DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	13-AFC-01
<b>Project Title:</b>	Alamitos Energy Center
TN #:	201620-46
<b>Document Title:</b>	AEC AFC Appendix 5.1F Dispersion Modeling Protocols
Description:	Previously TN# 201493-19
Filer:	Tiffani Winter
Organization:	CH2M Hill
Submitter Role:	Applicant Consultant
Submission Date:	2/3/2014 12:47:11 PM
Docketed Date:	2/3/2014

Appendix 5.1F Dispersion Modeling Protocol APPENDIX 5.1F

### **Dispersion Modeling Protocols**

This appendix contains the air dispersion modeling protocols used to assess air quality impacts at Class II and Class I areas near the Alamitos Energy Center. The files contained within this appendix are as follows:

Protocol	Dispersion Modeling Protocol for the Alamitos Energy Center
Protocol	Dispersion Modeling Protocol for Air Quality Related Values at Class I
	Areas Near the Alamitos Energy Center
Response to Comments	Alamitos Energy Center – Response to USFS FLM Comments on the
	AQRV Protocol
Correspondence	Forest Service Approval of Class I Modeling Protocol

Modeling Protocol

## Dispersion Modeling Protocol for the Alamitos Energy Center

AES Southland Development, LLC

690 N. Studebaker Road Long Beach, CA 90803

December 2013

Submitted to South Coast Air Quality Management District and the California Energy Commission

> Prepared by CH2MHILL 2485 Natomas Park Drive, Suite 600 Sacramento, CA 95833

## Contents

Section	Page
Acronyms and Abbreviations	v
1.0 Introduction	1-1
2.0 Existing Setting	
2.1 Area Classifications	
2.2 Background Concentrations	2-1
3.0 Methodology for Estimating Project-Related Emissions	
3.1 Construction	
3.2 Commissioning	
3.3 Operation	3-3
4.0 Topography and Meteorology	
4.1 Topography	4-1
4.2 Meteorology	
4.2.1 Meteorology for Dispersion Modeling	
4.2.2 Upper Air Data	
4.2.3 AERMET Preprocessing	4-2
5.0 Dispersion Modeling Approach	5-1
5.1 Model Selection	5-1
5.2 Model Input Defaults/Options	5-1
5.3 Land Use / Classification	5-1
5.4 Receptor Network	5-2
5.5 Source Characterization	5-2
5.5.1 Construction	5-2
5.5.2 Commissioning	5-2
5.5.3 Operation	
5.6 Building Wake Downwash and Good Engineering Practice	5-3
6.0 Air Quality Impacts Analysis	6-1
6.1 SCAQMD New Source Review	6-1
6.1.1 Rule 1303 and Rule 1304	6-1
6.1.2 Rule 1401	6-2
6.1.3 Rule 2005	6-2
6.2 Prevention of Significant Deterioration	
6.3 Class II Area Analysis	
6.3.1 Tier 1 Analysis	
6.3.2 Tier 2 Analysis	
6.4 Class I Area Increment Analysis	
6.5 California Energy Commission Air Dispersion Analysis	
6.5.1 Construction Emissions Impact Assessment	
6.5.2 Commissioning Emissions Impact Assessment	
6.5.3 Operational Emissions Impact Assessment	
6.5.4 Fumigation Impact Assessment	6-7

7.0 Human Health Risk Assessment	
7.1 Model Selection	7-1
7.2 Evaluation of Impacts	7-1
8.0 Cumulative Impacts Analysis	8-1
9.0 Presentation of Results	9-1
10.0 References	

#### Tables

2-1	State and Federal Air	Quality Designations for	Los Angeles County (South	Coast Air Basin), California
-----	-----------------------	--------------------------	---------------------------	------------------------------

- 2-2 Summary of the Nearest Monitoring Stations and the Pollutants Monitored at Each Station
- 2-3 Background Air Concentrations (2008–2012)
- 3-1 Annual Facility Emission Estimates
- 6-1 Rule 2005 Emissions Levels That Trigger Dispersion Modeling Requirement
- 6-2 Rule 2005 Air Quality Thresholds and Standards Applicable to the Project (per Emission Unit)
- 6-3 PSD Emissions Levels That Trigger Dispersion Modeling Requirements
- 6-4 PSD Air Quality Impact Standards Applicable to the Project
- 6-5 Class I SIL and PSD Class I Increment Standards Applicable to the Project

#### Figures

- 1-1 Regional Location Map
- 4-1 SCAQMD North Long Beach Meteorological Station Wind Rose
- 6-1 Distance to Nearby Class I Areas
- 6-2 Class II Areas within 50 km of AEC
- 6-3 AEC 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> Distance to Significant Impact Level

## Acronyms and Abbreviations

°F	degrees Fahrenheit
ΔΕ	color difference
μg/m³	microgram(s) per cubic meter
AEC	Alamitos Energy Center
AES-SLD	AES Southland Development, LLC
AFC	Application for Certification
AQRV	air quality-related values
ARB	California Air Resources Board
ARM	Ambient Ratio Method
BACT	Best Available Control Technology
CAAQS	California Ambient Air Quality Standards
CalEEMod	California Emissions Estimator Model
CAPCOA	California Air Pollution Control Officers Association
CEC	California Energy Commission
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CH <sub>4</sub>	methane
CO	carbon monoxide
CO <sub>2</sub>	carbon dioxide
CO <sub>2</sub> e	carbon dioxide equivalent
CTG	combustion turbine generator
DPM	diesel particulate matter
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
FLM	Federal Land Managers
GHG	greenhouse gas
GRP	General Reporting Protocol
H <sub>2</sub> S	hydrogen sulfide
$H_2SO_4$	sulfuric acid
HARP	Hotspots Analysis Reporting Program
НВЕР	Huntington Beach Energy Project
HFC	hydrofluorocarbons
HI	hazard index
HRA	health risk assessment
HRSG	heat recovery steam generator
IMPROVE	Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments
ISC	Industrial Source Complex

К	degrees Kelvin
km	kilometer
L/kg/day	liters per kilogram per day
lb	pound(s)
LBWD	City of Long Beach Water Department
MEIR	maximally exposed individual resident
MICR	maximum individual cancer risk
MPRM	Meteorological Processor for Regulatory Modeling Applications
MPSA	Mitsubishi Power Systems Americas
MT	metric ton(s)
MW	megawatt(s)
N <sub>2</sub> O	nitrous oxide
N/A	Not Applicable
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NAD 83	North American Datum 1983
NO <sub>2</sub>	nitrogen dioxide
NO <sub>x</sub>	oxides of nitrogen
NSR	New Source Review
OEHHA	Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment
PFC	perfluorocarbons
PM <sub>10</sub>	particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	particulate matter less than 2.5 microns in diameter
ppm	parts per million
ppmv	parts per million by volume
PSD	Prevention of Significant Deterioration
PTE	potential to emit
PVMRM	plume volume molar ratio method
Q/D	emissions/distance
RECLAIM	Regional Clean Air Incentives Market
REL	Reference Exposure Level
SCAQMD	South Coast Air Quality Management District
SCE	Southern California Edison
SF <sub>6</sub>	sulfur hexafluoride
SIL	significant impact levels
SO <sub>2</sub>	sulfur dioxide
STG	steam turbine generator
T-BACT	Best Available Control Technology for Toxics
TAC	toxic air contaminants
TCR	The Climate Registry

tpy	ton(s) per year
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator
VOC	volatile organic compounds

### SECTION 1.0 Introduction

AES Southland Development, LLC (AES-SLD) proposes to construct, own, and operate the Alamitos Energy Center (AEC)—a natural-gas-fired, air-cooled, combined-cycle, electrical generating facility in Long Beach, Los Angeles County, California. The proposed AEC will have a net generating capacity of 1,936 megawatts (MW) and gross generating capacity of 1,995 MW.<sup>1</sup> The AEC will replace and be constructed on the site of the existing Alamitos Generating Station located at 690 N. Studebaker Road, Long Beach, California 90803 (see Figure 1-1).

AEC will consist of four 3-on-1 combined-cycle gas turbine power blocks with twelve Mitsubishi Power Systems Americas (MPSA) 501DA combustion turbine generators (CTG), twelve heat recovery steam generators (HRSG), four steam turbine generators (STG), four air-cooled condensers, and related ancillary equipment. The CTGs will use dry low oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) burners and selective catalytic reduction to limit NO<sub>x</sub> emissions to 2 parts per million by volume (ppmv). Emissions of carbon monoxide (CO) will be limited to 2 ppmv and volatile organic compounds (VOC) to 1 ppmv through the use of an oxidation catalyst. Best combustion practices and burning pipeline-quality natural gas will minimize emissions of the remaining pollutants.

The AEC will use air-cooled condensers for cooling, completely eliminating the existing ocean water oncethrough-cooling system. The AEC will use potable water provided by the City of Long Beach Water Department (LBWD) for construction, operational process, and sanitary uses but at substantially lower volumes than the existing Alamitos Generating Station has historically used. This water will be supplied through existing onsite potable water lines.

The AEC will interconnect to the existing Southern California Edison 230-kilovolt switchyard adjacent to the north side of the property. Natural gas will be supplied to the AEC via the existing offsite 30-inch-diameter pipeline owned and operated by Southern California Gas Company that currently serves the Alamitos Generating Station. Existing water treatment facilities, emergency services, and administration and maintenance buildings will be reused for the AEC. The AEC will require relocation of the natural gas metering facilities and construction of a new natural gas compressor building within the existing Alamitos Generating Station site footprint. Stormwater will be discharged to two retention basins and then ultimately to the San Gabriel River via existing stormwater outfalls.

The AEC will include a new 1,000-foot process/sanitary wastewater pipeline to the first point of interconnection with the existing LBWD sewer system and will eliminate the current practice of treatment and discharge of process/sanitary wastewater to the San Gabriel River. The project may also require upgrading approximately 4,000 feet of the existing offsite LBWD sewer line downstream of the first point of interconnection; therefore, this possible offsite improvement to the LBWD system is also analyzed in this Application for Certification (AFC). The total length of the new pipeline (1,000 feet) and the upgraded pipeline (4,000 feet) is approximately 5,000 feet.

The existing Alamitos Generating Station, constructed in the 1950s and 1960s, currently has six operating generating units (Units 1–6) and one retired generating unit (Unit 7). The operating units, the retired unit, and other ancillary structures will be demolished as part of the project. Because the existing Alamitos Generating Station units will be retired and removed as part of the project, the maximum 2-year historical past actual emissions from these units between calendar years 2008 and 2012 will be subtracted from the potential to emit (PTE) for the AEC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Referenced to site ambient average temperature conditions of 65.3 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) dry bulb and 62.7°F wet bulb temperature without evaporative cooler operation.

The AEC will be permitted through the California Energy Commission (CEC) AFC licensing process and the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) New Source Review (NSR) permitting process. Because the AEC is also categorized as one of the 28 Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) major source categories (40 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] 52.21(b)(1)(i)), the project is subject to PSD permitting requirements if the net emission increase from the project exceeds 100 tons per year (tpy) for any regulated pollutant, with the exception of greenhouse gases (GHG). The threshold for GHGs is a net increase of 100,000 tpy.

Despite the netting analysis, the resulting PTE is still expected to exceed both the 100 and 100,000 tpy thresholds for criteria pollutants and GHGs, respectively (see Section 3.3, Operation). Therefore, the AEC will be considered a major stationary source in accordance with PSD review. SCAQMD has also been delegated PSD-permitting authority. Therefore, the PSD modeling results will be submitted to SCAQMD as part of the permitting process.

Dispersion modeling will be conducted to demonstrate that the AEC will neither cause a new violation of a state or federal ambient air quality standard nor make an existing violation significantly worse for nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), CO, particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter (PM<sub>10</sub>), particulate matter less than 2.5 microns in diameter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>), and sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>). AES-SLD intends to submit an air quality impact analysis to both SCAQMD and CEC that evaluates the impacts from AEC commissioning, startup/shutdown, and normal facility operations. AES-SLD will also evaluate the demolition and construction-based air quality impacts per CEC regulations. In addition, an assessment of the cumulative air quality impacts analysis and the potential human health risks associated with operation of the AEC will be performed. Although VOC and GHG emissions are included in the following discussion, modeling VOC or GHG emissions is not required.

The following discussion presents the methodology proposed for evaluating the potential air quality and public health impacts associated with AEC demolition, construction, commissioning, and operation activities.



#### Legend

Project Boundary

 0
 1
 2

 Miles
 N

 FIGURE 1-1

 Regional Location Map

 Alamitos Energy Center

 Long Beach, California

### 2.1 Area Classifications

The AEC will be located in Long Beach, Los Angeles County, California. The county is in attainment for all federal National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) with the exception of ozone, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and lead. The county is in attainment for all California Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS) with the exception of ozone, NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and lead. The area classifications for each of the pollutants are included in Table 2-1.

Pollutant **State Designation Federal Designation** Ozone 1-Hour: Non-attainment (Extreme) 1-Hour: N/A 8-Hour: Non-attainment 8-Hour: Non-attainment (Extreme) CO 1-Hour: Attainment 1-Hour: Attainment 8-Hour: Attainment 8-Hour: Attainment NO<sub>2</sub> 1-Hour: Non-attainment 1-Hour: Attainment Annual: Non-attainment Annual: Attainment SO<sub>2</sub> 1-Hour: Attainment 1-Hour: Attainment 24-Hour: Attainment 24-Hour: N/A PM<sub>10</sub> 24-Hour: Attainment\* 24-Hour: Non-attainment Annual: Non-attainment Annual: N/A 24-Hour: Non-attainment 24-Hour: N/A PM<sub>2.5</sub> Annual: Non-attainment Annual: Non-attainment Non-attainment Non-attainment Lead H<sub>2</sub>S, Sulfates Unclassified, Attainment N/A, N/A

TABLE 2-1
State and Federal Air Quality Designations for Los Angeles County (South Coast Air Basin), California

\*Effective July 26, 2013, Los Angeles County was reclassified by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) from nonattainment to attainment for PM<sub>10</sub> (78 Federal Register 38223; EPA-R09-OAR-2013-0007-0021).

N/A = Not Applicable (i.e., no standard) H<sub>2</sub>S = hydrogen sulfide

Sources: California Air Resources Board (ARB), 2013c; EPA, 2013b

## 2.2 Background Concentrations

Several monitoring stations are located near the AEC site, including monitoring stations in the cities of Long Beach, Anaheim, and Compton. The four closest California Air Resources Board (ARB)-certified monitoring stations relative to the AEC site with three or more years of data available are located approximately 4.6 miles northwest of the project site in South Long Beach (South Coastal Los Angeles County 2), 6.4 miles northwest of the project site in North Long Beach (South Coastal Los Angeles County 1), 7.2 miles to the northwest of the project site in Long Beach (South Coastal Los Angeles County 3, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency [EPA] ID 06-037-4006), and 10.1 miles to the east-northeast of the project site in Anaheim (Central Orange County). One other ARB-certified monitoring station was identified near the project site: the South Central Los Angeles County monitoring station in Compton. However, this monitoring station was relocated from the Lynwood location in 2008 and is approximately 10.9 miles to the northTABLE 2-2

northwest of the project site, which is farther from the project site than the other monitoring stations identified.

Table 2-2 lists the pollutants monitored at each of the monitoring stations.

Summary of the Nearest Monitoring Stations and the Pollutants Monitored at Each Station							
Monitoring Location	Ozone	NO <sub>2</sub>	со	SO2	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Lead
South Coastal Los Angeles County 1 (North Long Beach)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
South Coastal Los Angeles County 2 (South Long Beach)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes	Yes
Central Orange County (Anaheim)	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	Yes	Yes	N/A
South Central Los Angeles County (Compton) <sup>a</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	Yes	Yes
South Coastal Los Angeles County 3, EPA ID 06-037- 4006 (Long Beach) <sup>b</sup>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>a</sup> Station is near the AEC, but not one of the three closest stations. The station has been presented for informational purposes. <sup>b</sup> Station, referred to as the Hudson site by the SCAQMD, was commissioned in 2010 and, at the request of the SCAQMD, is used to represent NO<sub>2</sub> background because EPA Region 9 believes that it captures the large NO<sub>x</sub> sources in the Ports area that are upwind of the AEC.

Yes = Pollutant monitored at this location

N/A = Not Applicable (i.e., pollutant was not monitored at this location)

As outlined in 40 CFR 51, Appendix W, Section 9.2, the background data used to evaluate the potential air quality impacts need not be collected on a project site, as long as the data are representative of the air quality in the subject area. The following three criteria were used for determining whether the background data are representative of the project site: (1) location, (2) data quality, and (3) data currentness. These criteria are defined and apply to the project as follows:

• Location: The measured data must be representative of the areas where the maximum concentration occurs for the proposed stationary source, existing sources, and a combination of the proposed and existing sources.

The nearest monitoring station relative to the project site is the South Long Beach monitoring station (South Coastal Los Angeles County 2). This monitoring station is located approximately 4.6 miles northwest of the project site. The proximity to the ocean is similar at both locations, and no significant terrain features are in the vicinity of either the project site or monitoring station that would significantly affect the representativeness of the winds or monitored background concentrations. For the reasons noted previously, the South Long Beach monitoring station is considered the most representative location. However, because the South Long Beach monitoring station only measures PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and lead, the nearest representative location for the remaining pollutants was selected based on the surrounding features, as discussed below.

The North Long Beach monitoring station (South Coastal Los Angeles County 1) is close to the AEC site (approximately 6.4 miles to the northwest), is located in an urban area near two large industrial sources (the Port of Long Beach and the Long Beach airport), and collects monitored background concentrations comparable to the other monitoring station options located in Long Beach. In addition, the North Long Beach monitoring station measures each of the pollutants required in the air quality impact analysis. The Anaheim monitoring station (Central Orange County) is directly downwind from the project site, but is farther away (approximately 10.1 miles to the east-northeast), farther inland than the project site, and collects monitored background concentrations lower than those collected at the North Long Beach monitoring station (i.e., the North Long Beach monitoring station represents a more conservative analysis).

Based on the information above, the ambient data collected at the North Long Beach monitoring station are considered representative of the project site for the pollutants not monitored at the South Long Beach monitoring station, unless otherwise noted below. Additionally, a meteorological dataset has also been collected at the North Long Beach monitoring station and is considered representative of the project site using the criteria above (see Section 4.0, Topography and Meteorology).

At the request of SCAQMD, NO<sub>2</sub> data collected at the Long Beach monitoring station (South Coastal Los Angeles County 3, EPA ID 06-037-4006) are considered representative of the project site. This monitoring station is located approximately 7.2 miles to the northwest of the project site and is considered representative because it captures the large NO<sub>x</sub>-emitting sources in the Ports area that are upwind of the proposed project.

• **Data quality:** Data must be collected and equipment must be operated in accordance with the requirements of 40 CFR 58, Appendices A and B, and PSD monitoring guidance.

The SCAQMD, ARB, and EPA ambient air quality data summaries will be used as the primary sources of data. Therefore, the data at each of the monitoring stations listed in Table 2-2 meet the data quality requirements of 40 CFR 58, Appendices A and B, and PSD monitoring guidance.

• **Data currentness:** The data are current if they have been collected within the preceding three years and are representative of existing conditions.

The maximum ambient background concentrations from the three most recent years will be combined with the modeled concentrations and used for comparison to the ambient air quality standards. Therefore, the data at each of the monitoring stations listed in Table 2-2 represent the three most recent years of data available.<sup>2</sup>

Based on the criteria presented previously, the three most recent years of background hourly NO<sub>2</sub> data from the Long Beach monitoring station, the three most recent years of background CO, SO<sub>2</sub>, ozone, and annual NO<sub>2</sub> data from the North Long Beach monitoring station, and the three most recent years of background PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and lead data from the South Long Beach monitoring station will be combined with the modeled concentrations and used for comparison to the ambient air quality standards. A summary of the background concentrations for 2008 through 2012 is presented in Table 2-3. In a few instances, 2011 or 2012 data were unavailable and, therefore, 2008 or 2009 data were used to maintain the three most recent years of data.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  It should be noted that the recently established site in Long Beach (South Coastal Los Angeles County 3, EPA ID 06-037-4006) does not have three complete years of data available. In 2012, NO<sub>2</sub> was only monitored during peak conditions; therefore, the collected data do not meet the completeness criteria for an annual averaging time.

#### TABLE 2-3 Background Air Concentrations (2008–2012)

	Averaging Time	200	<b>)8</b> ª	20	2009 ° 2010		010	2011		2012		Maximum
Pollutant		ppm	µg/m³	ppm	µg/m³	ppm	µg∕m³	ppm	µg/m³	ppm	µg/m³	µg∕m³
NO <sub>2</sub>	1-hour (Max.)	_	_	_	_	0.118	222	0.090	169	0.091	170	222
	1-hour (98th Percentile)	_	_	_	_	0.071	134	0.074	139	0.077	146	139 <sup>d</sup>
	Annual <sup>b</sup>	—	—	_	—	0.020	37.3	0.018	33.3	0.021	39.1	39.1
Ozone	1-hour (Max.)	_	_	_	_	0.101	198	0.073	143	0.084	165	198
	8-hour (Max.)	_	_	_	_	0.084	165	0.061	120	0.067	132	165
SO <sub>2</sub>	1-hour (Max.)	_	_	_	_	0.040	105	0.015	38.7	0.022	58.1	105
	1-hour (99th Percentile)	_	_	_	_	0.016	41.9	0.011	28.0	0.014	37.4	35.8 <sup>d</sup>
	3-hour <sup>c</sup>	0.038	98.4	0.011	29.6	0.025	64.4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	98.4
	24-hour (Max.)	_	_	_	_	0.006	15.7	0.004	10.5	0.003	7.85	15.7
со	1-hour (Max.)	_	_	_	_	3.00	3,436	3.20	3,665	2.60	2,978	3,665
	8-hour (Max.)	_	_	_	_	2.10	2,405	2.60	2,978	2.20	2,519	2,978
PM <sub>10</sub>	24-hour (Max.)	_	_	_	_	_	76.0	_	50.0	_	54.0	76.0
	Annual <sup>b</sup>	_	_	_	_	_	27.3	_	28.7	_	25.5	28.7
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	24-hour (98th Percentile)	_	_	_	_	_	26.5	_	26.6	_	25.1	26.1 <sup>d</sup>
	Annual <sup>b</sup>	—	_	_	_	_	10.4	—	10.7	_	10.6	10.7
Lead	Monthly (Max.)	_	_	_	0.010	_	0.010	_	0.013	_	N/A	0.013
	Quarterly (Max.)	_	_	_	0.010	_	0.010	_	0.009	_	N/A	0.010

<sup>a</sup> 2008 and 2009 data were used when 2011 and 2012 data were unavailable for certain pollutants.

<sup>b</sup> Annual Arithmetic Mean.

<sup>c</sup> EPA Secondary Standard.

<sup>d</sup> 3-year average rather than the maximum.

 $\mu g/m^3 = micrograms per cubic meter$ 

N/A = Not Applicable (i.e., data not available from SCAQMD, ARB, or EPA sources) ppm = parts per million

Sources: SCAQMD, 2013; ARB, 2013a; EPA, 2013a

# Methodology for Estimating Project-Related Emissions

## 3.1 Construction

Onsite construction activities will consist of the installation of twelve new CTGs, twelve new HRSGs, four new STGs, four new air-cooled condensers, and various auxiliary equipment. AEC construction is anticipated to take approximately 139 months, starting in January 2016 and finishing in July 2027. The AEC will reuse existing onsite potable water, natural gas, and stormwater pipelines as well as electrical transmission facilities to the maximum extent possible; however, some modification and interconnection of the AEC facility into these systems will require construction activity. Additionally, the project will include construction of a new 1,000-foot offsite wastewater pipeline and the potential need to upgrade up to 4,000 feet of an existing offsite sanitary pipeline.

The project will commence in the first quarter of 2016 with demolition of the retired Alamitos Generating Station Unit 7, the Unit 7 fuel tank, and the northeast warehouse, which is anticipated to take approximately 8 months. Demolition of the retired Alamitos Generating Station Unit 7, the Unit 7 fuel tank, and the northeast warehouse will make space for construction of AEC Blocks 1 and 2. Construction of AEC Block 1 is scheduled to commence in the third guarter of 2016, and construction of AEC Block 2 is scheduled to commence in the fourth guarter of 2016; construction of AEC Blocks 1 and 2 is anticipated to take approximately 33 months. Demolition of Alamitos Generating Station Units 5 and 6 will commence in the fourth guarter of 2018 and continue for approximately 24 months. Demolition of Units 5 and 6 will make space for construction of AEC Block 3, which is scheduled to commence in the first quarter of 2020 and continue for approximately 30 months. Demolition of Alamitos Generating Station Units 3 and 4 will commence in the first quarter of 2022 and continue for approximately 24 months. Demolition of Units 3 and 4 will make space for construction of AEC Block 4, which is scheduled to commence in the second guarter of 2023 and continue for approximately 30 months. Demolition of Alamitos Generating Station Units 1 and 2 is scheduled to commence in the third quarter of 2025 and continue for approximately 24 months. Demolition of the existing units will include an organized, top-down dismantling of the existing boiler units, generators, and stacks. The existing foundations will remain largely intact at the conclusion of the demolition activities, and most of the demolition debris will be transported to an offsite location for recycling. In addition to the planned construction and demolition activities, construction of the new offsite wastewater pipeline and upgrades to the existing offsite sanitary pipeline will commence in the third quarter of 2016 and continue for approximately 4 months. All project-related construction/demolition activities are expected to be complete by July 2027. Throughout this duration, there will be periods of up to 8 months of overlap between construction and demolition activities.

Construction of the AEC will require the use of onsite laydown areas (approximately 8 acres dispersed throughout the existing site) and a 10-acre laydown area located adjacent to the existing site. At all times, more laydown space will be available due to the sequential nature of the project. The adjacent 10-acre laydown area will be shared with another project (Huntington Beach Energy Project [HBEP] – 12-AFC-02) being developed by AES-SLD. Due to the timing for commencement of construction for these two projects, the 10-acre laydown area will already be in use for equipment storage before AEC construction begins. Because emissions associated with preparing this area for equipment storage will occur prior to commencement of AEC construction, and offsite truck travel associated with the use of this laydown area for HBEP were included in HBEP's AFC (12-AFC-02). Air emissions attributed to the AEC project, unrelated to site preparation, will be minimal emissions from construction equipment used to move AEC items into and out of the laydown area for Construction of AEC Block 3. Exhaust emissions associated with the use of the

10-acre laydown area are included in the Block 3 construction and demolition estimates. During AEC construction, all construction equipment and supplies will be trucked directly to the project site.

Onsite and offsite project emissions from construction and demolition will be divided into three categories: (1) vehicle and construction/demolition equipment exhaust; (2) fugitive dust from vehicle and construction/demolition equipment, including grading and bulldozing during AEC construction; and (3) fugitive dust from demolition activities such as the top-down removal of the boilers and stacks, and loading of waste haul trucks with the recyclable materials and generated debris.

The following criteria pollutant emissions will be calculated: NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, VOC, CO, PM<sub>10</sub>, and PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Fugitive dust and construction equipment exhaust emissions will be estimated using methodology and emission factors consistent with the California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod; Version 2013.2.2 or newer), which incorporates portions of EPA's *AP-42* (ENVIRON, 2013; EPA, 2006; SCAQMD, et al., 2011). Vehicle exhaust emissions for both paved and unpaved roads will be estimated using EMFAC2011 emission factors,<sup>3</sup> as consistent with the CalEEMod methodology.<sup>4</sup> As appropriate, fugitive dust emissions will be mitigated by watering; the control efficiency for each mitigation measure applied will be determined per the SCAQMD *California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Handbook* (SCAQMD, 2007). It is not expected that large stockpiles of earthen materials will be present during project construction; therefore, wind-blown fugitive dust emissions from earthen stockpiles will be assumed to be negligible.

Construction and demolition equipment GHG emissions will be estimated using emission factors from The Climate Registry (TCR) General Reporting Protocol (GRP, Version 2.0) (TCR, 2013) and fuel consumption rates from OFFROAD2007. Vehicle emissions (from vehicles used in commuting and from trucks) will be estimated using emission factors from TCR GRP (Version 2.0) (TCR, 2013) and fuel economy values from the EMFAC2011 Web Based Database.<sup>5</sup> No significant emissions of hydrofluorocarbons (HFC), perfluorocarbons (PFC), or sulfur hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>) are expected during construction and demolition.

SCAQMD staff has recommended a GHG significance threshold that would apply to stationary source/industrial projects and would include direct and indirect emissions during construction and operation. Following the Tier 3 screening level approach, construction emissions would be amortized over the life of the project (defined as 30 years) and would be added to the operational emissions for comparison to the significance threshold of 10,000 metric tons (MT) of carbon dioxide equivalent (CO<sub>2</sub>e).<sup>6</sup> Because the GHG PTE emissions from the operation of the AEC are expected to exceed 1,000,000 MT of CO<sub>2</sub>e, the AEC would exceed the 10,000 MT of CO<sub>2</sub>e limit. However, the AEC has been designed to incorporate energy-efficient technologies for reducing GHG PTE emissions from the power generation equipment; additionally, SCAQMD will define the Best Available Control Technology (BACT) for reducing GHG emissions as part of the PSD permitting process. Therefore, for purposes of evaluating the potential GHG impacts associated with AEC construction and demolition activities, the construction GHG emissions will be compared to the 10,000 MT of CO<sub>2</sub>e threshold.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The EMFAC2011-PL (project-level assessment) module will be used for vehicle emission factors. EMFAC2011 consists of three modules: EMFAC-LDV, which estimates passenger vehicle emissions; EMFAC-HD, which estimates emissions from diesel trucks and buses over 14,000 pounds (lb); and a third module called EMFAC-SG, which integrates the output of EMFAC-LDV and EMFAC-HD and the ability to conduct scenario assessments for air quality and transportation planning (ARB, 2013b). In order to aid in obtaining emission rates for project- level assessments, ARB has released a new tool, EMFAC2011-PL, which can be used for most assessments using EMFAC default information to obtain standard emission rates for the desired vehicle category scheme (ARB, 2013b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> CalEEMod is a statewide computer model created by ENVIRON and SCAQMD to quantify criteria pollutant and GHG emissions associated with the construction activities from a variety of land use projects (ENVIRON, 2013). Developed in cooperation with air districts throughout the state, CalEEMod is intended to standardize air quality analyses while allowing air districts to provide specific defaults reflecting regional conditions, regulations, and policies (SCAQMD, et al., 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Fuel economy was determined using EMFAC2007 vehicle categories. The database is available online at http://www.arb.ca.gov/emfac/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Information on thresholds is available online at http://www.aqmd.gov/hb/2008/December/081231a.htm.

## 3.2 Commissioning

During commissioning, each turbine will be initially operated at various load rates without the benefit of the emission control systems while these systems are being commissioned and tested. The total duration of a power block commissioning period is expected to be up to 180 days. During the commissioning period, each turbine will be operated for up to 455 hours without, or with partial, emission control systems in operation. Several possible scenarios during commissioning are expected to result in NO<sub>x</sub>, VOC, and CO emissions that are greater than during normal operations. During commissioning, PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and SO<sub>2</sub> emissions are expected to be no greater than full-load operations. Therefore, short-term emission calculations for commissioning activities will be limited to NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and VOC. The NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and VOC emissions will be estimated based on turbine performance data provided by the vendor, estimated durations and control efficiencies of each commissioning event, and turbine operating rates.

Although commissioning is expected to be completed within 180 days, annual impacts for the combined commissioning of one power block and operation of four power blocks for a rolling 12-month period will also be evaluated because annual emissions during the commissioning year could be higher than those during a non-commissioning year. The annual average emission rates associated with commissioning and operation will be estimated based on the total commissioning period emissions and the maximum operation emission rates for an annual operating scenario, respectively.

## 3.3 Operation

Emissions of NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, CO, VOC, PM<sub>10</sub>, and PM<sub>2.5</sub> to the atmosphere from the AEC will occur from combustion of natural gas in each of the identical CTGs. Emission rates will be calculated based on vendor data and additional conservative assumptions of turbine performance. Turbine emissions and stack parameters, such as flow rate and exit temperature, will exhibit some variation with ambient temperature and operating load. Therefore, to evaluate the worst-case air quality impacts during normal operation, dispersion modeling will be conducted at 70, 80, 90, and 100 percent load at 28, 65.3, and 107 °F. In addition to the normal operating load/temperature scenarios, emission estimates and an air quality impact analysis will also be conducted for startup and shutdown events. As previously noted, the proposed project will include the use of two existing electrically driven fire pumps. Therefore, no direct emissions will be emitted from the emergency fire pumps.

An estimate of the annual AEC PTE criteria pollutant emissions and past actual emissions from 2008 through 2012 are presented in Table 3-1. The PTE estimates are based on preliminary engineering data, 3,320 hours of base load operation per turbine per year, and 495 startups and shutdowns per turbine per year. The net increase presented in Table 3-1 will be used to define PSD applicability but will not be used in the dispersion modeling to determine air quality impacts.

	Estimates Facility Emission Totals (tons per year)								
Facility	NO <sub>x</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	PM <sub>10</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	voc	со			
Existing Units (Past Actual)	65.8	6.84	16.3	16.3	25.7	1,180			
AEC (PTE)*	272	20.8	99.5	99.5	188	372			
Net Increase	206	13.9	83.2	83.2	163	-808			

#### TABLE 3-1 Annual Facility Emission Estimates

\*Assumes twelve MPSA 501DA gas turbines operating 3,320 hours per turbine per year at base load and 495 startups and shutdowns per turbine per year.

Combustion of natural gas in the turbines will also result in emissions of the following GHGs: carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$ , methane  $(CH_4)$ , and nitrous oxide  $(N_2O)$ . Therefore, GHG emissions for normal facility operations will be calculated based on the maximum fuel usage predicted for AEC and emission factors contained in the TCR GRP (Version 2.0) (TCR, 2013). GHG emissions will be calculated for comparison to the PSD significance thresholds but will not be included in the dispersion modeling impact analysis.

Criteria pollutant and GHG emissions from AEC operational worker commutes and material deliveries will also be calculated. Criteria pollutant emissions will be estimated using emission factors from EMFAC2011. GHG emissions will be estimated using emission factors from TCR GRP (Version 2.0) (TCR, 2013) and fuel economy values from the EMFAC2011 Web Tool Database, based on EMFAC2007 vehicle categories. Criteria pollutant and GHG emissions from AEC operational worker commutes and material deliveries will be calculated for CEC informational purposes but will not be included in the dispersion modeling impact analysis.

## **Topography and Meteorology**

## 4.1 Topography

The AEC site is located near sea level approximately 2 miles from the California coast and is bounded to the north by a switchyard; to the east by the San Gabriel River and, beyond that, a power generating facility; to the south by a petroleum storage facility and undeveloped property; and to the west by the Los Cerritos Channel, Alamitos Generating Station inlets, and city of Long Beach residences. The site is also partially bisected by a single parcel that was the former fuel oil tank farm for the Alamitos Generating Station, which is now owned by a third party.

The AEC site is located on a gently sloping coastal terrace above the Alamitos Bay marina, and the topography of the site ranges from approximately 7 to 20 feet above mean sea level. The nearest complex terrain (terrain exceeding stack height) in relation to the AEC is located in the city of Signal Hill, approximately 3.5 miles northwest of the AEC site. Although Signal Hill is the highest area within 6 miles of the AEC site, it is not a significant terrain feature, with gradual rising terrain less than 0.5 mile in width. The nearest Class I area is the San Gabriel Wilderness, which is approximately 33 miles (approximately 53 kilometers [km]) northeast of the AEC site.

## 4.2 Meteorology

#### 4.2.1 Meteorology for Dispersion Modeling

According to EPA's *Guideline on Air Quality Models* (EPA, 2005), representativeness of meteorological data used in dispersion modeling depends on (1) the proximity of the meteorological monitoring site to the area under consideration; (2) the complexity of the terrain; (3) the exposure of the meteorological monitoring site; and (4) the period of time during which data are collected.

Two SCAQMD meteorological data collection sites were identified in proximity to the proposed project: North Long Beach and Anaheim. Of the two locations, the North Long Beach site was selected as the most representative based on the following factors:

- The monitoring site is the closer of the two to the proposed project (approximately 6.4 miles to the northwest of the AEC site, versus 10.1 miles to the east-northeast for the Anaheim monitoring station).
- There are no complex terrain features between the two locations.
- The land uses surrounding the monitoring site and the AEC site are similar (both are surrounded by a blend of low-, medium-, and high-intensity land uses with open water less than 10 miles to the south-southwest).

Therefore, the North Long Beach monitoring station is considered representative of the AEC site, and the meteorological data collected at the North Long Beach monitoring station will be used to model the ambient air quality impacts. The meteorological data used for this analysis have been compiled by SCAQMD specifically for use in dispersion modeling analyses and include the periods of January 1, 2006, through December 31, 2009, and January 1, 2011, through December 31, 2011.<sup>7</sup> A wind rose for the North Long Beach monitoring station is presented in Figure 4-1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> At the direction of the SCAQMD, 2010 meteorological data were not recommended for use because the data do not meet the 90 percent completeness requirements. Similarly, 2012 meteorological data were not recommended for use because the collected wind speeds are suspect.

#### 4.2.2 Upper Air Data

Twice-daily National Climatic Data Center soundings from the San Diego Miramar National Weather Service station (Station #03190) were coupled with the North Long Beach surface data by SCAQMD to create the AERMET meteorological dataset.

#### 4.2.3 AERMET Preprocessing

SCAQMD preprocessed the meteorological data with the AERMET preprocessor. The processed data files for 2006 through 2009 and 2011 were provided via e-mail by SCAQMD.



## **Dispersion Modeling Approach**

## 5.1 Model Selection

The EPA-approved AERMOD (Version 12345 or most recent version) dispersion model will be used to evaluate the air quality emissions from the AEC. The AERMOD model is the latest generation of EPA's short-term model recommended for predicting impacts from industrial-point sources, as well as area and volume sources.

## 5.2 Model Input Defaults/Options

The AERMOD model will be used with regulatory default options as recommended in the EPA *Guideline on Air Quality Models* (EPA, 2005). The following supporting preprocessing programs for AERMOD will also be used:

- BPIP-Prime (Version 04274)
- AERMAP (Version 11103)

The technical options to be selected for the AERMOD model include the following:

- Regulatory default control options
- Receptor elevations and controlling hill heights obtained from AERMAP output

SCAQMD modeling guidance recommends running AERMOD with the non-default FLAT option (which assumes that all receptors and emission sources have the same elevation) for receptors with elevations below the stack base (SCAQMD, 2012). However, recent correspondence with SCAQMD staff further clarified that the FLAT option is intended for locations with drainage or gravity flows that would typically occur in mountain valley settings. Based on the additional guidance and the proposed location of the AEC on a coastal plain where onshore and offshore wind flows occur, it is concluded that the FLAT option is not required for this analysis.

Initially, a complete conversion of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions to NO<sub>2</sub> will be assumed. If this assumption leads to predicted exceedances of the NAAQS, CAAQS, or significance criteria for NO<sub>2</sub> identified in Section 6.0, Air Quality Impacts Analysis, the default ambient ratio of 0.75 NO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>x</sub> (i.e., 75 percent of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are converted to NO<sub>2</sub>) will be applied to annual predicted impacts, and the default ratio of 0.80 will be applied to 1-hour predicted impacts to determine NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (EPA, 2005; EPA, 2011). If 1-hour predicted NO<sub>2</sub> impacts still exceed the NAAQS after application of the ambient ratio, the predicted impact will instead be estimated by pairing the maximum modeled concentration with the 98th percentile seasonal, hour-of-day background NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. The 98th percentile seasonal, hour-of-day background NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations for 2009 through 2011 were provided via e-mail by the SCAQMD.

If predicted NO<sub>2</sub> impacts require further refinement, the plume volume molar ratio method (PVMRM) will be used. PVMRM options will assume an initial in-stack NO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>x</sub> ratio of 0.5 and an out-of-stack NO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>x</sub> ratio of 0.9 (EPA, 2011; California Air Pollution Control Officers Association [CAPCOA], 2011). Corresponding hourly ozone data from the North Long Beach monitoring station were provided via e-mail by SCAQMD.

## 5.3 Land Use / Classification

AERMOD will be run in urban dispersion mode because land use within 3 km of the AEC site is primarily classified as urban, based on the Auer Method. A population of 9,862,049 will be used in AERMOD, as recommended by SCAQMD for projects in Los Angeles County (SCAQMD, 2012).

## 5.4 Receptor Network

The base modeling receptor grid for the AERMOD modeling will consist of receptors that are placed at the ambient air boundary and Cartesian-grid receptors that are placed beyond the project's site boundary at spacing that increases with distance from the origin. The project's property boundary will be used as the ambient air boundary. Property boundary receptors will be placed at 30-meter intervals. Beyond the project's property boundary, receptor spacing will be as follows:

- 50-meter spacing from the property boundary to 500 meters from the origin
- 100-meter spacing from beyond 500 meters to 3 km from the origin
- 500-meter spacing from beyond 3 km to 10 km from the origin
- 1,000-meter spacing from beyond 10 km to 25 km from the origin
- 5,000-meter spacing from beyond 25 km to 50 km from the origin

All receptors and source locations will be expressed in the Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) North American Datum 1983 (NAD 83), Zone 11 coordinate system.

The base modeling receptor grid will be extended if predicted concentration gradients are increasing at the edge of the grid. Similarly, the base (coarse) receptor grid will be supplemented with receptors at closer (tighter) receptor spacing, where appropriate, so that the maximum points of impact can be identified.

AERMAP (Version 11103) will be used to calculate the receptor elevations and the controlling hill heights. Terrain in the vicinity of the project will be accounted for by assigning base elevations to each receptor. National Elevation Dataset files from the United States Geological Survey will be obtained in one-third arc-second resolution for the 50-km grid. The AERMAP domain will be large enough to encompass the 10 percent slope factor required for calculating the controlling hill height.

## 5.5 Source Characterization

#### 5.5.1 Construction

The AEC construction site will be represented as a set of point sources and area sources in the modeling analysis. The exhaust emissions will be modeled as a set of point sources spaced approximately 25 meters apart over the construction areas with a horizontal stack release. The horizontal release type is an AERMOD beta option (i.e., non-regulatory default option), which negates mechanical plume rise. This conservative approach is proposed because it is unknown whether the construction equipment will have vertically oriented exhaust stacks. Stack release parameters will consist of a stack release temperature of 533 degrees Kelvin (K; 500°F), a stack diameter of 0.127 meters (5 inches), and a release height of 4.6 meters (15 feet) based on data for typical construction equipment. The wind-blown and fugitive dust emissions will be modeled as area sources assuming a ground-level release height with an initial vertical dimension of 1 meter.

As discussed in Section 6.0, Air Quality Impacts Analysis, predicted concentrations of NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and SO<sub>2</sub> from onsite construction-related activities will be combined with the ambient background concentrations and compared to the ambient air quality standards. Note that if the predicted concentrations initially exceed the ambient air quality standards, the model will be refined to limit the hours in which concentrations are predicted to align with the expected hours of construction activities. In an effort to limit noise impacts to nearby residents and businesses, AES-SLD will limit construction activities to occur between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m. on weekdays and 9:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. on Saturdays.

#### 5.5.2 Commissioning

The CTG exhaust stacks will be modeled as point sources within AERMOD. Exhaust parameters will be based on information provided by the vendor for each commissioning phase. For short-term averaging periods, only maximum hourly impacts for NO<sub>x</sub> and CO will be modeled for each commissioning phase. Emission

rates of  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ , and  $SO_2$  are expected to be equal to or lower than normal operating rates due to reduced loads during commissioning.

Although commissioning is expected to be completed within 180 days, annual impacts for the combined commissioning of one power block and operation of four power blocks for a rolling 12-month period will also be evaluated because annual emissions during the commissioning year could be higher than those during a non-commissioning year. As a result, annual NO<sub>x</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, and PM<sub>2.5</sub> impacts from commissioning with operation will also be modeled.

#### 5.5.3 Operation

The proposed CTGs will be modeled as point sources within AERMOD. Exhaust parameters will be based on information provided by the vendor. The modeling analysis will include a load analysis to determine which operating conditions expected for the CTGs will yield the highest ground-level concentrations.

## 5.6 Building Wake Downwash and Good Engineering Practice

AERMOD can account for building downwash and cavity zone effects. The proposed AEC stack locations, heights, building locations, and dimensions will be input to BPIP-PRIME. The first step of BPIP-PRIME determines and reports on whether or not a stack follows good engineering practice or is being subjected to wake effects from a structure or structures. The second step calculates direction-dependent equivalent building dimensions if a stack is being influenced by structure wake effects. The BPIP-PRIME output will be used in the AERMOD modeling.

A screening BPIP-PRIME analysis will also be conducted to evaluate if the existing Alamitos Generating Station structures influence the AEC sources prior to demolition. If it is determined that the existing structures influence downwash, the existing structures will also be included in the commissioning and operational air quality impact assessment.

## Air Quality Impacts Analysis

As previously described, the AEC will require an ambient air quality analysis for pollutants for which there would be an increase in emissions. The following sections summarize the approach to address the requirements applicable to each reviewing agency and highlight the criteria required for each analysis.

## 6.1 SCAQMD New Source Review

### 6.1.1 Rule 1303 and Rule 1304

SCAQMD Rule 1303 requires an ambient air quality analysis for each new emission source to demonstrate that a proposed project will not cause a violation or make significantly worse an existing violation of the CAAQS or NAAQS. However, under SCAQMD Rule 1304(a)(2), the AEC will be exempt from this rule because the AEC is a replacement of existing electric utility steam boilers with combined-cycle gas turbines with no increase in energy output rating. Therefore, a comparison of potential impacts to the significant change in air quality thresholds of SCAQMD Rule 1303, Table A-2, is not required as part of this air quality impacts analysis.

Per SCAQMD Rule 1303(b)(5)(C), a modeling analysis is required to evaluate impacts on plume visibility if the net emission increase from the new or modified source exceeds 15 tpy of  $PM_{10}$  or 40 tpy of  $NO_x$ ; and the location of the source, relative to the closest boundary of a specified Federal Class I area, is within 28 km. Net emissions of  $NO_x$  will exceed the emissions threshold, but the distance to the nearest Class I area is approximately 53 km, as presented in Figure 6-1. Therefore, a visibility analysis is not required for Class I areas under SCAQMD Rule 1303.

Although not required by its Rules, SCAQMD requested an analysis of a project's impacts on visibility for nearby State Parks and National Wilderness Areas designated as Class II areas. As such, a visibility analysis for Class II areas will be performed using the EPA-recommended VISCREEN model. The general procedures to determine visibility impacts will follow the approach outlined in the *Workbook for Plume Visual Impact Screening and Analysis (Revised)* (EPA, 1992), with clarification of the following particular inputs:

- Background visual ranges for the Class II areas will be determined using maps supplied by the Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE). The average of the annual upper and lower bounds will be used.
- If a Tier 1 approach exceeds the Class I criterion for color difference (ΔE) and contrast, a Tier II assessment will be conducted. The Tier II assessment will use the North Long Beach AERMET meteorological dataset provided by SCAQMD staff for the years 2006 through 2009 and 2011. These data will be pre-processed with the EPA Meteorological Processor for Regulatory Modeling Applications (MPRM, Version 99349) for the Industrial Source Complex (ISC) modeling system.<sup>8</sup>

Based on a survey of State Parks and National Wilderness Areas designated as Class II areas within 50 km of the AEC, AES-SLD proposes to include the following Class II areas in the visibility assessment, as presented in Figure 6-2:

- Crystal Cove State Park
- Water Canyon National Park
- Chino Hills State Park
- Kenneth Hahn State Park

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> ISC-ready data, preprocessed with MPRM, contain the wind speed, wind direction, and stability class for each hour of the year. These data are required to create the Joint Frequency Distribution tables used to calculate the Tier II wind speed and stability class for each area analyzed.

