# DOCKETED

	-
Docket Number:	13-AFC-01
<b>Project Title:</b>	Alamitos Energy Center
<b>TN</b> #:	201688
Document Title:	Completeness Response Letter to South Coast Air Quality Management District
Description:	N/A
Filer:	Sarah Madams
Organization:	CH2M HILL
Submitter Role:	Applicant Consultant
Submission Date:	2/10/2014 10:00:53 AM
Docketed Date:	2/10/2014



AES Southland Development 690 N. Studebaker Road Long Beach, CA 90803 *tel* 562 493 7891 fax 562 493 7320

February 7, 2014

Mr. Mohsen Nazemi, P.E. Deputy Executive Officer South Coast Air Quality Management District 21865 Copley Drive Diamond Bar, CA 90803

# Re: Alamitos Energy Center Air Permit Application Completeness Response (Facility ID 115394)

Dear Mr. Nazemi:

This letter provides the information the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) requested in your January 21, 2014 letter. The following information is presented in the same order as requested by the SCAQMD.

Rule 1304(a)(2) – Electric Utility Steam Boiler Replacement Exemption

a. Please outline in detail the construction, demolition, start-up, and commercial operation for the three projects (Huntington Beach Energy Project, Redondo Beach Energy Project, and Alamitos Energy Center). The table provided should include the megawatt changes (compared on a net and gross basis) for each phase for all units owned by AES Corporation to demonstrate that the generating capacity will not increase.

**Response:** Tables 1 through 3 present the construction and demolition schedules for Huntington Beach Energy Project (HBEP), Redondo Beach Energy Project (RBEP), and Alamitos Energy Center (AEC). These tables also present the dates of start-up and commercial operation for new turbines and the shutdown dates, as applicable, for existing turbines that will be demolished.

### Table 1 HBEP Project Schedule

Demolition/Construction Activity	Start and End Dates	First Fire Date	Commercial Operation Date
HBGS Unit 5 and Stack 3&4 Demolition	4/2015 to 6/2016	N/A	N/A
HBEP Block 1 Construction	7/2016 to 12/2018	9/1/2018	12/1/2018
HBEP Block 2 Construction	3/2018 to 6/2020	3/1/2020	6/1/2020
HBGS Units 1 and 2 Demolition	10/2020 to 9/2022	N/A	N/A

N/A = Not applicable

## Table 2

# **RBEP Project Schedule**

Demolition/Construction Activity	Start and End Dates	First Fire Date	Commercial Operation Date
RBGS Units 1 – 4 Demolition	1/2016 to 12/2016	N/A	N/A
RBEP Construction	3/2017 to 12/2019	9/1/2019	12/1/2019
RBGS Units 5 - 8 Demolition	1/2019 to 12/2020	N/A	N/A

# Table 3

#### **AEC Project Schedule**

Demolition/Construction Activity	Start and End Dates	First Fire Date	Commercial Operation Date
AGS Unit 7 Demolition	1/2016 to 8/2016	N/A	N/A
AEC Blocks 1 and 2 Construction	9/2016 to 5/2019	2/1/2019	5/1/2019
AGS Units 5 and 6 Demolition	10/2018 to 9/2020	N/A	N/A
AEC Block 3 Construction	3/2020 to 8/2022	5/1/2022	8/1/2022
AGS Units 3 and 4 Demolition	1/2022 to 12/2023	N/A	N/A
AEC Block 4 Construction	6/2023 to 11/2025	8/1/2025	11/1/2025
AGS Units 1 and 2 Demolition	8/2025 to 7/2027	N/A	N/A

Table 4 presents a Rule 1304(a)(2) proposed compliance assessment for the three AES repower projects, showing the timing for the first fire of the new generation equipment along with the gross and net electrical generation, the timing for shutdown of the existing units, and the megawatts for the existing units. The total HBEP megawatt ratings incorporate transmission interconnection constraints of 939 megawatts net and a permit limit of 972 megawatts gross. Table 4 shows that AES's basin-wide electricity generating capacity does not increase and that the timing and magnitude of the new generation and retirements comply with the SCAQMD's Rule 1313(d).

