1	A 2	2
	None = 0		
Contrast Rating Sco	re		9

Scale Dominance Evaluation			
Rating Score			
Dominant	12		
Co-Dominant	8		
Subordinate	4		
Insignificant	0		
Score			

Spatial Dominance Evaluation					
	Rating		Rat	ing	
Composition of	Prominent				
Landscape	Significant	X			
	Inconspicuous				
Spatial Position of the Project	Prominent				
	Significant	Х			
	Inconspicuous				
Backdrop to the	Prominent	X			
Project	Inconspicuous				
Score 4 Co-dominant				Co-dominant	
Ratings Explanation a	and Definitions:				
Dominant: If 2-3 rat	tings are prominent				

Co-dominant: If 1 rating is prominent or 2 highest ratings significant = 4 Subordinate: If 1 highest rating significant = 2 Insignificant: If all ratings are inconspicuous = 0

(Maximum	highest score	e is 6	points)
(maximum	inghest score	10 0	points

Project Prominence					
КОР	Contrast	Scale Dominance	Spatial Dominance	Score	
#1	9	8	4	21	
36-45 = sev 27-35 = strophic $18-26 = mov9-17 = weat0-8 = neg$	ong derate				

Visual Impact Significance						
Landscape View Inventory		Project Prominence		Visual Absorption Capability		Level of Significance
High		Severe		High Absorption	X	Significant
Moderate to High		Strong		Moderate to High Absorption		
Moderate		Moderate	X	Moderate Absorption		Less Than
Low to Moderate	Х	Weak		Low to Moderate Absorption	Х	Significant
Low		Negligible		Low Absorption		

KOP 2: Mandalay State Beach

Landscape Inventory			
Landscape View Inventory			
Outstanding			
High			
Moderate to High			
Moderate			
Low to Moderate	The existing MGS dominates northerly views from this location on Mandalay State Beach. The scale of the plant has creates a higher degree of contrast compared to KOP 1 because it is due to its geographical proximity closer to the plant (< 0.25-mile). The existing view inventory is was not rated Low due to the high recreational value of the beach location.		
Low			

Public View	
High	
Moderate	The coastal dunes within Mandalay County Park are a designated scenic resource within the Oxnard Local Coastal Plan. This, combined with the recreational value of the beach location, serves to elevate the rating.
Low	

Visibility				
Dominant	The existing view from KOP 2 is rated dominant because the MGS commands viewer attention from this location. The existing stack is a focal feature of the view due to its bulk, mass, and bright orange and white coloring. Views of the stack can only be avoided if the viewer turns their head more than 45 degrees east or west when facing north at this location.			
High				
Moderate to High				
Moderate				
Low to Moderate				
Low				

Contrast Rating			
Contrast	Rating	Weighting	Score
Form	High = 3		
	Medium = 2		
	Low = 1	x 2	2
	None $= 0$		
Line	High = 3		
	Medium = 2	x 1	1
	Low = 1		1
	None $= 0$		
Color	High $= 3$		
	Medium = 2	x 3	3
	Low = 1	X 3	5
	None $= 0$		
Texture	High $= 3$		
	Medium = 2	x 1	1
	Low = 1		1
	None $= 0$		
Scale	High $= 3$		
	Medium = 2	x 2	2
	Low = 1		
	None $= 0$		
Contrast Rating	Score		8

Scale Dominance Evaluation		
Rating	Score	
Dominant	12	
Co-Dominant	8	
Subordinate	4	
Insignificant	0	
Score		

Spatial Dominance Evaluation					
	Rating			Rating	
Composition of	Prominent				
Landscape	Significant	X			
	Inconspicuous				
Spatial Position of the Project	Prominent				
	Significant	X			
	Inconspicuous				
Backdrop to the	Prominent	X			
Project	Inconspicuous				
Score 4 Co-dominant					Co-dominant
Ratings Explanation and Definitions:					
Dominant: If 2-3 ratings are prominent = 6 Co-dominant: If 1 rating is prominent or 2 highest ratings significant = 4					

Co-dominant: If 1 rating is prominent or 2 highest ratings significant = 4 Subordinate: If 1 highest rating significant = 2

Insignificant: If all ratings are inconspicuous = 0

(Maximum highest score is 6 points)

Project Prominence					
КОР	Contrast	Scale Dominance	Spatial Dominance	Score	
#2	8	8	4	20	
	strong				

Visual Impact Significance						
Landscape View Inventory		Project Prominence		Visual Absorption Capability		Level of Significance
High		Severe		High Absorption	X	Significant
Moderate to High		Strong		Moderate to High Absorption		
Moderate	X	Moderate	X	Moderate Absorption		Less Than
Low to Moderate	X	Weak		Low to Moderate Absorption		Significant
Low		Negligible		Low Absorption		