### 6.1.2 Rule 1401

SCAQMD Rule 1401 specifies limits for maximum individual cancer risk (MICR), cancer burden, and noncancer acute and chronic hazard index (HI) from new permit units, relocations, or modifications to existing permit units that emit toxic air contaminants (TAC) listed in SCAQMD Rule 1401, Table I. The AEC will be subject to SCAQMD Rule 1401 NSR requirements. Therefore, a health risk assessment (HRA) will be completed as part of the air quality impacts analysis for the AEC. The procedure for evaluating the potential impacts is discussed in Section 7.0, Human Health Risk Assessment.

### 6.1.3 Rule 2005

SCAQMD Rule 2005 sets forth preconstruction review requirements for new facilities subject to the requirements of the Regional Clean Air Incentives Market (RECLAIM) program, for modifications to RECLAIM facilities, and for facilities that increase their allocation to a level greater than their starting allocation plus non-tradable credits. The existing Alamitos Generating Station is currently subject to the RECLAIM requirements and, as shown in Table 6-1, the proposed project will also exceed the major NO<sub>2</sub> modification threshold of 1 pound (lb) per day. Therefore, an ambient air quality analysis is required to demonstrate that the AEC will not cause a significant increase in the air quality concentration of NO<sub>2</sub>, as specified in SCAQMD Rule 2005, Appendix A.

#### TABLE 6-1

Pollutant	Estimated PTE – Past Actual	Major Source Threshold	Major Modification Threshold	Exceeds Threshold? (Yes/No)
NO <sub>x</sub>	206 tpy	10 tpy	1 lb per day	Yes
SO <sub>2</sub>	13.9 tpy	100 tpy	40 tpy	No

#### Rule 2005 Emissions Levels That Trigger Dispersion Modeling Requirement

The significance thresholds and the most stringent air quality standards for  $NO_2$  are presented in Table 6-2. The maximum modeled  $NO_2$  concentrations from the refined dispersion modeling analysis for each turbine will be compared to the significance values identified in Table 6-2. The maximum modeled  $NO_2$ concentrations will also be added to representative background concentrations, and the results compared to the state and federal ambient air quality standards for  $NO_2$ . The highest ambient concentration from the most recent three years of ambient monitoring data will be used as the background concentration.

#### TABLE 6-2

Rule 2005 Air Quality Thresholds and Standards	Applicable to the Project (per Emission Unit)
Raie 2005 All Quality The Sholas and Standards	Applicable to the Hoject (per Emission only

Averaging Period/ Pollutant	Significant Change in Air Quality Concentration <sup>a</sup> (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	National Ambient Air Quality Standard (μg/m³)	California Ambient Air Quality Standards (μg/m³)
NO <sub>2</sub> (1-hour)	20	188 <sup>b</sup>	339
NO <sub>2</sub> (Annual)	1	100	57

<sup>a</sup> Allowable change in air quality concentration per emission unit per SCAQMD Rule 2005, Appendix A.

<sup>b</sup> National 1-hour standard represents the 3-year average of the 98th percentile of the daily maximum 1-hour average.

## 6.2 Prevention of Significant Deterioration

SCAQMD Regulation XVII sets forth preconstruction review requirements for stationary sources to ensure that air quality in clean air areas does not significantly deteriorate, while maintaining a margin for future industrial growth, and applies to preconstruction review of new or modified stationary sources that emit more than 100 tpy of federal attainment air contaminants. As discussed in Section 2.0, Existing Setting, CO,

 $NO_2$ ,  $PM_{10}$ , and  $SO_2$  are classified as federal attainment pollutants. Therefore, the estimated AEC emissions were compared to the major source thresholds of 100 tpy and the significant emissions increase thresholds, shown in Table 6-3, to determine which pollutants are subject to dispersion modeling requirements as outlined in SCAQMD Rule 1703. Note that although the AEC is not expected to emit more than 100 tpy of  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{10}$  impacts were also evaluated against the significant emissions increase thresholds due to Los Angeles County's new designation as an attainment area for  $PM_{10}$ . Based on the estimated emissions and attainment designations,  $NO_x$  and  $PM_{10}$  are the only attainment pollutants from the AEC that will exceed the significant emissions increase thresholds and be subject to dispersion modeling requirements.

Low sulfur natural gas will be the only fuel allowed for the AEC. Therefore, emissions of asbestos, beryllium, mercury, sulfur compounds, vinyl chloride, fluoride, and lead would be negligible.

Pollutant	Estimated PTE – Past Actual (tpy)	Significant Emission Increase Threshold* (tpy)	Exceeds Threshold? (Yes/No)
СО	-808	100	No
NO <sub>x</sub>	206	40	Yes
PM <sub>10</sub>	83.2	15	Yes
SO <sub>2</sub>	13.9	40	No
Asbestos	Negligible	0.007	No
Beryllium	Negligible	0.0004	No
Mercury	Negligible	0.1	No
/inyl Chloride	Negligible	1.0	No
Fluorides	Negligible	3	No
Lead	Negligible	0.6	No
Sulfuric Acid Mist	Negligible	7	No
H <sub>2</sub> S	Negligible	10	No
Total Reduced Sulfur (including H <sub>2</sub> S)	Negligible	10	No
Reduced Sulfur Compounds (including H₂S)	Negligible	10	No

TABLE 6-3	
PSD Emissio	ns Levels That Trigger Dispersion Modeling Requirements

\*The PSD significance level is listed here for reference.

## 6.3 Class II Area Analysis

Based on the emissions presented in Table 6-3, a dispersion modeling analysis will be conducted to demonstrate that AEC will not cause or contribute to a violation of the NAAQS or CAAQS and will not exceed the federal PSD Class II Increment Standards for NO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub>. To demonstrate compliance with the standards, the AEC will be modeled in two tiers. A description of each tier is presented below. Modeling for either tier will be performed per the methodology described in Section 5.0, Dispersion Modeling Approach, unless otherwise noted below.

### 6.3.1 Tier 1 Analysis

Using the worst-case load identified as part of the operations modeling, the preliminary Tier 1 analysis for each pollutant will be conducted as follows:

- If the predicted impacts are less than the significant impact levels (SIL) presented in Table 6-4 for each criteria pollutant, the modeling is complete for that pollutant and averaging period.
- If the predicted impacts are significant, a Tier 2 refined analysis will be conducted.

Table 6-4 summarizes the Class II SILs, PSD Class II Increment Standards, and the significant monitoring concentration levels. Currently, no ambient air quality data are collected at the existing Alamitos Generating Station. If modeling results for the AEC are greater than the significant monitoring concentrations listed in Table 6-4, onsite ambient air quality data collection may be required. If such monitoring is required, AES-SLD requests that the monitoring be conducted in parallel with AEC construction and that alternate background levels listed in Table 2-3 be used for permit modeling.

Averaging Period/ Pollutant	dards Applicable to the Projec Significant Impact Level (µg/m³)	PSD Class II Increment Standard (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Significant Monitoring Concentrations (µg/m³)
NO <sub>2</sub> (1-hour)	7.52*	N/A	N/A
NO <sub>2</sub> (Annual)	1.0	25	14
PM <sub>10</sub> (24-hour)	5.0	30	10
PM <sub>10</sub> (Annual)	1.0	17	N/A

#### PSD Air Quality Impact Standards Applicable to the Project

\*The SIL for 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> is based on SCAQMD correspondence.

N/A = Not Applicable (i.e., no standard)

#### 6.3.2 Tier 2 Analysis

TABLE 6-4

The refined Tier 2 analysis will include a comparison to the ambient air quality standards and PSD Class II Increment Standards as follows:

- For pollutants with concentrations greater than the respective SIL, a significant impact radius will be defined. Preliminary modeling indicates that the project may be significant for 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> with a significant impact radius of 5.3 km from the project site.
- The maximum modeled concentrations will be determined and compared to the NAAQS, CAAQS, and PSD Class II Increment Standards, as appropriate. These concentrations will include contributions from the facility, competing nearby sources, and ambient background concentrations.
- SCAQMD will be consulted to identify competing nearby sources and exhaust characteristics, if available, for inclusion in the refined analysis. Section 6.3.2.1, Competing Source Inventory, summarizes the approach to develop the competing source inventory.
- Only receptors identified above the SIL in the Tier 1 analysis will be included in the Tier 2 analysis.
- Background concentrations described in Section 6.3.2.2, 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> Refined Analysis, will be included in the Tier 2 analysis.

#### 6.3.2.1 Competing Source Inventory

As previously mentioned, preliminary modeling indicates that the 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> SIL may be exceeded with a significant impact radius extending approximately 5.3 km from the project site, with maximum impacts located on the project fence line. Figure 6-3 shows the anticipated significant impact radius and the project's

proximity to the background monitor location. At the request of SCAQMD, the Long Beach monitoring station (South Coastal Los Angeles County 3, EPA ID 06-037-4006) will be used as the ambient monitor because it captures the large NO<sub>x</sub>-emitting sources in the Ports area that are upwind of the proposed project. Based on this significant impact radius, the location of maximum impacts within the significant impact radius, and the location of the representative ambient monitor, AES-SLD proposes to include competing sources within a distance of 10 km from the maximum impact locations in the analysis. The competing source inventory for NO<sub>x</sub>-emitting sources within 10 km from AEC's maximum impact locations approved for use in the competing source analysis was provided via e-mail by SCAQMD.

#### 6.3.2.2 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> Refined Analysis

Emergency equipment will not be included in the 1-hour  $NO_2$  competing source analysis. Consistent with recent EPA guidance addressing intermittent emissions for the 1-hour  $NO_2$  analysis (EPA, 2011), exclusion of emergency equipment is appropriate. Startup emissions from the AEC turbines will be included in the 1-hour  $NO_2$  competing source analysis because startups of the units are expected to occur frequently.

Further refinements of the 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> modeling include the incorporation of seasonal, hour-of-day NO<sub>2</sub> background concentrations and the use of an ambient NO<sub>2</sub> equilibrium ratio and PVMRM in AERMOD, if necessary, described as follows:

- Seasonal, hour-of-day background NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations will be determined by following the most recent EPA NO<sub>2</sub> modeling guidance (EPA, 2011). This includes using the third-highest concentration for each hour-of-day, by season, at the NO<sub>2</sub> monitor. AERMOD will automatically combine the modeled NO<sub>2</sub> concentration to the appropriate background concentration for each hour to determine the model design concentration for comparison to the NAAQS. The 98th percentile seasonal, hour-of-day background NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations for 2009 through 2011 were provided via e-mail by SCAQMD for the Long Beach monitoring station.
- The Ambient Ratio Method (ARM) uses 0.80 as a default ambient ratio for the 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> standard.
- PVMRM options, if needed, will initially conservatively assume an in-stack NO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>x</sub> ratio of 0.5 and an ambient NO<sub>2</sub> ratio of 0.9 (EPA, 2011). If additional analysis is required, AES-SLD will consult with SCAQMD to define alternative appropriate in-stack and ambient NO<sub>2</sub> ratios consistent with EPA guidance. Corresponding hourly ozone data from the North Long Beach monitoring station were provided via e-mail by SCAQMD.

To complete the refined 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> competing source analysis, hourly emissions from the competing sources identified on SCAQMD's final inventory will be modeled by apportioning each source's permitted emissions (tpy) evenly throughout the year, unless otherwise noted. The model design concentration of the 5-year average of the 98th percentile hourly impact at each receptor will be compared to the NAAQS of 188 micrograms per cubic meter ( $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>).

If the model design concentration at any receptor exceeds the NAAQS, the project's impacts during the NAAQS exceedances will be evaluated and compared to the SIL. If the project's impacts are below the SIL during all modeled exceedances of the NAAQS, then the project will be assumed to not significantly contribute to the modeled exceedances.

## 6.4 Class I Area Increment Analysis

In addition to addressing the AEC's impacts within the near field (i.e., Class II impacts), a Class I impact analysis will be conducted to demonstrate that the AEC will not cause or contribute to an exceedance of the Class I SIL or PSD Class I Increment Standards (Table 6-5) and will not adversely affect air quality-related values (AQRV).<sup>9</sup> To evaluate the potential impacts on Class I areas near the AEC site, all Class I areas within

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> A separate protocol is being submitted to address AQRVs at the nearby Class I areas.
300 km of the AEC were identified. Based on this survey, the San Gabriel Wilderness, which is approximately 53 km from the AEC site, was identified as the nearest Class I area. Figure 6-1 shows the locations and distances to the Class I areas within 300 km of AEC.

Federal Class I area air quality guidance (Federal Land Managers [FLM], 2010) allows an emissions/distance (Q/D) factor of 10 to be used as a screening criterion for sources located more than 50 km from a Class I area. This screening criterion includes all AQRVs. Emissions are calculated as the total SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, and sulfuric acid ( $H_2SO_4$ ) annual emissions (in tpy, based on 24-hour maximum allowable emissions). These emissions are divided by the distance (in km) from the Class I area.

Based on the combined annual emissions of NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and PM<sub>10</sub>, calculated using the 24-hour maximum allowable emissions, the maximum Q/D for the project will be greater than the FLM Q/D ratio of 10. Since the project exceeds the screening criterion for Q/D for the nearest Class I area, an additional protocol will be submitted to address AQRVs for all Class I areas that exceed the screening criterion and any additional Class I areas requested by the FLM.

To address PSD Class I Increment Standards, AERMOD will be used with a receptor ring at 50 km from the facility. The ring will be spaced in 5-degree increments centered on the AEC site. AERMOD maximum modeled impacts of NO<sub>x</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> will be compared to the applicable SILs. If modeled impacts are below the SILs, then the project would be considered to have negligible impact at the more distant Class I areas. If impacts are above the SILs in the direction of the Class I areas, SCAQMD would be contacted to determine a refined approach to quantify criteria pollutant impacts at the Class I areas.

TABLE 6-5

Class I SIL and PSD Class I Increment Standards Applicable to the Project

Averaging Period/ Pollutant	Significant Impact Level (µg/m³)	PSD Class I Increment Standard (μg/m³)		
NO <sub>2</sub> (Annual)	0.1	2.5		
PM <sub>10</sub> (24-hour)	0.3	2.0		
PM <sub>10</sub> (Annual)	0.2	1.0		

## 6.5 California Energy Commission Air Dispersion Analysis

The following sections summarize the requirements and modeling assessment to be submitted to the CEC.

### 6.5.1 Construction Emissions Impact Assessment

The AEC construction site will be represented as a set of point sources and area sources in the modeling analysis. The exhaust emissions will be modeled as a set of point sources spaced approximately 25 meters apart over the construction areas with a horizontal stack release. Stack release parameters will consist of a stack release temperature of 533 K (500°F), a stack diameter of 0.127 meters (5 inches), and a release height of 4.6 meters (15 feet) based on data for typical construction equipment. The wind-blown and fugitive dust emissions will be modeled as area sources assuming a ground-level release height with an initial vertical dimension of 1 meter. Modeled concentrations of NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and SO<sub>2</sub> from construction activities related to the AEC will be combined with the ambient background concentrations and compared to the ambient air quality standards.

### 6.5.2 Commissioning Emissions Impact Assessment

The short-term concentrations of  $NO_2$  and CO (i.e., the 1- and 8-hour impacts) from AEC commissioning activities will be combined with the ambient background concentrations and compared to the short-term

ambient air quality standards. Although commissioning is expected to be completed within 180 days, annual impacts for the combined commissioning of one power block and operation of four power blocks for a rolling 12-month period will also be evaluated because annual emissions during the commissioning year could be higher than those during a non-commissioning year. As a result, annual concentrations of NO<sub>x</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, and PM<sub>2.5</sub> from commissioning with operation will be combined with the ambient background concentrations and compared to the annual ambient air quality standards. Furthermore, because commissioning activities only occur once in the life of the project and are expected to be less than one year in duration, the impacts will not be compared to the 1-hour federal NO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS, which is a 3-year average of a 98th percentile daily maxima concentration standard.

## 6.5.3 Operational Emissions Impact Assessment

The maximum modeled concentrations from the refined analysis will be added to representative background concentrations and the results compared to the state and federal ambient air quality standards for SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, PM<sub>10</sub>, and PM<sub>2.5</sub>. The ambient concentrations from the most recent three years of ambient monitoring data identified in Section 2.0, Existing Setting, will be used as the background concentration.

### 6.5.4 Fumigation Impact Assessment

A meteorological condition that can produce high concentrations of ground-level pollutants is referred to as shoreline or inversion breakup fumigation and can occur during the breakup of the nocturnal radiation inversion by solar warming of the ground surface. Inversion breakup fumigation occurs when a plume is emitted into a stable layer of air and that layer is then mixed to the ground in a short period of time through convective heating and microscale turbulence. Shoreline fumigation occurs when a plume is emitted into a stable layer of air and to the surface as a result of advection of the air mass to less stable surroundings. Under both conditions, an exhaust plume may be drawn to the ground with little diffusion, causing high ground-level pollutant concentrations, although typically for periods less than 1 hour.

SCREEN3 will be used to determine the predicted impacts associated with these fumigation scenarios. The maximum modeled concentrations from the fumigation impact assessment will then be added to representative background concentrations, and the results compared to the state and federal ambient air quality standards. The condition would be short-lived; therefore, impacts will only be compared to the 1-hour standard.



CH2MHILL.





\\ZION\SACGIS\PROJ\ALAMITOS\MAPFILES\AQ\AEC\_AQ\_REC\_50KM.MXD\_KMINO 7/26/2013 10:39:55 AM

FIGURE 6-2 Class II Areas within 50 km of AEC Alamitos Energy Center Long Beach, California



386000 387000 388000 389000 390000 391000 392000 393000 394000 395000 396000 397000 398000 399000 400000 401000 402000 403000 404000 UTM X NAD83 (m)

FIGURE 6-3 **AEC 1-hr NO2 Distance to Significant Impact Level**  *Alamitos Energy Center Long Beach, California* 



## SECTION 7.0 Human Health Risk Assessment

A human HRA will be performed to evaluate the potential cancer, chronic, and acute health impacts related to the AEC. The HRA will follow the latest version of the *Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Risk Assessment Guidelines* (Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment [OEHHA], 2003), SCAQMD guidance documents (SCAQMD, 2011), and the EPA *Guideline on Air Quality Models* (EPA, 2005). In addition, for predicted cancer risks for residential receptors where the inhalation pathway is the dominant exposure pathway for cancer risks, the Derived (Adjusted) Method outlined in the ARB *Recommended Interim Risk Management Policy for Inhalation-based Residential Cancer Risk* (ARB, 2003) will be used for the cancer risk evaluation.

TAC from normal operation of the turbines will be included in the HRA. Turbine emissions will be estimated assuming that all twelve turbines operate simultaneously under normal load conditions. For maximum hourly emissions, the maximum natural gas consumption rate per turbine will be used. For annual emissions, the annual average natural gas consumption rate per turbine will be used, assuming that the turbines will operate 3,320 hours of base load operation and 495 startups and shutdowns per turbine per year. Ammonia emissions associated with potential ammonia slip from the selective catalytic reduction system will be calculated based on a permit limit maximum of 5 ppmv, dry at 15 percent oxygen. An offsite consequence analysis for ammonia will be conducted for the AEC as part of a separate analysis.

Due to the length of the proposed construction and demolition period, TAC associated with construction of the AEC and demolition of the existing Alamitos Generating Station units, which consist of combustion byproducts generated during movement of onsite construction/demolition equipment and onsite and offsite movement of vehicles, will also be included in the HRA. The primary exhaust TAC associated with construction and demolition activities is diesel particulate matter (DPM). Total DPM exhaust emissions from construction and demolition activities will be averaged over the 11.5-year construction period and spatially distributed over the areas in which activities are expected to occur.

# 7.1 Model Selection

The HRA modeling for the normal AEC operations and the construction and demolition activities will be conducted using the ARB Hotspots Analysis Reporting Program (HARP, Version 1.4f), along with the ARB HARP file converter (HARP On-ramp, Version 1.0), and AERMOD. HARP On-ramp converts the AERMOD output files to files compatible with the HARP modeling system. The AERMOD modeling approach, such as default options, source parameters, meteorological data, receptor spacing, and terrain data, will be similar to the criteria pollutant modeling analysis. The receptor grid will also include sensitive receptors as defined by SCAQMD and CEC regulations (Appendix B (g)(9)(E)(i)). The sensitive receptors included in the analysis will be based on a search conducted by Environmental Data Resources. Additionally, census block receptors will be included in the analysis in order to calculate the increased cancer burden. For normal AEC operations, a unit emission rate (1 gram per second) will be used to model each source, as outlined in the HARP converter program manual. For construction and demolition activities, the modeling will also use a unit emission rate, but emission sources will be distributed spatially over the areas in which activities are expected to occur.

# 7.2 Evaluation of Impacts

For normal AEC operation, cancer risks will be evaluated for each source and the AEC based on the annual TAC ground-level concentrations, inhalation cancer potency, oral slope factor, frequency and duration of exposure at the receptor, and breathing rate of the exposed persons. Cancer risks will be estimated using a conservative assumption of 70-year continuous exposure duration for residential receptors and a 40-year,

5-days-per-week, 8-hours-per-day exposure duration for commercial/industrial receptors. In addition, for predicted cancer risks for residential receptors where the inhalation pathway is the dominant pathway of cancer risks, the Derived (Adjusted) Method in HARP will be used for the cancer risk evaluation, based on the *Recommended Interim Risk Management Policy for Inhalation-Based Residential Cancer Risk* (ARB, 2003). To assess chronic and acute non-cancer exposures, annual and 1-hour TAC ground-level concentrations will be compared with the Reference Exposure Levels (REL) developed by OEHHA to obtain a chronic or acute hazard index.

The HRA for construction of the AEC and demolition of the existing Alamitos Generating Station will be performed for a shorter exposure duration. The HARP model limits short-term, continuous residential exposure to 9 years. Therefore, for conservative results, the average annual emissions, calculated as previously described, will be assumed to occur for each year for 9 years of continuous exposure. Because the primary TAC for construction and demolition activities is DPM, the cancer risks will be evaluated based on annual TAC ground-level concentrations and inhalation cancer potency. To account for variations in breathing rates, the cancer risks will be conservatively estimated using a 9-year continuous residential and sensitive receptor exposure breathing rate, the average of which is equal to 452 liters per kilogram per day (L/kg/day) and higher than the average breathing rate of 271 L/kg/day (OEHHA, 2003).<sup>10</sup> The OEHHA Derived Method in HARP will be used to determine the cancer risk at residential and sensitive receptors. An adjusted 9-year, 5-days-per-week, 10-hours-per-day exposure duration will be used to determine the cancer risk at commercial/industrial receptors. Chronic toxicity will also be considered using the average annual emissions, calculated as previously described.

In addition to inhalation exposure, the HRA will assess potential health impacts related to exposure from homegrown produce, dermal absorption, soil ingestion, and mother's milk, as applicable and required by OEHHA guidelines (OEHHA, 2003). The inhalation cancer potency, oral slope factor values, and RELs used to characterize health risks associated with the modeled impacts will be obtained from the most recent version of the *Consolidated Table of OEHHA/ARB Approved Risk Assessment Health Values* (OEHHA, 2011).

Consistent with SCAQMD Rule 1401, the modeled health risk values for each permitted unit and construction and demolition activities will be compared to the following *de minimis* thresholds:

- Incremental increase in cancer risk of 10 in 1 million individuals (for construction and demolition activities and if the permitted unit is constructed with Best Available Control Technology for Toxics [T-BACT])
- Incremental increase in cancer risk of 1 in 1 million individuals (if the permitted unit is constructed without T-BACT)
- Cancer burden greater than 0.5
- Chronic hazard index of 1.0
- Acute hazard index of 1.0

Predicted cancer risk and hazard indices less than the thresholds will be considered an acceptable increase in risk associated with AEC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Note that using the child breathing rate may be overly conservative for many receptor locations because the 9-year cancer risk for a child assumes continuous exposure (i.e., 24-hours-per-day, 7-days-per-week) during the first 9 years of life, which would not be representative of non-Maximally Exposed Individual Resident (MEIR) or sensitive receptor locations.

## SECTION 8.0 Cumulative Impacts Analysis

Per CEC requirements, a cumulative air quality impacts analysis for the AEC's typical operating mode will be conducted as part of the AFC process. Impacts from the project will be combined with other stationary emission sources within a 6-mile radius that have received construction permits but are not yet operational or are in the permitting process (such as the NSR or CEQA permitting process). The stationary emission sources included in the cumulative impacts assessment will be limited to new or modified sources that would cause a net increase of 5 tons or more per modeled criteria pollutant. Therefore, VOC sources, equipment shutdowns, permit-exempt equipment registrations, rule compliance, permit renewals, or replacement/upgrading of existing systems will not be included in the cumulative impacts analysis. TAC emissions will also be excluded from the cumulative impacts analysis.

The sources to be included in the cumulative air quality impacts analysis will be determined through consultation with SCAQMD and CEC. The applicant will work with SCAQMD and CEC staffs to identify those new air pollution sources within the 6-mile radius surrounding the AEC, which is centered approximately at 398,180 meters (East), 3,736,940 meters (North) (UTM, NAD 83, Zone 11).

The cumulative air quality impacts analysis will be performed using the model settings and refined receptor grid outlined in Section 4.0, Topography and Meteorology, and Section 5.0, Dispersion Modeling Approach. The fence line for the cumulative sources will not be included in the modeling analysis.

The maximum predicted cumulative impacts will represent the impact at the receptor location identified as the maximum receptor for each pollutant in the ambient air quality impact assessment. The maximum modeled concentrations from the refined analysis will then be added to representative background concentrations and the results compared to the state and federal ambient air quality standards for SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, PM<sub>10</sub>, and PM<sub>2.5</sub>. The highest ambient concentration from the most recent three years of ambient monitoring data will be used as the background concentration.

# Presentation of Results

The results of the air dispersion modeling analyses for the AEC will be presented to each reviewing agency, as follows:

- A description of modeling methodologies and input data will be provided.
- A summary of the results in tabular form will be provided.
- Modeling files used by AERMOD and other models will be provided with the application on compact disc.
- Any significant deviations from the methodology proposed in this protocol will be presented.

# References

California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA). 2011. *Modeling Compliance of the 1-Hour NO*<sub>2</sub> NAAQS. October 27.

California Air Resources Board (ARB). 2003. *Recommended Interim Risk Management Policy for Inhalation-Based Residential Cancer Risk*. October 9.

California Air Resources Board (ARB). 2013a. *iADAM: Air Quality Data Statistics – Top 4 Summary*. Available online at: http://www.arb.ca.gov/adam/topfour/topfour1.php. Accessed November 2013.

California Air Resources Board (ARB). 2013b. EMFAC2011-PL – Handbook for Project Level Assessment. Available online at: http://www.arb.ca.gov/msei/modeling.htm. Accessed August 2013.ENVIRON. 2013. *CalEEMod User's Guide*. October.

California Air Resources Board (ARB). 2013c. *State Area Designations*. Available online at: http://www.arb.ca.gov/desig/adm/adm.htm. Accessed November 2013.

Federal Land Managers (FLM). 2010. Federal Land Managers' Air Quality Related Values Workgroup (FLAG) Phase I Report – Revised (2010). November.

Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA). 2003. *Air Toxics Hot Spot Program Risk Assessment Guidelines*. August.

Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA). 2011. *Consolidated Table of OEHHA/ARB Approved Risk Assessment Health Values*. February 14.

South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). 2007. CEQA Handbook, Table XI-A Mitigation Measure Examples: Fugitive Dust from Construction & Demolition. April.

South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). 2011. Supplemental Guidelines for Preparing Risk Assessments for the Air Toxics "Hot Spots" Information and Assessment Act (AB2588). June.

South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). 2012. *AQMD Modeling Guidance for AERMOD*. Available online at: http://www.aqmd.gov/smog/metdata/AERMOD\_ModelingGuidance.html. Accessed July 2012.

South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). 2013. *Historical Air Quality Data Tables by Year*. Available online at: http://www.aqmd.gov/smog/historicaldata.htm. Accessed November 2013.

South Coast Air Quality Management District, Bay Area Air Quality Management District, Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District, San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District, Santa Barbara County Air Pollution Control District, San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District (SCAQMD, et al.). 2011. *Technical Paper: Methodology Reasoning and Policy Development of the California Emission Estimator Model*. July.

The Climate Registry (TCR). 2013. General Reporting Protocol. March.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1992. *Workbook for Plume Visual Impact Screening and Analysis* (EPA-454/R-92-023). October.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2005. *Guideline on Air Quality Models*, 40 CFR 51, Appendix W. November.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2006. *AP-42, Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors*. Chapter 13. Volume 1. Fifth Edition.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2011. Additional Clarification Regarding Application of Appendix W Modeling Guidance for the 1-Hour NO<sub>2</sub> National Ambient Air Quality Standard. EPA Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards. March 1.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2013a. *AirData – Monitor Values Reports*. Available online at: http://www.epa.gov/airdata/ad\_rep\_mon.html. Accessed November 2013.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2013b. *The Green Book Nonattainment Areas for Criteria Pollutants*. Available online at: http://www.epa.gov/air/oaqps/greenbk/index.html. Accessed June 2013.

Modeling Protocol

# Dispersion Modeling Protocol for Air Quality Related Values at Class I Areas Near the Alamitos Energy Center

Prepared for AES Alamitos, LLC 690 N. Studebaker Road Long Beach, CA 90803

September 3, 2013

Submitted to South Coast Air Quality Management District

Prepared by



2485 Natomas Park Drive, Suite 600 Sacramento, CA 95833

Section			Page
Abbreviation	s and Acr	onyms	iii
1. Introductio	on		1-1
1.1	Project	t Background	
1.2	Project	t Description	
1.3	AQRV	Analysis Requirements	
2. Modeling I	Methodol	ogy	2-1
2.1	Screen	ing Methodology for AQRVs	
2.2	AQRV	Far-Field Dispersion Modeling	
3. Meteorolo	gical Data	a Processing	
3.1	Compu	utational Grid	
	3.1.1	CALMET Processing	
	3.1.2	Validation of CALMET Wind Field	
4. Modeling S	Steps		4-1
4.1	CALPU	FF Modeling	
	4.1.1	Model Version	
	4.1.2	Technical Options for Modeling	
	4.1.3	Source Characterization	
	4.1.4	Building Downwash	
	4.1.5	Receptors	
	4.1.6	Ozone and Ammonia	
4.2		litrogen Deposition	
4.3	Region	al Haze	
5. Output / P	resentati	on of Results	5-1
6. References	5		6-1

ii

#### Appendix

**CALMET** Settings

#### Tables

- 1-1 Annual Facility Emission Estimates
- 1-2 Nearest Class I Areas
- 2-1 Maximum Facility Calculated Q
- 2-2 Screening for Class I Areas within 300 km of AEC
- 4-1 20 Percent Best Natural Conditions
- 4-2 CALPOST Method 8 f(RH) Values

#### Figures

- 1-1 Regional Location Map
- 1-2 Class I Area Map
- 3-1 CALMET/CALPUFF Modeling Domain

# Abbreviations and Acronyms

μg/m³	microgram per cubic meter
AEC	Alamitos Energy Center
AES-SLD	AES Southland Development, LLC
AQRV	Air Quality Related Values
BPIP	Building Profile Input Program
СТ	cooling tower
CTG	combustion turbine generator
DAT	Deposition Analysis Threshold
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
f(RH)	relative humidity adjustment factor
FLM	Federal Land Manager
GHG	greenhouse gas
$H_2SO_4$	sulfuric acid
HNO <sub>3</sub>	nitric acid
HRSG	heat recovery steam generator
IWAQM	Interagency Workgroup on Air Quality Modeling
kg/ha-yr	kilogram(s) per hectare per year
km	kilometer(s)
lb/day	pound(s) per day
Mm <sup>-1</sup>	Inverse megameters
MPSA	Mitsubishi Power Systems Americas
MW	megawatt(s)
NO <sub>3</sub>	nitrate
NOx	nitrogen oxides
NPS	National Park Service
NSA	National Scenic Area
NSR	New Source Review
O <sub>3</sub>	ozone
Pb	lead
PM	particulate matter
PM <sub>10</sub>	particulate matter with a diameter less than 10 microns
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	particulate matter with a diameter less than 2.5 microns
ppb	parts per billion

Q/D emissions/distance factor SCAQMD South Coast Air Quality Management District steam turbine generator STG sulfur dioxide  $SO_2$ SOx sulfur oxides ton(s) per year tpy ULSD ultra-low sulfur distillate U.S. Geological Survey USGS

## 1.1 Project Background

AES Alamitos, LLC (AES) proposes to construct the Alamitos Energy Center (AEC or project) at the existing AES Alamitos Generating Station site at 690 N. Studebaker Road, Long Beach, California 90803. The existing Alamitos Generating Station, constructed in the 1950s and 1960s, currently has six operating generating units (Units 1–6) and one retired generating unit (Unit 7). The operating units, the retired unit, the Unit 7 fuel tank, and the northeast warehouse will be demolished as part of the project. Once constructed, AEC will consist of four, three-on-one natural gas-fired combined-cycle power blocks with a net generating capacity of 1,936 megawatts (MW)<sup>1</sup>. The power blocks will utilize twelve Mitsubishi Power Systems Americas (MPSA) 501DA combustion turbine generators (CTGs), four steam turbine generators (STG), and four air-cooled condensers. Each CTG will be equipped with a heat recovery steam generator (HRSG).

AEC will be located in the City of Long Beach, Los Angeles County, California. Los Angeles County is in attainment for all federal National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) with the exception of ozone, particulate matter less than 2.5 microns in diameter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>), and lead. Effective July 26, 2013, Los Angeles County was reclassified by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) from non-attainment to maintenance for particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter (PM<sub>10</sub>) (78 Federal Register 38223).

AEC will be permitted through the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) New Source Review (NSR) permitting process. Because AEC is also categorized as one of the 28 Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) major source categories (40 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] 52.21(b)(1)(i)), the project is subject to PSD permitting requirements if the net emission increase from the project exceeds 100 tons per year (tpy) for any regulated pollutant for which the area is designated as attainment, maintenance, or unclassified, with the exception of greenhouse gases (GHG). The threshold for GHGs is a net increase of 100,000 tpy.

Preliminary emission estimates indicate that the project will exceed PSD significant emission increases for nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), which is an attainment pollutant, volatile organic compounds (VOC), and PM<sub>10</sub>, for which the area is designated as maintenance. Therefore, the project will be required to conduct an analysis at Class I areas for which NO<sub>x</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> could affect Air Quality Related Values (AQRV) (40 CFR 51.166(p)(2)).<sup>2</sup> Class I AQRVs affected by significant increases in NO<sub>x</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> are visibility and total nitrogen deposition.

This air dispersion modeling protocol summarizes the modeling methodology which will be used to evaluate the project's impacts to air quality with respect to AQRVs at the federally-designated Class I areas within 300 kilometers (km) of the project site. This protocol has been prepared based on the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guideline on Air Quality Models* (EPA, 2005), Interagency Workgroup on Air Quality Modeling (IWAQM) Phase 2 report (EPA, 1998), and the Federal Land Managers' (FLM) Air Quality Related Values Work Group (FLAG) guidance document (FLM, 2010). These guidance documents provide modeling approaches suggested by EPA and the FLMs.

A separate protocol was previously submitted to the SCAQMD for the criteria pollutant air quality analysis for NAAQS and PSD Increment Standards.

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Referenced to site ambient average temperature (SAAT) conditions of 65.3 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) dry bulb and 62.7°F wet bulb temperature without evaporative cooler operation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> No air dispersion modeling demonstration is required for VOC.

# 1.2 Project Description

AEC will have a net generating capacity of 1,936 MW. The project location is presented in Figure 1-1.

An estimate of the annual AEC potential to emit (PTE) criteria pollutant emissions and past actual emissions from 2008 through 2012 are presented in Table 1-1. The PTE estimates are based on preliminary engineering data, 3,320 hours of base load operation per turbine per year, and 495 startups and shutdowns per turbine per year.

#### TABLE 1-1

#### Annual Facility Emission Estimates

	Facility Emission Totals – Tons Per Year (Estimate)							
Facility	NO <sub>2</sub>	SO2	PM10	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	VOC	со	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	
Existing Units (Past Actual)	65.8	6.9	16.3	16.3	27.0	1,180	—	
AEC (PTE)*	272	20.8	99.5	99.5	188	372	0.9	
Net Increase	206	13.9	83.2	83.2	161	-808	0.9	

\*Assumes twelve MPSA 501DA gas turbines operating 3,320 hours per year per turbine and 495 startups/shutdowns per turbine per year.

 $NO_2$  = nitrogen dioxide

 $SO_2 = sulfur dioxide$ 

VOC = volatile organic compounds

CO = carbon monoxide

 $H_2SO_4$  = sulfuric acid

In order to evaluate the potential impacts on Class I areas near the AEC site, all Class I areas within 300 km of AEC were identified. The identified Class I areas are summarized in Table 1-2 and presented, relative to the project site, in Figure 1-2. Based on this survey, the San Gabriel Wilderness, which is approximately 53 km from the AEC site, was identified as the nearest Class I area.

#### TABLE 1-2 Nearest Class I Areas

Class I Area	Distance (km)
San Gabriel Wilderness	53.5
Cucamonga Wilderness	63.6
Agua Tibia Wilderness	104.5
San Gorgonio Wilderness	111.8
San Jacinto Wilderness	123.5
Joshua Tree Wilderness	153.7
San Rafael Wilderness	175.1
Domeland Wilderness	214.8

# 1.3 AQRV Analysis Requirements

As described above, the AEC will be a federal major source subject to major PSD NSR requirements for  $NO_x$  and  $PM_{10}$ . As such, AES must perform an AQRV modeling analysis evaluating the project's impacts of the visibility impairing pollutants ( $PM_{10}$ ,  $NO_x$ , sulfuric acid [ $H_2SO_4$ ], and sulfur dioxide [ $SO_2$ ]). AES will conduct an

AQRV analysis at each of the Class I areas within 300 km of the project site. This analysis consists of an initial screening step to identify Class I areas subject to further evaluation (discussed in Section 2.1). For those Class I areas which exceed the screening criterion, a far-field AQRV analysis will be performed. The far-field AQRV analysis will address the potential project impacts on visibility. In addition to visibility, total nitrogen deposition will be assessed at each Class I area which exceeds the screening criterion. A total sulfur deposition analysis is not required because the project's increases in SO<sub>2</sub> emissions would not trigger PSD review.



#### Legend

Project Boundary

FIGURE 1-1 **Project Location** Alamitos Energy Center Long Beach, California



FIGURE 1-2 Class I Area Map Alamitos Energy Center Long Beach, California

## 2.1 Screening Methodology for AQRVs

The FLM guidance document allows an emissions/distance (Q/D) factor of 10 to be used to screen sources, located greater than 50 km from a Class I area, out of conducting a far-field AQRV analysis (FLM, 2010). This screening criterion applies to all AQRVs such that, where Q/D is 10 or less for a given Class I area, no further AQRV review is required for that area. For purposes of applying the Q/D screening criterion, emissions are conservatively calculated as the total SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> annual emissions (in tpy, based on the 24-hour maximum allowable emissions). These emissions are divided by the distance (in km) from the Class I area. The emission rates used for screening are extremely conservative and exceed the annual emission limits being requested in the permit application. This is because the FLM guidance document specifies that the emission rates used in the screening analysis must reflect the annual emissions if the 24-hour maximum emission rate were to occur every day, regardless of whether the applicant is seeking to operate in that manner (FLM, 2010).

Table 2-1 summarizes the potential maximum allowable emissions of each of the pollutants used to calculate Q.

#### TABLE 2-1 Maximum Facility Calculated Q\*

	Pollutant						
Units	NO <sub>x</sub>	SO <sub>2</sub>	PM10	H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	Total		
Maximum lb/hr (Each)	10.7	3.1	4.5	0.1			
lb/hr (Facility, 12 Turbines)	128.2	37.1	54.0	1.6			
lb/day (Facility)	3,076	890	1,296	37			
lb/yr (Facility)	1,122,766	324,774	473,040	13,677			
Facility Total tpy (Q)	561	162	237	7	967		

\*Q is a theoretical value based on the maximum daily 24-hour emission rate assuming all 12 proposed turbines at the AEC would be operating at maximum capacity every day of the year.

lb/hr = pound(s) per hour lb/day = pound(s) per day

lb/yr = pound(s) per year

Using the emissions in Table 2-1, the Q/D for each Class I area is presented in Table 2-2.

Class I Areas	Distance to AEC (km)	Class I AQRV Q/D (24-hour Max)*
San Gabriel Wilderness	53.5	18.1
Cucamonga Wilderness	63.6	15.2
Agua Tibia Wilderness	104.5	9.3
San Gorgonio Wilderness	111.8	8.7
San Jacinto Wilderness	123.5	7.8
Joshua Tree Wilderness	153.7	6.3
San Rafael Wilderness	175.1	5.5
Domeland Wilderness	214.8	4.5

#### TABLE 2-2 Screening for Class I Areas within 300 km of AEC

\*Class I AQRV Q/D calculated as total tpy identified in Table 2-1, divided by the distance to the nearest Class I area. Bold values indicate an exceedance of the screening criterion (10).

Based on the screening analysis above, a far-field AQRV analysis is required for the San Gabriel and Cucamonga Wilderness areas. All other Class I areas are below the FLM screening criterion; therefore, the project will not adversely affect AQRVs at these areas.

# 2.2 AQRV Far-Field Dispersion Modeling

The FLM guidance document recommends that a far-field AQRV analysis be performed using the CALPUFF modeling system (FLM, 2010). As described above, a far-field AQRV analysis is required to assess a project's effect on AQRVs if the project is located more than 50 km from Class I areas that do not screen out in the Q/D calculation. The CALPUFF modeling system includes a Gaussian puff dispersion model (CALPUFF) with algorithms for chemical transformation and deposition, and a post-processor (CALPOST) capable of calculating concentrations, visibility impacts, and total deposition.

# 3.1 Computational Grid

CALMET will generate a three-dimensional wind field and boundary layer parameters suitable for use by the CALPUFF model. A modeling domain will be established to encompass the project site and the Class I areas of concern. The selected domain will allow for coverage of each of the Class I areas of concern, with a 50-km buffer to the west of the project site, a 50-km buffer beyond the farthest boundary of the most distant Class I areas in all other directions, and a grid resolution of 4 km. Figure 3-1 shows the CALMET/CALPUFF modeling domain.

The default options listed in Appendix A of the IWAQM Phase 2 report (EPA, 2009) will be used for CALMET. The CALMET program receives geophysical and meteorological data as described below and defines gridded, multilevel wind fields that are subsequently used in the CALPUFF program for dispersion modeling. Wind fields will be examined graphically for appropriate response to terrain. A sampling of wind fields from different times of year and under different stability conditions will be evaluated.

## 3.1.1 CALMET Processing

In accordance with the IWAQM Phase 2 report, CALMET, the CALPUFF meteorological pre-processor, will be used to simulate three years (2006, 2007, and 2008) of meteorological conditions. For the hourly wind field initialization, CALMET will use gridded prognostic MM5 data. The MM5 data used is available every 36 km within the modeling domain for the years 2006 through 2008.

These predictive meteorological data sets will be combined with gridded terrain data derived from U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) 1:250,000 (3 arc second or 90-meter grid spacing) Digital Elevation Model (DEM) files and gridded land use data derived from USGS 1:250,000 Composite Theme Grid (CTG) land use files.

Following wind field initialization, a secondary wind field will be produced with the input hourly surface data from all available National Weather Service (NWS) surface stations within and just outside the modeling domain and twice daily upper air sounding data from the San Diego Miramar NWS station (Station #03190). Hourly surface data from both first-order (i.e., NWS surface stations) and second-order stations will be considered in this analysis. Other sources of meteorological data, such as CASTNET, may be used to supplement areas lacking NWS or second-order data. Hourly precipitation data from stations within and just outside of the modeling domain will be taken from a National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) data set for purposes of wet scavenging of the plume and wet deposition calculations. Each of the stations contributing to the CALMET data set is shown in Figure 3-1.

The criteria used in the CALMET processing are summarized in an appendix to this report.

## 3.1.2 Validation of CALMET Wind Field

The CalVIEW data display and analysis system included in the CALPRO GUI system, developed by the CALPUFF software developer (TRC), will be used to view plots of wind vectors and other meteorological parameters to evaluate the CALMET wind fields. Observed weather conditions, as depicted in surface and upper-air weather maps from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Central Library U.S. Daily Weather Maps Project<sup>3</sup>, will be used to compare to the CalVIEW vector displays.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Observed weather conditions available at http://docs.lib.noaa.gov/rescue/dwm/ data\_rescue\_daily\_weather\_maps.html.



FIGURE 3-1 **CALMET/CALPUFF Modeling Domain** Alamitos Energy Center Long Beach, California

# Modeling Steps

The most recent EPA-approved version of CALPOST will be used to process CALPUFF binary output data files and produce summary results files. These results will then be used to analyze total nitrogen deposition and visibility impacts.

# 4.1 CALPUFF Modeling

The following subsections provide a summary of the CALPUFF modeling procedures that will be used to model Class I AQRVs.

## 4.1.1 Model Version

The most recent EPA-approved version of the CALPUFF modeling system (Version 5.8) will be used in a full, refined mode using MM5 meteorological data. The following CALPUFF pre- and post-processors will be used:

- SMERGE: Version 5.57, Level 070627
- PMERGE: Version 5.32, Level 070627
- MAKEGEO: Version 2.29, Level 070327
- CALMET: Version 5.8, Level 070623
- CALPUFF: Version 5.8, Level 070623
- CALPOST: Version 6.221, Level 080724 (for Method 8, mode 5<sup>4</sup> processing option)

## 4.1.2 Technical Options for Modeling

FLM and EPA guidance on the CALPUFF model technical options, inputs, and processing steps will be followed.

The CALPUFF model will be run with EPA-recommended technical option values, including the selection of the MESOPUFF II chemical transformation. The sections below summarize the source characterization, building downwash, receptor grids for each Class I area modeled, and background ozone and ammonia concentrations used for the CALPUFF modeling.

## 4.1.3 Source Characterization

All sources will be modeled in CALPUFF as point sources. Particulate matter (PM) emissions will be speciated into filterable PM (PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and elemental carbon) and condensable PM (organic carbon and sulfates). Guidance on the FLM Web site<sup>5</sup> will be used to speciate the emissions from the project's emission sources.

## 4.1.4 Building Downwash

Building influences on stacks are calculated by incorporating the updated EPA Building Profile Input Program (BPIP). Output from the BPIP program will be included in the CALPUFF modeling file.

## 4.1.5 Receptors

The TRC COORDS program will be used to convert the latitude/longitude coordinates to Lambert Conformal Conic (LCC) coordinates for the meteorological stations and source locations. The USGS conversion program, PROJ (Version 4.4.6), will be used to convert the National Park Service (NPS) receptor location data from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Method 8 allows for the use of different relative humidity adjustment factor (f[RH]) values for large hydroscopic particles, small hydroscopic particles, and sea salt.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Speciation information is available at http://www.nature.nps.gov/air/permits/ect/index.cfm.

latitude/longitude to LCC. Discrete receptors within the Class I areas that exceeded the screening criterion were taken from the NPS database for Class I area modeling receptors.

## 4.1.6 Ozone and Ammonia

The CALPUFF modeling will be conducted with hourly background ozone data from the closest monitors and monthly average ammonia background values. In the absence of hourly ozone data for a particular hour, the monthly average of all hourly data from all stations will be used. The background ammonia concentration will initially be taken from the IWAQM Phase 2 report, which suggests values based on the predominant land use throughout the modeling domain. Refinements will be made to the IWAQM ammonia background concentration based on observed seasonal variations in the background ammonia levels.

### 4.1.6.1 Ozone

The transformation rates of gaseous  $SO_2$  and  $NO_x$  are dependent on the ambient concentrations of ozone. Temporally varying ozone values from a number of monitoring stations within the domain can be used within the model to estimate the transformation rates of  $SO_2$  and  $NO_x$ .

Southern California has many ozone monitors which collect hourly concentrations. Monitoring stations within the modeling domain will be included in the CALPUFF modeling analysis. A final list of the stations utilized will be included in the permit application.

### 4.1.6.2 Ammonia

Ammonia is not simulated by CALPUFF, but a background value is required to characterize the conversion of  $NO_x$  and  $SO_2$  to ammonium nitrate and ammonium sulfate, respectively.