## Table 4

AES Rule 1304(a)(2) Compliance by Site

Project Phase	First Fire or Shutdown Date	MWs Gross	MWs Net
HBEP			
Block 1	9/1/2018	545.5	527.8
RBGS Unit 6 Retired	9/1/2018	175	175
RBGS Unit 8 Retired	9/1/2018	480	480
Block 2	3/1/2020	545.5	411.2
HBGS Unit 1 Retired	5/29/2020	215	215
HBGS Unit 2 Retired	5/29/2020	215	215
Megawatts Installed		972	939
Megawatts Retired		1,085	1,085
Surplus Megawatts		113	146
RBEP			
Block 1	9/1/2019	546.3	530.4
RBGS Unit 5 Retired	1/31/2019	175	175
RBGS Unit 7 Retired	1/31/2019	480	480
Megawatts Installed		546.3	530.4
Megawatts Retired		655	655
Surplus Megawatts (HBE	P and RBEP)	221.7	270.6
AEC			
Blocks 1 and 2	2/1/2019	1,077.9	1,047
AGS Unit 5 Retired	10/1/2018	480	480
AGS Unit 6 Retired	10/1/2018	480	480
Block 3	5/1/2022	538.95	523.5
AGS Unit 3 Retired	1/1/2022	320	320
AGS Unit 4 Retired	1/1/2022	320	320
Block 4	8/1/2025	538.95	523.5
AGS Unit 1 Retired	8/1/2025	175	175
AGS Unit 2 Retired	8/1/2025	175	175
Megawatts Installed		2,156	2,094
Megawatts Retired (Inclu RBEP surpluses)	Iding HBEP and	2,171.7	2,220.6

HBEP, RBEP and AEC gross and net MWs based on ambient temperatures of 32, 33 and 28 °F, respectively.

AGS = Alamitos Generating Station, HBGS = Huntington Beach Generating Station, RBGS = Redondo Beach Generating Station MW = megawatt(s)

b. Please outline a comprehensive shutdown schedule for each subject unit.

Response: Table 4 above presents the expected shutdown schedule for each subject unit.

Greenhouse Gases (GHG) BACT Analysis

a. Please calculate the emission rate in net megawatt-hours, identifying heat rates at various operating loads, start-up and shutdown periods, and at different configurations (1 on 1, 2 on 1, and 3 on 1), as well as the amount of hours the facility expects to operate at each configuration.

**Response:** Table 5 presents the gross and net heat rates and the net electrical generation output for a single power block in a 1 on 1, 2 on 1, and a 3 on 1 configuration. Table 6 presents the average electrical production and gross and net heat rates for AEC's four power blocks based on the expected operating hours for each configuration (1 on 1, 2 on 1, and 3 on 1). Table 7 presents gross and net heat rates for the start-up and shutdown events along with the expected annual hours for each.

i able b	Та	ble	5
----------	----	-----	---

#### AEC Heat Rates and Electrical Production for 1 Power Block

Parameter	Turbine Output (%)			
Falalletei	70	80	90	100
Heat Rates for a 1 on 1 Configuration				
Net Plant Electrical Output (kW)	116,977	130,750	144,285	161,150
Net Plant Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-LHV)	7,969	7,796	7,669	7,578
Estimated Gross Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-LHV)	7,737	7,569	7,446	7,357
Estimated Net Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-HHV)	8,766	8,576	8,436	8,336
Heat Rates for a 2 on 1 Configuration				
Net Plant Electrical Output (kW)	241,081	268,702	295,720	329,459
Net Plant Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-LHV)	7,733	7,587	7,484	7,413
Estimated Gross Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-LHV)	7,508	7,366	7,266	7,197
Estimated Net Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-HHV)	8,506	8,346	8,232	8,154
Heat Rates for a 3 on 1 Configuration				
Net Plant Electrical Output (kW)	363,249	403,656	443,066	492,265
Net Plant Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-LHV)	7,698	7,575	7,492	7,440
Estimated Gross Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-LHV)	7,474	7,354	7,274	7,223
Estimated Net Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-HHV)	8,468	8,333	8,241	8,184

Btu/kWh = British thermal unit(s) per kilowatt-hours

HHV = higher heating value

kW = kilowatt(s)