KOP 3: McGrath State Beach

Landscape Inventory		
Landscape View Inventory		
Outstanding		
High		
Moderate to High		
Moderate		
Low to Moderate	Views from KOP 3 are ranked Low to Moderate for landscape conditions. Its location along McGrath State Beach/ Mandalay Beach elevates scenic quality of views toward the Pacific Ocean, and offers active and passive recreation which both elevate the ranking. However, the presence of the existing MGS substantially contrasts with the natural form, line, color and texture of the landscape, appearing visually discordant.	
Low		

Public View	
High	
Moderate	McGrath Lake is a designated scenic resource within the Oxnard Local Coastal Plan. The beach provides This, combined with the recreational value of the beach location serves to elevate the rating.
Low	

Visibility	
Dominant	Similar to KOP 1 and 2, the existing view from KOP 3 is rated dominant because the MGS commands viewer attention from this location. The existing stack is a focal feature of the view due to its bulk, mass, and bright orange and white coloring. Views of the stack can only be avoided if the viewer turns their head more than 45 degrees east or west when facing south at this location.
High	
Moderate to High	
Moderate	
Low to Moderate	
Low	

Contrast Rating				
Contrast	Rating	Weighting	Score	
Form	High = 3			
	Medium = 2		2	
	Low = 1	- x 2	2	
	None $= 0$			
Line	High = 3			
	Medium = 2	- x 1	2	
	Low = 1		2	
	None $= 0$			
Color	High = 3			
	Medium = 2		0	
	Low = 1	- x 3		
	None $= 0$			
Texture	High = 3			
	Medium = 2	x 1	1	
	Low = 1		1	
	None $= 0$			
Scale	High = 3		2	
	Medium = 2	_ v 2		
	Low = 1	- x 2		
	None = 0			
Contrast Rating Sco	Contrast Rating Score			

Scale Dominance Evaluation		
Rating	Score	
Dominant	12	
Co-Dominant	8	
Subordinate	4	
Insignificant	0	
Score		

Spatial Dominance Evaluation					
	Rating			Rating	
Composition of	Prominent				
Landscape	Significant	X			
	Inconspicuous				
Spatial Position of the	Prominent				
Project	Significant	X			
	Inconspicuous				
Backdrop to the	Prominent	X			
Project	Inconspicuous				
Score 4 Co-dominant					Co-dominant
Ratings Explanation and Definitions:Dominant:If 2-3 ratings are prominent = 6					

Co-dominant: If 1 rating is prominent or 2 highest ratings significant = 4 Subordinate: If 1 highest rating significant = 2

Insignificant: If all ratings are inconspicuous = 0

(Maximum highest score is 6 points)

Project Prominence							
КОР	Contrast	Scale Dominance	Spatial Dominance	Score			
#3	7	8	4	19			
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	ong oderate						

Visual Impact Significance							
Landscape View Inventory		Project Prominence		Visual Absorption Capability		Level of Significance	Visual Impact Significance
High		Severe		High Absorption	X	Significant	
Moderate to High		Strong		Moderate to High Absorption			
Moderate		Moderate	X	Moderate Absorption		Less Than	
Low to Moderate	X	Weak		Low to Moderate Absorption		Significant	
Low		Negligible		Low Absorption			

KOP 4: Rancho Victoria Plaza

Landscape Inventory

Landscape View Inventory	
Outstanding	
High	
Moderate to High	
Moderate	
Low to Moderate	KOP 4 was rated moderate for landscape value. This ranking is based the panoramic nature of the view, and on the arrangement of agricultural uses in the foreground, coastal dune/topographic relief in the midground, and Pacific Ocean in the background.
Low	

Public View		
High		
Moderate	Views from KOP 4 are rated Moderate for public view. This ranking is based on the fact that the City of Oxnard has identified the "Oxnard-Ventura Greenbelt" (agricultural lands in the foreground), and the Oxnard Dunes in the midground both as scenic areas. Additionally, West 5th Street is a locally designated scenic road. The confluence of these attributes indicates the City of Oxnard places a high degree of value and interest in protecting the existing visual character and quality of this view.	
Low		

Visibility	
Dominant	
High	
Moderate to High	KOP 4 is ranked moderate to high based on the reduced dominance of the MGS within the existing viewshed. From this distance, the dunes partially obscure the MGS, though the stack is still somewhat prominent. Viewer attention is drawn to the MGS facility, but it does not strongly attract viewer attention.
Moderate	
Low to Moderate	
Low	

Contrast Rating			
Contrast	Rating	Weighting	Score
Form	High = 3		
	Medium = 2		2
	Low = 1	x 2	2
	None = 0		
Line	High = 3		
	Medium = 2	1	2
	Low = 1	x 1	2
	None $= 0$		
Color	High = 3		
	Medium = 2	x 3	3
	Low = 1	X 3	5
	None $= 0$		
Texture	High = 3		
	Medium = 2	x 1	1
	Low = 1	X 1	1
	None $= 0$		
Scale	High = 3		
	Medium $= 2$	x 2	2
	Low = 1		
	None = 0		
Contrast Rating	Score		10

Scale Dominance Evaluation			
Rating	Score		
Dominant	12		
Co-Dominant	8		
Subordinate	4		
Insignificant	0		
Score			