There is little (if any) monitored ammonia concentration data in the South Coast Air Basin and Los Angeles region. The IWAQM Phase 2 report (EPA, 1998) recommends background concentrations of ammonia of 10 part(s) per billion (ppb) for grasslands, 1 ppb for arid lands at 20 degrees Celsius (°C), and 0.5 ppb for forested land. The California Regional Haze Rule modeling analysis conservatively utilized a 10 ppb statewide ambient ammonia background concentration. The 10 ppb background ammonia concentration used for the California Regional Haze Rule modeling would be appropriate for many sources and Class I areas in California due to the amount of agriculture in the central San Joaquin and Sacramento Valleys. However, since the proposed AEC is located in the Los Angeles area and the surrounding land use is neither predominantly agriculture nor grassland, a conservative year-round background ammonia concentration of 2 ppb is proposed for the project. This is consistent with the land-use types surrounding the source and sources of ammonia near the project area.

If better ammonia data are available for the South Coast Air Basin and Los Angeles region, the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) and FLMs will be contacted to determine the appropriateness of such data. If the background ammonia concentration differs from the initially proposed 2 ppb, an analysis of the updated ammonia data will be supplied in the permit application.

# 4.2 Total Nitrogen Deposition

CALPUFF and CALPOST will be applied to obtain upper limit estimates of annual wet and dry deposition of nitrogen compounds associated with emissions from the project. Specifically, CALPUFF will be used to model both wet and dry deposition of ammonia and nitric acid, as well as dry deposition of NO<sub>x</sub>, to estimate the maximum annual wet and dry deposition of nitrogen at the Class I areas. The deposition results will be documented for evaluation.

POSTUTIL (Version 1.56) will be used to calculate total nitrogen deposition for each receptor. POSTUTIL will also be used to reapportion nitrate concentrations using monthly ambient ammonia data, if available.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service Web site<sup>6</sup> indicates that the minimum detectable level for measuring an increase in wet deposition of nitrates is 0.5 kilograms per hectare per year (kg/ha/yr). For conservatism, the U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service recommends a significance level of one tenth of this minimum detectable level, or 0.05 kg/ha/yr. The FLMs have also developed a Deposition Analysis Threshold (DAT) of 0.005 kg/ha/yr (FLM, 2008) to be used as a threshold for Class I areas in the western U.S., classified as west of the Mississippi River. Since all Class I areas to be assessed in this analysis are west of the Mississippi River, the selected DAT for total nitrogen deposition is 0.005 kg/ha/yr (FLM, 2008).

# 4.3 Regional Haze

CALPUFF and CALPOST processing will be used for the regional haze analysis to compute the maximum 24-hour average light extinction due to NO<sub>x</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> emissions from the project. As mentioned above, all emissions which could contribute to visibility impacts are modeled. For both ambient background and emissions, the relative humidity adjustment factor (f[RH]) will calculate the sulfate and nitrate components of the visibility extinction coefficient. For this factor, monthly average f(RH) values for large hygroscopic particles, small hygroscopic particles, and sea salt will be used (Method 8).

Ambient background concentrations of light attenuating pollutants are based on the 20 percent best day visibility conditions for the Class I areas included in this analysis. The proposed background values, taken from Table 5 of the FLM guidance document (FLM, 2010), are presented in Table 4-1. Table 4-2 presents the f(RH) values used for each Class I area modeled.

Visibility impacts estimated with the CALPUFF model will be reported for each Class I area analyzed. Modeled potential visibility impacts will then be compared to the applicable background concentrations. For each Class I area, the 3-year average of the 98th percentile change in background light extinction, along with the total number of days exceeding a change greater than 5 and 10 percent, if any, will be reported. If the 3-year average of the 98th percentile change in background light extinction exceeds the recommended screening value of 5 percent in one or more Class I area, alternative analytical options will be investigated in conjunction with the FLMs and SCAQMD.

Aerosol Component	San Gabriel Wilderness	Cucamonga Wilderness
Ammonium Sulfate (µg/m³)	0.03	0.03
Ammonium Nitrate (µg/m³)	0.03	0.03
Organic Matter (µg/m³)	0.15	0.15
Elemental Carbon (µg/m³)	0.01	0.01
Soil (µg/m³)	0.14	0.14
Coarse Mass (µg/m³)	0.67	0.67
Sea Salt (µg/m³)	0.01	0.01
Rayleigh (Mm <sup>-1</sup> )	9	9

#### TABLE 4-1

20 Percent	Best Natura	al Conditions
	Destructure	

\*Data taken from Table 5 of the FLM guidance document (FLM, 2010).

µg/m<sup>3</sup> = microgram(s) per cubic meter

Mm<sup>-1</sup> = inverse megameters

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> U.S. Department of Agriculture Forest Service information is available at http://www.fs.fed.us/r6/ aq/natarm/document.htm.

#### TABLE 4-2 CALPOST Method 8 f(RH) Values

	f(RH) by Month											
f(RH) Fraction	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
San Gabriel Wilde	rness											
f(RH) Small	2.94	2.78	2.72	2.41	2.37	2.29	2.32	2.39	2.44	2.44	2.36	2.58
f(RH) Large	2.25	2.17	2.14	1.96	1.95	1.90	1.91	1.95	1.98	1.97	1.91	2.04
f(RH) Sea Salt	3.12	3.04	3.04	2.77	2.78	2.69	2.69	2.77	2.79	2.74	2.59	2.79
Cucamonga Wilde	rness											
f(RH) Small	2.87	2.73	2.68	2.40	2.37	2.29	2.31	2.38	2.43	2.42	2.34	2.54
f(RH) Large	2.21	2.14	2.13	1.96	1.95	1.90	1.91	1.96	1.98	1.96	1.90	2.02
f(RH) Sea Salt	3.07	3.01	3.03	2.79	2.80	2.72	2.72	2.80	2.81	2.76	2.58	2.77

## SECTION 5 Output / Presentation of Results

The results of the air dispersion modeling analysis will be presented as follows:

- A description of modeling methodologies and input data
- A summary of the results in tabular and, where appropriate, graphical and narrative form
- Modeling files used for analysis will be provided with the application on an external hard drive
- Any significant deviations from the methodology proposed in this protocol will be presented in the application

# References

Federal Land Managers (FLM). 2008. Federal Land Managers' Air Quality Related Values Workgroup (FLAG) Phase I Report (Revised 2008). June.

Federal Land Managers (FLM). 2010. Federal Land Managers' Air Quality Related Values Workgroup (FLAG) Phase I Report (Revised 2010). November.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 1998. Interagency Workgroup on Air Quality Modeling (IWAQM) Phase 2 Summary Report and Recommendations for Modeling Long Range Transport Impacts. December.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2005. *Guideline On Air Quality Models*, 40 CFR 51, Appendix W. November.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). 2009. Reassessment of the Interagency Workgroup on Air Quality Modeling (IWAQM) Phase 2 Summary Report: Revisions to Phase 2 Recommendations. May.
Appendix CALMET Settings

# **CALMET** Parameters

Input Group	Variable	Version 5 Default	Input
2	PMAP	UTM	LCC
2	FEAST	0.0	0.0
2	FNORTH	0.0	0.0
2	IUTMZN	No Default	11
2	UTMHEM	N	N
2	RLAT0	No Default	34.06179
2	RLONO	No Default	-117.8192
2	XLAT1	No Default	33
2	XLAT2	No Default	35
2	DATUM	WGS-84	WGS-84
2	NX	No Default	39
2	NY	No Default	42
2	DGRIDKM	No Default	4
2	XORIGKM	No Default	-78
2	YORIGKM	No Default	-84
2	NZ	No Default	10
2	ZFACE	No Default	0.,20.,40.00,80.00,160.00,320.00,640.00,1 200.00,2000.00,3000.00,4000.00
3	LSAVE	Т	Т
3	IFORMO	1	1
3	LPRINT	F	F
3	IPRINF	1	1
3	IUVOUT	NZ*0	0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
3	IWOUT	NZ*0	0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
3	ITOUT	NZ*0	0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
3	STABILITY	0	0
3	USTAR	0	0
3	MONIN	0	0
3	MIXHT	0	0
3	WSTAR	0	0
3	PRECIP	0	0
3	SENSHEAT	0	0
3	CONVZI	0	0
3	LDB	F	F
3	NN1	1	1
3	NN2	1	1
3	LDBCST	F	F
3	IOUTD	0	0
3	NZPRN2	1	0
3	IPRO	0	0
3	IPR1	0	0
3	IPR2	0	0
3	IPR3	0	0
3	IPR4	0	0
3	IPR5	0	0
3	IPR6	0	0
3	IPR7	0	0
3	IPR8	0	0
4	NOOBS	0	0
4	NSSTA	No default	TBD
4	NPSTA	No default	TBD
4	ICLOUD	0	0
		~	<b>~</b>

# **CALMET** Parameters

Input Group	Variable	Version 5 Default	Input
4	IFORMP	2	2
4	IFORMC	2	2
5	IWFCOD	1	1
5	IFRADJ	1	1
5	IKINE	0	0
5	IOBR	0	0
5	ISLOPE	1	1
5	IEXTRP		
		-4	-4
5	ICALM	0	0
5	BIAS	NZ*0	10*0
5	RMIN2	4.	-1
5	IPROG	0	14
5	ISTEPPG	1	1
5	IGFMET	0	1
5	LVARY	F	F
5	RMAX1	No default	10
5	RMAX2	No default	100
5	RMAX3	No default	200
5	RMIN	0.1	0.1
5	TERRAD	No default	15
5	R1	No default	5
5	R2	No default	50
5	RPROG	No default	0
5	DIVLIM	5.E-6	5.0E-06
5	NITER	50	50
5	NSMTH	2,(mxnz-1)*4	2, 9*4
5	NINTR2	99.	10*99
5	CRITFN	1.0	1
5	ALPHA	0.1	0.1
5	FEXTR2	NZ*0.0	10*0
5	NBAR	0	0
5	KBAR	NZ	10
5	XBBAR	No defaults	0
5	YBBAR	No defaults	0
5	XEBAR	No defaults	0
5	YEBAR	No defaults	0
5	IDIOPT1	0	0
5	ISURFT	-1	TBD
5	IDIOPT2	0	0
5	IUPT	-1	3
5	ZUPT	200.	200
5	IDIOPT3	0	0
5	IUPWND	-1	-1
5	ZUPWND		-1 1., 1000
		1., 1000	
5	IDIOPT4	0	0
5	IDIOPT5	0	0
5	LLBREZE	F	F
5	NBOX	User Defined	0
5	XG1	User Defined	0
5	XG2	User Defined	0
5	YG1	User Defined	0
5	YG2	User Defined	0
5	XBCST	None	0

# **CALMET** Parameters

I			
Input Group	Variable	Version 5 Default	Input
5	YBCST	None	0
5	XECST	None	0
5	YECST	None	0
5	NLB	None	0
5	METBXID	User Defined	0
6	CONSTB	1.41	1.41
6	CONSTE	0.15	0.15
6	CONSTN	2400.	2400
6	CONSTW	0.16	0.16
6	FCORIOL	1.E-4	1.0E-04
6	IAVEZI	1	1
6	MNMDAV	1	1
6	HAFANG	30.	30
6	ILEVZI	1	1
6	IMIXH	1	-1
6	THRESHL	0.0	0.0
6	THRESHW	0.05	0.05
6	ITWPROG	0	0
6	ILUOC3D	16	16
6	DPTMIN	0.001	0.001
6	DZZI	200.	200
6	ZIMIN	50.	50
6	ZIMAX	3000.	3000
6	ZIMINW	50.	50
6	ZIMAXW	3000.	3000
6	ICOARE	10	0
6	DSHELF	0.	0
6	IWARM	0	0
6	ICOOL	0	0
6	ITPROG	0	0
6	IRAD	1	1
6	TRADKM	500.	500
6	NUMTS	5	5
6	IAVET	1	1
6	TGDEFB	0098	-0.0098
6	TGDEFA	0045	-0.0045
6	JWAT1	User Defined	55
6	JWAT2	User Defined	55
6	NFLAGP	2	2
6	SIGMAP	100.0	100
6	CUTP	0.01	0.01
7	SS#	User Defined	TBD
8	US#	User Defined	TBD
9	PS#	User Defined	TBD

CH2MHILL®

### Alamitos Energy Center - Response to USFS FLM Comments on the AQRV Protocol

PREPARED FOR: USFS Federal Land Managers

COPY TO: Tom Chico/SCAQMD Jillian Baker/SCAQMD Cleveland Holladay/EPA Stephen O'Kane/AES CH2M HILL/Project Folder

PREPARED BY: CH2M HILL DATE: November 11, 2013

AES Alamitos, LLC (AES) proposes to construct the Alamitos Energy Center (AEC or project) at the existing AES Alamitos Generating Station site in Los Angeles County. Emission estimates indicate that the project will exceed Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) significant emission increases for nitrogen oxides (NOx), which is an attainment pollutant, volatile organic compounds (VOC), and particulate matter with a diameter of 10 microns or less (PM<sub>10</sub>), for which the area is designated as maintenance. Therefore, the project will be required to conduct an analysis at Class I areas for which NOx and PM<sub>10</sub> could affect Air Quality Related Values (AQRVs) (40 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] 51.166(p)(2)).<sup>1</sup> Class I AQRVs affected by significant increases in NOx and PM<sub>10</sub> are visibility and total nitrogen deposition.

An AQRV modeling protocol<sup>2</sup> was submitted to the U.S. Forest Service (USFS) on September 4, 2013. The USFS supplied comments to the modeling protocol on September 27, 2013. Subsequently, a conference call to discuss the USFS comments was conducted on November 1, 2013. The purpose of the meeting was to receive further input and clarification from the USFS regarding comments on the AQRV modeling protocol.

This technical memorandum summarizes the USFS comments on the AQRV modeling protocol and each comment is followed by a detailed response. Supporting documentation for the responses is attached to this memorandum.

In addition to the written comments below, during the November 1, 2013 conference call, the Federal Land Managers (FLMs) identified two additional Class I areas to include in the visibility and total nitrogen deposition analysis, even though the Q/d screening analysis was below the threshold of 10 for each of these areas. These two additional Class I areas are Agua Tibia Wilderness Area and San Gorgonio Wilderness Area. The two additional areas will be included in the visibility and total nitrogen deposition analysis for the Class I area analysis.

# Comment 1: [Please provide] MM5 data documentation [including]: source of data, horizontal/vertical resolution, physics options, and performance evaluation.

**Response:** As described in the AQRV modeling protocol, MM5 data for years 2006, 2007, and 2008 are proposed for this analysis. The MM5 data is of 36-kilometer (km) horizontal resolution and developed by Alpine Geophysics, LLC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> No air dispersion modeling demonstration is required for VOC.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> CH2M HILL, 2013. *Dispersion Modeling Protocol for Air Quality Related Values at Class I Areas Near the Alamitos Energy Center*. Sacramento, CA. September.

Alpine Geophysics, LLC has provided a performance evaluation of the year 2006 for the continental and western United States, which is included in Attachment A. Model performance is evaluated based on state-wide statistics of temperature bias, temperature error, mixing ratio bias, mixing ratio error, and wind speed index agreement (refer to Tables 3-1, 3-4, 3-7, 3-10, and 3-14, respectively, of Attachment A) as well as numerous snapshots of MM5 predicted parameters with comparisons to contemporaneous observations.

For the 12-km and 36-km domains, the statistical values were compared with similar model performance evaluation statistics from MM5 simulations performed in previous studies upon the same or very similar grid domains. Based on this comparison, the current simulation has performance characteristics that are similar to prior studies. Of the simulations examined, no one simulation exhibits consistently superior performance. Therefore, the current MM5 simulation is performing at par with other simulations that are currently being used for air quality planning so the overall performance of the model is judged to be adequate (Alpine Geophysics, LLC, 2008. *Evaluation of 36/12/4 km MM5 for Calendar Year 2006 over the Continental and Western United States with Emphasis in Southwestern Wyoming*. December).

# Comment 2: [Please provide the] procedures for filling in missing meteorological data [and a] list of surface and upper air meteorological stations.

**Response:** Missing meteorological data will be filled in using different approaches depending on whether it is surface station data or Miramar upper air station data.

If required, surface station data will be filled in following the procedures outlined in the *Meteorological Monitoring Guidance for Regulatory Modeling Applications*<sup>3</sup> guidance document.

Upper air sounding data will be filled in using the TRC UAMAKE pre-processor. If sounding data are missing, the UAMAKE pre-processor will extract sounding data from the prognostic data set for substitution for the missing sounding period. These data will be compared to upper air weather maps from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Central Library U.S. Daily Weather Maps Project<sup>4</sup> for accuracy and appropriateness.

Attachment B contains a list of the surface and upper air monitoring stations to be included in the CALMET processing.

#### Comment 3: [Please supply the] list of proposed CALPUFF control options.

**Response:** As described in the modeling protocol, the MESOPUFF II chemistry scheme will be used for the analysis. A sample CALPUFF input file is supplied in Attachment C, which contains the model triggers required to accurately characterize the emissions from the proposed source to evaluate potential impacts to visibility and total nitrogen deposition at the Class I areas analyzed.

# Comment 4: [Please supply the] list of POSTUTIL and CALPOST [control] options.

**Response:** As recommended by the FLMs' AQRV Work Group (FLAG) guidance document<sup>5</sup>, the method 8, mode 5 option in CALPOST will be used to determine the change in background light extinction for each Class I area being evaluated. Attachment D contains the sample CALPOST output file, which identifies the control options utilized for the analysis. As agreed upon during the November 1, 2013 conference call, the Agua Tibia and San Gorgonio Wilderness areas will also be evaluated in the AQRV analysis for project

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2000. *Meteorological Monitoring Guidance for Regulatory Modeling Applications*. Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards. Research Triangle Park, NC. February. EPA-454/R-99-005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> http://docs.lib.noaa.gov/rescue/dwm/ data\_rescue\_daily\_weather\_maps.html

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> U.S. Forest Service, National Park Service, and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 2010. *Federal Land Managers' Air Quality Related Values Work group* (*FLAG*) *Phase I Report (Revised 2010*). Natural Resource Report NPS/NRPC/NRR—2010/232. National Park Service. Denver, Colorado. November.

impacts on visibility and total nitrogen deposition. Ambient background concentrations of light attenuating pollutants are based on the 20 percent best day visibility conditions for these Class I areas. To augment the 20 percent best natural conditions presented in Table 4-1 of the modeling protocol, the proposed background values (taken from Table 5 of the FLAG guidance document) for Agua Tibia and San Gorgonio Wilderness Areas are presented in Table 1. Table 2 presents the f(RH) values used for these additional Class I areas modeled to augment the modeling protocol Table 4-2.

#### TABLE 1

#### **20 Percent Best Natural Conditions**

Aerosol Component	Agua Tibia Wilderness Area	San Gorgonio Wilderness Area
Ammonium Sulfate (μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.03	0.03
Ammonium Nitrate (μg/m³)	0.04	0.02
Organic Matter (μg/m³)	0.26	0.15
Elemental Carbon (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	0.01	0.01
Soil (µg/m³)	0.26	0.10
Coarse Mass (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	1.20	0.62
Sea Salt (µg/m³)	0.04	0.02
Rayleigh (Mm <sup>-1</sup> )	11	10

\*Data taken from Table 5 of the FLAG guidance document.

 $\mu g/m^3$  = microgram per cubic meter

 $Mm^{-1}$  = inverse megameters

f(RH) by Month												
f(RH) Fraction	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Agua Tibia Wild	lerness /	Area										
f(RH) Small	2.68	2.61	2.63	2.42	2.40	2.33	2.33	2.45	2.49	2.46	2.29	2.42
f(RH) Large	2.10	2.08	2.11	1.98	1.98	1.93	1.93	2.01	2.02	1.99	1.87	1.95
f(RH) Sea Salt	2.94	2.95	3.02	2.85	2.88	2.81	2.78	2.90	2.90	2.83	2.56	2.69
San Gorgonio V	Vilderne	ss Area										
f(RH) Small	2.94	2.94	2.74	2.36	2.34	2.00	1.88	2.02	2.05	2.04	2.10	2.43
f(RH) Large	2.21	2.23	2.13	1.90	1.90	1.69	1.62	1.71	1.72	1.70	1.73	1.92
f(RH) Sea Salt	2.97	3.06	2.93	2.60	2.63	2.28	2.13	2.30	2.31	2.24	2.25	2.55

#### TABLE 2 CALPOST Method 8 f(RH) values

As described in the protocol, POSTUTIL will be used to reapportion the nitrate concentrations using monthly ambient ammonia data. Attachment D contains a sample POSTUTIL input file which details the control options.

# Comment 5: Discussion on CALMET control options as proposal does not comport with August 31, 2009 EPA Model Clearinghouse memorandum.

**Response:** Based on the USFS comment and the November 1, 2013 conference call, the control options for CALMET have been updated to reflect the recommendations in the August 31, 2009 clarification memorandum<sup>6</sup>. The revised sample CALMET input file for the proposed project is included in Attachment E.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> EPA, 2009. *Clarification on EPA FLM Recommended Settings for CALMET*. Research Triangle Park, NC. August 31.

## Attachment A MM5 Performance Evaluation Support Documentation

## Evaluation of 36/12/4 km MM5 for Calendar Year 2006 over the Continental and Western United States with Emphasis in Southwestern Wyoming

**Prepared by:** 

Dennis McNally James G. Wilkinson Alpine Geophysics, LLC 7341 Poppy Way Arvada, Colorado 80007

5 December 2008

Table	of	Contents
-------	----	----------

Section No.	Page No.
1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
2 METHODOLOGY	
<ul><li>2.1 MODEL SELECTION AND APPLICATION</li><li>2.2 EVALUATION APPROACH</li></ul>	
3 MM5 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION RESULTS	
<ul> <li>3.1 INTRODUCTION</li> <li>3.2 QUANTITATIVE MODEL EVALUATION RESULTS</li></ul>	
4 COMPARISON WITH OTHER ANNUAL MM5 SIMULATIONS	
<ul> <li>4.1 COMPARISON TO OTHER ANNUAL 36KM SIMULATIONS</li></ul>	4-2
5 REFERENCES	

### List of Figures

Figure No. P	age No.
Figure 2-1. 36km (D01), 12km (D02) and 4km (D03) MM5 Domain	2-5
Figure 3-1. Regional Planning Organization (RPO) Boundaries.	
Figure 3-2. Precipitation for January 2006 over the 36km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	
Figure 3-3. Precipitation for February 2006 over the 36km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predict precipitation.	ed
Figure 3-4. Precipitation for March 2006 over the 36km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	
Figure 3-5. Precipitation for April 2006 over the 36km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	
Figure 3-6. Precipitation for May 2006 over the 36km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	
Figure 3-7. Precipitation for June 2006 over the 36km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	
Figure 3-8. Precipitation for July 2006 over the 36km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	
Figure 3-9. Precipitation for August 2006 over the 36km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	1
Figure 3-10. Precipitation for September 2006 over the 36km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 pred precipitation.	licted
Figure 3-11. Precipitation for October 2006 over the 36km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predict precipitation.	ted
Figure 3-12. Precipitation for November 2006 over the 36km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 pred precipitation.	licted
Figure 3-13. Precipitation for December 2006 over the 36km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 pred precipitation.	icted
Figure 3-14. Precipitation for January 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predict precipitation.	ed
Figure 3-15. Precipitation for February 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predic	cted
Figure 3-16. Precipitation for March 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	d
Figure 3-17. Precipitation for April 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	
Figure 3-18. Precipitation for May 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	
Figure 3-19. Precipitation for June 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	
Figure 3-20. Precipitation for July 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	
Figure 3-21. Precipitation for August 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	ed
Figure 3-22. Precipitation for September 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 pred precipitation.	licted
Figure 3-23. Precipitation for October 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predict precipitation.	ted
Figure 3-24. Precipitation for November 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 pred precipitation.	licted
Figure 3-25. Precipitation for December 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 pred precipitation.	icted

Figure 3-26. Precipitation for January 2006 over the 4km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	.3-34
Figure 3-27. Precipitation for February 2006 over the 4km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	.3-34
Figure 3-28. Precipitation for March 2006 over the 4km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	.3-35
Figure 3-29. Precipitation for April 2006 over the 4km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	.3-35
Figure 3-30. Precipitation for May 2006 over the 4km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	.3-36
Figure 3-31. Precipitation for June 2006 over the 4km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	.3-36
Figure 3-32. Precipitation for July 2006 over the 4km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	.3-37
Figure 3-33. Precipitation for August 2006 over the 4km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	.3-37
Figure 3-34. Precipitation for September 2006 over the 4km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	.3-38
Figure 3-35. Precipitation for October 2006 over the 4km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	.3-38
Figure 3-36. Precipitation for November 2006 over the 4km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	.3-39
Figure 3-37. Precipitation for December 2006 over the 4km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.	.3-39

#### List of Tables

Table No.	Page No.
Table 2-1. MM5 vertical domain specification	2-4
Table 3-1. Temperature bias (K) for 2006 MM5 by month, state and region in the 36km domain.	
Table 3-2. Temperature bias (K) for 2006 MM5 by month and state in the 12km domain	
Table 3-3. Temperature Bias (K) for 2006 MM5 by Month in the 4km Domain	
Table 3-4. Temperature error (K) for 2006 MM5 by month, state and region in the 36km domain.	
Table 3-5. Temperature error (K) for 2006 MM5 by month and state in the 12km domain.	
Table 3-6. Temperature error (K) for 2006 MM5 by month in the 4km domain.	
Table 3-7. Mixing ratio bias (g/kg) for 2006 MM5 by month, state, and region in the 36km domain	
Table 3-8. Mixing ratio bias (g/kg) for 2006 MM5 by month and state in the 12km domain	
Table 3-9. Mixing ratio bias (g/kg) for 2006 MM5 by month in the 4km domain	
Table 3-10. Mixing ratio error (g/kg) for 2006 MM5 by month, state, and region in the 36km domain.	
Table 3-11. Mixing ratio error (g/kg) for 2006 MM5 by month and state in the 12km domain	
Table 3-12. Mixing ratio error (g/kg) for 2006 MM5 by month in the 4km domain.	
Table 3-13. Wind speed index of agreement for 2006 MM5 by month, state, and region in the 36km domain	
Table 3-14. Wind speed index of agreement for 2006 MM5 by month and state in the 12km domain	
Table 3-15. Wind speed index of agreement for 2006 MM5 by month in the 4km domain.	
Table 4-1. Temperature Bias (K) for 36km Annual MM5 Simulations. Current simulation results are highlighte	d in blue 4-5
Table 4-2. Temperature Error (K) for 36km Annual MM5 Simulations. Current simulation results are highlighte	d in blue4-5
Table 4-3. Mixing Ratio Bias (g/kg) for 36km Annual MM5 Simulations. Current simulation results are highlig 6	hted in blue. 4-
Table 4-4. Mixing Ratio Error (g/kg) for 36km Annual MM5 Simulations. Current simulation results are highlight	
Table 4-5. Wind Index of Agreement for 36km Annual MM5 Simulation. Current simulation results are highlig         7	hted in blue. 4-
Table 4-6. Temperature bias (K) model performance evaluation (MPE) results by state for 12km annual MM5 si	mulations.
MPE results from prior studies that are within the benchmark of $\pm 0.5$ K are shaded yellow. Current study N	
shaded blue with those MPE results shaded green that are within the benchmark	
Table 4-7. Temperature error (K) MPE results by state for 12km annual MM5 simulations. MPE results from pr	
that meet the benchmark of 2.0 K are shaded yellow. Current study MPE results are shaded blue with those	
shaded green that meet the benchmark	
Table 4-8. Mixing ratio bias (g/kg) MPE results by state for 12km annual MM5 simulations. MPE results from	
that meet the benchmark of $\pm 1.0$ g/kg are shaded yellow. Current study MPE results are shaded blue with the second state meet the benchmark.	
results shaded green that meet the benchmark.	
Table 4-9. Mixing ratio error (g/kg) MPE results by state for 12km annual MM5 simulations. MPE results from	
that meet the benchmark of 2.0 g/kg are shaded yellow. Current study MPE results are shaded blue with the results shaded green that meet the benchmark.	
Table 4-10. Wind speed Index of Agreement MPE results by state for 12km annual MM5 simulations. All areas	
benchmark for the statistic monthly and annually. Current study MPE results are shaded blue	
benchmark for the statistic monthly and annually. Current study WIPE results are shaded blue	

#### **1 INTRODUCTION**

Over the past decade, emergent requirements for direct numerical simulation of urban and regional scale photochemical and secondary aerosol air quality—spawned largely by the new particulate matter ( $PM_{2.5}$ ) and regional haze regulations—have led to intensified efforts to construct high-resolution emissions, meteorological and air quality data sets. The concomitant increase in computational throughput of low-cost modern scientific workstations has ushered in a new era of regional air quality modeling. It is now possible, for example, to exercise sophisticated mesoscale prognostic meteorological models and Eulerian and Lagrangian photochemical/aerosol models for the full annual period, simulating ozone, sulfate and nitrate deposition, and secondary organic aerosols (SOA) across the entire United States (U.S.) or over discrete subregions.

One such model is the Pennsylvania State University/National Center for Atmospheric Research (PSU/NCAR) Mesoscale Model (MM5) (Dudhia, 1993; Grell et al., 1994: <u>www.mmm.ucar.edu/mm5</u>). MM5 is a limited-area, non-hydrostatic, terrain-following model designed to simulate mesoscale atmospheric circulation. The model is supported by several pre- and post-processing programs which are referred to collectively as the MM5 modeling system.

This report describes an application and performance evaluation of MM5 for an atmospheric simulation for calendar 2006 over a modeling domain that covers the continental United States at 36km grid spacing, much of the Intermountain Western United States at 12km grid spacing and a 4km grid over western Wyoming, Northern Utah and Eastern Idaho.

#### 2 METHODOLOGY

The methodology for this approach is very straightforward. The basic methodology was to apply the MM5 model for the 2006 annual period, and the model results (e.g., wind speeds, wind directions, temperatures) were compared with available surface meteorological observations.

#### 2.1 Model Selection and Application

A brief summary of the MM5 input data preparation procedure used for this annual modeling exercise is provided in the following text.

<u>Model Selection</u>: The publicly available non-hydrostatic version of MM5 (version 3.7.4) was used for this modeling study. Preprocessor programs of the MM5 modeling system including TERRAIN, REGRID, LITTLE\_R, and INTERPF (UCAR, 2003b) were used to develop model inputs.

<u>Horizontal Domain Definition</u>: The computational grid is presented in Figure 2-1. The outer 36km domain (D01) has 165 x 129 grid cells, selected to maximize the coverage of the National Center for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) ETA analysis region. The projection is Lambert Conformal with the "national RPO" grid projection pole of  $40^{\circ}$ , -  $97^{\circ}$  with true latitudes of  $33^{\circ}$  and  $45^{\circ}$ . The 12km domain (D02) has 103 x 100 grid cells with offsets from the 36km grid of 41 columns and 58 rows. The 04km domain (D03) has 163x166 grid cells with offsets from the 12km grid of 13 columns and 30 rows.

<u>Vertical Domain Definition</u>: The MM5 modeling is based on 34 vertical layers with an approximately 38 meter deep surface layer. The MM5 vertical domain is presented in both sigma and height coordinates in Table 2-1.

<u>Topographic Inputs</u>: Topographic information for the MM5 was developed using the NCAR and the United States Geological Survey (USGS) terrain databases (UCAR, 2002). The 36km grid was based on the 5 min (~9 km) Geophysical Data Center global data. The 12km grid was based on the 2 min (~4 km) Geophysical Data Center Global data and the 4km grid on the 30 sec (~1 km) data. Terrain data was interpolated to the model grid using a Cressman-type objective analysis scheme (Cressman, 1959). To avoid interpolating elevated terrain over water bodies, after the terrain databases were interpolated onto the MM5 grid, the NCAR graphic water body database was used to correct elevations over water bodies.

<u>Vegetation Type and Land Use Inputs:</u> Vegetation type and land use information were developed using the most recently released PSU/NCAR databases provided with the MM5 distribution (UCAR, 2002). Standard MM5 surface characteristics that correspond to each land use category were employed.

<u>Atmospheric Data Inputs:</u> The first guess fields were taken from the NCAR ETA archives (UCAR, 2008a). Available surface and upper-air observations were used in the

objective analyses. These data were incorporated into the analyses datasets following the procedures outlined by Stauffer and Seaman (1990) and were quality-inspected by MM5 pre-processors using automated gross-error checks and "buddy" checks. In addition, radiosonde soundings were subjected to vertical consistency checks. The synoptic-scale data used for the initialization (and in the analysis nudging discussed later) were obtained from the conventional National Weather Service (NWS) twice-daily radiosondes (UCAR, 2008a) and 3-hr NWS surface observations (UCAR, 2008b).

<u>Water Temperature Inputs:</u> The ETA database contains a "skin temperature" field. This can be and was used as the water temperature input to these MM5 simulations. Past studies have shown that these skin temperatures, the water temperature surrogates, can lead to temperature errors along coastlines. However, for this analysis which focuses on bulk continental scale transport with more resolved flows the intermountain west, this issue is likely not important and the skin temperatures were used.

<u>FDDA Data Assimilation</u>: This simulation used a combination of analysis observation based nudging. Analysis nudging coefficients of  $2.5 \times 10^{-4}$  on the 36km grid domain and  $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$  on the 12km grid domain were used for winds and temperature. For mixing ratio, analysis nudging coefficients of  $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$  were used for both the 36km and 12km grids. On the 36km and 12km grids, nudging was done at both the surface and aloft layers though nudging of temperatures and mixing ratios were excluded in the boundary layer. For the 4km grid, observation nudging to NOAA Techniques Development Lab (TDL) surface observation database (NCAR DS472.0) was used for winds with a nudging coefficient of  $4 \times 10^{-4}$ 

<u>Physics Options</u>: The MM5 physics options employed in this analysis were as follows:

- Betts-Miller Cumulus Parameterization;
- Pleim-Xiu PBL and Land Surface Schemes;
- Reisner 1 Mixed Phase Moisture Scheme; and
- RRTM Atmospheric Radiation Scheme.

<u>Application Methodology</u>: The MM5 model was executed in 5-day blocks initialized at 12Z every 5 days with a 90 second time step. Model results were output every 60 minutes and output files were split at 24 hour intervals. Twelve (12) hours of spin-up was included in each 5-day block before the data were used in the subsequent evaluation. The model was run for all of calendar year 2006.

#### 2.2 Evaluation Approach

The model evaluation approach was based on a combination of qualitative and quantitative analyses. The qualitative approach was to compare the model estimated monthly total precipitation with the monthly Center for Prediction of Climate (CPC) precipitation analysis using graphical outputs (CPC, 2008; Higgins et al., 1996). For the quantitative model performance evaluation, tabulations of (1) the model bias and error for temperature and mixing ratio and (2) the index of agreement for the wind fields were

analyzed for each of the grid domains (i.e., 36km, 12km, and 4km). The observed database for winds, temperature, and water mixing ratio used in this analysis was the NOAA Technique Development Lab (TDL) DS472 dataset (UCAR, 2008b; Vincent et al., 2007). Further, the 36km and 12km statistical results were compared to similar 36km and 12km simulations performed in other studies.

Interpretation of bulk statistics over a continental or regional scale domain is problematic. To detect if the model is missing important sub-regional features is difficult. For this analysis, the statistics were performed on a state-by-state basis, a Regional Planning Organization (RPO) basis, and on a domain-wide for the continental 36km domain and the regional 12km domain.

Table 2-1. MM5 vertical domain specification.									
k (MM5)	sigma level	pressure (Pa)	height (m)	depth (m)					
34	0.000	10000	15674	2004					
33	0.050	14500	13670	1585					
32	0.100	19000	12085	1321					
31	0.150	23500	10764	1139					
30	0.200	28000	9625	1004					
29	0.250	32500	8621	900					
28	0.300	37000	7720	817					
27	0.350	41500	6903	750					
26	0.400	46000	6153	693					
25	0.450	50500	5461	645					
24	0.500	55000	4816	604					
23	0.550	59500	4212	568					
22	0.600	64000	3644	536					
21	0.650	68500	3108	508					
20	0.700	73000	2600	388					
19	0.740	76600	2212	282					
18	0.770	79300	1930	274					
17	0.800	82000	1657	178					
16	0.820	83800	1478	175					
15	0.840	85600	1303	172					
14	0.860	87400	1130	169					
13	0.880	89200	961	167					
12	0.900	91000	794	82					
11	0.910	91900	712	82					
10	0.920	92800	631	81					
9	0.930	93700	550	80					
8	0.940	94600	469	80					
7	0.950	95500	389	79					
6	0.960	96400	310	78					
5	0.970	97300	232	78					
4	0.980	98200	154	39					
3	0.985	98650	115	39					
2	0.990	99100	77	38					
1	0.995	99550	38	38					
0	1.000	100000	0	0					

Table 2-1.	MM5	vertical	domain	specification
	TATATA	v ci ticui	uomum	opectication



Figure 2-1. 36km (D01), 12km (D02) and 4km (D03) MM5 Domain.

#### 3 MM5 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION RESULTS

#### 3.1 Introduction

Quantitative and qualitative model performance evaluation results for surface winds, temperature, mixing ratio and episode total precipitation are presented and discussed. A full annual model evaluation is very difficult to summarize in a single document. With this in mind, this section presents results so potential users of the MM5 predictions can independently judge the adequacy of the model simulation. Overall comparisons are offered herein to judge the model efficacy, but this review does not necessarily cover all potential user needs and applications.

The statistics that were estimated include the mean bias (or simply bias) and mean absolute gross error (or simply error) for temperature and mixing ratio as well as the wind speed index of agreement (Willmott, 1982; Willmott et al., 1985). Bias quantifies the difference between the average of a predicted value and the average of an observed value. If the bias is negative, the model is said to underpredict the mean observed value. Error quantifies the average of the absolute differences between the predictions and observations. Thus, even if a simulation exhibits no bias, it can still exhibit large error as the simulation results have offsetting under- and overpredictions. Index of agreement quantifies the relative average error between the predictions and observations. *Please note* that in the comparisons, vertical level one model estimates that are predicted at approximately nineteen meters are compared directly with the nominal, observed temperatures and moistures that are measured at about two meters and wind speeds and directions that are measured at about ten meters. As such, model performance must be judged with this caveat taken into context.

Quantitative meteorological model performance benchmarks have been developed to help assess the ability of a model to reproduce observed conditions (Emery et al., 2001). The benchmarks are based upon the evaluation of about thirty MM5 and RAMS meteorological simulations of *multi-day episodes* in support of air quality modeling study applications performed over several years. In turn, these benchmarks have been adopted for use in *annual* meteorological modeling studies. The purpose of these benchmarks is not to give a pass or fail grade to any one particular meteorological model application, but rather to put the model results into the proper context of other model simulations and meteorological data sets. That is, the benchmarks provide a contextual understanding as to how the model results compare to other model applications run over portions of the continental United States. Therefore, the benchmarks must be viewed as being guideline and not *bright-line* numbers. These benchmarks include bias and error in temperature and mixing ratio as well as the wind speed index of agreement (IA) between the model predictions and observational data bases. The benchmarks for each variable to judge whether predictions from a meteorological model are on par with previous meteorological modeling studies are as follows:

• Temperature bias: less than or equal to  $\pm 0.5$  K;

- Temperature error: less than or equal to 2.0 K
- Mixing ratio bias: less than or equal to  $\pm 1.0$  g/kg
- Mixing ratio error: less than or equal to 2.0 g/kg
- Wind speed index of agreement: 0 =worst, 1 =best, 0.6 =acceptable

#### **3.2** Quantitative Model Evaluation Results

Tables 3-1 through 3-15 summarize the statistical metrics by month, season, and year for each state and Regional Planning Organization (RPO). The statistical metrics are presented in individual tables for the 36km, 12km, and 4km modeling domains. Model performance results of the MM5 simulation are presented for the metrics temporally by year, season, and month and spatially by the domain as a whole, by RPO subdomain, and by state. For reference, a graphic of the RPO boundaries is presented in Figure 3-1.

#### **3.2.1** Temperature Bias and Error

Temperature bias statistics are presented in Tables 3-1, 3-2, and 3-3 for the 36km, 12km and 04km domains, respectively. When the temperature biases are averaged over the entire 2006 period for the entire modeling domain (ALL), the model has a bias of 0.46 K for the 36km domain, 0.27 K for the 12km domain, and 0.97 K for the 04km domain. That is, MM5 overestimates mean annual observed temperatures in all three modeling domains, with the model falling within the  $\pm 0.5$  K benchmark for the 36km and 12km domains.

Over the 36km domain, in general, MM5 tends to overpredict temperatures both seasonally and monthly with the exception of the WRAP states in spring and summer where there is a mix of positive and negative biases. Over the 12km domain, there is a general positive temperature bias seasonally and monthly with the exception of the spring months. And over the 04km domain, MM5 tends to overpredict temperatures, though March and April have distinct underpredictions.

Tables 3-4, 3-5, and 3-6 show the temperature error statistics for the 36km, 12km and 04km modeling domains, respectively. When the temperature errors are averaged over the entire 2006 period for the entire modeling domain (ALL), the model has a temperature error of 2.23 K for the 36km domain, 2.92 K for the 12km domain, and 2.89 K for the 04km domain all which miss the 2.0 K benchmark for temperature error.

Over the 36km domain on a month and seasonal basis by state and RPO subdomain, MM5 generally does not meet the 2.0 K benchmark for temperature error with the exception of the summer months for all but the WRAP states. Over the 12km and 04km domains, MM5 does not meet the benchmark for any period.

#### 3.2.2 Mixing Ratio Bias and Error

Mixing ratio bias statistics are presented in Tables 3-7, 3-8, and 3-9 for the 36km, 12km, and 04km modeling domains, respectively. When the mixing ratio biases are averaged

over the entire 2006 period for the entire modeling domain (ALL), the model has a bias of 0.20 g/kg for the 36km domain, -0.04 g/kg for the 12km domain, and -0.51 g/kg for the 04km domain with all within the mixing ratio bias benchmark of  $\pm 1.0$  g/kg.

Over the 36km domain, in general, MM5 tends to overpredict mixing ratio both seasonally and monthly with the exception of the summer months most notably in the VISTAS, CENRAP and WRAP states. Over the 12km domain, there is a general negative mixing ratio bias seasonally and monthly with the exception of the winter months. And over the 04km domain, MM5 tends to underpredict mixing ratio with the exception, again, of the winter months.

Tables 3-10, 3-11, and 3-12 show the mixing ratio error statistics for the 36km, 12km and 04km modeling domains, respectively. When the mixing ratio errors are averaged over the entire 2006 period for the entire modeling domain (ALL), the model has a mixing ratio error of 1.04 g/kg for the 36km domain, 0.81 g/kg for the 12km domain, and 0.94 g/kg for the 04km domain all which meet the 2.0 g/kg benchmark for mixing ratio error.

Over the 36km domain on a month and seasonal basis by state and RPO subdomain, MM5 almost exclusively meets the 2.0 g/kg benchmark for mixing ratio error with the exceptions of Oklahoma in July and Arizona in May. Over the 12km and 04km domains, MM5 meets the benchmark for all periods.

#### 3.2.3 Wind Speed Index of Agreement

The wind speed index of agreement (IA) model performance results are presented in Tables 3-13, 3-14, and 3-15 for the 36km, 12km, and 04km domains, respectively. The 36 km domain-wide 2006 annual average IA is 0.87, which is well above the benchmark of 0.6. Seasonal and month-to-month IA values for the entire domain are also well above the 0.6 benchmark with IA values no less than 0.85. Over the entire 12km and 04km domains, the IA is 0.84 and 0.80, respectively, which are well above the benchmark. Further for the 12km and 04km domains, MM5 meets the IA benchmark for all areas both monthly and seasonally.

#### **3.3 Qualitative Monthly Precipitation Analysis**

This section presents qualitative comparisons of MM5 estimated precipitation with the CPC retrospective analysis data. When comparing the CPC and MM5 precipitation data, note should be taken that the CPC analysis covers only the Continental U.S. and does not extend offshore or into Canada or Mexico. The MM5 fields, on the other hand, cover the entire 36km domain. Also note that the CPC analysis is based on a 0.25 x 0.25 degree (~40 x 40 km) grid and the MM5 is based on a 36 x 36 km grid. Neither grid will effectively capture small precipitation features.

Monthly total precipitation comparisons for the 36km domain are presented in Figures 3-2 through 3-13. For each month, the first plot (e.g., Figure 3-2a) represents the CPC analyzed precipitation data, and the second plot (e.g., Figure 3-2b) represents the MM5 total precipitation for the month (e.g., Figure 3-2 is for January 2006 over the 36km

domain). If the CPC analysis data are considered to be the observational standard for precipitation, MM5 provides an overall, reasonable representation of the spatial distribution and rate of precipitation over the contiguous U.S. for all months of 2006.

Over the 36km domain during the winter months (i.e., December, January, and February [Figures 3-13, 3-2, and 3-3]), MM5 does reasonably well at predicting the spatial extent of rainfall and the magnitude of the precipitation rates, though there is a noticeable overprediction of the rate over the Ohio River Valley and underprediction over northern Florida in February. During the spring months (i.e., March, April, and May [Figures 3-4 through 3-6]), MM5 tends to predict a greater spatial extent of rainfall with slightly higher rates over much of the domain. During the summer months (i.e., June, July, and August [Figures 3-7 through 3-9]), MM5 generally has good spatially agreement with noted additional rainfall over southern Oregon, parts of Nevada and Arizona, and much of New Mexico in June and misses precipitation over Oregon, Washington, and parts of Idaho, Nevada, Montana, and Wyoming in August. Further, MM5 has relatively high overpredictions of the precipitation rates during the summer months. During the autumn months (i.e., September, October, and November [Figures 3-10 through 3-12]), MM5 has relatively good spatial agreement though it does miss some rainfall in various parts of the 36km domain. Also, MM5 does reasonably well at predicting the rate of rainfall with various slight over- and underpredictions throughout the domain.

Over the 12km domain during the winter months (Figures 3-25, 3-14, and 3-15), MM5 does reasonably well at predicting the spatial extent of rainfall and the magnitude of the precipitation rates. During the spring months (Figures 3-16 through 3-18), MM5 tends to predict a greater spatial extent of rainfall with slightly higher rates over localized portions of the domain. During the summer months (Figures 3-19 through 3-21), MM5 generally has fair spatial agreement though misses rainfall throughout small parts of the domain and has slight overpredictions of precipitation rates. During the autumn months (Figures 3-22 through 3-24), MM5 has relatively good spatial agreement though it does miss some rainfall in the Colorado, Nebraska, Wyoming border area in September and has slight overpredictions rates over the mountains.

Over the 04km domain during the winter months (Figures 3-37, 3-26, and 3-27), MM5 has fair spatial representation though in January, MM5 predicts rain over much of the north-south extent just east of the central portion of the domain where the CPC data indicate no rainfall. Also during the winter, MM5 has large overpredictions of rainfall rates over the mountains. During the spring months (Figures 3-28 through 3-30), MM5 tends to predict a greater spatial extent of rainfall with slightly higher rates over localized portions of the domain, especially the mountains. During the summer months (Figures 3-31 through 3-33), MM5 generally has fair spatial agreement though misses rainfall throughout small parts of the domain and has generally good agreement with precipitation rates though the peak rate tends to be displaced. During the autumn months (Figures 3-34 through 3-36), MM5 has relatively good spatial agreement and predicts reasonably well the precipitation rates with some slight displacement of the peak rate.

Of note, over mountainous terrain during some months, MM5 modeling tends to intensify precipitation and have higher amounts with less spatial coverage. This appears to be a function of the difference in grid spacing as the CPC data tends to smear precipitation over the larger 40km grid cells than the 12km or 04km grid cells used in the current MM5 simulation. Through the use of the coarse resolution CPC data, it is not possible to determine if this is a real feature or an artifact of the resolution.

#### 3.4 Summary of Model Performance Evaluation

Temperature bias and error statistics, mixing ratio bias and error statistics, and wind speed index of agreement statistics were estimated for the model predictions for the 36km, 12km and 4km modeling grids. Further, a qualitative examination of model predicted rainfall versus observed precipitation was performed.

