

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	11-AFC-03
Project Title:	Cogentrix Quail Brush Generation Project
TN #:	202208
Document Title:	Theresa McCarthy Comments: DENY SUSPENSION #2 & VACATE APPLICATION of Quail Brush
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Theresa McCarthy
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	4/22/2014 6:25:50 PM
Docketed Date:	4/22/2014

Comment Received From: Theresa McCarthy

Submitted On: 4/22/2014

Docket Number: 11-AFC-03

DENY SUSPENSION #2 & VACATE APPLICATION of Quail Brush

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

April 21, 2014

Subject: DENY REQUEST & VACATE APPLICATION of Quail Brush Generation Project

Dear CEC Commissioners,

What is the applicant requesting?

STATE OF CALIFORNIA State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission	
In the matter of: QUAIL BRUSH GENERATION PROJECT	No. 11-AFC-03 SECOND REQUEST FOR SUSPENSION

If a "Second Request for Suspension"; their suspension expired, so a Second Request isn't necessary.

If a "Request for Second Suspension", then they should be clear in entitling their request.

On behalf of Quail Brush Genco, LLC (the "Applicant"), we respectfully submit this Second Request for Suspension pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 20, section 1716.5. The Applicant hereby requests an additional twelve (12)-month suspension of the Application for Certification ("AFC") proceeding for the Quail Brush Generating Project (the "Project"), docket 11-AFC-03.

But they've never been clear. It's not a revelation that this applicant has submitted countless errors, inaccuracies, falsehoods and inconsistencies in their mountains of materials. A GLARING, PRIMARY ONE IS THAT NO GENUINE ALTERNATIVE SITES WERE PROVIDED IN THE APPLICATION.

It's hard to accept how such sloppy work, in such a serious a matter, can be allowed. The public intervenors and opponents have had the impossible burden added to daily lives with no support or compensation to review "Mt. Everests" of Quail Brush application materials that in countless places is erroneous, false or *just sloppy*, at best. What this application has deserved all along is a big, fat **F**.

Then the applicant has the audacity (to avoid using a more accurate and offensive word) to bring up the CPUC, SDGE, SONGs as “reasons” to request a suspension again.

- <http://www.eastcountymagazine.org/aguirre-sdgc-plan-charge-ratepayers-wildfire-costs-done-deal>
- <http://www.sandiegoreader.com/news/2014/jan/17/ticker-california-public-utilities-commissioner/>
(note Hasapopoulos' comment in this article for a **full quote from former Commissioner Ferron**)
- <http://eastcountymagazine.org/cpuc-approves-plan-replace-power-san-onofre-adds-option-gas-fired-plants>
- <http://www.utsandiego.com/news/2014/mar/13/east-county-water-use-sdgc-substation-complaint/>
- <http://www.utsandiego.com/news/2014/apr/22/bill-overhaul-delayed/>
- <http://www.utsandiego.com/news/2014/apr/18/33b-fair-or-foul/>
- <http://www.sandiegoreader.com/news/2014/mar/06/ticker-cpuc-shows-its-inbred-corruption/>

While you consider the reasons and justifications for decisions on siting of power plants, please remember that life is delicate and has been forced onto a balance that's been heavily weighed down in favor of corporate opportunism and environmental plundering far too long. That is dangerously destabilizing.

Sincerely,

Theresa McCarthy