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## CEC: Please deny new AES Redondo Operating Permit

AES Redondo Beach has operated at or less than $6 \%$ capacity in recent years, evidence enough that this minor facility has been of no import or consequence for our regional power generation needs.

Industrial Power generation was first sited at this coastal location at the turn of the LAST century to use ocean water for cooling purposes (now environmentally prohibited) when fewer than 1000 people inhabited Redondo Beach,

With current population north of 66,000 , Redondo Beach is the most densely populated community on California's entire 700 mile coastlne and least suited for unneeded power generation where small particulate emissions produced are known to the state of CA to be carcinogenic and responsible for life threatening cardiovasculat and respiratory disease. And in their EEC application, AES proposed a new facility $1500 \%$ capacity and attendent toxic pollution ... increase ??? For power transmitted to other communities ??

The Redondo Beach waterfront is no location for industrial power generation. Please compel AES to prove with a 'Needs Analysis' if they believe otherwise.

In closing, can I reasonably expect that CEC was not distracted by the disingenuous AES side show of packing the earlier RB Performing Arts Center workshop with out of town hardhat union construction workers ?? I can only imagine that this theater was meant to change our Redondo Beach Residents Do Not Need/Want An Unwarranted Power Plant narrative - to a jobs initiative ? RB residents were mortified.

A 'Needs Assessment' would