Temperature bias performance for all three domains indicated that MM5 consistently had difficulty reproducing temperature (i.e., the  $\pm 0.5$  K benchmark was not consistently met at the spatial levels and time scales examined). This was further confirmed by the temperature error statistic with, again, MM5 failing to consistently meet the 2.0 K benchmark.

Though this may appear to be problematic, it is not outside the realm of MM5 performance as demonstrated in other studies. This is issue is covered in more detail in Section 4 of this report.

Mixing ratio bias performance is good at all spatial levels and time scales that were examined over all three domains. Indeed, the model predicted mixing ratios so well that the benchmark of  $\pm 1.0$  g/kg was met in virtually all instances.

As with mixing ratio bias performance, mixing ratio error performance is good at all levels that were examined over the three domains. The model was unable to meet the benchmark of 2.0 g/kg in only two instances both of which occurred over the 36km domain.

The model also did well in regards to the wind speed index of agreement performance over the three domains. In only a handful of instances did MM5 fail to meet the benchmark of 0.6 most of which occurred over the 36km domain.

Finally, from a qualitative perspective, the model does a reasonable job of replicating rainfall. Though as noted previously, the model does tend to overpredict the magnitude of the rainfall, especially in the summer months, and overpredict the spatial extent where rainfall occurs.

Table 3-1. Te	emperatu	ıre bias (	(K) for 2	006 MM	5 by mo	nth, stat	te and re	gion in t	he 36km	domain	l.						
Region	Dec	Jan	Feb	Win	Mar	Apr	May	Spr	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sum	Sep	Oct	Nov	Aut	Mean
					Mid-	Atlantic/	/Northea	st Visibil	lity Unio	n (MANI	E-VU)						
CT	0.32	-0.12	-0.38	-0.06	-0.31	0.42	0.63	0.25	0.65	0.56	0.68	0.63	0.76	0.48	0.61	0.62	0.36
DE	1.01	-0.43	-0.40	0.06	-0.50	0.00	-0.09	-0.20	0.26	0.15	0.02	0.14	0.30	0.52	0.48	0.43	0.11
MA	0.31	-0.35	-0.33	-0.12	-0.15	0.41	0.44	0.23	0.47	0.07	0.58	0.37	0.78	0.47	0.57	0.61	0.27
MD	0.96	0.65	0.30	0.64	0.58	0.83	0.41	0.61	0.48	0.70	0.32	0.50	0.67	0.57	0.69	0.64	0.60
ME	0.63	0.10	-0.27	0.15	-1.37	0.29	1.15	0.02	0.94	0.44	0.93	0.77	0.80	0.72	0.79	0.77	0.43
NH	0.76	0.11	-0.09	0.26	-0.37	0.70	1.30	0.54	1.34	0.86	1.15	1.12	1.16	0.89	0.94	1.00	0.73
NJ	0.29	0.14	-0.30	0.04	-0.08	0.29	0.34	0.18	0.47	0.58	0.42	0.49	0.74	0.48	0.26	0.49	0.30
NY	0.11	-0.31	-0.56	-0.25	-0.75	0.11	0.48	-0.05	0.39	0.05	0.32	0.25	0.53	0.38	0.47	0.46	0.10
PA	0.34	0.20	-0.21	0.11	0.21	0.67	0.85	0.58	0.89	0.73	0.42	0.68	0.95	0.64	0.43	0.67	0.51
RI	0.17	-0.29	-0.43	-0.18	0.04	0.55	0.50	0.36	0.53	0.33	1.15	0.67	0.63	0.40	0.52	0.52	0.34
VT	-0.06	-0.94	-1.34	-0.78	-1.57	-0.46	0.63	-0.47	0.90	0.19	0.41	0.50	0.39	0.38	0.36	0.38	-0.09
MANE_VU	0.37	-0.07	-0.34	-0.01	-0.36	0.38	0.66	0.23	0.67	0.41	0.55	0.54	0.74	0.54	0.54	0.61	0.34
				Visibility	Improve		ate and T			0		`	,				
AL	1.39	0.66	1.14	1.06	0.62	0.73	1.11	0.82	0.81	0.64	0.31	0.59	0.35	1.24	1.07	0.89	0.84
FL	0.53	0.62	1.02	0.72	-0.03	-0.38	0.02	-0.13	0.01	0.14	0.43	0.19	0.49	0.56	0.82	0.62	0.35
GA	1.66	0.89	1.23	1.26	0.79	0.72	1.12	0.88	0.71	0.55	0.39	0.55	0.57	1.08	0.98	0.88	0.89
KY	0.65	-0.01	0.11	0.25	0.86	1.05	1.28	1.06	1.18	1.01	0.71	0.97	1.07	0.83	0.92	0.94	0.81
MS	1.34	1.16	1.55	1.35	0.95	0.83	1.15	0.98	1.07	1.19	0.68	0.98	0.66	1.32	1.55	1.18	1.12
NC	1.68	0.84	1.17	1.23	0.92	1.08	1.39	1.13	1.04	0.60	0.37	0.67	0.43	0.78	0.73	0.65	0.92
SC	1.93	1.04	1.45	1.47	0.88	0.51	1.13	0.84	0.59	0.42	0.36	0.46	0.46	0.91	1.00	0.79	0.89
TN	1.33	0.31	0.54	0.73	0.52	0.88	1.45	0.95	1.02	0.98	0.56	0.85	0.49	0.93	1.24	0.89	0.85
VA	1.14	0.22	0.13	0.50	0.41	0.79	0.63	0.61	0.57	0.68	0.19	0.48	0.52	0.50	0.64	0.55	0.53
WV	0.35	-0.38	-1.14	-0.39	0.34	0.47	1.16	0.66	1.11	0.91	0.45	0.82	0.85	0.59	0.59	0.68	0.44
VISTAS	1.22	0.61	0.85	0.89	0.57	0.59	0.91	0.69	0.69	0.59	0.39	0.56	0.53	0.80	0.87	0.73	0.72
			0.07				gional Pi	Ŭ	0	,	,		0.0.1	0.70			
IL	0.49	-0.02	-0.05	0.14	1.12	1.45	1.48	1.35	1.20	1.04	1.05	1.10	0.96	0.58	0.85	0.80	0.85
IN	0.22	0.14	0.16	0.17	1.14	1.47	1.41	1.34	1.15	0.90	1.13	1.06	1.05	0.58	0.92	0.85	0.86
MI	0.25	0.17	-0.09	0.11	-0.14	0.84	0.88	0.53	0.68	0.44	0.91	0.68	1.17	0.94	0.54	0.88	0.55
OH	0.23	0.03	-0.14	0.04	0.97	1.20	1.30	1.16	0.93	0.85	0.84	0.87	0.85	0.54	0.68	0.69	0.69
WI	-0.19	-0.85	-1.67	-0.90	-1.33	0.84	1.31	0.27	1.27	0.80	1.07	1.05	0.89	0.39	0.09	0.46	0.22
MRPO	0.21	-0.13	-0.42	-0.11	0.19	1.10	1.24	0.84	1.03	0.77	0.99	0.93	1.00	0.64	0.57	0.74	0.60

Г

Table 3-1. Te	emperatu	re bias (	(K) for 2	006 MM	l5 by mo	nth, stat	e and re	gion in t	he 36km	ı domain							
Region	Dec	Jan	Feb	Win	Mar	Apr	May	Spr	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sum	Sep	Oct	Nov	Aut	Mean
					Cen	tral State	es Region	nal Air P	artnersh	ip (CEN	RAP)						
AR	0.68	0.56	1.22	0.82	0.83	0.79	1.25	0.96	1.20	0.70	0.75	0.88	1.21	1.13	0.89	1.08	0.93
IA	0.76	0.08	-0.28	0.19	0.54	1.63	1.58	1.25	1.52	1.35	1.33	1.40	1.10	0.82	0.88	0.93	0.94
KS	1.09	0.64	0.98	0.90	0.92	0.75	1.06	0.91	0.63	0.68	0.67	0.66	1.17	0.98	1.13	1.09	0.89
LA	1.17	0.54	1.27	0.99	0.32	0.17	0.46	0.32	0.83	0.82	0.44	0.70	0.58	1.31	1.25	1.05	0.76
MN	0.28	-0.54	-0.94	-0.40	-2.00	0.54	1.32	-0.05	1.61	0.97	1.33	1.30	0.96	0.60	0.68	0.75	0.40
MO	0.11	0.13	0.46	0.23	1.00	0.94	1.25	1.06	0.91	0.51	0.27	0.56	1.02	0.65	0.49	0.72	0.65
NE	1.88	0.73	0.97	1.19	0.39	1.10	1.06	0.85	0.66	0.74	0.81	0.74	1.30	1.48	2.10	1.63	1.10
OK	0.22	0.53	0.90	0.55	0.66	0.27	0.89	0.61	1.01	0.71	0.79	0.84	0.96	0.72	0.32	0.67	0.67
TX	0.83	0.85	1.46	1.05	0.61	0.26	0.22	0.36	0.30	0.14	0.06	0.17	0.55	0.68	0.61	0.61	0.55
CENRAP	0.73	0.35	0.55	0.54	0.09	0.64	0.91	0.55	0.94	0.67	0.71	0.77	0.91	0.83	0.83	0.86	0.68
							0		-	o (WRAP	,						
AZ	1.74	0.80	0.60	1.05	-0.25	-1.14	-1.77	-1.05	-1.38	-0.69	-0.86	-0.98	-0.27	0.05	1.06	0.28	-0.18
CA	2.01	0.82	1.11	1.31	-0.25	-0.92	-1.41	-0.86	-1.47	-1.12	-0.74	-1.11	0.06	0.31	0.96	0.44	-0.05
CO	0.96	-0.56	-0.35	0.02	-1.54	-1.57	-0.78	-1.30	-0.77	0.02	0.08	-0.22	0.16	0.01	-0.04	0.04	-0.37
ID	1.07	-0.27	-0.49	0.10	-1.55	-0.98	0.25	-0.76	0.29	0.42	0.60	0.44	1.44	1.02	-0.02	0.81	0.15
MT	0.72	-0.77	-0.95	-0.33	-1.28	-0.94	0.20	-0.67	0.09	-0.37	-0.09	-0.12	0.46	0.47	-0.25	0.23	-0.23
ND	1.32	0.41	0.27	0.67	-0.62	1.10	1.73	0.74	1.54	1.48	1.50	1.51	1.21	1.17	1.67	1.35	1.07
NM	1.03	0.20	0.59	0.61	-0.27	-0.65	-0.84	-0.59	-0.52	-0.06	0.06	-0.17	0.46	0.61	1.10	0.72	0.14
NV	1.65	-0.98	-0.90	-0.08	-1.87	-2.06	-1.70	-1.88	-2.07	-1.90	-2.18	-2.05	-0.69	-0.25	0.94	0.00	-1.00
OR	1.03	-0.26	-0.06	0.24	-1.05	-0.83	-0.54	-0.81	-0.97	-1.13	-0.81	-0.97	0.44	1.04	0.17	0.55	-0.25
SD	1.69	0.44	0.26	0.80	-0.25	1.41	1.71	0.96	1.30	1.18	1.35	1.28	1.66	1.59	1.90	1.72	1.19
UT	2.41	0.82	-0.04	1.06	-1.03	-1.69	-0.77	-1.16	-0.82	-0.83	-0.57	-0.74	0.65	0.99	1.62	1.09	0.06
WA	0.68	0.03	-0.06	0.22	-0.20	0.04	0.40	0.08	-0.13	-0.16	0.07	-0.07	1.02	0.98	0.31	0.77	0.25
WY	0.85	-1.30	-1.03	-0.49	-1.81	-2.04	-0.56	-1.47	-0.92	-0.71	-0.41	-0.68	0.19	-0.15	-0.73	-0.23	-0.72
WRAP	1.36	0.17	0.23	0.59	-0.69	-0.77	-0.55	-0.67	-0.66	-0.43	-0.19	-0.43	0.45	0.57	0.62	0.55	0.01
ALL	0.87	0.23	0.28	0.46	-0.06	0.31	0.56	0.27	0.46	0.35	0.42	0.41	0.70	0.69	0.72	0.70	0.46

(a) ALL in this case refers to those states within the area covered by the 36km modeling domain.

Table 3-2.	l'emperat	ture bias	5 (K) for	2006 MI	M5 by m	onth and	d state in	the 12k	m domai	in.							
Region	Dec	Jan	Feb	Win	Mar	Apr	May	Spr	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sum	Sep	Oct	Nov	Aut	Mean
CO	1.19	0.26	0.24	0.56	-1.20	-1.38	-0.43	-1.00	-0.26	0.52	0.53	0.26	0.44	0.00	0.13	0.19	0.00
UT	2.28	0.89	0.06	1.08	-0.99	-1.32	-0.54	-0.95	-0.42	-0.44	-0.18	-0.35	0.85	0.94	1.68	1.16	0.23
WY	1.76	0.07	-0.05	0.59	-1.01	-1.09	0.17	-0.64	-0.07	0.18	0.42	0.18	0.84	0.30	0.05	0.40	0.13
ALL	1.55	0.36	0.12	0.68	-1.06	-0.88	0.10	-0.61	0.05	0.36	0.47	0.29	0.89	0.63	0.59	0.70	0.27

 Table 3-2. Temperature bias (K) for 2006 MM5 by month and state in the 12km domain.

(a) ALL in this case refers to those states within the area covered by the 12km modeling domain.

Table 3-3.	Temperat	ture Bias	s (K) for	2006 M	M5 by M	Ionth in	the 4km	Domain	l <b>.</b>								
Region	Dec	Jan	Feb	Win	Mar	Apr	May	Spr	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sum	Sep	Oct	Nov	Aut	Mean
ALL	2.24	1.04	0.68	1.32	-0.94	-1.52	0.96	-0.50	1.60	1.89	1.97	1.82	2.11	1.17	0.47	1.25	0.97

Table 3-4. Te	emperati	are errol	r (K) for	2006 M	M5 by n	nonth, st	ate and	region in	the 36k	m doma	in.						
Region	Dec	Jan	Feb	Win	Mar	Apr	May	Spr	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sum	Sep	Oct	Nov	Aut	Mean
					Mid-	Atlantic	/Northea	st Visibil	lity Unio	n (MANI	E-VU)						
СТ	1.75	1.87	1.97	1.86	1.88	1.98	1.73	1.86	1.61	1.62	1.81	1.68	1.87	1.90	2.02	1.93	1.83
DE	2.18	2.08	2.19	2.15	2.36	2.25	1.84	2.15	1.61	1.75	1.73	1.70	1.59	1.60	1.55	1.58	1.89
MA	1.71	1.96	2.03	1.90	2.03	2.05	1.94	2.01	1.84	1.63	2.00	1.82	1.97	1.87	1.98	1.94	1.92
MD	2.58	1.85	2.04	2.16	2.11	2.15	1.90	2.05	1.67	1.68	1.85	1.73	1.80	2.11	2.26	2.06	2.00
ME	1.75	2.42	1.93	2.03	2.50	2.29	1.97	2.25	1.67	1.73	1.87	1.76	1.92	1.94	1.85	1.90	1.99
NH	2.48	2.56	2.74	2.59	3.04	2.96	2.60	2.87	2.40	2.57	2.70	2.56	2.60	2.65	2.56	2.60	2.65
NJ	1.89	1.74	1.93	1.85	1.84	2.10	1.63	1.86	1.51	1.68	1.81	1.67	1.80	1.95	1.89	1.88	1.81
NY	1.67	1.86	2.00	1.84	2.11	2.06	1.89	2.02	1.72	1.66	1.87	1.75	1.81	1.94	1.90	1.88	1.87
PA	1.75	1.70	1.67	1.71	1.77	1.94	1.84	1.85	1.72	1.58	1.70	1.67	1.71	1.78	1.87	1.79	1.75
RI	1.54	1.63	1.79	1.65	1.58	1.83	1.83	1.75	1.78	1.74	2.29	1.94	1.79	1.62	1.58	1.66	1.75
VT	1.79	2.28	2.31	2.13	2.60	2.38	2.00	2.33	1.92	1.92	2.00	1.95	1.99	2.11	2.18	2.09	2.12
MANE_VU	1.85	1.95	1.99	1.93	2.11	2.13	1.91	2.05	1.76	1.73	1.92	1.80	1.88	1.94	1.96	1.93	1.93
				Visibility	Improve	ement Ste				v	outheast	t (VISTA	,				
AL	2.47	2.09	2.22	2.26	2.14	2.14	2.13	2.14	2.25	2.05	1.90	2.07	1.95	2.39	2.38	2.24	2.18
FL	1.86	1.98	2.33	2.06	2.08	1.92	1.90	1.97	1.82	1.76	1.85	1.81	1.88	2.09	2.10	2.02	1.96
GA	2.74	2.18	2.39	2.44	2.23	2.23	2.31	2.26	2.25	2.01	1.80	2.02	1.88	2.41	2.40	2.23	2.24
KY	1.70	1.77	1.62	1.70	1.86	2.03	1.83	1.91	1.86	1.65	1.51	1.67	1.78	1.95	2.07	1.93	1.80
MS	2.27	2.28	2.38	2.31	2.21	2.05	2.09	2.12	2.39	2.15	2.09	2.21	2.07	2.37	2.67	2.37	2.25
NC	3.07	2.18	2.31	2.52	2.22	2.32	2.27	2.27	2.10	1.84	1.81	1.92	1.75	2.33	2.50	2.19	2.22
SC	2.94	2.23	2.30	2.49	2.20	2.14	2.17	2.17	1.87	1.66	1.66	1.73	1.62	2.18	2.40	2.07	2.11
TN	2.28	1.96	1.92	2.05	2.02	2.09	2.06	2.06	2.07	1.98	1.80	1.95	1.86	2.14	2.43	2.14	2.05
VA	2.83	1.93	2.15	2.30	2.14	2.32	2.10	2.19	1.92	1.77	1.83	1.84	1.86	2.20	2.40	2.15	2.12
WV	2.34	2.07	2.34	2.25	2.05	2.11	2.14	2.10	2.05	1.85	1.80	1.90	1.82	2.03	2.24	2.03	2.07
VISTAS	2.53	2.08	2.25	2.29	2.14	2.16	2.12	2.14	2.04	1.86	1.82	1.91	1.84	2.23	2.35	2.14	2.12
								lanning	-								
IL	1.65	1.68	1.70	1.68	1.84	2.18	2.02	2.01	1.95	1.86	1.84	1.88	2.00	1.94	1.84	1.93	1.88
IN	1.49	1.48	1.45	1.47	1.76	2.14	1.95	1.95	1.89	1.64	1.74	1.76	1.80	1.82	1.84	1.82	1.75
MI	1.31	1.33	1.55	1.40	1.98	2.20	2.06	2.08	2.15	2.01	2.04	2.07	2.00	1.86	1.67	1.84	1.85
OH	1.60	1.44	1.53	1.52	1.78	1.94	1.97	1.90	1.94	1.78	1.76	1.83	1.63	1.78	1.98	1.80	1.76
WI	1.57	1.88	2.35	1.93	2.35	2.33	2.19	2.29	2.27	2.23	2.11	2.20	2.01	1.94	1.69	1.88	2.08
MRPO	1.52	1.57	1.76	1.62	1.98	2.18	2.06	2.07	2.07	1.96	1.94	1.99	1.93	1.89	1.78	1.87	1.89

Г

Table 3-4. T	emperati	ure erro	r (K) for	2006 M	M5 by n	nonth, st	ate and	region ir	the 36k	m doma	in.						
Region	Dec	Jan	Feb	Win	Mar	Apr	May	Spr	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sum	Sep	Oct	Nov	Aut	Mean
					Cen	tral State	es Region	nal Air P	artnersh	ip (CEN	RAP)						-
AR	1.94	2.12	2.24	2.10	1.98	1.98	1.87	1.94	2.07	1.94	1.87	1.96	2.13	2.16	2.23	2.17	2.04
IA	2.02	1.73	1.95	1.90	2.14	2.40	2.22	2.25	2.33	2.00	1.91	2.08	2.16	2.07	2.12	2.12	2.09
KS	2.40	2.36	2.41	2.39	2.16	2.19	2.19	2.18	1.92	1.86	1.81	1.86	2.15	2.18	2.39	2.24	2.17
LA	2.37	2.40	2.53	2.43	2.18	1.94	1.97	2.03	2.20	2.06	2.05	2.10	2.14	2.40	2.60	2.38	2.24
MN	1.74	2.00	2.57	2.10	2.78	2.51	2.21	2.50	2.36	2.17	2.15	2.23	2.10	2.04	2.00	2.05	2.22
MO	1.96	1.93	1.82	1.90	2.03	2.02	1.86	1.97	1.88	1.76	1.73	1.79	2.01	1.98	1.97	1.99	1.91
NE	2.82	2.39	2.42	2.54	2.53	2.38	2.52	2.48	2.16	2.03	1.94	2.04	2.32	2.57	3.07	2.65	2.43
OK	2.50	2.55	2.41	2.49	2.05	2.04	1.97	2.02	1.97	1.82	1.90	1.90	2.20	2.14	2.14	2.16	2.14
TX	2.18	2.53	2.67	2.46	1.96	1.74	1.77	1.82	1.65	1.51	1.68	1.61	1.93	1.92	2.16	2.00	1.98
CENRAP	2.15	2.24	2.43	2.27	2.23	2.12	2.04	2.13	2.03	1.86	1.88	1.92	2.09	2.09	2.22	2.13	2.12
						Western	Regiona	ıl Air Pa	rtnership	o (WRAP	<b>P</b> )						
AZ	3.16	2.94	2.83	2.98	2.34	2.70	3.29	2.78	3.00	2.69	2.70	2.80	2.71	2.77	3.27	2.92	2.87
CA	3.47	2.60	3.08	3.05	2.18	2.37	2.84	2.46	2.98	3.10	2.77	2.95	2.96	2.92	2.78	2.89	2.84
CO	3.37	3.65	3.71	3.58	3.47	3.84	3.27	3.53	3.22	2.91	2.75	2.96	2.80	2.76	3.32	2.96	3.26
ID	2.68	2.44	3.06	2.73	2.93	3.16	3.10	3.06	2.80	3.35	3.31	3.15	3.25	2.89	2.56	2.90	2.96
MT	3.52	3.14	3.41	3.36	2.85	3.34	2.84	3.01	2.57	3.09	2.91	2.86	2.75	2.63	3.34	2.91	3.03
ND	2.34	1.96	2.49	2.26	2.01	2.65	2.54	2.40	2.35	2.57	2.48	2.47	2.18	2.12	2.68	2.33	2.36
NM	2.98	2.84	2.93	2.92	2.40	2.48	2.59	2.49	2.42	2.23	1.99	2.21	2.31	2.54	3.09	2.65	2.57
NV	3.71	2.74	3.10	3.18	2.96	3.15	3.52	3.21	3.74	3.69	4.24	3.89	3.92	3.48	3.47	3.62	3.48
OR	2.78	2.00	2.61	2.46	2.25	2.27	2.49	2.34	2.56	2.96	2.95	2.82	3.08	2.96	2.33	2.79	2.60
SD	2.64	1.93	2.09	2.22	2.35	2.60	2.64	2.53	2.50	2.48	2.32	2.43	2.31	2.48	2.71	2.50	2.42
UT	3.54	2.64	3.07	3.08	2.63	3.21	2.96	2.93	3.17	3.04	3.01	3.07	3.01	2.63	3.07	2.90	3.00
WA	1.99	1.49	2.11	1.86	1.85	1.93	2.25	2.01	2.18	2.58	2.56	2.44	2.66	2.42	1.76	2.28	2.15
WY	3.78	3.39	3.69	3.62	3.15	3.46	3.06	3.22	2.99	3.23	3.03	3.08	2.73	2.58	3.10	2.80	3.18
WRAP	3.06	2.58	2.93	2.86	2.45	2.69	2.80	2.65	2.76	2.87	2.69	2.77	2.76	2.70	2.77	2.74	2.76
ALL	2.33	2.16	2.37	2.29	2.22	2.28	2.24	2.25	2.20	2.12	2.10	2.14	2.18	2.23	2.30	2.24	2.23

(a) ALL in this case refers to those states within the area covered by the 36km modeling domain.

Table 3-5.	Fempera	ture erro	or (K) fo	or 2006 N	IM5 by	month a	nd state	in the 12	2km don	nain.							
Region																Mean	
CO	3.44	3.49	3.47	3.47	3.04	3.60	3.04	3.23	2.94	2.67	2.56	2.72	2.75	2.79	3.44	2.99	3.10
UT	3.39	2.72	3.22	3.11	2.56	3.08	3.01	2.88	3.25	3.02	3.10	3.12	3.14	2.76	3.22	3.04	3.04
WY	3.82	3.02	3.14	3.33	2.69	2.88	2.77	2.78	2.68	2.92	2.75	2.78	2.62	2.42	2.91	2.65	2.89
ALL	3.36	2.89	3.11	3.12	2.75	3.11	2.90	2.92	2.77	2.84	2.72	2.78	2.78	2.67	3.11	2.85	2.92

(a) ALL in this case refers to those states within the area covered by the 12km modeling domain.

Table 3-6.	Гетрега	ture erro	or (K) fo	or 2006 N	1M5 by	month iı	n the 4kı	n domai	n.								
Region	Dec	Jan	Feb	Win	Mar	Apr	May	Spr	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sum	Sep	Oct	Nov	Aut	Mean
ALL	3.47	2.75	3.14	3.12	2.55	3.05	2.64	2.75	2.71	2.97	2.98	2.89	2.96	2.69	2.81	2.82	2.89

Table 3-7. M	ixing rat	tio bias (	g/kg) foi	: 2006 M	M5 by 1	nonth, s	tate, and	l region i	in the 36	km dom	ain.						
Region	Dec	Jan	Feb	Win	Mar	Apr	May	Spr	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sum	Sep	Oct	Nov	Aut	Mean
					Mid	Atlantic	/Northea	st Visibi	lity Unio	n (MAN	E-VU)						
СТ	0.85	0.62	0.49	0.65	0.98	0.58	0.67	0.74	0.68	0.26	0.53	0.49	0.48	0.72	0.93	0.71	0.65
DE	0.40	0.49	0.18	0.36	0.17	0.36	0.23	0.25	-0.10	-0.39	0.10	-0.13	0.28	0.22	0.57	0.36	0.21
MA	0.81	0.43	0.41	0.55	0.88	0.55	0.65	0.69	0.75	0.49	0.88	0.71	0.63	0.75	0.87	0.75	0.68
MD	0.38	0.73	0.24	0.45	0.35	0.33	0.11	0.26	-0.10	-0.72	-0.34	-0.39	0.23	-0.09	0.64	0.26	0.15
ME	0.65	0.36	0.31	0.44	0.56	0.82	0.64	0.67	0.80	0.54	0.71	0.68	0.58	0.62	0.70	0.63	0.61
NH	0.83	0.44	0.43	0.57	0.85	0.82	0.78	0.82	0.93	0.59	0.75	0.76	0.45	0.69	0.87	0.67	0.70
NJ	0.87	0.88	0.43	0.73	0.69	0.64	0.52	0.62	0.61	0.36	0.93	0.63	0.79	0.70	1.04	0.84	0.70
NY	0.75	0.58	0.36	0.56	0.65	0.53	0.43	0.54	0.55	0.39	0.62	0.52	0.51	0.69	0.84	0.68	0.57
PA	0.69	0.91	0.38	0.66	0.63	0.58	0.51	0.57	0.57	0.14	0.63	0.45	0.42	0.48	0.85	0.58	0.57
RI	0.69	0.56	0.39	0.55	0.81	0.60	0.74	0.72	0.73	0.45	0.95	0.71	0.46	0.58	0.71	0.58	0.64
VT	0.74	0.31	0.31	0.45	0.63	0.88	0.53	0.68	0.84	0.48	0.55	0.62	0.43	0.62	0.84	0.63	0.60
MANE_VU	0.72	0.61	0.37	0.57	0.67	0.60	0.53	0.60	0.60	0.28	0.62	0.50	0.50	0.58	0.83	0.64	0.58
				Visibility	Improve	ement St	ate and T	<mark>Fribal A</mark> s	sociation	n of the S	Southeas	t (VISTA	<b>S</b> )				
AL	0.82	1.19	0.86	0.96	0.88	0.92	0.43	0.74	0.53	0.20	0.40	0.38	0.18	-0.02	0.30	0.15	0.56
FL	0.80	1.15	1.02	0.99	1.02	0.70	0.52	0.75	0.36	-0.31	-0.27	-0.07	0.07	0.53	0.59	0.40	0.52
GA	0.77	1.03	0.63	0.81	0.78	1.04	0.58	0.80	0.53	-0.07	0.16	0.21	0.23	0.06	0.37	0.22	0.51
KY	0.72	0.67	0.23	0.54	0.42	0.97	0.32	0.57	0.15	-0.60	-0.40	-0.28	0.21	0.20	0.61	0.34	0.29
MS	0.50	1.09	1.00	0.86	1.02	0.89	0.39	0.77	0.51	-0.34	0.34	0.17	0.12	0.15	0.26	0.18	0.49
NC	0.88	1.05	0.41	0.78	0.64	1.23	0.87	0.91	0.69	0.15	0.37	0.40	0.26	0.16	0.71	0.38	0.62
SC	1.04	1.19	0.60	0.94	0.98	1.58	1.24	1.27	0.85	0.41	0.59	0.62	0.34	0.24	0.59	0.39	0.80
TN	0.72	0.92	0.41	0.68	0.61	1.04	0.64	0.76	0.32	-0.20	-0.21	-0.03	0.32	0.01	0.46	0.26	0.42
VA	0.43	0.72	0.19	0.45	0.38	0.64	0.05	0.36	-0.23	-0.82	-0.50	-0.52	-0.11	-0.15	0.52	0.09	0.09
WV	0.49	0.84	0.25	0.53	0.58	1.06	0.52	0.72	0.28	-0.36	-0.01	-0.03	0.31	0.15	0.51	0.32	0.38
VISTAS	0.74	1.01	0.58	0.78	0.74	0.96	0.55	0.75	0.40	-0.20	0.02	0.07	0.16	0.16	0.53	0.28	0.47
							-	-	-	ation (M							1
IL	0.47	0.29	0.10	0.29	0.38	0.40	0.04	0.27	0.17	-0.19	-0.25	-0.09	0.11	0.06	0.23	0.13	0.15
IN	0.66	0.44	0.15	0.42	0.47	0.45	-0.03	0.30	0.10	-0.24	-0.25	-0.13	0.23	0.29	0.50	0.34	0.23
MI	0.41	0.37	0.26	0.35	0.46	0.35	0.29	0.37	0.36	0.44	0.40	0.40	0.39	0.33	0.30	0.34	0.36
OH	0.60	0.64	0.19	0.48	0.42	0.33	0.18	0.31	0.31	-0.08	-0.09	0.05	0.36	0.40	0.50	0.42	0.31
WI	0.34	0.23	0.15	0.24	0.36	0.43	0.21	0.33	0.55	0.62	0.26	0.48	0.38	0.06	0.17	0.20	0.31
MRPO	0.45	0.36	0.18	0.33	0.41	0.39	0.16	0.32	0.32	0.19	0.08	0.20	0.30	0.20	0.30	0.27	0.28

Table 3-7. M	lixing rat	tio bias (	g/kg) for	: 2006 M	IM5 by 1	month, s	tate, and	l region i	in the 36	km dom	ain.						
Region	Dec	Jan	Feb	Win	Mar	Apr	May	Spr	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sum	Sep	Oct	Nov	Aut	Mean
					Cen	tral Stat	es Regio	nal Air I	Partnersh	hip (CEN	(RAP)						
AR	0.49	0.59	0.16	0.41	0.74	0.92	0.50	0.72	-0.15	-0.23	-0.13	-0.17	-0.01	0.00	0.17	0.05	0.25
IA	0.06	0.33	0.06	0.15	0.33	0.03	-0.58	-0.07	-0.01	-0.69	-0.37	-0.36	0.35	-0.18	-0.24	-0.02	-0.08
KS	0.14	0.23	0.15	0.17	0.28	0.68	0.53	0.50	0.29	-0.47	-0.09	-0.09	-0.07	-0.14	-0.06	-0.09	0.12
LA	0.43	0.99	1.14	0.85	1.03	1.11	0.68	0.94	0.47	0.03	0.57	0.36	0.18	0.55	0.28	0.34	0.62
MN	0.13	0.21	0.12	0.15	0.13	0.41	-0.23	0.10	-0.16	-0.14	-0.13	-0.14	0.20	-0.10	-0.09	0.00	0.03
MO	0.40	0.47	0.11	0.33	0.50	0.93	0.58	0.67	0.24	0.11	0.47	0.27	0.06	0.10	0.11	0.09	0.34
NE	0.30	0.28	0.14	0.24	0.09	0.24	0.29	0.21	0.66	-0.19	0.04	0.17	0.27	-0.07	-0.02	0.06	0.17
OK	-0.06	0.09	-0.07	-0.01	0.10	-0.07	-0.45	-0.14	-1.16	-1.73	-1.08	-1.32	-1.01	-0.55	-0.39	-0.65	-0.53
TX	-0.15	0.37	0.55	0.26	0.29	-0.12	0.06	0.08	-0.39	-0.79	-0.61	-0.60	-0.51	-0.44	-0.21	-0.39	-0.16
CENRAP	0.09	0.34	0.26	0.23	0.30	0.26	0.01	0.19	-0.16	-0.54	-0.30	-0.33	-0.12	-0.19	-0.12	-0.14	-0.01
						Western	Region	al Air Pa	rtnershi	p (WRAI	P)						
AZ	0.20	0.38	0.51	0.36	0.47	1.09	1.93	1.16	1.49	0.14	0.09	0.57	-0.36	0.31	0.64	0.20	0.57
CA	0.10	0.01	-0.30	-0.06	0.19	0.00	-0.17	0.01	-0.33	-0.58	-0.83	-0.58	-0.82	-0.48	-0.14	-0.48	-0.28
CO	0.19	0.15	0.23	0.19	0.17	0.18	-0.12	0.08	0.00	-0.79	-0.90	-0.56	-0.76	-0.42	-0.11	-0.43	-0.18
ID	0.29	0.30	0.17	0.25	0.12	-0.03	-0.12	-0.01	-0.29	-0.15	-0.32	-0.25	-0.44	-0.33	0.19	-0.19	-0.05
MT	0.49	0.58	0.37	0.48	0.20	-0.19	-0.18	-0.06	-0.40	0.12	-0.10	-0.13	-0.15	-0.06	0.49	0.09	0.10
ND	0.28	0.42	0.28	0.33	0.20	0.10	-0.21	0.03	-0.14	-0.16	-0.12	-0.14	0.04	-0.11	0.24	0.06	0.07
NM	0.00	0.24	0.31	0.18	0.56	0.62	0.62	0.60	0.43	-0.64	-1.02	-0.41	-0.88	-0.59	0.00	-0.49	-0.03
NV	0.55	0.30	0.23	0.36	0.20	0.31	0.38	0.30	0.74	-0.08	0.62	0.43	0.44	0.16	0.73	0.44	0.38
OR	0.33	0.45	0.33	0.37	0.33	0.09	-0.09	0.11	0.08	0.61	0.18	0.29	-0.04	-0.01	0.47	0.14	0.23
SD	0.35	0.34	0.28	0.32	0.31	0.13	-0.16	0.09	0.68	0.02	-0.12	0.19	0.12	-0.09	0.06	0.03	0.16
UT	0.27	0.11	0.05	0.14	-0.01	-0.09	-0.05	-0.05	0.20	0.12	-0.49	-0.06	-0.16	-0.38	0.00	-0.18	-0.04
WA	0.18	0.43	0.23	0.28	0.19	-0.04	-0.10	0.02	-0.16	0.46	0.12	0.14	-0.08	-0.16	0.48	0.08	0.13
WY	0.40	0.30	0.24	0.31	0.07	-0.17	-0.55	-0.22	-0.30	0.20	-0.50	-0.20	-0.27	-0.04	0.17	-0.05	-0.04
WRAP	0.21	0.25	0.12	0.19	0.23	0.14	0.05	0.14	0.03	-0.15	-0.41	-0.18	-0.43	-0.25	0.15	-0.18	-0.01
ALL	0.38	0.48	0.29	0.38	0.43	0.43	0.21	0.36	0.17	-0.18	-0.09	-0.03	0.00	0.01	0.25	0.09	0.20

(a) ALL in this case refers to those states within the area covered by the 36km modeling domain.

Table 3-8. N	Mixing r	atio bias	(g/kg) f	or 2006 ]	MM5 by	month a	and state	e in the 1	2km doi	main.							
Region	Dec	Jan	Feb	Win	Mar	Apr	May	Spr	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sum	Sep	Oct	Nov	Aut	Mean
CO	0.14	0.13	0.18	0.15	0.09	0.18	-0.15	0.04	0.10	-0.84	-0.94	-0.56	-0.65	-0.38	-0.09	-0.37	-0.19
UT	0.17	0.01	-0.03	0.05	-0.05	-0.02	0.07	0.00	0.29	-0.07	-0.42	-0.07	-0.14	-0.27	0.02	-0.13	-0.04
WY	0.31	0.19	0.16	0.22	-0.01	-0.16	-0.45	-0.21	-0.12	0.15	-0.44	-0.14	-0.17	0.00	0.11	-0.02	-0.04
ALL	0.27	0.20	0.17	0.21	0.05	0.02	-0.14	-0.02	0.03	-0.21	-0.51	-0.23	-0.30	-0.21	0.09	-0.14	-0.04

(a) ALL in this case refers to those states within the area covered by the 12km modeling domain.

<b>Table 3-9.</b> ]	Mixing r	atio bias	s (g/kg) f	or 2006 ]	MM5 by	<b>month</b> i	in the 4k	am doma	in.								
Region	Dec	Jan	Feb	Win	Mar	Apr	May	Spr	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sum	Sep	Oct	Nov	Aut	Mean
ALL	0.24	0.14	0.12	0.17	-0.18	-0.5	-0.99	-0.56	-1.23	-1.16	-1.14	-1.18	-0.82	-0.47	-0.12	-0.47	-0.51

Region	Dec	Jan	Feb	Win	Mar	Apr	May	Spr	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sum	Sep	Oct	Nov	Aut	Mean
					Mid	-Atlantic	Northed	ist Visibi	lity Unio	n (MAN	E-VU)						
СТ	0.89	0.73	0.61	0.74	1.00	0.80	0.94	0.91	1.05	1.26	1.26	1.19	0.97	0.90	1.01	0.96	0.95
DE	0.63	0.66	0.41	0.57	0.62	0.84	0.87	0.78	0.95	1.31	1.12	1.13	0.82	0.73	0.71	0.75	0.81
MA	0.87	0.61	0.55	0.68	0.92	0.78	0.95	0.88	1.12	1.14	1.30	1.19	1.00	0.92	0.97	0.96	0.93
MD	0.70	0.84	0.51	0.68	0.73	1.00	1.00	0.91	1.08	1.63	1.54	1.42	1.03	0.92	0.90	0.95	0.99
ME	0.71	0.56	0.43	0.57	0.67	0.95	0.93	0.85	1.19	1.15	1.05	1.13	0.86	0.77	0.80	0.81	0.84
NH	0.91	0.62	0.52	0.68	0.91	0.99	1.03	0.98	1.29	1.27	1.16	1.24	0.88	0.86	0.95	0.90	0.95
NJ	0.96	0.92	0.56	0.81	0.78	0.88	0.99	0.88	1.15	1.37	1.50	1.34	1.22	0.99	1.12	1.11	1.04
NY	0.79	0.67	0.48	0.65	0.73	0.80	0.92	0.82	1.04	1.19	1.19	1.14	0.90	0.87	0.90	0.89	0.87
PA	0.81	0.96	0.51	0.76	0.75	0.99	1.02	0.92	1.16	1.35	1.35	1.29	0.94	0.84	0.98	0.92	0.97
RI	0.77	0.66	0.54	0.66	0.85	0.88	1.10	0.94	1.10	1.25	1.46	1.27	0.98	0.89	0.86	0.91	0.94
VT	0.78	0.51	0.44	0.58	0.76	1.04	0.96	0.92	1.29	1.29	1.07	1.22	0.84	0.81	0.89	0.85	0.89
MANE_VU	0.81	0.73	0.51	0.68	0.79	0.89	0.97	0.88	1.13	1.28	1.28	1.23	0.95	0.87	0.94	0.92	0.93
				Visibility	Improv	ement St	ate and T	<b>Fribal</b> As	sociation	n of the S	outheast	t (VISTA	<b>S</b> )				
AL	1.11	1.32	1.01	1.15	1.19	1.43	1.33	1.32	1.41	1.59	1.42	1.47	1.17	1.12	0.82	1.04	1.24
FL	1.21	1.45	1.31	1.32	1.39	1.47	1.50	1.45	1.58	1.57	1.46	1.54	1.41	1.35	1.16	1.31	1.41
GA	1.19	1.27	0.99	1.15	1.26	1.67	1.62	1.52	1.84	1.79	1.64	1.76	1.44	1.26	0.94	1.21	1.41
KY	0.85	0.85	0.45	0.72	0.80	1.49	1.15	1.15	1.34	1.47	1.44	1.42	0.96	0.98	0.92	0.95	1.06
MS	0.92	1.31	1.21	1.15	1.32	1.43	1.45	1.40	1.54	1.72	1.54	1.60	1.16	1.20	0.94	1.10	1.31
NC	1.10	1.17	0.73	1.00	1.06	1.68	1.44	1.39	1.53	1.52	1.60	1.55	1.09	1.03	1.05	1.06	1.25
SC	1.31	1.30	0.86	1.16	1.23	1.78	1.57	1.53	1.53	1.31	1.43	1.42	1.02	1.02	0.92	0.99	1.27
TN	0.91	1.06	0.63	0.87	0.90	1.45	1.27	1.21	1.32	1.40	1.39	1.37	0.99	1.03	0.88	0.97	1.10
VA	0.82	0.88	0.52	0.74	0.83	1.31	1.17	1.10	1.38	1.77	1.69	1.61	1.12	1.05	0.98	1.05	1.13
WV	0.69	0.92	0.46	0.69	0.81	1.41	1.14	1.12	1.09	1.24	1.21	1.18	0.93	0.95	0.91	0.93	0.98
VISTAS	1.06	1.19	0.87	1.04	1.12	1.52	1.40	1.35	1.51	1.59	1.53	1.54	1.19	1.13	1.00	1.11	1.26
					Mi	dwest Re	gional P	lanning	Organiza	tion (M	RPO)						
IL	0.63	0.55	0.42	0.53	0.71	1.22	1.15	1.03	1.31	1.54	1.40	1.42	1.03	0.92	0.70	0.88	0.96
IN	0.76	0.59	0.42	0.59	0.73	1.24	1.09	1.02	1.24	1.33	1.33	1.30	0.98	0.85	0.77	0.87	0.94
MI	0.49	0.45	0.36	0.43	0.56	0.80	0.99	0.78	1.06	1.25	1.30	1.20	0.92	0.64	0.55	0.70	0.78
OH	0.69	0.70	0.40	0.60	0.69	1.07	1.05	0.94	1.12	1.24	1.24	1.20	0.93	0.83	0.76	0.84	0.89
WI	0.45	0.42	0.31	0.39	0.52	0.96	1.01	0.83	1.25	1.47	1.22	1.31	0.92	0.65	0.46	0.68	0.80
MRPO	0.57	0.52	0.37	0.49	0.62	1.02	1.05	0.90	1.19	1.38	1.30	1.29	0.96	0.76	0.62	0.78	0.86

Region	Dec	Jan	Feb	Win	Mar	Apr	May	Spr	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sum	Sep	Oct	Nov	Aut	Mean
0					Cen	-	•	nal Air F	Partnersh	ip (CEN	(RAP)		•				<u> </u>
AR	0.80	0.85	0.69	0.78	1.10	1.36	1.37	1.28	1.38	1.68	1.63	1.56	1.11	1.17	0.90	1.06	1.17
IA	0.56	0.51	0.36	0.48	0.72	1.15	1.43	1.10	1.54	1.91	1.57	1.67	1.10	0.94	0.64	0.89	1.04
KS	0.49	0.51	0.39	0.46	0.74	1.13	1.28	1.05	1.34	1.57	1.46	1.46	0.90	0.80	0.70	0.80	0.94
LA	0.92	1.25	1.33	1.17	1.31	1.52	1.47	1.43	1.64	1.58	1.51	1.58	1.12	1.28	0.98	1.13	1.33
MN	0.36	0.40	0.28	0.35	0.43	1.10	1.19	0.91	1.36	1.72	1.41	1.50	0.99	0.77	0.45	0.74	0.87
MO	0.64	0.61	0.40	0.55	0.85	1.36	1.29	1.17	1.32	1.46	1.35	1.38	0.86	0.91	0.73	0.83	0.98
NE	0.53	0.52	0.46	0.50	0.72	1.00	1.32	1.01	1.52	1.48	1.46	1.49	0.98	0.82	0.55	0.78	0.95
OK	0.64	0.58	0.52	0.58	0.95	1.17	1.42	1.18	1.85	2.16	1.81	1.94	1.39	1.06	0.91	1.12	1.21
TX	0.91	0.91	1.02	0.95	1.30	1.51	1.54	1.45	1.58	1.67	1.66	1.64	1.41	1.38	1.04	1.28	1.33
CENRAP	0.65	0.66	0.62	0.64	0.90	1.27	1.38	1.18	1.51	1.72	1.56	1.60	1.16	1.05	0.77	0.99	1.10
Western Regional Air Partnership (WRAP)																	
AZ	0.66	0.67	0.74	0.69	1.05	1.26	2.04	1.45	1.96	1.66	1.90	1.84	1.40	1.33	0.86	1.20	1.29
CA	0.95	0.95	1.12	1.01	0.78	0.82	1.03	0.88	1.32	1.64	1.61	1.52	1.52	1.22	1.12	1.29	1.17
CO	0.49	0.49	0.47	0.48	0.70	0.78	0.99	0.82	1.28	1.54	1.51	1.44	1.18	0.88	0.58	0.88	0.91
ID	0.54	0.53	0.46	0.51	0.56	0.82	1.01	0.80	1.18	1.47	1.05	1.23	0.96	0.82	0.69	0.82	0.84
MT	0.59	0.68	0.50	0.59	0.46	0.80	1.05	0.77	1.16	1.20	0.97	1.11	0.78	0.66	0.66	0.70	0.79
ND	0.41	0.52	0.38	0.44	0.38	0.89	1.14	0.80	1.25	1.38	1.27	1.30	0.85	0.62	0.53	0.67	0.80
NM	0.54	0.54	0.61	0.56	0.92	1.07	1.23	1.07	1.46	1.51	1.66	1.54	1.43	1.17	0.59	1.06	1.06
NV	0.70	0.62	0.52	0.61	0.65	0.91	1.25	0.94	1.30	1.42	1.30	1.34	0.95	0.84	0.90	0.90	0.95
OR	0.62	0.62	0.58	0.61	0.61	0.68	0.88	0.72	0.97	1.29	1.09	1.12	0.97	0.87	0.74	0.86	0.83
SD	0.47	0.48	0.39	0.45	0.57	0.99	1.26	0.94	1.47	1.43	1.44	1.45	0.91	0.76	0.45	0.71	0.88
UT	0.47	0.49	0.44	0.47	0.62	0.74	1.05	0.80	1.25	1.47	1.33	1.35	0.93	0.97	0.56	0.82	0.86
WA	0.63	0.57	0.53	0.58	0.59	0.66	0.86	0.70	0.96	1.20	0.97	1.04	1.02	0.77	0.72	0.84	0.79
WY	0.54	0.47	0.43	0.48	0.47	0.73	1.05	0.75	1.10	1.27	1.13	1.17	0.90	0.69	0.50	0.70	0.77
WRAP	0.66	0.66	0.68	0.67	0.69	0.83	1.10	0.87	1.27	1.45	1.39	1.37	1.18	0.97	0.76	0.97	0.97
ALL	0.74	0.75	0.63	0.71	0.84	1.13	1.22	1.06	1.36	1.53	1.44	1.44	1.11	0.98	0.81	0.97	1.04

(a) ALL in this case refers to those states within the area covered by the 36km modeling domain.
Table 3-11.	Table 3-11. Mixing ratio error (g/kg) for 2006 MM5 by month and state in the 12km domain.																
Region	Dec	Jan	Feb	Win	Mar	Apr	May	Spr	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sum	Sep	Oct	Nov	Aut	Mean
CO	0.47	0.46	0.43	0.45	0.62	0.73	0.96	0.77	1.17	1.46	1.49	1.37	1.08	0.82	0.55	0.82	0.85
UT	0.42	0.45	0.43	0.43	0.6	0.74	1.04	0.79	1.26	1.41	1.33	1.33	0.88	0.92	0.57	0.79	0.84
WY	0.47	0.42	0.36	0.42	0.44	0.69	0.98	0.70	1.07	1.19	1.07	1.11	0.83	0.65	0.47	0.65	0.72
ALL	0.48	0.47	0.42	0.46	0.53	0.76	1.03	0.77	1.19	1.34	1.29	1.27	0.91	0.74	0.53	0.73	0.81

(a) ALL in this case refers to those states within the area covered by the 12km modeling domain.