Spatial Dominance Evaluation							
	Rating		Rating	Rating			
Composition of	Prominent	X					
Landscape	Significant						
	Inconspicuous						
Spatial Position of the	Prominent						
Project	Significant	X					
	Inconspicuous						
Backdrop to the	Prominent						
Project	Inconspicuous	X					
Score			4	Co-dominant			
0	Ratings Explanation and Definitions:						
Dominant:If 2-3 ratings are prominent = 6Co-dominant:If 1 rating is prominent or 2 highest ratings significant = 4Subordinate:If 1 highest rating significant = 2Insignificant:If all ratings are inconspicuous = 0(Maximum highest score is 6 points)							

Project Prominence								
КОР	Contrast	Scale Dominance	Spatial Dominance	Score				
#4	10	8	4	22				
27-35 = str 18-26 = mo 9-17 = we	36-45 = severe 27-35 = strong 18-26 = moderate 9-17 = weak							

Visual Impact Significance							
Landscape View Inventory		Project Prominence		Visual Absorption Capability		Level of Significance	Visual Impact Significance
High		Severe		High Absorption			Less than
Moderate to High		Strong		Moderate to High Absorption	X	Potentially Significant	significant
Moderate	X	Moderate	X	Moderate Absorption	X		-
Low to Moderate		Weak		Low to Moderate Absorption		Less Than Significant	
Low		Negligible		Low Absorption			

KOP 5: North Shore at Mandalay Bay

Landscape View Inventory			
Outstanding			
High			
Moderate to High			
Moderate			
Low to Moderate	KOP 5 was rated low to moderate for landscape value. This ranking is based the semi-panoramic nature of the view, which includes views of the Pacific Ocean and Oxnard Dunes. The dynamic and dramatic form of the Los Padres Mountains in the background also increased the value of this view. The view was not ranked more highly due to the disruptive nature of the form and line to this landscape caused by the existing MGS, SCE switchyard, McGrath Peaker, and oil and gas drilling equipment.		
Low			

Public View	
High	
Moderate	Views from KOP 5 are rated Moderate for public view. This rating was influenced by the fact that the Oxnard Dunes, Pacific Ocean, and Los Padres Mountains are all valued aesthetic resources by the City of Oxnard, and are visible from N. Harbor Drive. However, N. Harbor Drive is not specifically enumerated as a locally scenic road.
Low	

Visibility	
Dominant	
High	KOP 5 is ranked High based on the reduced dominance of the MGS within the existing viewshed. The Oxnard Dunes partially obscure the MGS, though the stack is still somewhat prominent. Viewer attention is drawn to the MGS facility, but it does not dominate viewer attention. The distant ridgelines and silhouette of the Los Padres Mountains soften the degree of contrast the existing MGS has within this viewshed.
Moderate to High	
Moderate	
Low to Moderate	
Low	

Contrast Rating					
Contrast	Rating	Weighting	Score		
Form	High = 3				
	Medium = 2		2		
	Low = 1	x 2			
	None = 0				
Line	High = 3				
	Medium = 2]1	1		
	Low = 1	x 1	1		
	None = 0				
Color	High = 3				
	Medium = 2	- 2	3		
	Low = 1	x 3			
	None = 0				
Texture	High = 3		1		
	Medium = 2]1			
	Low = 1	x 1			
	None = 0				
Scale	High = 3		1		
	Medium = 2				
	Low = 1	x 2			
	None = 0]			
Contrast Rating Score			8		

Scale Dominance Evaluation				
Rating	Score			
Dominant	12			
Co-Dominant	8			
Subordinate	4			
Insignificant	0			
Score				

Spatial Dominance Evaluation						
	Rating			Rating		
Composition of Landscape	Prominent					
	Significant	X				
	Inconspicuous					
Spatial Position of the	Prominent					
Project	Significant	X				
	Inconspicuous					
Backdrop to the	Prominent	X				
Project	Inconspicuous					
Score				4	Co-dominant	
Ratings Explanation and Definitions:						
Dominant: If 2-3 ratings are prominent = 6 Co-dominant: If 1 rating is prominent or 2 highest ratings significant = 4 Subordinate: If 1 highest rating significant = 2						

Insignificant: If all ratings are inconspicuous = 0

(Maximum highest score is 6 points)

Project Prominence							
КОР	Contrast	Contrast Scale Dominance Spatial Dominance		Score			
#5	8	8	4	20			
36-45 = severe $27-35 = strong$ $18-26 = moderate$ $9-17 = weak$ $0-8 = negligible$							

Visual Impact Significance							
Landscape View Inventory		Project Prominence		Visual Absorption Capability		Level of Significance	Visual Impact Significance
High		Severe		High Absorption	X	Significant	
Moderate to High		Strong		Moderate to High Absorption			
Moderate	X	Moderate	X	Moderate Absorption		Less Than	
Low to Moderate		Weak		Low to Moderate Absorption		Significant	
Low		Negligible		Low Absorption			