LHV = lower heating value

# Table 6

AEC Heat Rates and Electrical Production for 4 Power Blocks

Barameter	Turbine Output (%)			
	70	80	90	100
Heat Rates for a 1 on 1 Configuration				
Hours per Configuration per Year		80	00	
Net Plant Electrical Output (kW)	467,908	523,000	577,140	644,600
Net Plant Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-LHV)	7,969	7,796	7,669	7,578
Estimated Gross Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-LHV)	7,737	7,569	7,446	7,357
Estimated Net Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-HHV)	8,765.9	8,575.6	8,435.9	8,335.8
Average Power Output (kW)		553	,162	
Average Net Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-HHV)		8,5	528	
Average Gross Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-HHV)	7,527			
Heat Rates for a 2 on 1 Configuration				
Hours per Configuration per Year		7,2	200	
Net Plant Electrical Output (kW)	964,324	1,074,808	1,182,880	1,317,836
Net Plant Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-LHV)	7,733	7,587	7,484	7,413
Estimated Gross Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-LHV)	7,508	7,366	7,266	7,197
Estimated Net Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-HHV)	8,506	8,346	8,232	8,154
Average Power Output (kW)		1,134	1,962	
Average Net Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-HHV)		8,3	310	
Average Gross Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-HHV)		7,3	34	
Heat Rates for a 3 on 1 Configuration				
Hours per Configuration per Year		3,0	000	
Net Plant Electrical Output (kW)	1,452,996	1,614,624	1,772,264	1,969,060
Net Plant Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-LHV)	7,698	7,575	7,492	7,440
Estimated Gross Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-LHV)	7,474	7,354	7,274	7,223
Estimated Net Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-HHV)	8,468	8,333	8,241	8,184
Average Power Output (kW)		1,702	2,236	
Average Net Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-HHV)		8,3	806	
Average Gross Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-HHV)	7,331			

# Table 7<br/>Start-up and Shutdown Heat Rates and HoursStart-up Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-LHV, Gross)18,267Shutdown Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-LHV, Gross)16,520Start-up Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-HHV, Net)19,508Shutdown Heat Rate (Btu/kWh-HHV, Net)17,643Annual Start-up Hours361Annual Shutdown Hours104

Table 8 presents AEC's greenhouse gas (GHG) efficiency estimates based on the annual average gross and net heat rate data presented in Tables 5 through 7 and using the SCAQMD's methodology, as presented in the HBEP Preliminary Determination of Compliance, issued on January 24, 2014.<sup>1</sup>

Based on these assumptions, AEC's calculated operating profile GHG efficiency would be 1,024 pounds of carbon dioxide per megawatt-hour (lb  $CO_2/MWh$ ) on a net basis, assuming the equipment is new and clean. Over time, the overall performance will degrade. Assuming a conservative degradation of 8 percent (as used in other SCAQMD analyses) the calculations result in an estimated GHG efficiency of 1,106 lb  $CO_2/MWh$  on a net basis.

California's emission performance standard applies to "base load generation", defined as electricity generation from a power plant that is designed and intended to provide electricity at an annualized plant capacity factor of at least 60 percent Senate Bill 1368 (Perata, Chapter 598, Statutes of 2006). Assuming the AEC is operated at a maximum electrical output of 1,902 MWs net for 3,785 hours per year (3,320 operating hours, 361 start-up hours, and 104 shutdown hours), the permitted plant capacity factor would be 43 percent.<sup>2</sup>

#### Table 8 AEC GHG Efficiency

8,712
7,753
1,019
906
1,100
979

 $CO_2$  = carbon dioxide

lb/MWh = pound(s) per megawatt-hour

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Appendix F - <u>http://docketpublic.energy.ca.gov/PublicDocuments/12-AFC-02/TN201595\_20140127T104536\_SCAQMD\_PDOC\_for\_AES\_HB.pdf</u>

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  (1,902 MWs \* 3,785 hours) / (1,902 MWs \* 8,760 hours) \* 100 = 43 Percent

Application Numbers 559395, 559396, and 559397 - Oil/Water Separators

a. Please remit the difference of \$2,580.90 (\$7,017.70 - \$4,436.80 already paid) referencing A/Ns 559395, 559396, and 559397 to Permit Services.

**Response:** Attached is a check in the amount of \$2,580.90 which references Application Numbers 559395, 559396, and 559397.

Sincerely,

Stephen O'Kane Vice-President AES Southland Development, LLC

cc: Jennifer Didlo/AES Jeff Harris/ESH Jerry Salamy/CH2M HILL Keith Winstead/CEC Marcel Saulis/SCAQMD