Table 3-12.	Table 3-12. Mixing ratio error (g/kg) for 2006 MM5 by month in the 4km domain.																
Region	Dec	Jan	Feb	Win	Mar	Apr	May	Spr	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sum	Sep	Oct	Nov	Aut	Mean
ALL	0.45	0.47	0.42	0.45	0.52	0.85	1.32	0.90	1.61	1.63	1.48	1.57	1.1	0.89	0.56	0.85	0.94

Table 3-13. V	Wind spe	ed index	c of agre	ement fo	or 2006 I	MM5 by	month,	state, an	d region	in the 3	6km doi	main.					
Region	Dec	Jan	Feb	Win	Mar	Apr	May	Spr	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sum	Sep	Oct	Nov	Aut	Mean
					Mid	-Atlantic	/Northea	st Visibi	lity Unio	n (MAN	E-VU)						
CT	0.53	0.52	0.55	0.53	0.53	0.53	0.52	0.53	0.57	0.53	0.55	0.55	0.56	0.56	0.51	0.54	0.54
DE	0.72	0.69	0.75	0.72	0.73	0.77	0.80	0.77	0.79	0.74	0.81	0.78	0.74	0.71	0.72	0.72	0.75
MA	0.55	0.61	0.58	0.58	0.59	0.64	0.65	0.63	0.57	0.64	0.57	0.59	0.63	0.59	0.57	0.60	0.60
MD	0.51	0.57	0.57	0.55	0.57	0.61	0.60	0.59	0.50	0.55	0.60	0.55	0.53	0.54	0.55	0.54	0.56
ME	0.52	0.56	0.56	0.55	0.55	0.58	0.54	0.56	0.54	0.51	0.59	0.55	0.55	0.59	0.51	0.55	0.55
NH	0.27	0.41	0.43	0.37	0.39	0.44	0.33	0.39	0.45	0.35	0.32	0.37	0.24	0.36	0.33	0.31	0.36
NJ	0.48	0.56	0.58	0.54	0.55	0.59	0.53	0.56	0.59	0.52	0.52	0.54	0.60	0.54	0.53	0.56	0.55
NY	0.68	0.71	0.68	0.69	0.71	0.68	0.71	0.70	0.71	0.72	0.67	0.70	0.72	0.68	0.66	0.69	0.69
PA	0.61	0.63	0.64	0.63	0.64	0.63	0.66	0.64	0.65	0.69	0.64	0.66	0.65	0.67	0.63	0.65	0.65
RI	0.68	0.66	0.67	0.67	0.69	0.71	0.70	0.70	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.76	0.72	0.72	0.73	0.71
VT	0.52	0.50	0.50	0.51	0.49	0.49	0.51	0.50	0.50	0.54	0.51	0.52	0.51	0.48	0.50	0.50	0.50
MANE_VU	0.64	0.70	0.68	0.67	0.69	0.72	0.69	0.70	0.73	0.69	0.72	0.71	0.65	0.70	0.65	0.67	0.69
				Visibility	Improv	ement St	ate and T	<b>Fribal</b> As	sociation	i of the S	Southeas	t (VISTA	<b>S</b> )				
AL	0.66	0.65	0.68	0.66	0.65	0.64	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.64	0.61	0.63	0.65	0.69	0.63	0.66	0.65
FL	0.68	0.74	0.68	0.70	0.73	0.75	0.73	0.74	0.73	0.73	0.74	0.73	0.71	0.65	0.70	0.69	0.71
GA	0.56	0.65	0.65	0.62	0.60	0.65	0.58	0.61	0.55	0.60	0.58	0.58	0.55	0.60	0.59	0.58	0.60
KY	0.56	0.56	0.58	0.57	0.53	0.55	0.55	0.54	0.57	0.54	0.58	0.56	0.59	0.57	0.59	0.58	0.56
MS	0.61	0.61	0.63	0.62	0.62	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.61	0.57	0.62	0.60	0.59	0.61	0.62	0.61	0.61
NC	0.57	0.60	0.58	0.58	0.64	0.60	0.53	0.59	0.56	0.62	0.56	0.58	0.63	0.57	0.59	0.60	0.59
SC	0.59	0.64	0.61	0.61	0.66	0.55	0.66	0.62	0.56	0.57	0.59	0.57	0.59	0.55	0.60	0.58	0.60
TN	0.62	0.59	0.60	0.60	0.56	0.67	0.60	0.61	0.64	0.63	0.64	0.64	0.63	0.64	0.66	0.64	0.62
VA	0.63	0.63	0.62	0.63	0.61	0.64	0.59	0.61	0.64	0.62	0.61	0.62	0.66	0.61	0.66	0.64	0.63
WV	0.55	0.54	0.57	0.55	0.55	0.54	0.55	0.55	0.56	0.59	0.53	0.56	0.53	0.60	0.56	0.56	0.56
VISTAS	0.76	0.76	0.78	0.77	0.76	0.73	0.76	0.75	0.75	0.76	0.78	0.76	0.74	0.77	0.76	0.76	0.76
					Mi	dwest Re	gional P	lanning	Organiza	ation (M	RPO)						
IL	0.67	0.65	0.67	0.66	0.66	0.62	0.66	0.65	0.64	0.64	0.66	0.65	0.68	0.65	0.70	0.68	0.66
IN	0.61	0.60	0.61	0.61	0.62	0.58	0.58	0.59	0.61	0.58	0.62	0.60	0.62	0.63	0.62	0.62	0.61
MI	0.64	0.64	0.66	0.65	0.65	0.62	0.63	0.63	0.66	0.63	0.63	0.64	0.66	0.65	0.64	0.65	0.64
OH	0.64	0.62	0.63	0.63	0.62	0.65	0.62	0.63	0.64	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.62	0.65	0.64	0.64	0.64
WI	0.62	0.63	0.63	0.63	0.61	0.58	0.61	0.60	0.62	0.59	0.59	0.60	0.60	0.59	0.62	0.60	0.61
MRPO	0.73	0.72	0.71	0.72	0.73	0.69	0.75	0.72	0.73	0.76	0.72	0.74	0.76	0.74	0.77	0.76	0.73

Table 3-13. Wind speed index of agreement for 2006 MM5 by month, state, and region in the 36km domain.																	
					Cen	tral Stat	es Regio	nal Air F	Partnersh	hip (CEN	(RAP)						
AR	0.72	0.69	0.68	0.70	0.66	0.66	0.71	0.68	0.71	0.66	0.71	0.69	0.70	0.70	0.71	0.70	0.69
IA	0.68	0.63	0.65	0.65	0.69	0.64	0.70	0.68	0.65	0.66	0.66	0.66	0.69	0.67	0.71	0.69	0.67
KS	0.75	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.73	0.73	0.72	0.73	0.75	0.74	0.71	0.73	0.73	0.69	0.78	0.73	0.73
LA	0.65	0.68	0.67	0.67	0.62	0.68	0.66	0.65	0.65	0.68	0.65	0.66	0.65	0.65	0.67	0.66	0.66
MN	0.64	0.67	0.67	0.66	0.66	0.67	0.68	0.67	0.69	0.70	0.68	0.69	0.70	0.70	0.65	0.68	0.68
MO	0.68	0.69	0.70	0.69	0.68	0.64	0.67	0.66	0.69	0.67	0.66	0.67	0.66	0.72	0.66	0.68	0.68
NE	0.77	0.78	0.76	0.77	0.78	0.78	0.75	0.77	0.73	0.73	0.72	0.73	0.76	0.72	0.80	0.76	0.76
OK	0.71	0.68	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.66	0.70	0.69	0.68	0.69	0.68	0.68	0.67	0.64	0.69	0.67	0.68
TX	0.77	0.76	0.77	0.77	0.75	0.77	0.77	0.76	0.75	0.73	0.78	0.75	0.74	0.75	0.78	0.76	0.76
CENRAP	0.85	0.83	0.83	0.84	0.82	0.82	0.85	0.83	0.86	0.85	0.84	0.85	0.88	0.85	0.83	0.85	0.84
						Western	Region	al Air Pa	rtnershi	p (WRAI	P)						
AZ	0.74	0.75	0.72	0.74	0.65	0.72	0.75	0.71	0.71	0.69	0.73	0.71	0.72	0.71	0.75	0.73	0.72
CA	0.79	0.73	0.74	0.75	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.75	0.75	0.76	0.75	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.78	0.76
CO	0.72	0.79	0.79	0.77	0.78	0.76	0.77	0.77	0.78	0.75	0.76	0.76	0.76	0.72	0.74	0.74	0.76
ID	0.72	0.77	0.77	0.75	0.74	0.73	0.71	0.73	0.77	0.75	0.69	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.69	0.72	0.73
MT	0.68	0.78	0.78	0.75	0.76	0.78	0.77	0.77	0.76	0.76	0.78	0.77	0.73	0.73	0.74	0.73	0.75
ND	0.71	0.74	0.73	0.73	0.68	0.73	0.73	0.71	0.76	0.72	0.69	0.72	0.77	0.74	0.69	0.73	0.72
NM	0.73	0.77	0.77	0.76	0.78	0.80	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.77	0.77	0.78	0.78	0.77	0.75	0.77	0.77
NV	0.76	0.74	0.74	0.75	0.73	0.75	0.74	0.74	0.72	0.73	0.76	0.74	0.75	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.74
OR	0.72	0.72	0.73	0.72	0.76	0.72	0.75	0.74	0.76	0.77	0.76	0.76	0.74	0.73	0.72	0.73	0.74
SD	0.80	0.79	0.80	0.80	0.79	0.78	0.72	0.76	0.74	0.77	0.80	0.77	0.74	0.72	0.75	0.74	0.77
UT	0.72	0.68	0.72	0.71	0.71	0.73	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.75	0.73	0.74	0.74	0.71	0.73	0.72
WA	0.72	0.77	0.74	0.74	0.81	0.78	0.81	0.80	0.71	0.76	0.77	0.75	0.75	0.71	0.71	0.72	0.75
WY	0.65	0.76	0.78	0.73	0.76	0.76	0.74	0.75	0.68	0.69	0.73	0.70	0.67	0.66	0.73	0.69	0.72
WRAP	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.86	0.85	0.84	0.87	0.85	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.83	0.86	0.85	0.85	0.85
ALL	0.87	0.86	0.88	0.87	0.87	0.85	0.85	0.86	0.90	0.88	0.87	0.88	0.87	0.89	0.88	0.88	0.87

Table 3-13. Wind speed index of agreement for 2006 MM5 by month, state, and region in the 36km domain.

(a) ALL in this case refers to those states within the area covered by the 36km modeling domain.

Table 3-14.	Table 3-14. Wind speed index of agreement for 2006 MM5 by month and state in the 12km domain.																
Region	Dec	Jan	Feb	Win	Mar	Apr	May	Spr	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sum	Sep	Oct	Nov	Aut	Mean
CO	0.75	0.80	0.80	0.78	0.81	0.77	0.79	0.79	0.78	0.80	0.78	0.79	0.79	0.76	0.77	0.77	0.78
UT	0.75	0.70	0.74	0.73	0.72	0.76	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.72	0.77	0.74	0.76	0.76	0.73	0.75	0.74
WY	0.81	0.79	0.80	0.80	0.79	0.81	0.78	0.79	0.80	0.78	0.81	0.80	0.79	0.80	0.79	0.79	0.80
ALL	0.83	0.85	0.85	0.84	0.86	0.85	0.84	0.85	0.83	0.83	0.82	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.80	0.82	0.84

(a) ALL in this case refers to those states within the area covered by the 12km modeling domain.

Table 3-15.	Table 3-15. Wind speed index of agreement for 2006 MM5 by month in the 4km domain.																
Region	Dec	Jan	Feb	Win	Mar	Apr	May	Spr	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sum	Sep	Oct	Nov	Aut	Mean
ALL	0.76	0.80	0.81	0.79	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.77	0.78	0.79	0.80	0.79	0.81	0.80	0.80



Figure 3-1. Regional Planning Organization (RPO) Boundaries.



CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.

Figure 3-3. Precipitation for February 2006 over the 36km domain. (a CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.



Figure 3-4. Precipitation for March 2006 over the 36km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.

Figure 3-5. Precipitation for April 2006 over the 36km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.



analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.

Figure 3-7. Precipitation for June 2006 over the 36km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.



analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.

Figure 3-9. Precipitation for August 2006 over the 36km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.

148

164





Figure 3-11. Precipitation for October 2006 over the 36km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.



CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.

Figure 3-13. Precipitation for December 2006 over the 36km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.





Figure 3-14. Precipitation for January 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.

Figure 3-15. Precipitation for February 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.





75

250.000

187.500

125.000

62.500

91

Figure 3-16. Precipitation for March 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.

Figure 3-17. Precipitation for April 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.





Figure 3-18. Precipitation for May 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.

Figure 3-19. Precipitation for June 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.







Figure 3-21. Precipitation for August 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.





Figure 3-22. Precipitation for September 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.

Figure 3-23. Precipitation for October 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.





Figure 3-24. Precipitation for November 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.

Figure 3-25. Precipitation for December 2006 over the 12km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.







Figure 3-27. Precipitation for February 2006 over the 4km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.





Figure 3-28. Precipitation for March 2006 over the 4km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.

Figure 3-29. Precipitation for April 2006 over the 4km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.



Figure 3-30. Precipitation for May 2006 over the 4km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.



Figure 3-31. Precipitation for June 2006 over the 4km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.







Figure 3-33. Precipitation for August 2006 over the 4km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.





Figure 3-34. Precipitation for September 2006 over the 4km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.









Figure 3-37. Precipitation for December 2006 over the 4km domain. (a) CPC analyzed precipitation. (b) MM5 predicted precipitation.

## 4 COMPARISON WITH OTHER ANNUAL MM5 SIMULATIONS

This section presents a comparison of the current 36km and 12km MM5 simulations with past 36km and 12km annual meteorological simulations that have been completed during the past several years by Alpine Geophysics and other researchers. Tables 4-1 through 4-5 present the temperature bias, temperature error, mixing ratio bias, mixing ratio error, and wind speed index of agreement comparisons, respectively, for the 36km simulations. Tables 4-6 through 4-10 present the temperature bias, temperature bias, temperature error, mixing ratio error, mixing ratio bias, mixing ratio bias,

### 4.1 Comparison to Other Annual 36km Simulations

Comparisons between the performance of the current 2006 MM5 36km simulation and the performance of those atmospheric simulations of contemporaneous researchers were conducted. All Alpine MM5 simulations as well as those of the other researchers were performed at a 36km grid resolution using the same horizontal and vertical grid definitions as the 36km grid simulations presented in this report. The simulations that were compared include the following:

- EPA 2001 (McNally and Tesche, 2003);
- WRAP 2002 (Kemball-Cook, Jia, et. al., 2005);
- VISTAS 2002 (Olerud and Sims, 2004);
- MRPO 2003 (Baker and Johnson, 2005);
- NMED 2003, NMED 2004, and NMED 2005 (McNally, 2006);
- ENSR 2006 (McNally and Schewe, 2007);
- MOG 2005 (McNally and Schewe, 2007);
- RAQC 2006 (McNally and Schewe, 2008);
- EPA 2005 (McNally and Wilkinson, 2008a; Wilkinson and McNally, 2008);
- ARWY 2005, ARWY 2004 (McNally and Wilkinson, 2008b,c); and
- ARCO 2006, ARCO 2005, and ARCO 2004 (McNally and Wilkinson, 2008d,e,f).

For purposes of model comparisons, the current study will be referred herein as the ARWY 2006. The analysis of these simulations was subdivided by region (CENRAP, MANE\_VU, MRPO, VISTAS, and WRAP) and used the Alpine Geophysics MAPS analysis package (McNally and Tesche, 1994).

The performance benchmarks for typical meteorological model performance that were used in this comparison were based on the same measures as in Section 3 of this report. As stated before, the purpose of these benchmarks was not to give a passing or failing grade to any one particular meteorological model application, but rather to put its results into the proper context of other derived meteorological data sets. As a reference, the performance benchmarks are repeated here:

• Temperature bias - +/- 0.5 K

- Temperature error 2.0 K
- Mixing ratio bias +/- 1.0 g/kg
- Mixing ratio error 2.0 g/kg
- Wind Speed Index of Agreement -0 =worst, 0.6 = acceptable, 1 = best

Temperature bias model performance statistics for the entire domain and each RPO for the sixteen studies and for the current study (i.e., ARWY 2006) are presented in Table 4-1. The ARWY 2006 MM5 application is within the temperature bias benchmark of +/-0.5 K with a 0.46 K average over the whole U.S. (ALL in Table 4-1). When comparing ARWY 2006 performance across the RPOs, the simulation has a slightly high temperature bias for the CENRAP, MRPO, and VISTAS subdomains (0.68 K, 0.60 K and 0.72 K respectively) while the MANE-VU and WRAP regions (0.34 K and 0.01 K, respectively) are well within the benchmark range. The ARWY 2006 simulation performs similarly to other researchers' simulations in terms of the temperature bias.

Temperature error is presented in Table 4-2. For the ARWY 2006 application of MM5, the temperature error is comparable to annual simulations conducted by other researchers. As with the other simulations, the MM5 results for ARWY 2006 is somewhat greater than the benchmark of 2.0 K over the entire U.S (i.e., 2.23 K for ALL). On a RPO subdomain basis, only the MANE-VU (1.93 K) and MRPO (1.89 K) subdomains meet the benchmark. The temperature error in the ARWY 2006 study is consistent across all regions with those as derived from other simulations.

Mixing ratio bias is presented in Table 4-3. The domain-wide bias for the ARWY 2006 MM5 simulation is 0.20 g/kg (ALL in Table 4-3) which is well within the benchmark of +/- 1.0 g/kg. Further, the ARWY 2006 simulation meets the performance benchmark in all subdomains. The ARWY 2006 mixing ratio bias is comparable to the overall performance of the other studies and other years across each RPO.

Table 4-4 presents the mixing ratio error comparisons between the sixteen studies and the ARWY 2006 simulation across the U.S. and the five RPO regions. The domain-wide mixing ratio error of 1.04 g/kg and the range of mixing ratio errors across the RPOs from 0.86 g/kg to 1.26 g/kg for the ARWY 2006 simulation are well under the benchmark error of 2.0 g/kg. The ARWY 2006 MM5 simulation has mixing ratio errors that are comparable with the other annual MM5 applications by other researchers.

Wind speed index of agreement (IA) is presented in Table 4-5. The domain-wide Wind IA for the ARWY 2006 simulation is 0.87 (ALL in Table 4-5) which exceeds the benchmark of 0.6 and is close to the best performing IA statistic of 1.0. Indeed, the IA benchmark for the ARWY 2006 simulation is exceeded in each of the RPO subdomains. The ARWY 2006 simulation is comparable to all other annual simulations.

### 4.2 Comparison to Other 12km Annual Simulations

Over the past several years, Alpine Geophysics has been involved with a number of 12km Western United States MM5 applications. These studies have simulated 2003

through 2006 for a 12km grid that is comparable to the 12km grid that was used in the current study with the notable exception of EPA 2005, which was a much larger 12km grid. However, these studies have evaluated the MM5 12km simulations on a state-by-state basis for states that were wholly contained in the modeling domain. Thus, a direct comparison between the current study and the previous studies can be performed for the states of Colorado, Utah and Wyoming.

These studies used the most recent versions of MM5 at the time of the study, starting with version 3.7.2. While changes to the MM5 model have occurred since v.3.7.2, these have been only minor model changes.

Tables 4-6 through 4-10 present the model performance evaluation results at 12km from previous studies and the current study. The entries in the "State/Simulation" columns of Tables 4-6 through 4-10 are coded as "SS-Simulation" where "SS" is CO (Colorado), UT (Utah), or WY (Wyoming) and "Simulation" is as follows:

- 2003-NM, 2004-NM, and 2005-NM 2003, 2004, and 2005 MM5 simulations sponsored by New Mexico Environment Department (McNally, 2006);
- 2006-CO 2006 RAQC MM5 simulation sponsored by the State of Colorado (McNally and Schewe, 2008);
- 2005-EPA 2005 MM5 simulation sponsored by the U.S. EPA (McNally and Wilkinson, 2008a; Wilkinson and McNally, 2008);
- 2004-ARWY, 2005-ARWY 2004 and 2005 MM5 simulations sponsored by Arcadis Environmental (McNally and Wilkinson, 2008b,c); and
- 2004-ARCO, 2005-ARCO, and 2006-ARCO 2004, 2005, and 2006 MM5 simulations sponsored by Arcadis Environmental (McNally and Wilkinson, 2008d,e,f).

Table 4-6 shows the temperature bias model performance evaluation results for the 12km grid. Examination of Table 4-6 reveals the current simulation has temperature bias performance characteristics that are similar to previous simulations. The current simulation compared to previous simulations appear to have equal mixes of positive and negative biases, and the simulations appear to perform similarly on an annual basis, seasonal basis, and month-by-month basis.

Table 4-7 shows the temperature error model performance evaluation results for the 12km grid. Examination of Table 4-7 reveals that all model simulations had difficulty replicating observed temperatures. No single simulation routinely meets the temperature error benchmark of 2.0 K. Further, the temperature error model performance results are similar across the simulations.

Table 4-8 shows the mixing ratio bias model performance evaluation results for the 12km grid. Examination of Table 4-8 reveals the current simulation has mixing ratio bias performance characteristics that are similar to previous. Monthly, seasonally and annually, all simulations perform similarly.

Table 4-9 shows the mixing ratio error model performance evaluation results for the 12km grid. Examination of Table 4-9 reveals the current simulation has mixing ratio error performance characteristics that are similar to previous simulations. Monthly, seasonally and annually, all simulations perform similarly.

Table 4-10 shows the wind speed index of agreement model performance evaluation results for the 12km grid. Examination of Table 4-10 reveals that all simulations perform similarly. All simulations meet the 0.6 benchmark for this statistic. No single simulation has superior index of agreement performance characteristics.

## 4.3 Summary of Intercomparison of Model Performance Evaluation Results

Temperature bias and error statistics, mixing ratio bias and error statistics, and wind speed index of agreement statistics were estimated for the model predictions on 04km, 12km and 36km modeling grids for the current simulation. For the 12km and 36km domains, the statistical values were compared with similar model performance evaluation statistics from previous MM5 simulations performed in previous studies upon the same or very similar grid domains.

In regards to the 12km and 36km domains, the current simulation has performance characteristics that are similar to prior studies. Of the simulations examined, no one simulation exhibits consistently superior performance. Therefore, the current MM5 simulation is performing at par with other simulations that are currently being used for air quality planning so the overall performance of the model is judged to be adequate.

Current simu	lation resul	lts are high	lighted in bl	ue.		
Simulation	ALL	CENRAP	MANE_VU	MRPO	VISTAS	WRAP
EPA 2001	-0.51	-0.26	-0.40	-0.31	-0.25	-1.10
WRAP 2002	-0.12	0.14	-0.15	-0.11	0.05	-0.49
VISTAS 2002	-0.05	0.14	0.00	0.05	0.24	-0.55
MRPO 2003	-0.15	0.11	-0.17	-0.10	0.18	-0.67
NMED 2005	0.52	0.86	0.15	0.58	0.75	0.13
NMED 2004	0.49	0.79	0.27	0.55	0.73	0.07
NMED 2003	0.27	0.54	0.21	0.28	0.65	-0.26
MOG 2005	0.38	0.75	0.05	0.49	0.61	-0.12
ENSR 2006	0.44	0.68	0.37	0.59	0.72	-0.10
RAQC 2006	0.51	0.72	0.40	0.63	0.75	0.04
EPA 2005	-0.33	-0.05	-0.82	-0.44	-0.09	-0.52
ARWY 2005	0.49	0.81	0.11	0.51	0.76	0.11
ARWY 2004	0.45	0.76	0.24	0.51	0.70	-0.03
ARCO 2006	0.46	0.68	0.33	0.60	0.73	0.00
ARCO 2005	0.50	0.81	0.11	0.51	0.76	0.12
ARCO 2004	0.45	0.76	0.24	0.52	0.70	-0.02
ARWY 2006	0.46	0.68	0.34	0.60	0.72	0.01

 Table 4-1. Temperature Bias (K) for 36km Annual MM5 Simulations.

 Current simulation results are highlighted in blue.

Table 4-2.         Temperature Error (K) for 36km Annual MM5 Simulations.
Current simulation results are highlighted in blue.

			ingineer in St			
Simulation	ALL	CENRAP	MANE_VU	MRPO	VISTAS	WRAP
EPA 2001	2.04	1.77	1.85	1.63	1.92	2.70
WRAP 2002	2.10	1.85	1.80	1.74	1.93	2.79
VISTAS 2002	2.02	1.76	1.80	1.72	1.84	2.67
MRPO 2003	2.17	1.94	1.86	1.92	1.98	2.82
NMED 2005	2.28	2.20	2.05	2.05	2.10	2.74
NMED 2004	2.26	2.13	1.99	2.01	2.11	2.75
NMED 2003	2.23	2.07	1.97	1.97	2.06	2.73
MOG 2005	2.26	2.16	2.05	2.03	2.07	2.74
ENSR 2006	2.24	2.15	1.95	1.90	2.13	2.75
RAQC 2006	2.25	2.16	1.96	1.92	2.15	2.76
EPA 2005	2.22	2.08	2.11	1.98	2.01	2.72
ARWY 2005	2.28	2.21	2.04	2.01	2.10	2.74
ARWY 2004	2.24	2.12	1.98	1.99	2.08	2.73
ARCO 2006	2.24	2.12	1.94	1.89	2.13	2.77
ARCO 2005	2.28	2.21	2.04	2.01	2.10	2.75
ARCO 2004	2.24	2.11	1.98	1.99	2.09	2.74
ARWY 2006	2.23	2.12	1.93	1.89	2.12	2.76

Current simu	lation resul	lts are high	lighted in bl	ue.		
Simulation	ALL	CENRAP	MANE_VU	MRPO	VISTAS	WRAP
EPA 2001	-0.11	-0.24	-0.06	-0.22	0.06	-0.08
WRAP 2002	-0.09	-0.34	0.08	-0.11	0.20	-0.09
VISTAS 2002	0.01	-0.07	0.19	0.13	0.02	-0.04
MRPO 2003	0.22	0.11	0.30	0.29	0.49	0.05
NMED 2005	0.17	-0.02	0.54	0.24	0.47	-0.08
NMED 2004	0.07	-0.09	0.36	0.19	0.38	-0.20
NMED 2003	0.05	-0.18	0.35	0.17	0.35	-0.13
MOG 2005	0.29	0.11	0.59	0.30	0.67	0.03
ENSR 2006	0.17	-0.04	0.57	0.27	0.46	-0.05
RAQC 2006	0.13	-0.07	0.53	0.23	0.42	-0.09
EPA 2005	0.33	0.13	0.54	0.31	0.75	0.15
ARWY 2005	0.19	-0.01	0.53	0.24	0.48	-0.03
ARWY 2004	0.08	-0.09	0.35	0.18	0.38	-0.17
ARCO 2006	0.20	-0.01	0.59	0.29	0.49	-0.02
ARCO 2005	0.18	-0.01	0.53	0.24	0.48	-0.04
ARCO 2004	0.08	-0.09	0.36	0.19	0.38	-0.18
ARWY 2006	0.20	-0.01	0.58	0.28	0.47	-0.01

 Table 4-3. Mixing Ratio Bias (g/kg) for 36km Annual MM5 Simulations.

 Current simulation results are highlighted in blue.

# Table 4-4. Mixing Ratio Error (g/kg) for 36km Annual MM5 Simulations.Current simulation results are highlighted in blue.

Simulation	ALL	CENRAP	MANE_VU	MRPO	VISTAS	WRAP
EPA 2001	1.02	1.09	0.80	0.85	1.13	1.04
WRAP 2002	1.03	1.17	0.82	0.93	1.16	0.94
VISTAS 2002	0.94	0.98	0.78	0.82	1.13	0.90
MRPO 2003	0.96	0.98	0.78	0.82	1.14	0.97
NMED 2005	1.12	1.20	0.96	0.97	1.32	1.03
NMED 2004	1.05	1.11	0.89	0.85	1.29	0.99
NMED 2003	1.03	1.09	0.86	0.85	1.22	1.00
MOG 2005	1.16	1.23	0.98	1.00	1.38	1.07
ENSR 2006	1.04	1.10	0.92	0.86	1.24	0.97
RAQC 2006	1.03	1.10	0.91	0.85	1.23	0.97
EPA 2005	1.04	1.10	0.86	0.89	1.31	0.93
ARWY 2005	1.08	1.14	0.92	0.92	1.28	1.00
ARWY 2004	1.06	1.11	0.88	0.85	1.29	1.00
ARCO 2006	1.05	1.11	0.93	0.86	1.26	0.97
ARCO 2005	1.08	1.14	0.92	0.92	1.28	1.00
ARCO 2004	1.06	1.11	0.89	0.86	1.30	0.99
ARWY 2006	1.04	1.10	0.93	0.86	1.26	0.97

Current simu	lation resu	lts are high	lighted in bl	ue.		
Simulation	ALL	CENRAP	MANE_VU	MRPO	VISTAS	WRAP
EPA 2001	0.88	0.85	0.69	0.75	0.77	0.86
WRAP 2002	0.93	0.92	0.81	0.84	0.84	0.92
VISTAS 2002	0.90	0.88	0.71	0.78	0.79	0.89
MRPO 2003	0.90	0.88	0.72	0.78	0.80	0.88
NMED 2005	0.87	0.84	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.86
NMED 2004	0.90	0.88	0.76	0.77	0.79	0.88
NMED 2003	0.90	0.88	0.76	0.77	0.79	0.88
MOG 2005	0.87	0.84	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.86
ENSR 2006	0.87	0.85	0.69	0.73	0.75	0.85
RAQC 2006	0.89	0.87	0.74	0.76	0.78	0.87
EPA 2005	0.88	0.85	0.72	0.75	0.77	0.87
ARWY 2005	0.87	0.84	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.85
ARWY 2004	0.88	0.85	0.70	0.74	0.76	0.86
ARCO 2006	0.87	0.84	0.69	0.73	0.76	0.85
ARCO 2005	0.87	0.84	0.71	0.73	0.75	0.85
ARCO 2004	0.88	0.85	0.70	0.74	0.76	0.85
ARWY 2006	0.87	0.84	0.69	0.73	0.76	0.85

 Table 4-5. Wind Index of Agreement for 36km Annual MM5 Simulation.

 Current simulation results are highlighted in blue.

Table 4-6. Temperature bias (K) model performance evaluation (MPE) results by state for 12km annual MM5 simulations. MPE results from prior studies that are within the benchmark of  $\pm 0.5$  K are shaded yellow. Current study MPE results are shaded blue with those MPE results shaded green that are within the benchmark.

State/Simulation	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Mean
CO-2003-NM	0.55	-0.68	-2.11	-2.20	-0.75	-0.59	-0.75	-0.63	0.33	0.80	-0.14	0.99	-0.43
CO-2004-NM	1.47	-0.04	-1.04	-1.08	-0.17	0.00	0.25	0.22	0.07	-0.17	0.26	0.58	0.03
CO-2005-NM	0.68	-0.06	-1.42	-0.94	-0.30	-0.01	-0.14	0.45	0.38	0.32	0.46		-0.05
CO-2006-CO	-0.46	-0.24	-1.34	-1.39	-0.43	-0.33	0.47	0.56	0.32	-0.04	0.06	0.85	-0.16
СО-2005-ЕРА	0.27	-0.31	-1.16	-0.99	-0.41	-0.16	-0.39	0.27	0.24	0.12	0.02	0.11	-0.20
CO-2005-ARWY	0.69	0.08	-1.40	-0.97	-0.26	0.00	-0.07	0.53	0.41	0.40	0.44	0.43	0.02
CO-2004-ARWY	1.42	-0.13	-1.20	-1.25	-0.33	-0.11	0.22	0.15	0.05	-0.21	0.20	0.56	-0.05
CO-2006-ARCO	0.57	0.62	-1.01	-1.08	-0.33	-0.22	0.52	0.50	0.55	0.36	0.72	1.76	0.25
CO-2005-ARCO	1.15	0.37	-1.11	-0.71	-0.18	0.08	0.03	0.55	0.49	0.57	0.93	0.98	0.26
CO-2004-ARCO	2.03	0.04	-0.71	-0.96	-0.16	0.09	0.31	0.28	0.14	-0.01	0.66	1.20	0.27
CO-2006-ARWY	0.26	0.24	-1.20	-1.38	-0.43	-0.26	0.52	0.53	0.44	0.00	0.13	1.19	0.00
UT-2003-NM	0.91	-1.04	-1.78	-1.35	-0.61	-1.20	-1.27	-0.70	0.54	1.51	-0.02	0.93	-0.34
UT-2004-NM	1.90	0.14	-0.72	-0.45	-0.53	-0.45	-0.44	-0.16	0.18	0.33	1.03	1.09	0.16
UT-2005-NM	0.76	0.34	-0.63	-0.97	-0.25	-0.32	0.07	0.45	0.87	1.00	1.40		0.25
UT-2006-CO	0.59	-0.14	-1.03	-1.46	-0.37	-0.50	-0.31	-0.11	0.70	0.83	1.57	2.06	0.15
UT-2005-EPA	0.64	0.37	-0.57	-0.92	-0.36	-0.43	-0.32	0.28	0.56	0.74	1.06	0.89	0.16
UT-2005-ARWY	0.97	0.56	-0.58	-0.92	-0.28	-0.37	0.06	0.46	0.78	1.02	1.44	1.29	0.37
UT-2004-ARWY	2.22	0.48	-0.55	-0.52	-0.65	-0.50	-0.48	-0.18	0.07	0.27	1.09	1.27	0.21
UT-2006-ARCO	0.86	0.29	-0.90	-1.22	-0.46	-0.36	-0.38	-0.11	0.93	1.08	1.85	2.56	0.35
UT-2005-ARCO	1.15	0.73	-0.44	-0.83	-0.21	-0.31	0.11	0.47	0.89	1.19	1.63	1.54	0.49
UT-2004-ARCO	2.53	0.62	-0.39	-0.45	-0.57	-0.44	-0.44	-0.17	0.20	0.36	1.28	1.55	0.34
UT-2006-ARWY	0.89	0.06	-0.99	-1.32	-0.54	-0.42	-0.44	-0.18	0.85	0.94	1.68	2.28	0.23
WY-2003-NM	-0.01	-0.08	-1.38	-1.62	-0.64	-0.43	-0.51	-0.61	0.26	0.57	-0.08	0.95	-0.30
WY-2004-NM	1.43	0.56	-0.63	-0.26	-0.34	-0.25	0.20	-0.01	0.14	0.64	1.00	-0.68	0.15
WY-2005-NM	0.73	1.56	-0.80	-0.93	0.09	0.45	0.17	1.05	0.68	0.78	0.20		0.36
WY-2006-CO	-0.49	-0.40	-1.18	-1.35	0.12	-0.14	0.14	0.34	0.61	0.02	-0.22	1.28	-0.11
WY-2005-EPA	0.50	1.42	-0.68	-0.86	-0.05	0.28	-0.12	0.83	0.62	0.54	-0.22	-0.14	0.18
WY-2005-ARWY	1.18	1.98	-0.58	-0.73	0.20	0.55	0.22	1.12	0.84	0.98	0.54	0.62	0.58
WY-2004-ARWY	1.97	1.07	-0.35	-0.17	-0.28	-0.19	0.25	0.06	0.25	0.76	1.33	-0.18	0.38
WY-2006-ARCO	-0.93	-0.66	-1.49	-1.46	-0.01	-0.37	-0.10	0.13	0.59	0.02	-0.34	1.16	-0.29
WY-2005-ARCO	0.83	1.31	-0.96	0.98	0.01	0.35	-0.09	0.90	0.49	0.65	-0.03	0.00	0.21
WY-2004-ARCO	1.42	0.42	-0.76	-0.33	-0.30	-0.42	-0.07	-0.30	-0.04	0.48	0.84	-0.81	0.01
WY-2006-ARWY	0.07	-0.05	-1.01	-1.09	0.17	-0.07	0.18	0.42	0.84	0.30	0.05	1.76	0.13

State/Simulation	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Mean
CO-2003-NM	3.69	2.79	3.50	3.64	2.82	2.73	3.23	2.62	2.86	3.50	2.94	3.53	3.15
CO-2004-NM	3.71	2.92	3.33	2.91	3.04	2.88	2.85	2.78	2.75	2.82	2.73	3.48	3.02
CO-2005-NM	3.26	3.06	3.29	3.17	2.93	2.80	3.11	2.69	2.93	2.90	3.32		3.04
CO-2006-CO	3.19	3.49	3.11	3.56	3.02	2.97	2.66	2.61	2.75	2.77	3.33	3.30	3.06
CO-2005-EPA	2.98	3.00	3.09	3.06	2.79	2.70	3.00	2.56	2.76	2.67	3.09	3.08	2.90
CO-2005-ARWY	3.28	3.09	3.29	3.22	2.95	2.78	3.13	2.72	2.99	2.90	3.23	3.29	3.07
CO-2004-ARWY	3.78	2.88	3.38	2.99	3.02	2.82	2.84	2.80	2.75	2.81	2.75	3.44	3.02
CO-2006-ARCO	3.75	3.60	3.02	3.56	3.07	3.02	2.69	2.56	2.76	2.77	3.44	3.58	3.15
CO-2005-ARCO	3.39	3.13	3.23	3.16	2.96	2.83	3.18	2.75	3.00	2.98	3.42	3.49	3.13
CO-2004-ARCO	3.88	2.92	3.27	2.89	3.04	2.92	2.90	2.82	2.78	2.80	2.77	3.61	3.05
CO-2006-ARWY	3.49	3.47	3.04	3.60	3.04	2.94	2.67	2.56	2.75	2.79	3.44	3.44	3.10
UT-2003-NM	2.93	2.46	2.87	2.85	2.81	3.06	3.52	2.85	3.31	3.62	2.17	2.79	2.94
UT-2004-NM	3.67	2.58	3.42	2.42	2.78	3.02	3.05	2.95	3.04	2.55	2.53	3.04	2.92
UT-2005-NM	2.74	2.70	2.88	2.75	2.46	2.68	3.15	2.90	3.19	2.96	3.11		2.87
UT-2006-CO	2.59	3.21	2.59	3.16	3.03	3.13	2.96	2.95	2.96	2.66	3.15	3.29	2.97
UT-2005-EPA	2.55	2.58	2.63	2.54	2.30	2.61	2.94	2.80	2.96	2.73	2.92	2.81	2.70
UT-2005-ARWY	2.84	2.84	2.99	2.80	2.55	2.82	3.28	3.05	3.34	3.12	3.23	3.13	3.00
UT-2004-ARWY	3.86	2.72	3.48	2.50	2.91	3.11	3.16	3.04	3.17	2.61	2.68	3.14	3.03
UT-2006-ARCO	2.90	3.22	2.52	3.02	2.96	3.22	2.99	3.05	3.12	2.78	3.30	3.54	3.05
UT-2005-ARCO	2.88	2.86	2.96	2.79	2.52	2.77	3.29	3.00	3.33	3.14	3.30	3.23	3.01
UT-2004-ARCO	3.95	2.68	3.46	2.48	2.86	3.10	3.11	3.07	3.14	2.60	2.69	3.23	3.03
UT-2006-ARWY	2.72	3.22	2.56	3.08	3.01	3.25	3.02	3.10	3.14	2.76	3.22	3.39	3.04
WY-2003-NM	3.37	2.87	2.92	3.00	2.50	2.38	3.09	2.74	2.65	3.33	3.07	3.26	2.93
WY-2004-NM	4.36	3.00	3.22	2.53	2.54	2.45	2.70	2.61	2.60	2.46	2.95	3.02	2.87
WY-2005-NM	3.30	3.57	2.81	2.75	2.17	2.36	2.86	2.92	2.90	2.72	2.72		2.83
WY-2006-CO	2.90	3.14	2.73	2.99	2.90	2.78	3.03	2.87	2.66	2.49	2.94	3.65	2.92
WY-2005-EPA	3.04	3.41	2.66	2.58	2.05	2.22	2.71	2.75	2.74	2.56	2.64	3.16	2.71
WY-2005-ARWY	3.34	3.74	2.74	2.70	2.13	2.34	2.89	2.93	2.90	2.78	2.80	3.46	2.90
WY-2004-ARWY	4.48	3.15	3.17	2.51	2.47	2.39	2.66	2.58	2.55	2.46	3.04	2.97	2.87
WY-2006-ARCO	3.23	3.20	2.82	3.13	2.79	2.66	2.91	2.73	2.58	2.47	3.07	3.66	2.94
WY-2005-ARCO	3.36	3.52	2.86	2.85	2.20	2.37	2.82	2.83	2.86	2.69	2.72	3.41	2.87
WY-2004-ARCO	4.34	2.95	3.26	2.57	2.51	2.44	2.64	2.58	2.57	2.40	2.92	3.00	2.85
WY-2006-ARWY	3.02	3.14	2.69	2.88	2.77	2.68	2.92	2.75	2.62	2.42	2.91	3.82	2.92

State/Simulation	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Mean
CO-2003-NM	0.26	0.04	0.10	0.15	-0.09	-0.14	0.63	-0.05	-0.36	0.04	-0.15	0.01	0.04
CO-2004-NM	-0.09	-0.09	0.26	-0.05	-0.42	-0.13	-0.54	-0.76	-0.60	-0.20	-0.10	0.01	-0.23
CO-2005-NM	0.15	0.08	0.10	0.23	-0.12	-0.46	0.24	-0.57	-0.10	-0.37	-0.08		-0.08
CO-2006-CO	0.14	0.21	0.15	0.27	-0.01	0.22	-0.65	-0.82	-0.54	-0.36	-0.06	0.12	-0.11
CO-2005-EPA	0.21	0.08	0.12	0.34	0.16	0.05	1.08	0.17	0.32	-0.14	0.01	0.15	0.21
CO-2005-ARWY	0.13	0.09	0.06	0.18	-0.21	-0.54	0.14	-0.68	-0.23	-0.41	-0.13	0.12	-0.12
CO-2004-ARWY	-0.10	-0.13	0.19	-0.07	-0.48	-0.17	-0.67	-0.88	-0.67	-0.25	-0.13	0.02	-0.28
CO-2006-ARCO	0.09	0.14	0.07	0.16	-0.15	0.02	-0.89	-0.98	-0.68	-0.43	-0.14	0.10	-0.22
CO-2005-ARCO	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.09	-0.27	-0.71	-0.03	-0.83	-0.37	-0.44	-0.15	0.10	-0.21
CO-2004-ARCO	-0.14	-0.14	0.10	-0.13	-0.55	-0.32	-0.77	-0.99	-0.73	-0.30	-0.17	-0.05	-0.35
CO-2006-ARWY	0.13	0.18	0.09	0.18	-0.15	0.10	-0.84	-0.94	-0.65	-0.38	-0.09	0.14	-0.19
UT-2003-NM	0.15	0.04	0.27	0.25	0.06	0.47	1.24	0.46	0.46	0.42	-0.06	0.13	0.32
UT-2004-NM	0.24	0.10	0.77	0.27	-0.05	0.48	0.84	0.50	0.47	0.26	-0.13	0.08	0.32
UT-2005-NM	0.10	0.04	0.37	0.11	-0.35	-0.13	0.68	0.25	0.22	-0.07	-0.14		0.10
UT-2006-CO	0.02	-0.03	-0.03	0.01	0.11	0.47	0.09	-0.13	0.03	-0.22	0.02	0.16	0.04
UT-2005-EPA	0.27	0.13	0.41	0.27	-0.12	0.21	1.30	0.96	0.55	0.15	0.04	0.23	0.37
UT-2005-ARWY	0.06	-0.04	0.28	0.05	-0.45	-0.21	0.44	-0.07	0.14	-0.11	-0.15	0.09	0.00
UT-2004-ARWY	0.21	0.07	0.60	0.17	-0.14	0.33	0.58	0.27	0.39	0.20	-0.16	0.05	0.21
UT-2006-ARCO	-0.02	-0.04	-0.04	-0.03	0.07	0.30	-0.01	-0.39	-0.11	-0.29	-0.01	0.15	-0.03
UT-2005-ARCO	0.04	-0.04	0.27	0.04	-0.43	-0.23	0.54	0.08	0.11	-0.09	-0.17	0.10	0.02
UT-2004-ARCO	0.20	0.06	0.57	0.18	-0.16	0.34	0.65	0.32	0.36	0.19	-0.17	0.03	0.21
UT-2006-ARWY	0.01	-0.03	-0.05	-0.02	0.07	0.29	-0.07	-0.42	-0.14	-0.27	0.02	0.17	-0.04
WY-2003-NM	0.33	0.09	0.23	0.37	-0.01	-0.33	0.23	0.29	-0.31	0.29	0.11	0.17	0.12
WY-2004-NM	0.22	0.12	0.40	0.16	-0.28	-0.35	-0.41	-0.25	-0.25	-0.08	0.04	0.13	-0.05
WY-2005-NM	0.23	0.22	0.19	0.13	-0.59	-0.89	-0.10	0.00	0.11	-0.23	0.06		-0.08
WY-2006-CO	0.20	0.18	0.00	-0.11	-0.33	-0.06	0.31	-0.21	-0.10	0.05	0.13	0.31	0.03
WY-2005-EPA	0.27	0.28	0.16	0.27	-0.34	-0.42	0.46	0.61	0.35	-0.02	0.09	0.22	0.16
WY-2005-ARWY	0.19	0.16	0.10	0.04	-0.67	-1.04	-0.29	-0.15	-0.07	-0.29	-0.01	0.22	-0.15
WY-2004-ARWY	0.19	0.09	0.23	0.08	-0.37	-0.46	-0.54	-0.37	-0.39	-0.14	-0.03	0.07	-0.14
WY-2006-ARCO	0.19	0.17	-0.01	-0.14	-0.51	-0.11	0.20	-0.41	-0.20	0.02	0.17	0.35	-0.02
WY-2005-ARCO	0.22	0.20	0.13	0.07	-0.64	-1.13	-0.25	-0.15	-0.07	-0.30	0.02	0.25	-0.14
WY-2004-ARCO	0.21	0.11	0.29	0.07	-0.40	-0.37	-0.51	-0.36	-0.36	-0.15	-0.01	0.10	-0.12
WY-2006-ARWY	0.19	0.16	-0.01	-0.16	-0.45	-0.12	0.15	-0.44	-0.17	0.00	0.11	0.31	-0.04

