Docket Number:	07-AFC-06C
Project Title:	Carlsbad Energy Center - Compliance
TN #:	203303
Document Title:	Power of Vision Supplement to Status Report 2
Description:	N/A
Filer:	Arnold Roe, Ph.D.
Organization:	Power of Vision
Submitter Role:	Intervenor
Submission Date:	11/3/2014 1:05:21 PM
Docketed Date:	11/3/2014

Power of Vision

Julie Baker Arnold Roe, PhD 4213 Sunnyhill Dr Carlsbad, CA 92008 julbaker@pacbell.net roe@ucla.edu

Via E Filing November 3, 2014 Carlsbad Energy Center Project (07-AFC-06C)

Karen Douglas, Commissioner and Presiding Member Andrew McAllister, Commissioner and Associate Member California Energy Commission 1516 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Supplement to Power of Vision's October 31 Status Report

On October 29, 2014 Lord Locke LLP docketed (TN # 203270), on behalf of NRG, objections to CEC's Data Requests 77-84 (TN # 203149) dealing with the visual impacts of the new transmission line located adjacent to the I-5 Freeway. Lord Locke states that they object to the Commission's data requests because the visual issues were taken care of in the original CECP approval and that nothing has changed. Lord Locke fails to recognize that the PTA has a significant change from the approved CECP in that the approved CECP had the transmission line located on the western periphery of the project, whereas the PTA now has that transmission line adjacent to the I-5 freeway, thus substantially increasing its visual impact.

Much of the information requested (cross sectional drawings, visual renditions of power lines from the I-5 freeway) in the Commission's Data Requests 77-84 is similar in nature to data which NRG was able to provide (TN # 203058) in response to Power of Visions Data Request Set 1, and which proved to be important in clarifying transmission line issues at the September 24-25, 2014 Workshop in Carlsbad. Now that NRG has indicated that it was changing the location of some of the poles adjacent to the freeway, we believe that a response to the Commission's Data Requests 77-84 will provide similarly important information which may help ameliorate the visual impact on this relocated transmission line. We also believe the Commissions data

requests are valid and legitimate and look forward to the Committee directing the Applicant (NRG) to supply the information requested, in accordance with Title 20 California Code of Regulations, Section 1716 (g). We also reserve our right to file a similar petition in regard to NRG's objections to our Data Request Set II.

Julie Baker

Arnold Roe, Ph.D.