State/Simulation	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Mean
CO-2003-NM	0.55	0.47	0.61	0.85	1.14	1.23	1.73	1.46	1.05	0.79	0.56	0.46	0.91
CO-2004-NM	0.46	0.48	0.77	0.78	1.05	1.27	1.64	1.47	1.15	0.79	0.56	0.45	0.91
CO-2005-NM	0.51	0.58	0.56	0.80	0.95	1.39	1.57	1.46	1.19	0.88	0.62		0.96
CO-2006-CO	0.47	0.45	0.64	0.77	0.99	1.29	1.48	1.46	1.05	0.81	0.55	0.46	0.87
CO-2005-EPA	0.55	0.60	0.59	0.82	1.01	1.47	2.02	1.56	1.27	0.79	0.61	0.43	0.98
CO-2005-ARWY	0.51	0.56	0.54	0.80	0.97	1.43	1.52	1.45	1.19	0.90	0.64	0.43	0.91
CO-2004-ARWY	0.47	0.49	0.76	0.79	1.09	1.26	1.63	1.48	1.21	0.82	0.56	0.44	0.92
CO-2006-ARCO	0.47	0.42	0.63	0.74	0.97	1.20	1.47	1.44	1.06	0.84	0.56	0.45	0.85
CO-2005-ARCO	0.50	0.57	0.54	0.77	0.97	1.46	1.53	1.52	1.20	0.91	0.62	0.41	0.92
CO-2004-ARCO	0.47	0.49	0.72	0.78	1.07	1.27	1.67	1.54	1.20	0.81	0.56	0.45	0.92
CO-2006-ARWY	0.46	0.43	0.62	0.73	0.96	1.17	1.46	1.49	1.08	0.82	0.55	0.47	0.85
UT-2003-NM	0.57	0.51	0.62	0.66	0.99	1.25	2.13	1.64	1.16	0.93	0.61	0.50	0.96
UT-2004-NM	0.45	0.40	1.11	0.78	0.92	1.44	1.74	1.42	1.20	0.77	0.59	0.46	0.94
UT-2005-NM	0.55	0.52	0.71	0.72	1.12	1.38	1.74	1.54	1.16	0.87	0.69		1.00
UT-2006-CO	0.43	0.43	0.60	0.74	1.02	1.29	1.43	1.25	0.91	0.94	0.58	0.43	0.84
UT-2005-EPA	0.60	0.58	0.75	0.78	1.11	1.44	2.12	1.87	1.27	0.86	0.65	0.53	1.05
UT-2005-ARWY	0.55	0.52	0.68	0.71	1.16	1.41	1.63	1.48	1.12	0.86	0.69	0.47	0.94
UT-2004-ARWY	0.45	0.40	0.99	0.75	0.90	1.41	1.63	1.35	1.17	0.78	0.59	0.46	0.91
UT-2006-ARCO	0.47	0.43	0.59	0.74	1.02	1.24	1.39	1.30	0.88	0.92	0.57	0.42	0.83
UT-2005-ARCO	0.54	0.52	0.66	0.69	1.11	1.37	1.66	1.46	1.13	0.85	0.70	0.47	0.93
UT-2004-ARCO	0.44	0.40	0.97	0.75	0.89	1.42	1.65	1.40	1.16	0.77	0.59	0.45	0.91
UT-2006-ARWY	0.45	0.43	0.60	0.74	1.04	1.26	1.41	1.33	0.88	0.92	0.57	0.42	0.84
WY-2003-NM	0.52	0.37	0.50	0.76	0.90	1.20	1.61	1.41	1.01	0.77	0.43	0.43	0.83
WY-2004-NM	0.47	0.43	0.72	0.71	0.90	1.06	1.46	1.08	0.97	0.75	0.53	0.40	0.79
WY-2005-NM	0.46	0.51	0.55	0.73	1.01	1.52	1.39	1.28	1.03	0.75	0.55		0.89
WY-2006-CO	0.43	0.38	0.44	0.69	0.98	1.11	1.25	1.07	0.85	0.67	0.48	0.48	0.74
WY-2005-EPA	0.47	0.53	0.54	0.74	0.86	1.44	1.60	1.57	1.14	0.68	0.51	0.42	0.88
WY-2005-ARWY	0.45	0.47	0.51	0.68	1.03	1.58	1.37	1.24	0.97	0.74	0.54	0.41	0.83
WY-2004-ARWY	0.45	0.42	0.63	0.69	0.90	1.05	1.43	1.09	0.97	0.75	0.52	0.38	0.77
WY-2006-ARCO	0.45	0.38	0.46	0.72	1.02	1.10	1.24	1.09	0.86	0.68	0.49	0.49	0.75
WY-2005-ARCO	0.49	0.50	0.54	0.72	1.06	1.67	1.41	1.27	1.01	0.77	0.57	0.44	0.87
WY-2004-ARCO	0.46	0.42	0.66	0.73	0.94	1.07	1.50	1.13	1.00	0.78	0.52	0.39	0.80
WY-2006-ARWY	0.42	0.36	0.44	0.69	0.98	1.07	1.19	1.07	0.83	0.65	0.47	0.47	0.72

Table 4.0 Mini . 4 : . -14a h 1 NAME .... --1-4-MDE -14~ f-. 4 41. . . f. 101 

statistic montiny and	u annuany	y. Curren		II L'result	s are shau	ieu blue.							
State/Simulation	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Mean
CO-2003-NM	0.84	0.83	0.83	0.80	0.83	0.84	0.85	0.84	0.85	0.87	0.84	0.85	0.84
CO-2004-NM	0.84	0.84	0.82	0.8	0.84	0.85	0.83	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.84	0.85	0.83
CO-2005-NM	0.82	0.81	0.79	0.79	0.80	0.81	0.83	0.83	0.81	0.81	0.79		0.81
CO-2006-CO	0.80	0.82	0.81	0.78	0.81	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.83	0.82	0.82	0.82
CO-2005-EPA	0.82	0.82	0.81	0.82	0.81	0.81	0.80	0.81	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.81
CO-2005-ARWY	0.82	0.79	0.78	0.82	0.80	0.80	0.79	0.80	0.80	0.78	0.78	0.79	0.80
CO-2004-ARWY	0.81	0.80	0.81	0.80	0.79	0.80	0.79	0.82	0.77	0.78	0.81	0.77	0.80
CO-2006-ARCO	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.77	0.80	0.81	0.77	0.79	0.77	0.75	0.78	0.76	0.79
CO-2005-ARCO	0.83	0.82	0.81	0.83	0.81	0.80	0.77	0.81	0.81	0.79	0.78	0.80	0.81
CO-2004-ARCO	0.81	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.81	0.81	0.80	0.82	0.80	0.78	0.78	0.82	0.80
CO-2006-ARWY	0.80	0.80	0.81	0.77	0.79	0.78	0.80	0.78	0.79	0.76	0.77	0.75	0.78
UT-2003-NM	0.79	0.76	0.77	0.80	0.79	0.81	0.78	0.80	0.81	0.81	0.78	0.80	0.79
UT-2004-NM	0.72	0.78	0.78	0.81	0.81	0.79	0.77	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.78	0.77	0.78
UT-2005-NM	0.72	0.71	0.74	0.76	0.76	0.78	0.77	0.74	0.78	0.77	0.76		0.75
UT-2006-CO	0.77	0.75	0.76	0.77	0.78	0.78	0.76	0.79	0.78	0.77	0.76	0.73	0.77
UT-2005-EPA	0.70	0.74	0.71	0.77	0.76	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.75	0.74	0.76	0.78	0.75
UT-2005-ARWY	0.70	0.72	0.69	0.76	0.76	0.75	0.73	0.78	0.76	0.73	0.75	0.78	0.74
UT-2004-ARWY	0.67	0.74	0.72	0.72	0.75	0.76	0.77	0.75	0.78	0.76	0.78	0.78	0.75
UT-2006-ARCO	0.71	0.74	0.76	0.72	0.75	0.73	0.73	0.76	0.75	0.75	0.74	0.75	0.74
UT-2005-ARCO	0.71	0.73	0.70	0.77	0.76	0.73	0.74	0.77	0.75	0.74	0.76	0.78	0.75
UT-2004-ARCO	0.67	0.74	0.74	0.73	0.76	0.75	0.76	0.76	0.76	0.76	0.77	0.78	0.75
UT-2006-ARWY	0.70	0.74	0.72	0.76	0.74	0.74	0.72	0.77	0.76	0.76	0.73	0.75	0.74
WY-2003-NM	0.82	0.82	0.80	0.82	0.82	0.82	0.84	0.83	0.82	0.81	0.82	0.84	0.82
WY-2004-NM	0.82	0.84	0.81	0.82	0.82	0.81	0.81	0.81	0.82	0.83	0.84	0.82	0.82
WY-2005-NM	0.78	0.79	0.75	0.77	0.80	0.78	0.79	0.78	0.78	0.79	0.80		0.78
WY-2006-CO	0.84	0.84	0.82	0.81	0.80	0.82	0.82	0.84	0.83	0.83	0.82	0.83	0.83
WY-2005-EPA	0.79	0.80	0.78	0.78	0.81	0.80	0.80	0.78	0.81	0.79	0.79	0.81	0.80
WY-2005-ARWY	0.79	0.80	0.79	0.78	0.81	0.80	0.80	0.79	0.80	0.78	0.79	0.81	0.80
WY-2004-ARWY	0.79	0.78	0.81	0.81	0.79	0.81	0.80	0.79	0.80	0.79	0.80	0.78	0.80
WY-2006-ARCO	0.78	0.79	0.77	0.78	0.76	0.77	0.75	0.78	0.75	0.76	0.76	0.76	0.77
WY-2005-ARCO	0.77	0.76	0.78	0.76	0.77	0.77	0.78	0.78	0.75	0.76	0.77	0.78	0.77
WY-2004-ARCO	0.77	0.76	0.78	0.78	0.77	0.78	0.77	0.75	0.78	0.78	0.75	0.76	0.77
WY-2006-ARWY	0.79	0.80	0.79	0.81	0.78	0.80	0.78	0.81	0.79	0.80	0.79	0.81	0.80

Table 4-10. Wind speed Index of Agreement MPE results by state for 12km annual MM5 simulations. All areas meet the 0.6 benchmark for thestatistic monthly and annually. Current study MPE results are shaded blue.

#### 5 REFERENCES

Baker, Kirk, and M. Johnson, et al. 2005. "Meteorological Modeling Performance Summary for Application to PM2.5/Haze/Ozone Modeling Projects", prepared by the Lake Michigan Air Directors Consortium, Des Plaines, IL.

CPC, 2008. NOAA CPC 0.25 x 0.25 Daily US UNIFIED Precipitation. CPC US Unified Precipitation data provided by the NOAA/OAR/ESRL PSD, Boulder, CO <u>www.cdc.noaa.gov/cdc/data.unified.html</u> or <u>www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/precip/realtime/retro.shtml</u>

Dudhia, J., 1993. "A Non-hydrostatic Version of the Penn State/NCAR Mesoscale Model: Validation Tests and Simulation of an Atlantic Cyclone and Cold Front", <u>Mon.</u> <u>Wea. Rev.</u>, Vol. 121. pp. 1493-1513.

Emery, C., et al., 2001. "Enhanced Meteorological Modeling and Performance Evaluation for Two Texas Ozone Episodes", prepared for the Texas Natural Resource Conservation Commission, prepared by ENVIRON International Corporation, Novato, CA.

Higgins, R. W., J. E. Janowiak, and Y.-P. Yao, 1996. A gridded hourly precipitation data base for the United States (1963-1993). NCEP/Climate Prediction Center Atlas 1, national Centers for Environmental Prediction, 46pp.

Grell, G. A., J. Dudhia, and D. R. Stauffer, 1994. "A Description of the Fifth Generation Penn State/NCAR Mesoscale Model (MM5). NCAR Tech. Note, NCAR TN-398-STR, 138 pp.

Kemball-Cook S., Y. Jia, et al. 2005. "Annual 2002 MM5 Meteorological Modeling to Support Regional Haze Modeling of the Western United States", Prepared for the Western Regional Air Partnership, prepared by ENVIRON International Corporation and University of California at Riverside.

McNally, D. E., and G. J. Schewe, 2006. "Evaluation of 36/12/4 km MM5 for Calendar Year 2003", "Evaluation of 36/12/4 km MM5 for Calendar Year 2004", "Evaluation of 36/12/4 km MM5 for Calendar Year 2005", prepared for the New Mexico Environment Department, prepared by Alpine Geophysics, LLC, Arvada, CO.

McNally, D. E., and G. J. Schewe, 2007. "Evaluation of 36 km MM5 for Calendar Year 2006 Over the continental United States", prepared for the ENSR Corporation, prepared by Alpine Geophysics, LLC, Arvada, CO.

McNally, D.E., G. J. Schewe, 2008. "Evaluation of Preliminary MM5 Meteorological Model Simulation for the June-July 2006 Denver Ozone SIP Modeling Period Focused on Colorado", prepared for the Regional Air Quality Council, prepared by Alpine Geophysics, LLC, Arvada, CO.
McNally, D. E., and T. W. Tesche, 1994. "MAPS2.3 User's Guide", Alpine Geophysics, LLC, Golden, CO.

McNally, D. E., and T W. Tesche, 2003. "Annual Application of MM5 to the Continental United States", prepared for the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, prepared by Alpine Geophysics, LLC, Arvada, CO.

McNally, D. E. and J. G. Wilkinson, 2008a. "Evaluation of 36/12 km MM5 for Calendar Year 2005 over the Continental and Western United States." Prepared for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, RTP, NC 27711 and UNC Institute for the Environment, Chapel Hill, NC 27599. Prepared under contract: Modeling Support for Source Sector Projects, Contract Number EP-D-07-102, Work Assignment 1-08. Prepared by Alpine Geophysics, LLC, Arvada, CO 80007. 30-September.

McNally, D. E. and J. G. Wilkinson, 2008b. "Evaluation of 36/12/4 km MM5 for Calendar Year 2005 over the Continental and Western United States with Emphasis in Southwestern Wyoming." Prepared for ARCADIS-US, Inc., Highlands Ranch, CO 80129. Prepared by Alpine Geophysics, LLC, Arvada, CO 80007. December.

McNally, D. E. and J. G. Wilkinson, 2008c. "Evaluation of 36/12/4 km MM5 for Calendar Year 2004 over the Continental and Western United States with Emphasis in Southwestern Wyoming." Prepared for ARCADIS-US, Inc., Highlands Ranch, CO 80129. Prepared by Alpine Geophysics, LLC, Arvada, CO 80007. December.

McNally, D. E. and J. G. Wilkinson, 2008d. "Evaluation of 36/12/4 km MM5 for Calendar Year 2006 over the Continental and Western United States with Emphasis in Western Colorado." Prepared for ARCADIS-US, Inc., Highlands Ranch, CO 80129. Prepared by Alpine Geophysics, LLC, Arvada, CO 80007. December.

McNally, D. E. and J. G. Wilkinson, 2008e. "Evaluation of 36/12/4 km MM5 for Calendar Year 2005 over the Continental and Western United States with Emphasis in Western Colorado." Prepared for ARCADIS-US, Inc., Highlands Ranch, CO 80129. Prepared by Alpine Geophysics, LLC, Arvada, CO 80007. December.

McNally, D. E. and J. G. Wilkinson, 2008f. "Evaluation of 36/12/4 km MM5 for Calendar Year 2004 over the Continental and Western United States with Emphasis in Western Colorado." Prepared for ARCADIS-US, Inc., Highlands Ranch, CO 80129. Prepared by Alpine Geophysics, LLC, Arvada, CO 80007. December.

Olerud Don, and A. Sims, 2004, "MM5 2002 Modeling in Support of VISTAS (Visibitility Improvement – State and Tribal Association of the Southeast", prepared for the VISTAS Technical Analysis Workgroup, prepared by Baron Advanced Meteorological Systems, LLC, Raleigh, NC. UCAR, 2008a. ds609.2 Home Page. GCIP NCEP Eta model output. CISL Research Data Archive. dss.ucar.edu/datasets/ds609.2/

UCAR, 2008b. ds472.0 Home Page. TDL U.S. and Canada Surface Hourly Observations, daily 1976Dec-cont. CISL Research Data Archive. dss.ucar.edu/datasets/ds472.0/

Vincent, Lucie A., W. Wijngaarden, R. Hopkinson, 2007. Surface Temperature and Humidity Trends in Canada for 1953-2005. *J. of Climate*, 20, 5100-5113.

Wilkinson, J.G. and D. E. McNally, 2008. "Evaluation of 36/12 km MM5 and WRF for February and August 2005 over the Continental and Western United States." Prepared for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, RTP, NC 27711 and UNC Institute for the Environment, Chapel Hill, NC 27599. Prepared under contract: Modeling Support for Source Sector Projects, Contract Number EP-D-07-102, Work Assignment 1-08. Prepared by Alpine Geophysics, LLC, Arvada, CO 80007. 30-September.

# Attachment B List of Surface and Upper Air Monitoring Stations used for CALMET

Table B-1. List of Surface Meteorological Stations in CALPUFF Domain

6901509312172004699999	
720046 99999	TWENTYNINE PALMS
	NEWHALL
720165 99999	<b>BIG BEAR CITY</b>
720333 99999	CORONA MUNI
722868 93138	PALM SPRINGS INTL
722869 99999	RIVERSIDE MUNI
722874 99999	LA USC DOWNTOWN CAM
722880 03171	BURBANK/GLENDALE
722885 93134	SANTA MONICA MUNI
722886 23152	VAN NUYS
722887 93197	BRACKETT FLD
722890 99999	MOUNT WILSON
722899 23130	CHINO
722904 999999	BROWN FLD MUNI
722906 03180	NORTH ISLAND NAS
722907 93136	GILLESPIE FLD
722920 99999	AVALON/CATALINA
722925 03179	SAN CLEMENTE ISLAND
722926 99999	CAMP PENDLETON MCAS
722927 93112	MC CLELLAN PALOMAR
722934 53143	OCEANSIDE MUNI
722950 99999	LOS ANGELES INTL
722955 23191	ZAMPERINI FLD
722956 93117	JACK NORTHROP FLD H
722970 03154	LONG BEACH/LB AIRP.
722975 99999	LOS ALAMITOS AAF
722976 03177	FULLERTON MUNICIPAL
72292099999722925031797229269999972292793112722934531437229509999972295523191722956931177229700315472297599999	AVALON/CATALINA SAN CLEMENTE ISLAND CAMP PENDLETON MCAS MC CLELLAN PALOMAR OCEANSIDE MUNI LOS ANGELES INTL ZAMPERINI FLD JACK NORTHROP FLD H LONG BEACH/LB AIRP. LOS ALAMITOS AAF

Station Name	ion Name USAF (ISH/NWS) or AIRS ( SCAQMD )		Latitude	Longitude	Station ID (USAF-WBAN for ISH/NWS and AIRS-ARB for SCAQMD data)	Data Source	Years Available	
JOHN WAYNE ARPT ORA	722977	99999	33.68	-117.866	722977-99999	ISH/NWS	2006-2008	
EDWARDS AF AUX NORTH	723171	99999	34.983	-117.867	723171-99999	ISH/NWS	2006-2008	
PALMDALE PRODUCTION	723820	03174	34.629	-118.084	723820-03174	ISH/NWS	2006-2008	
SANDBURG (AUT)	723830	99999	34.744	-118.724	723830-99999	ISH/NWS	2006-2008	
SANTA BARBARA MUNI	723925	03167	34.426	119.843	723925-03167	ISH/NWS	2006-2008	
OXNARD AIRPORT	723927	23129	34.201	119.206	723927-23129	ISH/NWS	2006-2008	
RAMONA	745056	99999	33.038	-116.916	745056-99999	ISH/NWS	2008	
RAMONA	745056	53141	33.039	-116.915	745056-53141	ISH/NWS	2006-2007	
WHITEMAN	745057	99999	34.267	-118.417	745057-99999	ISH/NWS	2006-2008	
EL MONTE	747043	93184	34.086	-118.035	747043-93184	ISH/NWS	2006-2008	
CAMPO	747186	99999	32.633	-116.467	747186-99999	ISH/NWS	2006-2008	
SAN DIEGO	994027	53144	32.717	-117.167	994027-53144	ISH/NWS	2006-2008	
SANTA MONICA	994028	99999	34.008	-118.5	994028-99999	ISH/NWS	2006-2008	
LOS ANGELES	994035	03159	33.717	-118.267	994035-03159	ISH/NWS	2006-2008	
TIJUANA RIVER RESERV	998013	23182	32.573	-117.127	998013-23182	ISH/NWS	2008	
ANAHEIM	60590007	30178	33.829	-117.939	60590007-30178	SCAQMD	2006-2008	
AZUSA	60370002	70060	34.135	-117.924	60370002-70060	SCAQMD	2008	
BANNING AIRPORT	60650012	33164	33.919	-116.858	60650012-33164	SCAQMD	2008	
BURBANK	60371002	70069	34.174	-118.317	60371002-70069	SCAQMD	2008	
CENTRAL LA	60710025	70087	34.065	-118.227	60710025-70087	SCAQMD	2006-2007	
COSTA MESA	60591003	30195	33.672	-117.926	60591003-30195	SCAQMD	2007-2008	
CRESTLINE	60710005	36181	34.240	-117.276	60710005-36181	SCAQMD	2006-2008	
FONTANA	60712002	36197	34.099	-117.492	60712002-36197	SCAQMD	2008	
INDIO	60652002	33157	33.707	-116.216	60652002-33157	SCAQMD	2007-2008	
LA HABRA	60595001	30177	33.923	-117.952	60595001-30177	SCAQMD	2008	
LAKE ELSINORE	60659001	33158	33.675	-117.331	60659001-33158	SCAQMD	2008	
LAX	60375005	70111	33.952	-118.430	60375005-70111	SCAQMD	2007-2008	
LONG BEACH	60374002	70072	33.822	-118.189	60374002-70072	SCAQMD	2007-2008	
MISSION VIEJO	60592022	30002	33.628	-117.675	60592022-30002	SCAQMD	2007-2008	

Station Name	USAF (ISH/NWS) or AIRS ( SCAQMD )	WBAN (ISH/NWS) or ARB (SCAQMD)	Latitude	Longitude	Station ID (USAF-WBAN for ISH/NWS and AIRS-ARB for SCAQMD data)	Data Source	Years Available
PALM SPRINGS	60655001	33137	33.851	-116.541	60655001-33137	SCAQMD	2008
PERRIS	60656001	33149	33.787	-117.228	60656001-33149	SCAQMD	2007-2008
PICO RIVERA	60371602	70185	34.008	-118.069	60371602-70185	SCAQMD	2008
POMONA	60371701	70075	34.065	-117.750	60371701-70075	SCAQMD	2008
REDLANDS	60714003	36204	34.057	-117.148	60714003-36204	SCAQMD	2007-2008
RESEDA	60371201	70074	34.197	-118.533	60371201-70074	SCAQMD	2008
RIVERSIDE	60658001	33144	33.999	-117.415	60658001-33144	SCAQMD	2007-2008
SAB BERNADINO	60719004	36203	34.105	-117.274	60719004-36203	SCAQMD	2007-2008
SANTA CLARA	60376012	70090	34.382	-118.528	60376012-70090	SCAQMD	2006-2008
UPLAND	60711004	36175	34.102	-117.629	60711004-36175	SCAQMD	2008
WEST LA	60370113	70091	34.049	-118.457	60370113-70091	SCAQMD	2006, 2008

#### Table B-2. Upper Air Meteorological Station in CALPUFF Domain

Station Name	USAF	WBAN	Lat	Long	Station ID (USAF-WBAN)	Data Source	Years Available
MIRMAR	722930	03190	32.87	117.15	722930-03190	NOAA/ESRL	2005-2008

## Attachment C Sample CALPUFF Input File

CALPUFF Demonstration Run ------ Run title (3 lines) -----CALPUFF MODEL CONTROL FILE INPUT GROUP: 0 -- Input and Output File Names Default Name Type File Name \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ CALMET. DAT input ! METDAT =CMET. DAT or I SCMET. DAT \* I SCDAT = i nput or PLMMET. DAT i nput \* PLMDAT = or PROFILE. DAT SURFACE. DAT \* PRFDAT = i nput \* SFCDAT = input RESTARTB. DAT i nput ! RSTARTB=CPUF.out! CALPUFF. LST ! PUFLST =CPUF.LST ! output ! CONDAT =CPUF. CON CONC. DAT output ļ =CPUF.DRY =CPUF.WET ! DFDAT DFLX. DAT output L WFLX. DAT output ! WFDAT i ! VI SDAT = CPUF. VI S VI SB. DAT output i \* T2DDAT = TK2D. DAT output \* RHODAT = RH02D. DAT output RESTARTE. DAT output ! RSTARTE= CPUF. rst . I. - - - - - - - - - -Emission Files \* PTDAT = \* PTEMARB. DAT i nput \* VOLDAT = VOLEMARB. DAT i nput \* \* \* ARDAT BAEMARB. DAT input = = \* LNDAT \* LNEMARB. DAT i nput \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ . . . . . . . . . . . . . Other Files . . . . . . . . . . . . OZONE. DAT ! OZDAT =OZONE. DAT i input \* VDDAT = VD. DAT input \* CHEMDAT= CHEM. DAT i nput \* H202DAT= H202. DAT i nput \* HI LDAT= HILL. DAT i nput \* RCTDAT= HI LLRCT. DAT input \* CSTDAT= COASTLN. DAT input \* BDYDAT= i nput FLUXBDY. DAT \* BCNDAT= BCON. DAT input \* DEBUG = DEBUG. DAT output MASSFLX. DAT \* FLXDAT= output MASSBAL. DAT \* BALDAT= output \* FOGDAT= FOG. DAT output \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ All file names will be converted to lower case if LCFILES = T Otherwise, if LCFILES = F, file names will be converted to UPPER CASE T = lower case ! LCFILES = F !F = UPPER CASENOTE: (1) file/path names can be up to 70 characters in length Page 1

Provision for multiple input files

Number of CALMET.DAT files for run (NMETDAT) Default: 1	! NMETDAT = 12 !
Number of PTEMARB.DAT files for run (NPTDAT) Default: 0	! NPTDAT = 0 !
Number of BAEMARB.DAT files for run (NARDAT) Default: 0	! NARDAT = 0 !
Number of VOLEMARB.DAT files for run (NVOLDAT) Default: 0	! NVOLDAT = O !

! END!

Subgroup (0a)

The following CALMET. DAT filenames are processed in sequence if NMETDAT>1

Default Name none none none none none none none no	i nput ! i nput !	File N METDAT= METDAT= METDAT= METDAT= METDAT= METDAT= METDAT= METDAT= METDAT= METDAT= METDAT= METDAT= METDAT=	ame  ~\CALMET\cal puff ~\CALMET\cal puff	feb08.met   mar08.met   apr08.met   jun08.met   jul08.met   aug08.met   sep08.met   oct08.met	! END! ! END! ! END! ! END! ! END! ! END!
Option to in the me METR	- run all pe t. file UN = 0 - Ru	riods foun (METRUN) n period e	rol parameters d Default: O xplicitly defined ods in met. file		0 !
Starting (used on METRUN	lyif Mon =0) Da	th (IBMO) ay (IBDY)		!   BYR = !   BMO = !   BDY = !   BHR =	2008 ! 1 ! 1 ! 0 !
			END of the first of a day, runs f		
	e zone is the num the time to	ber of hou	rs that must be	! XBTZ = 8	3.0!

APPENDI X\_C Examples: PST = 8., MST = 7.CST = 6., EST = 5.Length of run (hours) (IRLG) -- No default ! I RLG = 8784 ! Number of chemical species (NSPEC) Default: 5 ! NSPEC = 9 \_\_\_! Number of chemical species Default: 3 ! NSE = 7 ! to be emitted (NSE) Flag to stop run after SETUP phase (ITEST) (Used to allow checking Default: 2 ! | TEST = 2 1 of the model inputs, files, etc.) ITEST = 1 - STOPS program after SETUP phase ITEST = 2 - Continues with execution of program after SETUP Restart Configuration: Control flag (MRESTART) Default: 0 ! MRESTART = 0 - I 0 = Do not read or write a restart file 1 = Read a restart file at the beginning of the run 2 = Write a restart file during run 3 = Read a restart file at beginning of run and write a restart file during run Number of periods in Restart ! NRESPD = 0 !output cycle (NRESPD) Default: 0 0 = File written only at last period >0 = File updated every NRESPD periods Meteorological Data Format (METFM) ! METFM = 1Default: 1 1 METFM = 1 - CALMET binary file (CALMET.MET) METFM = 2 - ISC ASCII file (ISCMET.MET) METFM = 3 - AUSPLUME ASCII file (PLMMET.MET) METFM = 4 - CTDM plus tower file (PROFILE.DAT) and surface parameters file (SURFACE DAT) METFM = 5 - AERMET tower file (PROFILE.DAT) and surface parameters file (SURFACE.DAT) Meteorological Profile Data Format (MPRFFM) (used only for METFM = 1, 2, 3) Default: 1 ! MPRFFM = 1 !MPRFFM = 1 - CTDM plus tower file (PROFILE.DAT) MPRFFM = 2 - AERMET tower file (PROFILE.DAT) PG sigma-y is adjusted by the factor (AVET/PGTIME)\*\*0.2 Averaging Time (minutes) (AVET) Default: 60.0 ! AVET = 60. ! PG Averaging Time (minutes) (PGTIME) Default: 60.0 ! PGTIME = 60. !

\_\_\_\_\_ INPUT GROUP: 2 -- Technical options Vertical distribution used in the Default: 1 ! MGAUSS = 1 ! near field (MGAUSS) 0 = uni form1 = Gaussian Terrain adjustment method Default: 3 ! MCTADJ = 3(MCTADJ) \_\_\_! 0 = no adjustment1 = ISC-type of terrain adjustment 2 = simple, CALPUFF-type of terrain adj ustment 3 = partial plume path adjustment Subgrid-scale complex terrain flağ (MCTSG) Default: 0 ! MCTSG = 0\_\_\_! 0 = not modeled1 = model edNear-field puffs modeled as Default: 0 ! MSLUG = 0 elongated slugs? (MSLUG) \_\_\_! 0 = no1 = yes (slug model used) Transitional plume rise modeled? ! MTRANS = 1 ! (MTRANS) Default: 1 0 = no (i.e., final rise only) 1 = yes (i.e., transitional rise computed) Stack tip downwash? (MTIP) Default: 1 ! MTIP = 1 ! 0 = no (i.e., no stack tip downwash) 1 = yes (i.e., use stack tip downwash) Method used to simulate building downwash? (MBDW) 1 = ISC method Default: 1 ! MBDW = 1 ! 2 = PRIME method Vertical wind shear modeled above stack top? (MSHEAR) Default: 0 ! MSHEAR = 0 !0 = no (i.e., vertical wind shear not modeled) 1 = yes (i.e., vertical wind shear modeled) Puff splitting allowed? (MSPLIT) Default: 0 ! MSPLIT = 0 !0 = no (i.e., puffs not split) 1 = yes (i.e., puffs are split) Chemical mechanism flag (MCHEM) Default: 1 ! MCHEM = 1 - I 0 = chemical transformation not model ed 1 = transformation rates computed internally (MESOPUFF II scheme) 2 = user-specified transformation rates used 3 = transformation rates computed internally (RIVAD/ARM3 scheme) 4 = secondary organic aerosol formation Page 4

APPENDIX C computed (MESOPUFF II scheme for OH) Aqueous phase transformation flag (MAQCHEM) (Used only if MCHEM = 1, or 3) Defau Default: 0 ! MAQCHEM = 0 1 0 = aqueous phase transformation not modeled 1 = transformation rates adjusted for aqueous phase reactions Wet removal modeled ? (MWET) Default: 1 ! MWET = 1İ 0 = no1 = yesDefault: 1 ! MDRY = 1 Dry deposition modeled ? (MDRY) İ 0 = no1 = yes(dry deposition method specified for each species in Input Group 3) Gravitational settling (plume tilt) modeled ? (MTILT) Default: 0 ! MTILT = 0ļ 0 = no1 = yes(puff center falls at the gravitational settling velocity for 1 particle species) Restrictions: - MDRY = 1 - NSPEC = 1 (must be particle species as well) GEOMETRIC STANDARD DEVIATION in Group 8 is - sq = 0 set to zero for a single particle diameter Method used to compute dispersion coefficients (MDISP) Default: 3 ! MDISP = 3 Т 1 = dispersion coefficients computed from measured values of turbulence, sigma v, sigma w dispersion coefficients from internally calculated 2 = signa v, sigma w using micrometeorological variables (u\*, w\*, L, etc.) 3 = PG dispersion coefficients for RURAL areas (computed using the ISCST multi-segment approximation) and MP coefficients in urban areas 4 = same as 3 except PG coefficients computed using the MESOPUFF II eqns. 5 = CTDM sigmas used for stable and neutral conditions. For unstable conditions, sigmas are computed as in MDISP = 3, described above. MDISP = 5 assumes that MDISP = 3, described above. measured values are read Sigma-v/sigma-theta, sigma-w\_measurements used? (MTURBVW) (Used only if MDISP = 1 or 5) Default: 3 ! MTURBVW = 3 !1 = use sigma-v or sigma-theta measurements from PROFILE.DAT to compute sigma-y (valid for METFM = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)2 = use sigma-w measurements from PROFILE.DAT to compute sigma-z (valid for METFM = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) 3 = use both sigma-(v/theta) and sigma-w from PROFILE.DAT to compute sigma-y and sigma-z (valid for METFM = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5)4 = use sigma-theta measurements Page 5

APPENDIX C from PLMMET. DAT to compute sigma-y (valid only if METFM = 3)Back-up method used to compute dispersion when measured turbulence data are missing (MDISP2) Default: 3 ! MDI SP2 = 3 !(used only if MDISP = 1 or 5) 2 = dispersion coefficients from internally calculated sigma v, sigma w using micrometeorological variables (u<sup>×</sup>, w<sup>\*</sup>, L, etc.) 3 = PG dispersion coefficients for RURAL areas (computed using the ISCST multi-segment approximation) and MP coefficients in urban areas 4 = same as 3 except PG coefficients computed using the MESOPUFF II eqns. [DIAGNOSTIC FEATURE] Method used for Lagrangian timescale for Sigma-y (used only if MDISP=1, 2 or MDISP2=1, 2) Default: 0 ! MTAULY = 0 !(MTAULY) 0 = Draxler default 617.284 (s) 1 = Computed as Lag. Length / (.75 q) -- after SCIPUFF 10 < Direct user input (s) -- e. q., 306.9 [DIAGNOSTIC FEATURE] Method used for Advective-Decay timescale for Turbulence (used only if MDISP=2 or MDISP2=2) Default: 0 (MTAUADV) ! MTAUADV = 0 !0 = No turbulence advection 1 = Computed (OPTION NOT IMPLEMENTED) 10 < Direct user input (s) -- e.g., 300 Method used to compute turbulence sigma-v & sigma-w using micrometeorological variables (Used only if MDISP = 2 or MDISP2 = 2) Default: 1 ! MCTURB = 1 !(MCTURB) 1 = Standard CALPUFF subroutines 2 = AERMOD subroutines PG sigma-y, z adj. for roughness? Default: 0 ! MROUGH = 0 !(MROUĞH) 0 = no1 = yesPartial plume penetration of Default: 1 ! MPARTL = 1 !el evated i nversi on? (MPARTL) 0 = no1 = yesStrength of temperature inversion Default: 0 ! MTINV = 0 !provided in PROFILE. DAT extended records? (MTINV) 0 = no (computed from measured/default gradients) 1 = yesPDF used for dispersion under convective conditions? Default: 0 ! MPDF = 0 ! (MPDF) 0 = no1 = yesPage 6

#### APPENDIX C

Sub-Grid TIBL module used for shore line? Default: 0 ! MSGTIBL = 0 ! (MSGTI BL) 0 = no1 = yesBoundary conditions (concentration) modeled? ! MBCON = 0 !Default: 0 (MBCON) 0 = no1 = yes, using formatted BCON.DAT file 2 = yes, using unformatted CONC.DAT file MBCON > 0 requires that the last species modeled Note: be 'BCON'. Mass is placed in species BCON when generating boundary condition puffs so that clean air entering the modeling domain can be simulated in the same way as polluted air. Specify zero emission of species BCON for all regular sources. Individual source contributions saved? Default: 0 ! MSOURCE = 0 ! (MSOURCE) 0 = no 1 = yesAnalyses of fogging and icing impacts due to emissions from arrays of mechanically-forced cooling towers can be performed using CALPUFF in conjunction with a cooling tower emissions processor (CTEMISS) and its associated postprocessors. Hourly emissions of water vapor and temperature from each cooling tower cell are computed for the current cell configuration and ambient conditions by CTEMISS. CALPUFF models the dispersion of these emissions and provides cloud information in a specialized format for further analysis. Output to FOG DAT is provided in either 'plume mode' or 'receptor'mode' format. Configure for FOG Model output? Default: 0 ! MFOG = 0 ! (MFOG) 0 = no1 = yes - report results in PLUME Mode format 2 = yes - report results in RECEPTOR Mode format Test options specified to see if they conform to regulatory values? (MREG) Default: 1 ! MREG = 1 ! 0 = NO checks are made 1 = Technical options must conform to USEPA Long Range Transport (LRT) guidance METFM 1 or 2 60. (min) 60. (min) AVET PGTI ME MGAUSS 1 MCTADJ 3 **MTRANS** 1 MTI P 1 MCHEM 1 or 3 (if modeling SOx, NOx) MWET 1

Page 7

	APPENDIA
MDRY	1
MDI SP	2 or 3
MPDF	0 if MDISP=3
	1 if MDISP=2
MROUGH	0
MPARTI	1
SYTDEP	550. (m)
MHFTSZ	0
SVMLN	0.5 (m/s)
0.000	0.0 (11/0)

! END!

INPUT GROUP: 3a, 3b -- Species list

Subgroup (3a)

-----

The following species are modeled:

! CSPEC = ! CSPEC =	SO2 ! SO4 ! NOX ! HNO3! NO3 ! PMC ! SOA ! PMF ! EC !	! END! ! END! ! END! ! END! ! END! ! END! ! END! ! END! ! END!	Dry	Ουτρυτ
GROUP			5	
SPECIES NAME (Limit: 12	MODELED (O=NO, 1=YES)	EMI TTED (0=N0, 1=YES)	DEPOSI TED (O=NO, 1=COMPUTED-GAS	NUMBER (O=NONE, 1=1st
CGRUP, Characters			2=COMPUTED-PARTI CLE	2=2nd
CGRUP, in length)			3=USER-SPECI FI ED)	3= etc.)
! SO2 = ! SO4 = ! NOX = ! HNO3 = ! NO3 = ! PMC = ! SOA = ! PMF =	1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1,	1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2,	0 ! 0 ! 0 ! 0 ! 0 ! 0 ! 0 ! 0 !
! EC =	1,	1,	2,	0!

! END!

Note: The last species in (3a) must be 'BCON' when using the boundary condition option (MBCON > 0). Species BCON should typically be modeled as inert (no chem transformation or removal).

. . . . . . . . . . . . .

Subgroup (3b) The following names are used for Species-Groups in which results for certain species are combined (added) prior to output. The CGRUP name will be used as the species name in output files. Use this feature to model specific particle-size distributions by treating each size-range as a separate species. Order must be consistent with 3(a) above. \_\_\_\_\_ INPUT GROUP: 4 -- Map Projection and Grid control parameters Projecti on \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ Map projection for all X, Y (km) ! PMAP = LCC !(PMAP)Default: UTM UTM : Universal Transverse Mercator TTM : Tangential Transverse Mercator LCC : Lambert Conformal Conic PS : Polar Stereographic EM : Equatorial Mercator Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area LAZA: False Easting and Northing (km) at the projection origin (Used only if PMAP= TTM, LCC, or LAZA) Defaul t=0.0 (FEAST) ! FEAST = 0.0 !(FNORTH) ! FNORTH = 0.0 !Default=0.0 UTM zone (1 to 60) (Used only if PMAP=UTM) (IUTMZN) No Default ! | UTMZN = 11 !Hemisphere for UTM projection? (Used only if PMAP=UTM) (UTMHEM) Default: N ! UTMHEM = N !Northern hemisphere projection Ν : S Southern hemisphere projection Latitude and Longitude (decimal degrees) of projection origin (Used only if PMĂP= TTM, LCC, PS, ĔM, or LAZA) (RLATO) No Default ! R ! ŔLATO = 34.06179N ! (RLONO) No Default ! RLONO = 117.8192W ! RLONO identifies central (true N/S) meridian of projection TTM : RLATO selected for convenience LCC : RLONO identifies central (true N/S) meridian of projection RLATO selected for convenience RLONO identifies central (grid N/S) meridian of projection PS : RLATO selected for convenience RLONO identifies central meridian of projection EM : RLATO is REPLACED by O. ON (Equator) LAZA: RLONO identifies longitude of tangent-point of mapping plane RLATO identifies latitude of tangent-point of mapping plane Matching parallel(s) of latitude (decimal degrees) for projection

Page 9

APPENDIX C (Used only if PMAP= LCC or PS) No Defaul t (RLAT1) ! RLAT1 = 33. ON ! ! RLAT2 = 35. ON ! (RLAT2) No Default Projection cone slices through Earth's surface at RLAT1 and RLAT2 LCC : Projection plane slices through Earth at RLAT1 PS : (RLAT2 is not used) Note: Latitudes and longitudes should be positive, and include a letter N, S, E, or W indicating north or south latitude, and east or west longitude. For example, 35.9 N Latitude = 35.9N 118.7 E Longitude = 118.7E Output Datum-Region The Datum-Region for the output coordinates is identified by a character string. Many mapping products currently available use the model of the Earth known as the World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS-84). Other local models may be in use, and their selection in TERREL will make its output consistent with local mapping products. The list of Datum-Regions with official transformation parameters is provided by the National Imagery and and Mapping Agency (NIMA). Datum-region for output coordinates (DATUM) Default: WGS-84 ! DATUM = WGS-84 ! Grid \_ \_ \_ \_ Reference coordinates X, Y (km) assigned to the southwest corner of grid cell (1,1) (lower left corner of grid) No Default (XRĔFKM) ! XREFKM = -78 ! (YREFKM) No Default ! YREFKM = -84 ! Cartesian grid definition No. X grid cells (NX) No. Y grid cells (NY) No default ! NX = 39 ! No default ! NY = 42 ! Grid Spacing (DGRÌDKM) No default ! DGRIDKM = 4 !in kilometers ! END! INPUT GROUP: 5 -- Output Options \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ DEFAULT VALUE VALUE THIS RUN FILE \_\_\_\_\_ Concentrations (ICON) 1 ICON = 1T Dry Fluxes (IDRY) Wet Fluxes (IWET) 1 IDRY = 1Į. ļ Į. 1 IWET = 1ļ

Page 10

APPENDIX C 2D Temperature (IT2D) 0 I |T2D = 0ļ I RHO = O2D Density (IRHO) 0 L ļ Relative Humidity (IVIS) (relative humidity file is |V|S =1 Į. 1 Į. required for visibility anal ysi s) Use data compression option in output file? (LCOMPRS) Default: T ! LCOMPRS = T !0 = Do not create file, 1 = create file QA PLOT FILE OUTPUT OPTION: Create a standard series of output files (e.g. locations of sources, receptors, grids ...) suitable for plotting? (IQAPLOT) Default: 1 ! IQAPLOT = 1 !0 = no1 = yesDIAGNOSTIC MASS FLUX OUTPUT OPTIONS: Mass flux across specified boundaries for selected species reported? (IMFLX) ! IMFLX = 0 !Default: 0 0 = no1 = yes (FLUXBDY. DAT and MASSFLX. DAT filenames are specified in Input Group 0) Mass balance for each species reported? (IMBAL) Default: 0 ! IMBAL = 0 !0 = no1 = yes (MASSBAL. DAT filename is specified in Input Group 0) LINE PRINTER OUTPUT OPTIONS: Print concentrations (ICPRT) Default: 0 ! | CPRT =I 1 Print dry fluxes (IDPRT) Default: 0 ! IDPRT = Т 0 Print wet fluxes (IWPRT) Default: 0 ! IWPRT = 0 I (0 = Do not print, 1 = Print)Concentration print interval (ICFRQ) in timesteps Default: 1 ! | CFRQ =1 i Dry flux print interval (IDFRQ) in timesteps Default: 1 ! IDFRQ = 1 İ Wet flux print interval (IWFRQ) in timesteps Default: 1 ! IWFRQ = 1 Ţ Units for Line Printer Output (IPRTU) ! | PRTU = 3 Default: 1 ļ for for Deposition g/m\*\*2/s mg/m\*\*2/s ug/m\*\*2/s ng/m\*\*2/s Concentration g/m\*\*3 mg/m\*\*3 1 = 2 = ug/m\*\*3 ng/m\*\*3 3 = 4 = Odour Units 5 =

	APPENDI X_C					
Messages tracking progress o	f run					
written to the screen ?						
(IMESG)	Default: 2	i	IMESG =	2	ļ	
0 = no						
1 = yes (advection step, p	uff ID)					
2 = yes (YYYYJJJHH, # old	puffs, # emitted put	ffs)				

SPECI	ES (or	GROUP for	combined species	s) LIST FOR	OUTPUT OPTIONS	
FLUXES SPECIES		CONCE MASS FLU	ENTRATIONS JX	DR	Y FLUXES	WET
GROUP SAVED ON I		PRINTED? SAVED ON E	SAVED ON DISK? DISK?	PRI NTED?	SAVED ON DISK?	PRI NTED?
 ! 1.	S02 =	0,	1,	0,	1,	О,
!	S04 =	· 0,	1,	О,	1,	О,
1, !	NOX =	! 0,	1,	О,	1,	0,
1, !	HNO3=	! 0,	1,	О,	1,	0,
1, !	0 NO3_=	! 0,	1,	О,	1,	0,
1, !	PMC_=	! 0,	1,	О,	1,	0,
1, !	0 SOA_=	! 0,	1,	О,	1,	0,
1, !	PMF	! = 0,	1,	О,	1,	0,
1, ! 1,	EC 0	! = 0, !	1,	0,	1,	Ο,

Note: Species BCON (for MBCON > 0) does not need to be saved on disk.

OPTIONS FOR PRINTING "DEBUG" QUANTITIES (much output)

Logical for debug output (LDEBUG)	Default: F	! LDEBUG = F !
First puff to track (IPFDEB)	Default: 1	! IPFDEB = 1 !
Number of puffs to track (NPFDEB)	Default: 1	! NPFDEB = 1 !
Met. period to start output (NN1)	Default: 1	! NN1 = 1 !
Met. period to end output (NN2)	Default: 10	! NN2 = 10 !

#### ! END!

\_\_\_\_\_

INPUT GROUP: 6a, 6b, & 6c -- Subgrid scale complex terrain inputs Page 12

	Number	of terrain	n features	(NHI LL)		Default: 0		! NHI	LL = 0	ļ
		of special ors (NCTR	complex T EC)	terrai n		Default: 0		! NCT	REC = 0	ļ
	CTSG hi (MHILL)	lls input	Receptor o in CTDM fo	ormat ?		No Default		! MHI	LL = 2	ļ
	by HIL 2 = Hil inp	CTDM proce L.DAT and I data cre out below i	eptor data essors & re HILLRCT.D/ eated by OP n Subgroup a in Subgroup	ead from AT files PTHILL & p (6b);						
		to converters (MHILL		al dimen	si ons	Default: 1	. 0	! XHI	LL2M = 1.	0 !
		to converters (MHLL	t vertical =1)	dimensi	ons	Defaul t: 1	. 0	! ZHI	LL2M = 1.	0 !
	X-origi CALPUFF	n of CTDM coordina	system rel te system,	ative t in Kilo	o meters	No Default (MHILL=1)		! ХСТ	DMKM = O	ļ
	Y-origi CALPUFF	n of CTDM coordinat	system rel te system,	ative t in Kilo	o meters	No Default (MHILL=1)		I YCT	DMKM = 0	ļ
! END	İ									
Subgro	oup (6b)									
Н	IILL info	1 ormation	* *							
HILL 1 S	SCALE 2	XC AMAX1	YC AMAX2	ТНЕТАН	ZGRI D	RELI EF	EXP0	1	EXPO 2	SCALE
NO.	(m)	(km) (m)	(km) (m)	(deg.)	(m)	(m)	(m)		(m)	(m)

Subgroup (6c)

-----

-----

COMPLEX TERRAIN RECEPTOR INFORMATION

XRCT	YRCT	ZRCT	XHH
(km)	(km) 	(m)	

1

Description of Complex Terrain Variables: Page 13

			APPENDI X_C		
	XC, YC THETAH	= Orientation	of center of hil of major axis of	 f hill (clockwis	e from
	ZGRI D	North) = Height of t level	he O of the gri	d above mean se	а
	EXPO 1 EXPO 2 SCALE 1 SCALE 2	= Height of t = Hill-shape = Hill-shape = Horizontal = Horizontal = Maximum all	he crest of the h exponent for the exponent for the length scale alor length scale alor owed axis length owed axis length	major axis major axis ng the major axi ng the minor axi for the major a	s s xi s
	XRCT, Y ZRCT	= Height of t	tes of the comple he ground (MSL) a	ex terrain recep at the complex t	tors errai n
	ХНН	Receptor = Hill number (NOTE: MUST	associated with BE ENTERED AS A	each complex te REAL NUMBER)	rrain receptor
	i nput	subgroup and t	nd CTSG receptor herefore must end	d with an input	group terminator.
INPLIT GRO	UD, 7	<u>.</u>			
	UP. 7	Chemical para	meters for dry de	eposition of gas	es
SPF	CLES	DI FFUSI VI TY	ALPHA STAR		
SPE RESI STANC NA	CLES	DIFFUSIVITY NRY'S LAW COEF (cm**2/s)	ALPHA STAR		
SPE RESI STANC NA	CIES E HE ME	DIFFUSIVITY NRY'S LAW COEF (cm**2/s)	ALPHA STAR		MESOPHYLL
SPE RESI STANC NA	CIES E HE ME (dimensi  SO2 =	DIFFUSIVITY NRY'S LAW COEF (cm**2/s) onless)  . 1509,	ALPHA STAR FICIENT		MESOPHYLL
SPE RESI STANC NA	CIES E HE (dimensi  SO2 = .04 ! NO =	DI FFUSI VI TY SNRY' S LAW COEF (cm**2/s) onl ess)  . 1509, . 1345,	ALPHA STAR FICIENT	REACTI VI TY	MESOPHYLL (s/cm)
SPE RESI STANC NA  !	CIES E HE (dimensi  SO2 = .04 ! NO = 18.0 ! NO2 =	DI FFUSI VI TY SNRY' S LAW COEF (cm**2/s) onl ess)  . 1509, . 1345,	ALPHA STAR FICIENT 	REACTI VI TY	MESOPHYLL (s/cm) .0,
SPE RESI STANC NA  ! ! ! !	CIES E HE (dimensi  SO2 = .04 ! NO = 18.0 !	DI FFUSI VI TY SNRY' S LAW COEF (cm**2/s) onl ess)  . 1509, . 1345,	ALPHA STAR FICIENT  1000. 0, 1. 0,	REACTI VI TY 	MESOPHYLL (s/cm) .0, 25.0,
SPE RESI STANC NA  ! ! ! !	CIES E HE (dimensi  SO2 = .04 ! NO = 18.0 ! NO2 = 3.5 ! HNO3 =	DI FFUSI VI TY SNRY' S LAW COEF (cm**2/s) onl ess)  . 1509, . 1345, . 1656,	ALPHA STAR FICIENT 1000.0, 1.0, 1.0,	REACTI VI TY 	MESOPHYLL (s/cm) .0, 25.0, 5.0,
SPE RESI STANC NA  ! ! ! ! ! ! . 00	CIES E HE (dimensi  SO2 = .04 ! NO = 18.0 ! NO2 = 3.5 ! HNO3 =	DI FFUSI VI TY SNRY' S LAW COEF (cm**2/s) onl ess)  . 1509, . 1345, . 1656,	ALPHA STAR FICIENT 1000.0, 1.0, 1.0,	REACTI VI TY 	MESOPHYLL (s/cm) .0, 25.0, 5.0,

-----

For SINGLE SPECIES, the mean and standard deviation are used to compute a deposition velocity for NINT (see group 9) size-ranges, and these are then averaged to obtain a mean deposition velocity.

For GROUPED SPECIES, the size distribution should be explicitly specified (by the 'species' in the group), and the standard deviation for each should be entered as 0. The model will then use the deposition velocity for the stated mean diameter.

	SPECI ES NAME	AF GEOMETRIC MASS MEAN DIAMETER (microns)	PPENDIX_C GEOMETRIC STANDARD DEVIATION (microns)
! ! ! !	SO4 = NO3 = PMC = SOA = PMF = EC =	6.0 0.48, 1.0	2. ! 2. ! 6. ! 2. ! 1.5 ! 1.5 !
! END!			
I NPUT	「 GROUP: 9	Miscellaneous dry dep	position parameters
	(RCUTR) Reference gro (RGR) Reference pol	cicle resistance (s/cr bund resistance (s/cr lutant reactivity	Default: 30
	(REACTR) Number of par evaluate effe	ticle-size intervals ective particle deposi	Default: 8 ! REACTR = 8.0 ! used to tion velocity
	(IVEG) IVEG=1 for IVEG=2 for	ate in unirrigated an active and unstresse active and stressed inactive vegetation	Default: 1 !  IVEG = 1  ! ed vegetation
! END!			
	 GROUP: 10	· Wet Deposition Parar	neters
		·	
		Scavengi ng Coeffi	cient Units: (sec)**(-1)
! ! ! !	Pollutant S02 = S04 = HN03 = N03 = PMC = S0A = PMF =	Liquid Precip. 3. 0E-05, 1. 0E-04, 6. 0E-05, 1. 0E-04, 1. 0E-04, 1. 0E-04, 1. 0E-04, 1. 0E-04,	Frozen Precip. 0.0E00 ! 3.0E-05 ! 0.0E00 ! 3.0E-05 ! 3.0E-05 ! 3.0E-05 ! 3.0E-05 ! 3.0E-05 !
!	EC =	1. 0E-04,	3.0E-05 !

! END!

INPUT GROUP: 11 -- Chemistry Parameters ! MOZ = 1 ! Ozone data input option (MOZ) Default: 1 (Used only if MCHEM = 1, 3, or 4)0 = use a monthly background ozone value 1 = read hourly ozone concentrations from the OZONE.DAT data file Monthly ozone concentrations (Used only if MCHEM = 1, 3, or 4 and MOZ = 0 or MOZ = 1 and all hourly 03 data missing) (BCK03) in ppb Default: 12\*80. 40.00, 40.00, 40.00 ! Monthly ammonia concentrations (Used only if MCHEM = 1, or 3) KNH3) in ppbDefault: 12\*10.BCKNH3 = 2.00, 2.00, 2.00, 2.00, 2.00, 2.00, 2.00, 2.00, 2.00, 2.00, 2.00, 2.00, (BCKNH3) in ppb 2.00 ! Nighttime SO2 loss rate (RNITE1) in percent/hour Default: 0.2 ! RNITE1 = .2 ! Nighttime NOx loss rate (RNITE2) Default: 2.0 ! RNITE2 = 2.0 ! in percent/hour Nighttime HNO3 formation rate (RNITE3) Default: 2.0 ! RNITE3 = 2.0 ! in percent/hour H202 data input option (MH202) Default: 1 ! MH202 = 1 İ (Used only if MAQCHEM = 1)0 = use a monthly background H202 value 1 = read hourly H202 concentrations from the H2O2. DAT data file Monthly H202 concentrations (Used only if MQACHEM = 1 and MH2O2 = 0 or MH2O2 = 1 and all hourly H2O2 data missing) (BCKH202) in ppb Default: 12\*1. BCKH202 = 1.00, 1.00, 1.00, 1.00, 1.00, 1.00, 1.00, 1.00, 1.00, 1.00, 1.00, 1.00, 1.00 ! --- Data for SECONDARY ORGANIC AEROSOL (SOA) Option (used only if MCHEM = 4) The SOA module uses monthly values of: Fine particulate concentration in ug/m<sup>3</sup> (BCKPMF) Organic fraction of fine particulate (OFRAC) VOC / NOX ratio (after reaction) (VCNX) to characterize the air mass when computing the formation of SOA from VOC emissions. Typical values for several distinct air mass types are: Month 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Jan Clean Continental

APPENDIX C 1. BCKPMF 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. OFRAC . 15 . 15 . 20 . 20 . 20 . 20 . 20 . 20 . 20 . 20 . 20 . 15 VCNX 50. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50. Clean Marine (surface) BCKPMF .5 .5 .! . 5 . 5 . 5 . 5 . 5 . 5 . 5 . 5 . 5 . 5 OFRAC 25 25 30 . 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 25 VCNX 50. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50. 50. Urban - Iow biogenic (controls present) BCKPMF 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. 30. . 20 . 25 . 25 20 . 20 . 20 . 20 . 25 OFRAC . 20 . 25 . 25 . 25 . VCNX 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. Urban - high biogenic (controls present) BCKPMF 60. 60. 60. 60. 60. 60. 60. 60. 60. 60. 60. 60 . 25 . 30 . 25 . 30 OFRAC 30 55 . 55 . 55 35 . 35 . 35 . 25 VCNX 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. Regional Plume 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. BCKPMF 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. OFRAC 20 20 25 . 35 . 25 . 40 . 40 . 40 30 30 . 30 20 VCNX 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. 15. Urban - no controls present BCKPMF 100. 100. 100. 100. 100. 100. 100. 100. 100. 100. 100. 100. 
OFRAC
. 30
. 35
. 35
. 35
. 55
. 55

VCNX
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2.
2. . 35 . 35 . 35 . 30 2. 2. 2. 2. Default: Clean Continental BCKPMF = 1.00, 1.00, 1.00, 1.00, 1.00, 1.00, 1.00, 1.00, 1.00, 1.00, 1.00, 1.00, 1.00 OFRAC = 0.15, 0.15, 0.20, 0.20, 0.20, 0.20, 0.20, 0.20, 0.20, 0.20, 0.20, 0.20, 0.20, 0.15 ! = 50.00, 50.00, 50.00, 50.00, 50.00, 50.00, 50.00, 50.00, 50.00, VCNX 50.00, 50.00 ! 50.00, ! END! \_\_\_\_\_ INPUT GROUP: 12 -- Misc. Dispersion and Computational Parameters \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ Horizontal size of puff (m) beyond which time-dependent dispersion equations (Heffter) are used to determine sigma-y and sigma-z (SYTDEP) Default: 550. ! SYTDEP = 5.5E02 ! Switch for using Heffter equation for sigma z as above (0 = Not use Heffter; 1 = use Heffter Default: 0 ! MHFTSZ = 0 1 (MHFTSZ) Stability class used to determine plume growth rates for puffs above the boundary Ĭayer (JSUP) Default: 5 ! JSUP = 5i Vertical dispersion constant for stable conditions (k1 in Eqn. 2.7-3) (CONK1) Default: 0.01 ! CONK1 = .01 !

APPENDIX C Vertical dispersion constant for neutral/ unstable conditions (k2 in Eqn. 2.7-4) (CONK2) Default: 0.1 ! CONK2 = .1!Factor for determining Transition-point from Schulman-Scire to Huber-Snyder Building Downwash scheme (SS used for Hs < Hb + TBD \* HL) Default: 0.5 ! TBD = .5 !(TBD) TBD < 0==> al ways use Huber-Snyder TBD = 1.5 ==> al ways use Schul man-Sci re TBD = 0.5 ==> ISC Transition-point Range of land use categories for which urban dispersion is assumed (IURB1, IURB2) ! I URB1 = 10 ! Default: 10 19 ! | URB2 = 19 - 1 Site characterization parameters for single-point Met data files ------(needed for METFM = 2, 3, 4, 5) Land use category for modeling domain (ILANDUIN) Default: 20 ! I LANDUIN = 20 !Roughness length (m) for modeling domain (ZOIN)Default: 0.25 ! ZOIN = .25 !Leaf area index for modeling domain (XLAIIN)Default: 3.0 ! XLALIN = 3.0 ! Elevation above sea level (m) Default: 0.0 ! ELEVIN = .0 !(ELEVIN) Latitude (degrees) for met location Default: -999. ! XLATIN = .0 !(XLATIN) Longitude (degrees) for met location Default: -999. (XLŎNIN) ! XLONIN = .0 !Specialized information for interpreting single-point Met data files -----Anemometer height (m) (Used only if METFM = 2, 3) (ANEMHT) Default: 10. ! ANEMHT = 10.0 ! Form of lateral turbulance data in PROFILE.DAT file (Used only if METFM = 4,5 or MTURBVW = 1 or 3) ! | SIGMAV = 1 !(I SI GMAV) Default: 1 0 = read sigma-theta 1 = read sigma-v Choice of mixing heights (Used only if METFM = 4) (IMIXCTDM) Default: 0 ! IMIXCTDM = 0 !0 = read PREDICTED mixing heights 1 = read OBSERVED mixing heights Maximum length of a slug (met. grid units) Default: 1.0 ! XMXLEN = 1.0 !(XMXLEN) Maximum travel distance of a puff/slug (in grid units) during one sampling step (XSAMLEN) Default: 1.0 ! XSAMLEN = 1.0 !Maximum Number of slugs/puffs release from one source during one time step Page 18

	(MXNEW)	API	PENDI X_C	Defaul t:	99	! MXNEW	= 99	i
	Maximum Number of samplin one puff/slug during one (MXSAM)	g steps fo time step	or	Defaul t:	99	! MXSAM	= 99	ļ
	Number of iterations used the transport wind for a that includes gradual ris and PROFILE winds) (NCOUNT)	sampling s	step	Defaul t:	2	! NCOUNT	Г = 2	ļ
	Minimum sigma y for a new (SYMIN)	puff/sl u	g (m)	Defaul t:	1. 0	! SYMIN	= 1.0	ļ
	Minimum sigma z for a new (SZMIN)	puff/sl u	g (m)	Defaul t:	1.0	! SZMIN	= 1.0	ļ
	Default minimum turbulenc for each stability class (SVMIN(12) and SWMIN(12))	e velociti over land	es sigm and ove	a-v and s r water (	igma-w m∕s)			
	Stab Class : A B		E	F	A B	C	R D E	 F
. 016	Default SVMIN : .50, .50, Default SWMIN : .20, .12,	. 50, . 50, . 08, . 06,	. 50, .	50,	. 37, . 37	2, .37, . 2, .08, .	37, .37 06, .03	, . 37 ,
	! SVMIN = 0.500, 0. 0, 0.500, 0.500 ! ! SWMIN = 0.200, 0. 0, 0.030, 0.016 !							
	Divergence criterion for used to initiate adjustme convergence (1/s) Partial adjustment starts full adjustment is reache (CDIV(2))	nt for hou at CDIV(	rizontal 1), and	Defaul t:	0. 0, 0. 0	) ! CDI\	1 = .0,	.0!
	Minimum wind speed (m/s) non-calm conditions. Also speed returned when using extrapolation toward surf (WSCALM)	used as r power-law	ni ni mum	Defaul t:	0. 5	! WSCALM	A = .5 !	
	Maximum mixing height (m) (XMAXZI)			Defaul t:	3000.	! XMAXZI	= 3000	.0!
	Minimum mixing height (m) (XMINZI)			Defaul t:	50.	! XMI NZI	= 50.0	ļ
	Default wind speed classe 5 upper bounds (m/s) are the 6th class has no uppe (WSCAT(5))	entered; r limit De1	faul t	: : 1.54, 3	09 5 1	1 8 23	10 8	
(10.8	3+)	130				·, 0.20,	10.0	
		Wind Speed	d CLass	: 1	2 3	4	5	
			WSCAT age 19	= 1.54, 3	. 09, 5. 1	4, 8.23,	10. 80	İ

Default wind speed profile power-law exponents for stabilities 1-6 Default : ISC RURAL values ISC RURAL : .07, .07, .10, .15, .35, .55 ISC URBAN : .15, .15, .20, .25, .30, .30 (PLXO(6))С Stability Class : A В D E F \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ ! PLX0 = 0.07, 0.07, 0.10, 0.15, 0.35, 0.55 Default potential temperature gradient for stable classes E, F (degK/m) (PTG0(2)) Default: 0.020, 0.035 ! PTGO = 0.020, 0.035 ! Default plume path coefficients for each stability class (used when option for partial plume height terrain adjustment is selected -- MCTADJ=3) Stability Class : A B C Default PPC : .50, .50, .50, (PPC(6)) D Е F . 35, . 35 . 50, ! PPC = 0.50, 0.50, 0.50, 0.50, 0.35, 0.35 Slug-to-puff transition criterion factor equal to sigma-y/length of slug Default: 10. ! SL2PF = 10.0 ! (SL2PF) Puff-splitting control variables ------VERTICAL SPLIT -----Number of puffs that result every time a puff is split - nsplit=2 means that 1 puff splits into 2 (NSPLIT) ! NSPLIT = 3 !Defaul t: 3 Time(s) of a day when split puffs are eligible to be split once again; this is typically set once per day, around sunset before nocturnal shear develops. 24 values: 0 is midnight (00:00) and 23 is 11 PM (23:00) 1=eligible for re-split 0=do not re-split (I RESPLIT(24))Defaul t: Hour 17 = 1Split is allowed only if last hour's mixing height (m) exceeds a minimum value (ZI ŠPLI Ť) Default: 100. ! ZISPLIT = 100.0 ! Split is allowed only if ratio of last hour's mixing ht to the maximum mixing ht experienced by the puff is less than a maximum value (this postpones a split until a nocturnal layer develops) (ROLDMAX) Default: 0.25 ! ROLDMAX = 0.25 !

HORI ZONTAL SPLIT

İ

i

APPENDIX C Number of puffs that result every time a puff is split - nsplith=5 means that 1 puff splits into 5 (NSPLITH) Defaul t: 5 ! NSPLITH = 5 !Minimum sigma-y (Grid Cells Units) of puff before it may be split (SYSPLITH) ! SYSPLITH = 1.0 !Defaul t: 1.0 Minimum puff elongation rate (SYSPLITH/hr) due to wind shear, before it may be split (SHSPLITH) Default: 2. ! SHSPLITH = 2.0 !Minimum concentration  $(g/m^3)$  of each species in puff before it may be split Enter array of NSPEC values; if a single value is entered, it will be used for ALL species ! CNSPLITH = 1.0E-07 (CNSPLITH) Default: 1.0E-07 Integration control variables -----Fractional convergence criterion for numerical SLUG sampling integration (EPSSLUĞ) Defaul t: 1.0e-04 ! EPSSLUG = 1.0E-04 ! Fractional convergence criterion for numerical AREA source integration (EPSAREA) Defaul t: 1.0e-06 ! EPSAREA = 1.0E-06 ! Trajectory step-length (m) used for numerical rise integration (DSRISE) 1.0 ! DSRISE = 1.0 ! Defaul t: Boundary Condition (BC) Puff control variables -----Minimum height (m) to which BC puffs are mixed as they are emitted (MBCON=2 ONLY). Actual height is reset to the current mixing height at the release point if greater than this minimum. (HTMI NBC) Defaul t: 500. ! HTMINBC = 500.0 !Search radius (km) about a receptor for sampling nearest BC puff. BC puffs are typically emitted with a spacing of one grid cell length, so the search radius should be greater than DGRIDKM. (RSĂMPBC) Defaul t: ! RSAMPBC = 10.0 !10. Near-Surface depletion adjustment to concentration profile used when sampling BC puffs? (MDEPBC) Defaul t: ! MDEPBC = 1 !1 0 = Concentration is NOT adjusted for depletion 1 = Adjust Concentration for depletion ! END! INPUT GROUPS: 13a, 13b, 13c, 13d -- Point source parameters 

Subgroup (13a)

i

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ Number of point sources with parameters provided below (NPT1) No default ! NPT1 = TBD ! Units used for point source emissions below (IPTU) Default: 1 ! IPTU = 1 ! g/s 1 = kğ/hr 2 = Ib/hr 3 = 4 = tons/yr Odour Unit \* m\*\*3/s (vol. flux of odour compound) Odour Unit \* m\*\*3/min 5 = 6 = 7 = metric tons/yr Number of source-species combinations with variable emissions scaling factors provided below in (13d) (NSPT1) Default: 0 ! NSPT1 = 0 ! Number of point sources with variable emission parameters provided in external file (NPT2) No default ! NPT2 = 0 ! (If NPT2 > 0, these pointsource emissions are read from the file: PTEMARB. DAT) ! END! Subgroup (13b) \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ а POINT SOURCE: CONSTANT DATA b С Source Х Υ Stack Stack Exit Exit Base BI dg. Emission Coordinate Coordinate Height Elevation Diameter Dwash No. Vel. Temp. Rates (km) (km) (m) (m) (m) (m/s) (deg. K) \_ 1 ! SRCNAM = TBD ! 1 ! X = TBD ! 1 ! ZPLTFM = .0! 1.0 ! 1 ! FMFAC =! END! \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ а Data for each source are treated as a separate input subgroup and therefore must end with an input group terminator. SRCNAM is a 12-character name for a source (No default) Х is an array holding the source data listed by the column headings (No default) SI GYZI is an array holding the initial sigma-y and sigma-z (m) (Defaul t: 0., 0.) FMFAC is a vertical momentum flux factor (0. or 1.0) used to represent Page 22

re	ne effect of rain-caps or other physical configurations that educe momentum rise associated with the actual exit velocity.
ZPLTFM is st ar Th ar ab mu th do	Default: 1.0 full momentum used) is the platform height (m) for sources influenced by an isolated cructure that has a significant open area between the surface and the bulk of the structure, such as an offshore oil platform. The Base Elevation is that of the surface (ground or ocean), and the Stack Height is the release height above the Base (not bove the platform). Building heights entered in Subgroup 13c ust be those of the buildings on the platform, measured from the platform deck. ZPLTFM is used only with MBDW=1 (ISC bownwash method) for sources with building downwash. Default: 0.0
1. = Downw 2. = Downw	uilding downwash modeled wash modeled for buildings resting on the surface wash modeled for buildings raised above the surface (ZPLTFM > 0.) t be entered as a REAL number (i.e., with decimal point)
Enter emis	on rate must be entered for every pollutant modeled. ssion rate of zero for secondary pollutants that are out not emitted. Units are specified by IPTU or g/s).
Subgroup (13c)	- -
BUI I	DING DIMENSION DATA FOR SOURCES SUBJECT TO DOWNWASH
Source No. Effe ever MBDV	a ective building height, width, length and X/Y offset (in meters) ry 10 degrees. LENGTH, XBADJ, and YBADJ are only needed for W=2 (PRIME downwash option)
1 ! SRCNAM = 1 ! HEIGHT = 1 ! WIDTH = ! END!	TBD !
 a	

Building height, width, length, and X/Y offset from the source are treated as a separate input subgroup for each source and therefore must end with an input group terminator. The X/Y offset is the position, relative to the stack, of the center of the upwind face of the projected building, with the x-axis pointing along the flow direction.

а

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Subgroup (13d) \_\_\_\_

\_ \_ 1 1 1

> POINT SOURCE: VARIABLE EMISSIONS DATA -----

Use this subgroup to describe temporal variations in the emission rates given in 13b. Factors entered multiply the rates in 13b. Skip sources here that have constant emissions. For more elaborate variation in source parameters, use PTEMARB. DAT and NPT2 > 0.

APPENDIX C IVARY determines the type of variation, and is source-specific: Default: 0 (IVARY) 0 = Constant Di urnal cycle (24 scaling factors: hours 1-24) Monthly cycle (12 scaling factors: months 1-12) Hour & Season (4 groups of 24 hourly scaling factors, where first group is DEC-JAN-FEB) Speed & Stab. (6 groups of 6 scaling factors, where 1 = 2 = 3 = 4 = first group is Stability Class A, and the speed classes have upper bounds (m/s) defined in Group 12 (12 scaling factors, where temperature classes have upper bounds (C) of: 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 50+) 5 = Temperature \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ а Data for each species are treated as a separate input subgroup and therefore must end with an input group terminator. \_\_\_\_\_ INPUT GROUPS: 14a, 14b, 14c, 14d -- Area source parameters Subgroup (14a) \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ Number of polygon area sources with No default ! NAR1 = 0parameters specified below (NAR1) \_ ! Units used for area source (I ARU) Default: 1 ! IARU = emissions below 1 ! g/m\*\*2/s 1 = kg/m\*\*2/hr lb/m\*\*2/hr 2 = 3 = tons/m\*\*2/yr 4 = Odour Unit \* m/s (vol. flux/m\*\*2 of odour compound) 5 = Odour Unit \* m/min 6 = metric tons/m\*\*2/yr 7 = Number of source-species combinations with variable emissions scaling factors provided below in (14d) (NSAR1) Default: 0 ! NSAR1 = 0 ! Number of buoyant polygon area sources with variable location and emission parameters (NAR2) No default ! NAR2 = 0\_\_\_\_! (If NAR2 > 0, ALL parameter data for these sources are read from the file: BAEMARB.DAT) ! END! . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

Subgroup (14b)

				APPENDIX_C	
ļ	AREA SOURC	E: CONSTA	ANT DATA	a \ 	
Source No.	Eff Hei (1	ect. E ght Ele n)	Base evation (m)	lnitial Sigma z (m)	b Emission Rates
a Data f and th b	for each so nerefore mu	ource are ust end w	e treate with an	ed as a separ input group	ate input subgroup terminator.
An emi Enter modele	emission	rate of z t emittec	zero for	ed for every secondary p s are specif	pollutant modeled. ollutants that are ied by IARU
Subgroup (1	14c)				
	COORDI NATI	ES (km) F	OR EACH	I VERTEX(4) 0	F EACH POLYGON
Source No.	Ordered I	ist of X	followe	ed by list of	a Y, grouped by source
				ed as a separa input group	ate input subgroup terminator.
Subgroup (1	14d)				
ļ	AREA SOURCI	E: VARIAE	BLE EMIS	a SIONS DATA	
rates Skip s	given in sources he	14b. Fac re that h	ctors er nave cor	ntered multip Istant emissi	ations in the emission ly the rates in 14b. ons. For more elaborate .DAT and NAR2 > 0.
I VARY (I VAR)	()				is source-specific: ult: 0
	0 = 1 = 2 = 3 =	Constant Diurnal Monthly Hour & S	cycle ( cycle (	12 scaling f 4 groups of	actors: hours 1-24) actors: months 1-12) 24 hourly scaling factors,
	4 =	Speed &	Stab. (	6 groups of	group is DEC-JAN-FEB) 6 scaling factors, where is Stability Class A,
	5 =	Temperat	ture (	and the speed bounds (m/s) 12 scaling fa classes have	d classes have upper defined in Group 12 actors, where temperature upper bounds (C) of: , 20, 25, 30, 35, 40,

0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, Page 25

#### APPENDI X\_C 45, 50, 50+)

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ а Data for each species are treated as a separate input subgroup and therefore must end with an input group terminator. INPUT GROUPS: 15a, 15b, 15c -- Line source parameters ------. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Subgroup (15a) \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ Number of buoyant line sources with variable location and emission parameters (NLN2) No default ! NLN2 = 0\_\_\_! (If NLN2 > 0, ALL parameter data for these sources are read from the file: LNEMARB. DAT) Number of buoyant line sources (NLINES) ! NLINES = 0 !No default Units used for line source Default: 1 ! ILNU = 1 ! emissions below (ILNU) 1 = q/s kg/hr I b/hr 2 = 3 = 4 = tons/yr 5 = Odour Unit \* m\*\*3/s (vol. flux of odour compound) Odour Unit \* m\*\*3/min 6 = 7 = metric tons/yr Number of source-species combinations with variable emissions scaling factors provided below in (15c) (NSLN1) Default: 0 ! NSLN1 = 0 ! Maximum number of segments used to model each line (MXNSEG) Default: 7 ! MXNSEG = 7 !The following variables are required only if NLINES > 0. They are used in the buoyant line source plume rise calculations. Number of distances at which Default: 6 ! NLRISE = 6 !transitional rise is computed Average building length (XL) No default ! XL = .0 !(in meters) Average building height (HBL) ! HBL = .0 !No default (in meters) No default Average building width (WBL) ! WBL = .0 !(in meters) Average line source width (WML) No default ! WML = .0!(in meters)

Average separation between buildings (DXL)	No default ! DXL = .0 ! (in meters)
Average buoyancy parameter (FPRIMEL)	No default ! FPRIMEL = .0 ! (in m**4/s**3)

! END!

Subgroup (15b)

С

## BUOYANT LINE SOURCE: CONSTANT DATA

-----

Source Emission	Beg. X	Beg. Y	End. X	End. Y	Rel ease	Base
No. Rates	(km)	Coordinate (km)	(km)	(km)	(m)	Elevation (m)
		(KIII)	(KIII)	(KIII)		

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

а

Data for each source are treated as a separate input subgroup and therefore must end with an input group terminator.

b

An emission rate must be entered for every pollutant modeled. Enter emission rate of zero for secondary pollutants that are modeled, but not emitted. Units are specified by ILNTU (e.g. 1 for g/s).

# Subgroup (15c)

-----

BUOYANT LINE SOURCE: VARIABLE EMISSIONS DATA

Use this subgroup to describe temporal variations in the emission rates given in 15b. Factors entered multiply the rates in 15b. Skip sources here that have constant emissions.

IVARY determines the type of variation, and is source-specific: (IVARY) Default: 0

0 =	Constant
1 =	Diurnal cycle (24 scaling factors: hours 1-24)
2 =	Monthly cycle (12 scaling factors: months 1-12)
3 =	Hour & Season (4 groups of 24 hourly scaling factors,
	where first group is DEC-JAN-FEB)
4 =	Speed & Stab. (6 groups of 6 scaling factors, where
	first group is Stability Class A,
	and the speed classes have upper
	bounds (m/s) defined in Group 12
5 =	Temperature (12 scaling factors, where temperature
	classes have upper bounds (C) of:
	0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40,
	45, 50, 50+)
	Page 27

\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ а Data for each species are treated as a separate input subgroup and therefore must end with an input group terminator. \_\_\_\_\_ INPUT GROUPS: 16a, 16b, 16c -- Volume source parameters . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Subgroup (16a) \_\_\_\_\_ Number of volume sources with parameters provided in 16b,c (NVL1) No default ! NVL1 = 0 ! Units used for volume source emissions below in 16b (IVLU) Default: 1 ! | VLU = 1 ! g/s 1 = kg/hr Ib/hr 2 = 3 = 4 = tons/yr Odour Unit \* m\*\*3/s (vol. flux of odour compound) Odour Unit \* m\*\*3/min 5 = 6 = 7 = metric tons/yr Number of source-species combinations with variable emissions scaling factors provided below in (16c) (NSVL1) Default: 0 ! NSVL1 = 0 ! Number of volume sources with variable location and emission (NVL2) No default ! NVL2 = 0 ! parameters (If NVL2 > 0, ALL parameter data for these sources are read from the VOLEMARB. DAT file(s) ) ! END! \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ Subgroup (16b) \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ VOLUME SOURCE: CONSTANT DATA ----h Effect. Х Y Base Initial Initial Emission Coordinate Coordinate Height Elevation Sigma y Rates Sigma z (m) (m) (km) (km) (m) (m) \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ а Data for each source are treated as a separate input subgroup and therefore must end with an input group terminator. Page 28

b

An emission rate must be entered for every pollutant modeled. Enter emission rate of zero for secondary pollutants that are modeled, but not emitted. Units are specified by IVLU (e.g. 1 for g/s).

Subgroup (16c)

VOLUME SOURCE: VARIABLE EMISSIONS DATA

Use this subgroup to describe temporal variations in the emission rates given in 16b. Factors entered multiply the rates in 16b. Skip sources here that have constant emissions. For more elaborate variation in source parameters, use VOLEMARB. DAT and NVL2 > 0.

IVARY determines the type of variation, and is source-specific: (IVARY) Default: 0 Ó = Constant Diurnal cycle (24 scaling factors: hours 1-24) Monthly cycle (12 scaling factors: months 1-12) Hour & Season (4 groups of 24 hourly scaling factors, 1 = 2 = 3 = where first group is DEC-JAN-FEB) (6 groups of 6 scaling factors, where 4 = Speed & Stab. first group is Stability Class A, and the speed classes have upper bounds (m/s) defined in Group 12 (12 scaling factors, where temperature classes have upper bounds (C) of: 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50, 50+) 5 = Temperature

----a

> Data for each species are treated as a separate input subgroup and therefore must end with an input group terminator.

\_\_\_\_\_

INPUT GROUPS: 17a & 17b -- Non-gridded (discrete) receptor information

Subgroup (17a)

Number of non-gridded receptors (NREC) No default ! NREC = 0 !

! END!

Subgroup (17b)

. \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

NON-GRIDDED (DISCRETE) RECEPTOR DATA
		AP	PENDI X_C	
	Х	Y	Ground	Height b
Receptor No.	Coordinate (km)	Coordinate (km)	Elevation (m)	Above Ğround (m)
1! X = TDB,	TBD, TBI	D, TBD!	!END! name	

-----

a

Data for each receptor are treated as a separate input subgroup and therefore must end with an input group terminator.

b

Receptor height above ground is optional. If no value is entered, the receptor is placed on the ground.

# Attachment D Sample CALPOST and POSTUTIL Input Files

CALPUFF Demonstration

------ Run title (3 lines) ------CALPOST MODEL CONTROL FILE \_\_\_\_\_ INPUT GROUP: 0 -- Input and Output File Names \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ Input Files \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ File Default File Name -----MODEL.DAT Conc/Dep Flux File ! MODDAT =CALPUFF.CON ! VI SDAT = ...\..CPUF. VI S! \* \* BACKDAT = \* Relative Humidity File VI SB. DAT Background Data File BACK. DAT \* VSRDAT = Tranšmi ssometer or VSRN. DAT Nephelometer Data File or DATSAV Weather Data File or Prognostic Weather File Single-point Met File SURFACE. DAT \* MET1DAT = \* (Used ONLY to identify CALM hours for plume model output averaging when MCALMPRO option is used) **Output Files** \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ File Default File Name List File ! PSTLST =CALPOST. LST CALPOST. LST 1 Pathname for Timeseries Files (blank) (activate with exclamation points only if \* TSPATH = providing NON-BLANK character string) Pathname for Plot Files (bl ank) \* PLPATH = \* (activate with exclamation points only if providing NON-BLANK character string) User Character String (U) to augment default filenames (activate with exclamation points only if providing NON-BLANK character string) Timeseries TSERIES\_ASPEC\_ttHR\_CONC\_TSUNAM. DAT Peak Value PEAKVAL\_ASPEC\_ttHR\_CONC\_TSUNAM. DAT \* TSUNAM = RANK(ALL)\_ASPEC\_ttHR\_CONC\_TUNAM. DAT Top Nth Rank Plot or RANK(ii)\_ASPEC\_ttHR\_CONC\_TUNAM. GRD \* TUNAM = Exceedance Plot EXCEED\_ASPEC\_ttHR\_CONC\_XUNAM. DAT EXCEED\_ASPEC\_ttHR\_CONC\_XUNAM. GRD or

### \* XUNAM =

Echo Plot (Specific Days) yyyy\_Mmm\_Ddd\_hhmm(UTCszzzz)\_L00\_ASPEC\_ttHR\_CONC.DAT yyyy\_Mmm\_Ddd\_hhmm(UTCszzzz)\_L00\_ASPEC\_ttHR\_CONC.GRD or ! VUNAM =VTEST Visibility Plot DAI LY\_VI SI B\_VUNAM. DAT \_\_\_! (Daily Peak Summary) Auxiliary Output Files File Default File Name \* DVI SDAT = Visibility Change DELVI S. DAT \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ All file names will be converted to lower case if LCFILES = T Otherwise, if LCFILES = F, file names will be converted to UPPER CASE T = lower case ! LCFILES = T ! F = UPPER CASENOTE: (1) file/path names can be up to 132 characters in length NOTE: (2) Filenames for ALL PLOT and TIMESERIES FILES are constructed using a template that includes a pathname, user-supplied character(s), and context-specific strings, where ASPEC = Species Name CONC = CONC Or WFLX Or DFLX Or TFLX tt = Averaging Period (e.g. 03) ii = Rank (e.g. 02) hh = Hour(ending) in LST szzzz = LST time zone shift (EST is -0500) yyyy = Year(LST) mm = Month(LST)dd = day of month (LST) are determined internally based on selections made below. If a path or user-supplied character(s) are supplied, each must contain at least 1 non-blank character. ! END! \_\_\_\_\_ INPUT GROUP: 1 -- General run control parameters Option to run all periods found in the met. file(s) (METRUN) Default: 0 ! METRUN = 1 ! METRUN = 0 - Run period explicitly defined below METRUN = 1 - Run all periods in CALPUFF data file(s) Starting date: Year (ISYR) - -No default ! I SYR 2008 ļ = (I SMO) No default Month ! I SMO - -= 1 I (I SDY) No default ! I SDY Day - -= 1 L Hour (ISHR) --Minute (ISMIN) --Starting time: No default ! I SHR 0 T = No default ! ISMIN = 0 T Second (ISSEC) --No default ! ISSEC = 0 Ţ Ending date: (IEYR)No default 2008 Year ! I EYR ļ - -= Month (I EMO)No default ! IEMO 12 - -= 1 Day (IEDY) - -No default ! IEDY = 31 \_ ! Page 2

APPENDIX D1 (IEHR) --! I EHR = 23 ! ! I EMIN = 0 ! Ending time: Hour No default Minute (IEMIŃ) --No default Second (IESEC) --No default ! | ESEC = 0 ! (These are only used if METRUN = 0) All times are in the base time zone of the CALPUFF simulation. CALPUFF Dataset Version 2.1 contains the zone, but earlier versions do not, and the zone must be specified here. The zone is the number of hours that must be ADDED to the time to obtain UTC (or GMT). Identify the Base Time Zone for the CALPUFF simulation (BTZONE) -- No default ! BTZONE = 8.0 ! Process every period of data? (NREP) -- Default: 1 ! NREP = 1 ! (1 = every period processed, 2 = every 2nd period processed, 5 = every 5th period processed, etc.) Species & Concentration/Deposition Information Species to process (ASPEC) -- No de (ASPEC = VISIB for visibility processing) -- No default ! ASPEC = VISIB ! Layer/deposition code (ILAYER) -- Default: 1 ! ILAYER = 1 ! 1' for CALPUFF concentrations, '-1' for dry deposition fluxes,
'-2' for wet deposition fluxes,
'-3' for wet+dry deposition fluxes. Scaling factors of the form: X(new) = X(old) \* A + B -- Defaults: ! A = 0.0! B = 0.0A = 0.0Ţ (NOT applied if A = B = 0.0) B = 0.0Add Hourly Background Concentrations/Fluxes? (LBACK) -- Default: F ! LBACK = F ! Source of NO2 when ASPEC=NO2 (above) or LVNO2=T (Group 2) may be from CALPUFF NO2 concentrations OR from a fraction of CALPUFF NOx concentrations. Specify the fraction of NOx that is treated as NO2 either as a constant or as a table of fractions that depend on the magnitude of the NOx concentration: (NO2CALC) -- Default: 1 ! NO2CALC = 1 !Use NO2 directly (NO2 must be in file) Specify a single NO2/NOx ratio (RNO2NOX) Specify a table NO2/NOx ratios (TNO2NOX) (NOTE: Scaling Factors must NOT be used with NO2CALC=2) 0 =1 = 2 = Single NO2/NOx ratio (0.0 to 1.0) for treating some or ăll NOx as NO2, whère [NO2] = [NOX] \* RNO2ŇOX (used only if NO2CALC = 1) (RNO2NOX) -- Default: 1.0 ! RNO2NOX = 1.0 ! Table of NO2/NOx ratios that vary with NOx concentration. Provide 14 NOx concentrations (ug/m\*\*3) and the corresponding NO2/NOx ratio, with NOx increasing in magnitude. The ratio used for a particular NOx concentration is interpolated from the values provided in the table. The ratio for the smallest tabulated NOx concentration (the first) is used for all NOx concentrations less than the smallest tabulated value, and the ratio for the largest tabulated NOx concentration (the last) is used for all NOx Page 3

APPENDIX D1 concentrations greater than the largest tabulated value. (used only if NO2CALC = 2) NOx concentration(ug / m3) (CNÓX) -- No default  $! CNOX = 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, \\8.0, 9.0, 10.0, 11.0, 12.0, 13.0, 14.0 !$ NO2/NOx ratio for each NOx concentration: (TNO2NOX) -- No default Source information Option to process source contributions: Process only total reported contributions Sum all individual source contributions and process 0 = 1 = Run in TRACEBACK mode to identify source 2 = contributions at a SINGLE receptor (MSOURCE) -- Default: 0 ! MSOURCE = 0 !Plume Model Output Processing Options Output from models other than CALPUFF and CALGRID can be written in the CONC. DAT format and processed by CALPOST. Plume models such as AERMOD typically do not treat CALM hours, and do not include such hours in multiple-hour averages, with specific rules about how many calm hours can be removed from an average. This treatment is known as CALM Calm periods are identified from wind speeds in the PROCESSING. meteorological data file for the application, which must be identified in Input Ğroup O as the single-point meteorological data file MET1DAT. 0 = Option is not used for CALPUFF/CALĞRID output files Apply CALM processing procedures to multiple-hour averages (MCALMPRO) -- Default: 0 ! MCALMPRO = 0 ! 1 = Format of Single-point Met File 1 = AERMOD/AERMET SURFACE file (MET1FMT) -- Default: 1 ! MET1FMT = 1 ! Receptor information (LG) -- Default: F (LD) -- Default: F ! LG = F Gridded receptors processed? ! LD = TDiscrete receptors processed? CTSG Complex terrain receptors processed? ! LCT = F ! (LCT) -- Default: F --Report results by DISCRETE receptor RING? (LDRING) -- Default: F ! LDRING = F ! (only used when LD = T) --Select range of DISCRETE receptors (only used when LD = T): Select ALL DISCRETE receptors by setting NDRECP flag to -1; OR Select SPECIFIC DISCRETE receptors by entering a flag (0,1) for each 0 = discrete receptor not processed 1 = discrete receptor processed Page 4

APPENDIX D1 using repeated value notation to select blocks of receptors: 23\*1, 15\*0, 12\*1 Flag for all receptors after the last one assigned is set to O (NDŘECP) -- Default: -1 ! NDRECP = TBD ! --Select range of GRIDDED receptors (only used when LG = T): X index of LL corner (IBGRID) -- Default: -1 ! | BGR|D = -1 ! (-1 OR 1 <= IBGRID <= NX) Y index of LL corner (JBGRID) -- Default: -1 ! JBGRID = -1 !(-1 OR 1 <= JBGRID <= NY)X index of UR corner (IEGRID) -- Default: -1 ! IEGRID = -1 ! (-1 OR 1 <= | EGRID <= NX)Y index of UR corner (JEGRID) -- Default: -1 ! JEGRID = -1 !(-1 OR 1 <= JEGRID <= NY) Note: Entire grid is processed if IBGRID=JBGRID=IEGRID=JEGRID=-1

--Specific gridded receptors can also be excluded from CALPOST processing by filling a processing grid array with Os and 1s. If the processing flag for receptor index (i,j) is 1 (ON), that receptor will be processed if it lies within the range delineated by IBGRID, JBGRID, IEGRID, JEGRID and if LG=T. If it is 0 (OFF), it will not be processed in the run. By default, all array values are set to 1 (ON).

Number of gridded receptor rows provided in Subgroup (1a) to identify specific gridded receptors to process (NGONOFF) -- Default: 0 ! NGONOFF = 0 !

! END!

Subgroup (1a) Specific gri	dded receptors included/excluded
Specific gridded receptor	rs are excluded from CALPOST process

Specific gridded receptors are excluded from CALPOST processing by filling a processing grid array with 0s and 1s. A total of NGONOFF lines are read here. Each line corresponds to one 'row' in the sampling grid, starting with the NORTHERNMOST row that contains receptors that you wish to exclude, and finishing with row 1 to the SOUTH (no intervening rows may be skipped). Within a row, each receptor position is assigned either a 0 or 1, starting with the westernmost receptor.

- 0 = gridded receptor not processed
- 1 = gridded receptor processed

Repeated value notation may be used to select blocks of receptors: 23\*1, 15\*0, 12\*1

Because all values are initially set to 1, any receptors north of the first row entered, or east of the last value provided in a row, remain ON.

(NGXRECP) -- Default: 1

INPUT GROUP: 2 -- Visibility Parameters (ASPEC = VISIB) Test visibility options specified to see if they conform to FLAG 2008 configuration? (MVISCHECK) -- Default: 1 ! MVISCHECK = 1 ! 0 = NO checks are made Technical options must conform to FLAG 2008 visibility guidance 1 = ASPEC = VISIBLVNO2 = TNO2CALC = 1 RNO2NOX = 1.0MVISBK = 8 $M8_MODE = 5$ Some of the data entered for use with the FLAG 2008 configuration are specific to the Class I area being evaluated. These values can be checked within the CALPOST user interface when the name of the Class I area is provided. Name of Class I Area (used for QA purposes only) (AREANAME) -- Default: User ! AREANAME = USER ! Particle growth curve f(RH) for hygroscopic species (MFRH) -- Default: 4 ! MFRH \_\_! 4 IWAQM (1998) f(RH) curve (originally used with MVISBK=1) 1 = FLAG (2000) f(RH) tabulation EPA (2003) f(RH) tabulation 2 = 3 = IMPROVE (2006) f(RH) tabulations for sea salt, and for small and large SULFATE and NITRATE particles; 4 = Used in Visibility Method 8 (MVISBK = 8 with M8\_MODE = 1, 2, or 3) Maximum relative humidity (%) used in particle growth curve (RHMAX) -- Default: 98 ! RHMAX = 98 ! Modeled species to be included in computing the light extinction Include SULFATE? (LVSO4) -- Default: T ! LVSO4 = T Include NITRATE? (LVNO3) -- Default: ! LVN03 Т Т ļ -- Default: T Include ORGANIC CARBON? (LVOC) ! LVOC Т L = Include COARSE PARTICLES? (LVPMC) -- Default: T ! LVPMC = T Т Include FINE PARTICLES? (LVPMF) -- Default: T ! LVPMF = Т I Include ELEMENTAL CARBON? (LVEC) -- Default: T ! LVEC = T ļ Include NO2 absorption? (LVNO2) -- Default: F ! LVN02 = T Т With Visibility Method 8 -- Default: T FLAG (2008) And, when ranking for TOP-N, TOP-50, and Exceedance tables, Include BACKGROUND? (LVBK) -- Default: T ! LVBK = T ! Species name used for particulates in MODEL DAT file COARSE (SPECPMC) -- Default: PMC ! SPECPMC = PMC ! FINE (SPECPMF) -- Default: PMF ! SPECPMF = PMF ! Extinction Efficiency (1/Mm per ug/m\*\*3) MODELED particulate species: PM COARSE (EEPMC) -- Default: 0.6 ! EEPMC = 0.6 ! PM FINE (EEPMF) -- Default: 1.0 ! EEPMF = 1 ! BACKGROUND particulate species: (EEPMCBK) -- Default: 0.6 PM COARSE ! EEPMCBK = 0.6 !Page 6

APPENDI X_D1
Other species: AMMONIUM SULFATE (EESO4) Default: 3.0 ! EESO4 = 3 ! AMMONIUM NITRATE (EENO3) Default: 3.0 ! EENO3 = 3 ! ORGANIC CARBON (EEOC) Default: 4.0 ! EEOC = 4 ! SOIL (EESOIL) Default: 1.0 ! EESOIL = 1 ! ELEMENTAL CARBON (EEEC) Default: 10. ! EEEC = 10 ! NO2 GAS (EENO2) Default: .1755 ! EENO2 = 0.17 ! Visibility Method 8:
AMMONIUM SULFATE (EESO4S) AMMONIUM SULFATE (EESO4L) AMMONIUM SULFATE (EESO4L) AMMONIUM NITRATE (EENO3S) AMMONIUM NITRATE (EENO3L) AMMONIUM NITRATE (EENO3L) ORGANIC CARBON (EEOCS) ORGANIC CARBON (EEOCL) SEA SALT (EESALT) Set Internally SEA SALT (EESALT) Set Internally SEA SALT (EESALT) Set Internally Set Internally
Background Extinction Computation
Method used for the 24h-average of percent change of light extinction: Hourly ratio of source light extinction / background light extinction is averaged? (LAVER) Default: F ! LAVER = F !
Method used for background light extinction (MVISBK) Default: 8 ! MVISBK = 8 ! FLAG (2008)
<ul> <li>Supply single light extinction and hygroscopic fraction</li> <li>Hourly F(RH) adjustment applied to hygroscopic background and modeled sulfate and nitrate</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Background extinction from speciated PM concentrations (A)</li> <li>Hourly F(RH) adjustment applied to observed and modeled sulfate and nitrate</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>F(RH) factor is capped at F(RHMAX)</li> <li>Background extinction from speciated PM concentrations (B)</li> <li>Hourly F(RH) adjustment applied to observed and modeled sulfate and nitrate</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Receptor-hour excluded if RH&gt;RHMAX</li> <li>Receptor-day excluded if fewer than 6 valid receptor-hours</li> <li>4 = Read hourly transmissometer background extinction measurements</li> <li>Hourly F(RH) adjustment applied to modeled sulfate and nitrate</li> <li>Hour excluded if measurement invalid (missing, interference, or large RH)</li> <li>Receptor-hour excluded if RH&gt;RHMAX</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Receptor-day excluded if fewer than 6 valid receptor-hours</li> <li>Read hourly nephelometer background extinction measurements</li> <li>Rayleigh extinction value (BEXTRAY) added to measurement</li> <li>Hourly F(RH) adjustment applied to modeled sulfate and nitrate</li> <li>Hour excluded if measurement invalid (missing, interference, or large RH)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Receptor-hour excluded if RH&gt;RHMAX</li> <li>Receptor-day excluded if fewer than 6 valid receptor-hours</li> <li>Background extinction from speciated PM concentrations</li> <li>FLAG (2000) monthly RH adjustment factor applied to observed and and modeled sulfate and nitrate</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>7 = Use observed weather or prognostic weather information for background extinction during weather events; otherwise, use Method 2</li> <li>- Hourly F(RH) adjustment applied to modeled sulfate and nitrate</li> <li>- F(RH) factor is capped at F(RHMAX)</li> <li>- During observed weather events, compute Bext from visual range if using an observed weather data file, or</li> </ul>

- During prognostic weather events, use Bext from the prognostic Page 7

### APPENDIX D1

weather file

- Use Method 2 for hours without a weather event

- 8 = Background extinction from speciated PM concentrations using the IMPROVE (2006) variable extinction efficiency formulation (MFRH must be set to 4)
  Split between small and large particle concentrations of SULFATES, NITRATES, and ORGANICS is a function of concentration
  - and different extinction efficiencies are used for each
  - Source-induced change in visibility includes the increase in extinction of the background aerosol due to the change in the extinction efficiency that now depends on total concentration. - Fsmall (RH) and Flarge(RH) adjustments for small and large
  - particles are applied to observed and modeled sulfate and nitrate concentrations
  - Fsalt(RH) adjustment for sea salt is applied to background sea salt concentrations
  - F(RH) factors are capped at F(RHMAX)
  - RH for Fsmall(RH), Flarge(RH), and Fsalt(RH) may be obtained from hourly data as in Method 2 or from the FLAG monthly RH adjustment factor used for Method 6 where EPA F(RH) tabulation is used to infer RH, or monthly Fsmall, Flarge, and Fsalt RH adjustment factors can be directly entered. Furthermore, a monthly RH factor may be applied to either hourly concentrations or daily concentrations to obtain the 24-hour extinction.

These choices are made using the M8\_MODE selection.

Additional inputs used for MVISBK = 1: Background light extinction (1/Mm) (BEXTBK) -- No default ! BEXTBK = 12 ! Percentage of particles affected by relative humidity (RHFRAC) -- No default ! RHFRAC = 10 !

Additional inputs used for MVISBK = 6,8:

Extinction coefficients for hygroscopic species (modeled and background) are computed using a monthly RH adjustment factor in place of an hourly RH factor (VISB.DAT file is NOT needed). Enter the 12 monthly factors here (RHFAC). Month 1 is January.

(RHFAC) -- No default ! RHFAC = 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 !

Additional inputs used for MVISBK = 7:

The weather data file (DATSAV abbreviated space-delimited) that is identified as VSRN. DAT may contain data for more than one station. Identify the stations that are needed in the order in which they will be used to obtain valid weather and visual range. The first station that contains valid data for an hour will be Enter up to MXWSTA (set in PARAMS file) integer station IDs used of up to 6 digits each as variable IDWSTA, and enter the corresponding time zone for each, as variable TZONE (= UTC-LST).

A prognostic weather data file with Bext for weather events may be used in place of the observed weather file. Identify this as the VSRN. DAT file and use a station ID of IDWSTA = 999999, and TZONE = 0.

TZONE identifies the time zone used in the dataset. NOTE: The DATSAV abbreviated space-delimited data usually are prepared with UTC time rather than local time, so TZONE is typically

set to zero.

(IDWSTA)	No default	* I DWSTA = 000000 *	
(TZONE)	No default	* TZONE = 0. *	

Additional inputs used for MVISBK = 2, 3, 6, 7, 8:

-----Background extinction coefficients are computed from monthly CONCENTRATIONS of ammonium sulfate (BKSO4), ammonium nitrate (BKNO3), coarse particulates (BKPMC), organic carbon (BKOC), soil (BKSOIL), and elemental carbon (BKÈC). Month 1 is January. (ug/m\*\*3)

! BKSO4 = TBD !(BKS04) -- No default -- No default BKNO3 = TBD !(BKNO3) 1 (BKPMC) -- No default ! BKPMC = TBD ! ! BKOC = TBD ! (BKOC) -- No default ! BKSOIL= TBD ! (BKSOIL) -- No default (BKEC) -- No default ! BKEC = TBD ! Additional inputs used for MVISBK = 8:

Extinction coefficients for hygroscopic species (modeled and background) may be computed using hourly RH values and hourly modeled concentrations, or using monthly RH values inferred from the RHFAC adjustment factors and either hourly or daily modeled concentrations, or using monthly RHFSML, RHFLRG, and RHFSEA adjustment factors and either hourly and either hourly or daily modeled factors and either hourly or daily modeled concentrations.

(M8\_MODE) -- Default: 5 ! M8\_MODE= 5 ! FLAG (2008)

- 1 = Use hourly RH values from VISB.DAT file with hourly modeled and monthly background concentrations.
- 2 = Use monthly RH from monthly RHFAC and EPA (2003) f(RH) tabulation with hourly modeled and monthly background concentrations. (VISB.DAT file is NOT needed).
- 3 = Use monthly RH from monthly RHFAC with EPA (2003) f(RH) tabulation
- 4 = Use monthly RHFSML, RHFLRG, and RHFSEA with hourly modeled and monthly background concentrations. (VISB. DAT file is NOT needed).
  5 = Use monthly RHFSML, RHFLRG, and RHFSEA with hourly modeled and monthly background concentrations. (VISB. DAT file is NOT needed).
- 5 = Use monthly RHFSML, RHFLRG, and RHFSEA with daily modeled and monthly background concentrations. (VISB.DAT file is NOT needed).

Background extinction coefficients are computed from monthly CONCENTRATIONS of sea salt (BKSALT). Month 1 is January. (uq/m\*\*3)

(BKSALT) -- No default ! BKSALT= TBD !

Extinction coefficients for hygroscopic species (modeled and background) can be computed using monthly RH adjustment factors in place of an hourly RH factor (VISB. DAT file is NOT needed). Enter the 12 monthly factors here (RHFSML, RHFLRG, RHFSEA). Month 1 is January. (Used if M8\_MODE = 4 or 5)

Small ammonium sulfate and ammonium nitrate particle sizes (RHFSML) -- No default ! RHFSML= TBD !

Large ammonium sulfate and ammonium nitrate particle sizes (RHFLRG) -- No default ! RHFLRG= TBD ! Page 9

Sea salt particles (RHFSEA) -- No default ! RHFSEA= TBD ! Additional inputs used for MVISBK = 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8: Extinction due to Rayleigh scattering is added (1/Mm) (BEXTRAY) -- Default: 10.0 ! BEXTRAY = 10 ! ! END! \_\_\_\_\_ INPUT GROUP: 3 -- Output options \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ **Documentation** \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ Documentation records contained in the header of the CALPUFF output file may be written to the list file. Print documentation image? (LDOC) -- Default: F ! LDOC = F ! Output Units \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ Units for All Output (IPRTU) -- Default: 1 ! IPRTU = 3 Ţ for for Concentrati on Deposition g/m\*\*3 g/m\*\*2/s 1 = mg/m\*\*2/s ug/m\*\*2/s ng/m\*\*2/s mg/m\*\*3 2 = ug/m\*\*3 3 = ng/m\*\*3 4 = 5 = Odour Units Visibility: extinction expressed in 1/Mega-meters (IPRTU is ignored) Averaging time(s) reported (L1PD) -- Default: T 1 L1PD = F ! 1-pd averages (pd = averaging period of model output) 1-hr averages (L1HR) -- Default: T 1 L1HR = F!(L3HR) -- Default: T İ. L3HR = F ! 3-hr averages 24-hr averages (L24HR) -- Default: T 1 L24HR = T ! (LRUNL) -- Default: T Run-length averages ! LRUNL = F !User-specified averaging time in hours, minutes, seconds - results for this averaging time are reported if it is not zero (NAVGH) -- Default: 0 (NAVGM) -- Default: 0 (NAVGS) -- Default: 0 I. NAVGH = 0 Ţ NAVGM = . I. Ţ 0 . I NAVGS = 0 \_ ! Types of tabulations reported

1) Visibility: daily visibility tabulations are always reported Page 10

APPENDIX\_D1 for the selected receptors when ASPEC = VISIB. In addition, any of the other tabulations listed below may be chosen to characterize the light extinction coefficients. [List file or Plot/Analysis File]

- -- Number of 'Top-N' values at each receptor selected (NTOP must be <= 4) (NTOP) -- Default: 4 ! NTOP = 1 !
- -- Specific ranks of 'Top-N' values reported (NTOP values must be entered) (ITOP(4) array) -- Default: ! ITOP = 1 ! 1,2,3,4
- 4) Threshold exceedance counts for each receptor and each averaging time selected [List file or Plot file]

(LEXCD) -- Default: F ! LEXCD = F !

 Identify the threshold for each averaging time by assigning a non-negative value (output units).

-- Default: -1.0

Threshold for 1-hr averages	(THRESH1) ! THRESH1 = -1.0 !
Threshold for 3-hr averages	(THRESH3)' THRESH3 = -1.0 !
Threshold for 24-hr averages	(THRESH24) ! THRESH24 = -1.0 !
Threshold for NAVG-hr averages	s'(THRESHN) ! THRESHN = -1.0 !

-- Counts for the shortest averaging period selected can be tallied daily, and receptors that experience more than NCOUNT counts over any NDAY period will be reported. This type of exceedance violation output is triggered only if NDAY > 0.

Accumulation period(Days) (NDAY) -- Default: 0 ! NDAY = 0 ! Number of exceedances allowed (NCOUNT) -- Default: 1 ! NCOUNT = 1 !

5) Selected day table(s)

Echo Option -- Many records are written each averaging period selected and output is grouped by day [List file or Plot file] (LECHO) -- Default: F ! LECHO = F !

Timeseries Option -- Averages at all selected receptors for each selected averaging period are written to timeseries files. Each file contains one averaging period, and all receptors are written to a single record each averaging time. [TSERIES\_ASPEC\_ttHR\_CONC\_TSUNAM. DAT files]

Page 11

APPENDIX D1 (LTIME) -- Default: F ! LTIME = F ! Peak Value Option -- Averages at all selected receptors for each selected averaging period are screened and the peak value each period is written to timeseries files. Each file contains one averaging period. [PEAKVAL\_ASPEC\_ttHR\_CONC\_TSUNAM. DAT files] (LPEAK) -- Default: F ! LPEAK = F !-- Days selected for output (IECHO(366)) -- Default: 366\*0 ! IECHO = 366\*0 ! (366 values must be entered) Plot output options Plot files can be created for the Top-N, Exceedance, and Echo tables selected above. Two formats for these files are available, DATA and GRID. In the DATA format, results at all receptors are Listed along with the receptor location [x, y, val 1, val 2, ...]. In the GRID format, results at only gridded receptors are written, using a compact representation. The gridded values are written in rows (x varies), starting with the most southern row of the grid. The GRID format is given the .GRD extension, and includes headers compatible with the SURFER(R) plotting software. A plotting and analysis file can also be created for the daily peak visibility summary output, in DATA format only. Generate Plot file output in addition to writing tables to List file? (LPLT) -- Default: F ! LPLT = F ! Use GRID format rather than DATA format, when available? (LGRD) -- Default: F ! LGRD = F ! Auxiliary Output Files (for subsequent analyses) Visibility A separate output file may be requested that contains the change in visibility at each selected receptor when ASPEC = VISIB. Thi s file can be processed to construct visibility measures that are not available in CALPOST. Output file with the visibility change at each receptor? (MDVIS) -- Default: 0 ! MDVIS = 0 ! 0 = Do Not create file 1 = Create file of DAILY (24 hour) Delta-Deciview 2 = Create file of DAILY (24 hour) Extinction Change (%) 3 = Create file of HOURLY Delta-Deciview 4 = Create file of HOURLY Extinction Change (%)

Additional Debug Output

Output selected information to List file Page 12

for dobugging?	APPENDI X_D1				
for debuggi ng?	(LDEBUG) Default: F ! LD	EBUG = F !			
Output hourly extinction	information to REPORT.HRV?				
(VISIDITILY Method 7)	(LVEXTHR) Default: F ! LV	EXTHR = F !			

! END!

CALPUFF Demonstration

------ Run title (3 lines) ------CALPOST MODEL CONTROL FILE \_\_\_\_\_ INPUT GROUP: 0 -- Input and Output File Names \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ Input Files \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ File Default File Name -----MODEL.DAT Conc/Dep Flux File ! MODDAT =CALPUFF.CON ! VI SDAT = ...\..CPUF. VI S! \* \* BACKDAT = \* Relative Humidity File VI SB. DAT Background Data File BACK. DAT \* VSRDAT = Tranšmi ssometer or VSRN. DAT Nephelometer Data File or DATSAV Weather Data File or Prognostic Weather File Single-point Met File SURFACE. DAT \* MET1DAT = \* (Used ONLY to identify CALM hours for plume model output averaging when MCALMPRO option is used) **Output Files** \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ File Default File Name List File ! PSTLST =CALPOST. LST CALPOST. LST 1 Pathname for Timeseries Files (blank) (activate with exclamation points only if \* TSPATH = providing NON-BLANK character string) Pathname for Plot Files (bl ank) \* PLPATH = \* (activate with exclamation points only if providing NON-BLANK character string) User Character String (U) to augment default filenames (activate with exclamation points only if providing NON-BLANK character string) Timeseries TSERIES\_ASPEC\_ttHR\_CONC\_TSUNAM. DAT Peak Value PEAKVAL\_ASPEC\_ttHR\_CONC\_TSUNAM. DAT \* TSUNAM = RANK(ALL)\_ASPEC\_ttHR\_CONC\_TUNAM. DAT Top Nth Rank Plot or RANK(ii)\_ASPEC\_ttHR\_CONC\_TUNAM. GRD \* TUNAM = Exceedance Plot EXCEED\_ASPEC\_ttHR\_CONC\_XUNAM. DAT EXCEED\_ASPEC\_ttHR\_CONC\_XUNAM. GRD or

\* XUNAM =

Echo Plot (Specific Days) yyyy\_Mmm\_Ddd\_hhmm(UTCszzzz)\_L00\_ASPEC\_ttHR\_CONC.DAT yyyy\_Mmm\_Ddd\_hhmm(UTCszzzz)\_L00\_ASPEC\_ttHR\_CONC.GRD or Visibility Plot DAI LY\_VI SI B\_VUNAM. DAT ! VUNAM =VTEST \_\_\_! (Daily Peak Summary) Auxiliary Output Files File Default File Name \* DVI SDAT = Visibility Change DELVI S. DAT \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ All file names will be converted to lower case if LCFILES = T Otherwise, if LCFILES = F, file names will be converted to UPPER CASE T = lower case ! LCFILES = T ! F = UPPER CASENOTE: (1) file/path names can be up to 132 characters in length NOTE: (2) Filenames for ALL PLOT and TIMESERIES FILES are constructed using a template that includes a pathname, user-supplied character(s), and context-specific strings, where ASPEC = Species Name CONC = CONC Or WFLX Or DFLX Or TFLX tt = Averaging Period (e.g. 03) ii = Rank (e.g. 02) hh = Hour(ending) in LST szzzz = LST time zone shift (EST is -0500) yyyy = Year(LST) mm = Month(LST)dd = day of month (LST) are determined internally based on selections made below. If a path or user-supplied character(s) are supplied, each must contain at least 1 non-blank character. ! END! \_\_\_\_\_ INPUT GROUP: 1 -- General run control parameters Option to run all periods found in the met. file(s) (METRUN) Default: 0 ! METRUN = 1 ! METRUN = 0 - Run period explicitly defined below METRUN = 1 - Run all periods in CALPUFF data file(s) Starting date: Year (ISYR) - -No default ! I SYR 2008 ļ = (I SMO) No default Month ! I SMO - -= 1 I (I SDY) No default ! I SDY Day - -= 1 L Hour (ISHR) --Minute (ISMIN) --Starting time: No default ! I SHR 0 T = No default ! ISMIN = 0 T Second (ISSEC) --No default ! ISSEC = 0 Ţ Ending date: (IEYR)No default 2008 Year ! I EYR ļ - -= Month (I EMO)No default ! IEMO 12 - -= 1 Day (IEDY) - -No default ! IEDY = 31 \_ ! Page 2

APPENDIX D2 (IEHR) --! I EHR = 23 ! ! I EMIN = 0 ! Ending time: Hour No default Minute (IEMIŃ) --No default Second (IESEC) --No default ! | ESEC = 0 ! (These are only used if METRUN = 0) All times are in the base time zone of the CALPUFF simulation. CALPUFF Dataset Version 2.1 contains the zone, but earlier versions do not, and the zone must be specified here. The zone is the number of hours that must be ADDED to the time to obtain UTC (or GMT). Identify the Base Time Zone for the CALPUFF simulation (BTZONE) -- No default ! BTZONE = 8.0 ! Process every period of data? (NREP) -- Default: 1 ! NREP = 1 ! (1 = every period processed, 2 = every 2nd period processed, 5 = every 5th period processed, etc.) Species & Concentration/Deposition Information Species to process (ASPEC) -- No de (ASPEC = VISIB for visibility processing) -- No default ! ASPEC = N ! Layer/deposition code (ILAYER) -- Default: 1 ! ILAYER = -3 ! 1' for CALPUFF concentrations, '-1' for dry deposition fluxes,
'-2' for wet deposition fluxes,
'-3' for wet+dry deposition fluxes. Scaling factors of the form: X(new) = X(old) \* A + B -- Defaults: ! A = 0.0! B = 0.0A = 0.0Ţ (NOT applied if A = B = 0.0) B = 0.0Add Hourly Background Concentrations/Fluxes? (LBACK) -- Default: F ! LBACK = F ! Source of NO2 when ASPEC=NO2 (above) or LVNO2=T (Group 2) may be from CALPUFF NO2 concentrations OR from a fraction of CALPUFF NOx concentrations. Specify the fraction of NOx that is treated as NO2 either as a constant or as a table of fractions that depend on the magnitude of the NOx concentration: (NO2CALC) -- Default: 1 ! NO2CALC = 1 !Use NO2 directly (NO2 must be in file) Specify a single NO2/NOx ratio (RNO2NOX) Specify a table NO2/NOx ratios (TNO2NOX) (NOTE: Scaling Factors must NOT be used with NO2CALC=2) 0 =1 = 2 = Single NO2/NOx ratio (0.0 to 1.0) for treating some or ăll NOx as NO2, whère [NO2] = [NOX] \* RNO2ŇOX (used only if NO2CALC = 1) (RNO2NOX) -- Default: 1.0 ! RNO2NOX = 0.8 ! Table of NO2/NOx ratios that vary with NOx concentration. Provide 14 NOx concentrations (ug/m\*\*3) and the corresponding NO2/NOx ratio, with NOx increasing in magnitude. The ratio used for a particular NOx concentration is interpolated from the values provided in the table. The ratio for the smallest tabulated NOx concentration (the first) is used for all NOx concentrations less than the smallest tabulated value, and the ratio for the largest tabulated NOx concentration (the last) is used for all NOx Page 3

APPENDIX D2 concentrations greater than the largest tabulated value. (used only if NO2CALC = 2) NOx concentration(ug / m3) (CNÓX) -- No default  $! CNOX = 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, \\8.0, 9.0, 10.0, 11.0, 12.0, 13.0, 14.0 !$ NO2/NOx ratio for each NOx concentration: (TNO2NOX) -- No default Source information Option to process source contributions: Process only total reported contributions Sum all individual source contributions and process 0 = 1 = Run in TRACEBACK mode to identify source 2 = contributions at a SINGLE receptor (MSOURCE) -- Default: 0 ! MSOURCE = 0 !Plume Model Output Processing Options Output from models other than CALPUFF and CALGRID can be written in the CONC. DAT format and processed by CALPOST. Plume models such as AERMOD typically do not treat CALM hours, and do not include such hours in multiple-hour averages, with specific rules about how many calm hours can be removed from an average. This treatment is known as CALM Calm periods are identified from wind speeds in the PROCESSING. meteorological data file for the application, which must be identified in Input Ğroup O as the single-point meteorological data file MET1DAT. 0 = Option is not used for CALPUFF/CALĞRID output files Apply CALM processing procedures to multiple-hour averages (MCALMPRO) -- Default: 0 ! MCALMPRO = 0 ! 1 = Format of Single-point Met File 1 = AERMOD/AERMET SURFACE file (MET1FMT) -- Default: 1 ! MET1FMT = 1 ! Receptor information (LG) -- Default: F (LD) -- Default: F ! LG = F Gridded receptors processed? ! LD = TDiscrete receptors processed? CTSG Complex terrain receptors processed? ! LCT = F ! (LCT) -- Default: F --Report results by DISCRETE receptor RING? (LDRING) -- Default: F ! LDRING = F ! (only used when LD = T) --Select range of DISCRETE receptors (only used when LD = T): Select ALL DISCRETE receptors by setting NDRECP flag to -1; OR Select SPECIFIC DISCRETE receptors by entering a flag (0,1) for each 0 = discrete receptor not processed 1 = discrete receptor processed Page 4

APPENDIX D2 using repeated value notation to select blocks of receptors: 23\*1, 15\*0, 12\*1 Flag for all receptors after the last one assigned is set to O (NDŘECP) -- Default: -1 ! NDRECP = TBD ! --Select range of GRIDDED receptors (only used when LG = T): X index of LL corner (IBGRID) -- Default: -1 ! | BGR|D = -1 ! (-1 OR 1 <= IBGRID <= NX) Y index of LL corner (JBGRID) -- Default: -1 ! JBGRID = -1 !(-1 OR 1 <= JBGRID <= NY)X index of UR corner (IEGRID) -- Default: -1 ! IEGRID = -1 ! (-1 OR 1 <= | EGRID <= NX)Y index of UR corner (JEGRID) -- Default: -1 ! JEGRID = -1 !(-1 OR 1 <= JEGRID <= NY) Note: Entire grid is processed if IBGRID=JBGRID=IEGRID=JEGRID=-1

--Specific gridded receptors can also be excluded from CALPOST processing by filling a processing grid array with Os and 1s. If the processing flag for receptor index (i,j) is 1 (ON), that receptor will be processed if it lies within the range delineated by IBGRID, JBGRID, IEGRID, JEGRID and if LG=T. If it is 0 (OFF), it will not be processed in the run. By default, all array values are set to 1 (ON).

Number of gridded receptor rows provided in Subgroup (1a) to identify specific gridded receptors to process (NGONOFF) -- Default: 0 ! NGONOFF = 0 !

! END!

Sub	group (	1a)	Spec	ci fi c	gri do	ded i	receptors	i ncl u	ıded∕excl	uded
	Speci f	ic gi	ri dded	recep	otors	are	excl uded	from	CALPOST	process

Specific gridded receptors are excluded from CALPOST processing by filling a processing grid array with 0s and 1s. A total of NGONOFF lines are read here. Each line corresponds to one 'row' in the sampling grid, starting with the NORTHERNMOST row that contains receptors that you wish to exclude, and finishing with row 1 to the SOUTH (no intervening rows may be skipped). Within a row, each receptor position is assigned either a 0 or 1, starting with the westernmost receptor.

- 0 = gridded receptor not processed
- 1 = gridded receptor processed
- Repeated value notation may be used to select blocks of receptors: 23\*1, 15\*0, 12\*1

Because all values are initially set to 1, any receptors north of the first row entered, or east of the last value provided in a row, remain ON.

(NGXRECP) -- Default: 1

INPUT GROUP: 2 -- Visibility Parameters (ASPEC = VISIB) Test visibility options specified to see if they conform to FLAG 2008 configuration? (MVISCHECK) -- Default: 1 ! MVISCHECK = 0 ! 0 = NO checks are made Technical options must conform to FLAG 2008 visibility guidance 1 = ASPEC = VISIBLVNO2 = TNO2CALC = 1 RNO2NOX = 1.0MVISBK = 8 $M8_MODE = 5$ Some of the data entered for use with the FLAG 2008 configuration are specific to the Class I area being evaluated. These values can be checked within the CALPOST user interface when the name of the Class I area is provided. Name of Class I Area (used for QA purposes only) (AREANAME) -- Default: User ! AREANAME = USER ! Particle growth curve f(RH) for hygroscopic species (MFRH) -- Default: 4 ! MFRH \_\_! 4 IWAQM (1998) f(RH) curve (originally used with MVISBK=1) 1 = FLAG (2000) f(RH) tabulation EPA (2003) f(RH) tabulation 2 = 3 = IMPROVE (2006) f(RH) tabulations for sea salt, and for small and large SULFATE and NITRATE particles; 4 = Used in Visibility Method 8 (MVISBK = 8 with M8\_MODE = 1, 2, or 3) Maximum relative humidity (%) used in particle growth curve (RHMAX) -- Default: 98 ! RHMAX = 98 ! Modeled species to be included in computing the light extinction Include SULFATE? (LVSO4) -- Default: T ! LVSO4 = T Include NITRATE? (LVNO3) -- Default: ! LVN03 Т Т ļ -- Default: T Include ORGANIC CARBON? (LVOC) ! LVOC Т L = Include COARSE PARTICLES? (LVPMC) -- Default: T ! LVPMC = T Т Include FINE PARTICLES? (LVPMF) -- Default: T ! LVPMF = Т I Include ELEMENTAL CARBON? (LVEC) -- Default: T ! LVEC = T ļ Include NO2 absorption? (LVNO2) -- Default: F ! LVN02 = T Т With Visibility Method 8 -- Default: T FLAG (2008) And, when ranking for TOP-N, TOP-50, and Exceedance tables, Include BACKGROUND? (LVBK) -- Default: T ! LVBK = T ! Species name used for particulates in MODEL DAT file COARSE (SPECPMC) -- Default: PMC ! SPECPMC = PMC ! FINE (SPECPMF) -- Default: PMF ! SPECPMF = PMF ! Extinction Efficiency (1/Mm per ug/m\*\*3) MODELED particulate species: PM COARSE (EEPMC) -- Default: 0.6 ! EEPMC = 0.6 ! PM FINE (EEPMF) -- Default: 1.0 ! EEPMF = 1 ! BACKGROUND particulate species: (EEPMCBK) -- Default: 0.6 PM COARSE ! EEPMCBK = 0.6 !Page 6

APPENDI X_D2
Other species: AMMONIUM SULFATE (EESO4) Default: 3.0 ! EESO4 = 3 ! AMMONIUM NITRATE (EENO3) Default: 3.0 ! EENO3 = 3 ! ORGANIC CARBON (EEOC) Default: 4.0 ! EEOC = 4 ! SOIL (EESOIL) Default: 1.0 ! EESOIL = 1 ! ELEMENTAL CARBON (EEEC) Default: 10. ! EEEC = 10 ! NO2 GAS (EENO2) Default: .1755 ! EENO2 = 0.17 ! Visibility Method 8:
AMMONIUM SULFATE (EESO4S) AMMONIUM SULFATE (EESO4L) AMMONIUM SULFATE (EESO4L) AMMONIUM NITRATE (EENO3S) AMMONIUM NITRATE (EENO3L) AMMONIUM NITRATE (EENO3L) ORGANIC CARBON (EEOCS) ORGANIC CARBON (EEOCL) Set Internally (large) SEA SALT (EESALT) Set Internally
Background Extinction Computation
Method used for the 24h-average of percent change of light extinction: Hourly ratio of source light extinction / background light extinction is averaged? (LAVER) Default: F ! LAVER = F !
Method used for background light extinction (MVISBK) Default: 8 ! MVISBK = 8 ! FLAG (2008)
<ul> <li>Supply single light extinction and hygroscopic fraction</li> <li>Hourly F(RH) adjustment applied to hygroscopic background and modeled sulfate and nitrate</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Background extinction from speciated PM concentrations (A)</li> <li>Hourly F(RH) adjustment applied to observed and modeled sulfate and nitrate</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>F(RH) factor is capped at F(RHMAX)</li> <li>Background extinction from speciated PM concentrations (B)</li> <li>Hourly F(RH) adjustment applied to observed and modeled sulfate and nitrate</li> <li>Receptor-hour excluded if RH&gt;RHMAX</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Receptor-day excluded if fewer than 6 valid receptor-hours</li> <li>Read hourly transmissometer background extinction measurements         <ul> <li>Hourly F(RH) adjustment applied to modeled sulfate and nitrate</li> <li>Hour excluded if measurement invalid (missing, interference, or large RH)</li> <li>Receptor-hour excluded if RH&gt;RHMAX</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Receptor-day excluded if fewer than 6 valid receptor-hours</li> <li>Read hourly nephelometer background extinction measurements</li> <li>Rayleigh extinction value (BEXTRAY) added to measurement</li> <li>Hourly F(RH) adjustment applied to modeled sulfate and nitrate</li> <li>Hour excluded if measurement invalid (missing, interference, or large RH)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Receptor-hour excluded if RH&gt;RHMAX</li> <li>Receptor-day excluded if fewer than 6 valid receptor-hours</li> <li>Background extinction from speciated PM concentrations</li> <li>FLAG (2000) monthly RH adjustment factor applied to observed and and modeled sulfate and nitrate</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>7 = Use observed weather or prognostic weather information for background extinction during weather events; otherwise, use Method 2</li> <li>Hourly F(RH) adjustment applied to modeled sulfate and nitrate</li> <li>F(RH) factor is capped at F(RHMAX)</li> <li>During observed weather events, compute Bext from visual range if using an observed weather data file, or</li> <li>During prognostic weather events, use Bext from the prognostic</li> </ul>

- During prognostic weather events, use Bext from the prognostic Page 7

### APPENDIX D2

weather file

- Use Method 2 for hours without a weather event

- 8 = Background extinction from speciated PM concentrations using
  - the IMPROVE (2006) variable extinction efficiency formulation (MFRH must be set to 4)
    Split between small and large particle concentrations of SULFATES, NITRATES, and ORGANICS is a function of concentration and different extinction efficiencies are used for each
  - Source-induced change in visibility includes the increase in extinction of the background aerosol due to the change in the extinction efficiency that now depends on total concentration. - Fsmall (RH) and Flarge(RH) adjustments for small and large
  - particles are applied to observed and modeled sulfate and nitrate concentrations
  - Fsalt(RH) adjustment for sea salt is applied to background sea salt concentrations
  - F(RH) factors are capped at F(RHMAX)
  - RH for Fsmall(RH), Flarge(RH), and Fsalt(RH) may be obtained from hourly data as in Method 2 or from the FLAG monthly RH adjustment factor used for Method 6 where EPA F(RH) tabulation is used to infer RH, or monthly Fsmall, Flarge, and Fsalt RH adjustment factors can be directly entered. Furthermore, a monthly RH factor may be applied to either hourly concentrations or daily concentrations to obtain the 24-hour extinction.

These choices are made using the M8\_MODE selection.

Additional inputs used for MVISBK = 1: Background light extinction (1/Mm) (BEXTBK) -- No default ! BEXTBK = 12 ! Percentage of particles affected by relative humidity (RHFRAC) -- No default ! RHFRAC = 10 !

Additional inputs used for MVISBK = 6,8:

Extinction coefficients for hygroscopic species (modeled and background) are computed using a monthly RH adjustment factor in place of an hourly RH factor (VISB.DAT file is NOT needed). Enter the 12 monthly factors here (RHFAC). Month 1 is January.

(RHFAC) -- No default ! RHFAC = 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 !

Additional inputs used for MVISBK = 7:

The weather data file (DATSAV abbreviated space-delimited) that is identified as VSRN. DAT may contain data for more than one station. Identify the stations that are needed in the order in which they will be used to obtain valid weather and visual range. The first station that contains valid data for an hour will be Enter up to MXWSTA (set in PARAMS file) integer station IDs used of up to 6 digits each as variable IDWSTA, and enter the corresponding time zone for each, as variable TZONE (= UTC-LST).

A prognostic weather data file with Bext for weather events may be used in place of the observed weather file. Identify this as the VSRN. DAT file and use a station ID of IDWSTA = 999999, and TZONE = 0.

TZONE identifies the time zone used in the dataset. NOTE: The DATSAV abbreviated space-delimited data usually are prepared with UTC time rather than local time, so TZONE is typically

set to zero.

(IDWSTA)	No default	* IDWSTA = 000	000 *
(TZONE)	No default	* TZONE =	0. *

Additional inputs used for MVISBK = 2, 3, 6, 7, 8:

Background extinction coefficients are computed from monthly CONCENTRATIONS of ammonium sulfate (BKSO4), ammonium nitrate (BKNO3), coarse particulates (BKPMC), organic carbon (BKOC), soil (BKSOIL), and elemental carbon (BKÈC). Month 1 is January. (ug/m\*\*3)

(BKS04)	No default	$\begin{array}{rcl} I & BKS04 &=& 0.02,$	
(BKNO3)	No default	$\begin{array}{rcl} 0.02, 0.02, 0.02, 0.02, 0.02 \\ 0.01, 0.01, 0.01, 0.01, 0.01, \\ 0.01, 0.01, 0.01, 0.01, 0.01, \end{array}$	
(BKPMC)	No default	$\begin{array}{r} 0.01, 0.01, 0.01, 0.01, 0.01 \\ 0.01, 0.01, 0.01, 0.01 \\ 0.21, 0.21, 0.21, 0.21, 0.21, \\ 0.21, 0.21, 0.21, 0.21, 0.21, \end{array}$	
(BKOC)	No default	$\begin{array}{r} 0.21, 0.21, 0.21, 0.21, 0.21 \\ 0.21, 0.21, 0.21, 0.21 \\ 0.06, 0.06, 0.06, 0.06, 0.06, \\ 0.06, 0.06, 0.06, 0.06, 0.06, \end{array}$	
(BKSOIL)	No default	0. 06, 0. 06, 0. 06, 0. 06, 0. 06, BKSOI L= 0. 04, 0. 04, 0. 04, 0. 04, 0. 04, 0. 04, 0. 04, 0. 04, 0. 04,	
(BKEC)	No default	$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{BKEC} &= & 0.04, 0.$	
Additiona	l inputs used for	0.00,0.00,0.00,0.00	

Additional inputs used for MVISBK = 8:

Extinction coefficients for hygroscopic species (modeled and background) may be computed using hourly RH values and hourly model ed concentrations, or using monthly RH values inferred from the RHFAC adjustment factors and either hourly or daily model ed concentrations, or using monthly RHFSML, RHFLRG, and RHFSEA adjustment factors and either hourly or daily model ed concentrations.

(M8\_MODE) -- Default: 5 ! M8\_MODE= 5 ļ FLAG (2008)

- 1 = Use hourly RH values from VISB.DAT file with hourly
- 1 = Use nourly RH Values from VISB. DAT file with nourly modeled and monthly background concentrations.
  2 = Use monthly RH from monthly RHFAC and EPA (2003) f(RH) tabulation with hourly modeled and monthly background concentrations. (VISB. DAT file is NOT needed).
  3 = Use monthly RH from monthly RHFAC with EPA (2003) f(RH) tabulation with daily modeled and monthly background concentrations. (VISB. DAT file is NOT needed).
  4 = Use monthly RHFSML, RHFLRG, and RHFSEA with hourly modeled and monthly background concentrations.
- and monthly background concentrations. (VISB.DAT file is NOT needed).
- 5 = Use monthly RHFSML, RHFLRG, and RHFSEA with daily modeled and monthly background concentrations. (VISB.DAT file is NOT needed).

Background extinction coefficients are computed from monthly CONCENTRATIONS of sea salt (BKSALT). Month 1 is January.  $(ug/m^{*}3)$ 

(BKSALT) -- No default

! BKSALT= 0.01, 0.01, 0.01, 0.01, Page 9

APPENDIX D2 0.01, 0.01, 0.01, 0.01, 0.01, 0.01, 0.01, 0.01 ! Extinction coefficients for hygroscopic species (modeled and background) can be computed using monthly RH adjustment factors in place of an hourly RH factor (VISB.DAT file is NOT needed). Enter the 12 monthly factors here (RHFSML, RHFLRG, RHFSEA). Month 1 is January. (Used if M8\_MODE = 4 or 5) 

 Small ammonium sulfate and ammonium nitrate particle sizes

 (RHFSML) -- No default
 ! RHFSML= 5.71, 5.00, 4.46, 4.23,

 3.89, 3.55, 3.26, 3.41,

 4.02, 5.13, 5.89, 5.98 !

 Large ammonium sulfate and ammonium nitrate particle sizes (RHFLRG) -- No default ! RHFLRG= 3.78, 3.40, 3.10, 2.98, 2.78, 2.60, 2.43, 2.52, 2.84, 3.45, 3.87, 3.92 ! Sea salt particles ! RHFSEA= 5.24, 4.74, 4.34, 4.18, 3.91, 3.66, 3.41, 3.51, 3.93, 4.78, 5.36, 5.44 ! (RHFSEA) -- No default Additional inputs used for MVISBK = 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8: ------Extinction due to Rayleigh scattering is added (1/Mm) (BEXTRAY) -- Default: 10.0 ! BEXTRAY = 10 ! ! END! \_\_\_\_\_ INPUT GROUP: 3 -- Output options \_\_\_\_\_ Documentati on \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ Documentation records contained in the header of the CALPUFF output file may be written to the list file. Print documentation image? (LDOC) -- Default: F ! LDOC = F ! Output Units (IPRTU) -- Default: 1 ! IPRTU = 3 Units for All Output . 1 for for Concentrati on Deposition g/m\*\*3 mg/m\*\*3 ug/m\*\*3 ng/m\*\*3 g/m\*\*2/s mg/m\*\*2/s ug/m\*\*2/s ng/m\*\*2/s 1 = 2 = 3 = 4 = 5 = Odour Units Visibility: extinction expressed in 1/Mega-meters (IPRTU is ignored) Averaging time(s) reported (L1PD) -- Default: T ! L1PD = F ! 1-pd averages (pd = averaging period of model output)

1 hr averages	APPENDI X_D2
1-hr averages	(L1HR) Default: T ! L1HR = F !
3-hr averages	(L3HR) Default: T ! L3HR = F !
C C	(L24HR) Default: T ! L24HR = T !
	(LRUNL) Default: T ! LRUNL = F !
User-specified averag - results for this av	ing time in hours, minutes, seconds reraging time are reported if it is not zero
	(NAVGH) Default: 0 ! NAVGH = 0 ! (NAVGM) Default: 0 ! NAVGM = 0 ! (NAVGS) Default: 0 ! NAVGS = 0 !
Types of tabulations repo	orted
for the In addi below m extinct	isibility tabulations are always reported selected receptors when ASPEC = VISIB. tion, any of the other tabulations listed ay be chosen to characterize the light ion coefficients. Tile or Plot/Analysis File]
2) Top 50 table for ea [List file only]	ch averaging time selected
	(LT50) Default: T  !  LT50 = F !
3) Top 'N' table for e [List file or Plot	each averaging time selected file] (LTOPN) Default: F ! LTOPN = T !
Number of 'Top selected (NTOP	p-N' values at each receptor 9 must be <= 4) (NTOP) Default: 4 ! NTOP = 1 !
(NTOP values m	of 'Top-N' values reported nust be entered) (4) array) Default: ! ITOP = 1 ! 1,2,3,4
4) Threshold exceedanc time selected	e counts for each receptor and each averaging
[List file or Plot	file] (LEXCD) Default: F ! LEXCD = F !
Identify the t non-negative v	hreshold for each averaging time by assigning a value (output units).
Threshold for	Default: -1.0 1-hr averages (THRESH1) ! THRESH1 = -1.0 ! 3-hr averages (THRESH3) ! THRESH3 = -1.0 ! 24-hr averages (THRESH24) ! THRESH24 = -1.0 ! NAVG-hr averages (THRESHN) ! THRESHN = -1.0 !
tallied daily,	e shortest averaging period selected can be and receptors that experience more than NCOUNT by NDAY period will be reported. This type of Page 11

APPENDIX D2 exceedance violation output is triggered only if NDAY > 0. Accumulation period(Days) (NDAY) -- Default: 0 NDAY = 0 !1 Number of exceedances allowed (NCOUNT) -- Default: 1 ļ NCOUNT = 1 ! 5) Selected day table(s) Echo Option -- Many records are written each averaging period selected and output is grouped by day [List file or Plot file] (LĒCHO) -- Default: F ! LECHO = F ! Timeseries Option -- Averages at all selected receptors for each selected averaging period are written to timeseries files. Each file contains one averaging period, and all receptors are written to a single record each averaging time. [TSERIES\_ASPEC\_ttHR\_CONC\_TSUNAM. DAT files] (LTIME) -- Default: F ! LTIME = F !Peak Value Option -- Averages at all selected receptors for each selected averaging period are screened and the peak value each period is written to timeseries files. Each file contains one averaging period. [PEAKVAL\_ASPEC\_ttHR\_CONC\_TSUNAM.DAT files] (LPEAK) -- Default: F ! LPEAK = F !-- Days selected for output (IECHO(366)) -- Default: 366\*0 ! | ECHO = 366\*0 ! (366 values must be entered)

Plot output options

Plot files can be created for the Top-N, Exceedance, and Echo tables selected above. Two formats for these files are available, DATA and GRID. In the DATA format, results at all receptors are listed along with the receptor location [x, y, val 1, val 2, ...]. In the GRID format, results at only gridded receptors are written, using a compact representation. The gridded values are written in rows (x varies), starting with the most southern row of the grid. The GRID format is given the .GRD extension, and includes headers compatible with the SURFER(R) plotting software.

A plotting and analysis file can also be created for the daily peak visibility summary output, in DATA format only.

Generate Plot file output in addition to writing tables to List file?

(LPLT) -- Default: F ! LPLT = F !

Use GRID format rather than DATA format, when available?

(LGRD) -- Default: F ! LGRD = F !

Auxiliary Output Files (for subsequent analyses)

Visibility

A separate output file may be requested that contains the change in visibility at each selected receptor when ASPEC = VISIB. This file can be processed to construct visibility measures that are not available in CALPOST.

(LVEXTHR) -- Default: F ! LVEXTHR = F !

! END!

POSTUTIL Run Deposition Analysis ------ Run title (3 lines) ------POSTUTIL MODEL CONTROL FILE \_\_\_\_\_ INPUT GROUP: 0 -- Input and Output File Names Subgroup (Oa) \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ Output Files -----File Default File Name \_ \_ \_ \_ POSTUTIL.LST! UTLLST =putildep.lstMODEL.DAT! UTLDAT =putildep.dat List File Data File T 1 Input Files A time-varying file of "background" concentrations can be included when the ammonia-limiting method (ALM) for setting the HN03/N03 concentration partition is accomplished in 1 step. This option is selected by setting MNITRATE=3 in Input Group 1. Species required in the "background" concentration file are: SO4, NO3, HNO3 and TNH3 (total NH3). File Default File Name -----BCKG File BCKGALM. DAT \* BCKGALM =BCKGALM. DAT A number of CALPUFF data files may be processed in this application. The files may represent individual CALPUFF simulations that were made for a specific set of species and/or sources. Specify the total number of CALPUFF runs you wish to combine, and provide the filename for each in subgroup Ob. Number of CALPUFF data files (NFILES) Default: 1 ! NFILES = 2 ! Meteorological data files are needed for the HN03/N03 partition option. Three types of meteorological data files can be used: MÉTFM= O - CALMET. ĎAT METFM= 1 - 1-D file with RH, Temp and Rhoair timeseries METFM= 2 - 2-D files with either Rh, Temp or Rhoair in each (3 2\_D files are needed) The default is to use CALMET. DAT files. Default: 0 ! METFM = 0 !

Multiple meteorological data files may be used in sequence to span the processing period. Specify the number of time-period files (NMET) that Page 1

APPENDIX D3 you need to use, and provide a filename for each in subgroup Ob. - NMET is 0 if no meteorological files are provided NMET is 1 if METFM=1 (multiple file feature is not available)
 NMET is 1 or more if METFM=0 or 2 (multiple CALMET files or 2DMET files) Number of meteorological data file time-periods (NMET) Defaul t: 0 ! NMÉT = 0 ! All filenames will be converted to lower case if LCFILES = T Otherwise, if LCFILES = F, filenames will be converted to UPPER CASE Convert filenames to lower case? Default: T ! LCFILES = T ! T = I ower caseF = UPPER CASE! END! \_\_\_\_\_ NOTE: file/path names can be up to 70 characters in length \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ Subgroup (Ob) \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ NMET CALMET Data Files (METFM=0): Default File Name Input File 1 MET.DAT \* UTLMET =~calpuff\_j an08.met \* \*END\* NMET 1-D Data Files (METFM=1): Input File Default File Name . . . . . . . 1 MET\_1D. DAT \* MET1D = MET\_1D. DAT \* \*END\* NMET 2-D Data Files of Each Type (METFM=2): Input File Default File Name \* M2DRHU = RELHUM. DAT \* \*END\* \* M2DTMP = TEMP. DAT \* \*END\* \* M2DRHO = RHOAI R. DAT \* \*END\* RHUMD. DAT 1 1 TEMP. DAT 1 RHOALR. DAT NFILES CALPUFF Data Files: Input File Default File Name \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_\_\_\_\_ ! MODDAT =cpuf08.dfx! !END! CALPUFF. DAT 1 CALPUFF. DAT ! MODDAT =cpuf08.wfx! !END! 2 Note: provide NMET lines of the form \* UTLMET = name \* \*END\* \* MET1D = name \* \*END\* or Page 2

	or (and) (and)	* M2DRHU = name * M2DTMP = name * M2DRHO = name	e * *END*	
and NFILES line	s of the form	* MODDAT = name	e * *END*	
where the * should be replaced with an exclamation point, the special delimiter character.				
INPUT GROUP: 1 Gene	ral run control p	arameters		
Starting date:	Year (ISYR) Month (ISMO) Day (ISDY) Hour (ISHR)	No default No default No default No default	!   SYR = 2008 ! !   SMO = 1 ! !   SDY = 1 ! !   SHR = 1 !	
Number of periods	to process (NPER)	No default	! NPER = 8784 !	
Number of species	to process from (NSPECINP)	CALPUFF runs No default	! NSPECINP = 5 !	
Number of species	to write to outp (NSPECOUT)	ut file No default	! NSPECOUT = 2 !	
Number of species (must be no great	er than NSPECOUT)		! NSPECCMP = 2 !	
When multiple files a	re used, a specie	s name may appea	ar in more than	

When multiple files are used, a species name may appear in more than one file. Data for this species will be summed (appropriate if the CALPUFF runs use different source groups). If this summing is not appropriate, remove duplicate species from the file(s).

Stop run if duplicate species names are found? (MDUPLCT) Default: 0 ! MDUPLCT = 0 ! 0 = no (i.e., duplicate species are summed) 1 = yes (i.e., run is halted)

Data for each species in a CALPUFF data file may also be scaled as they are read. This can be done to alter the emission rate of all sources that were modeled in a particular CALPUFF application. The scaling factor for each species is entered in Subgroup (2d), for each file for which scaling is requested.

Number of CALPUFF data files that will be scaled (must be no greater than NFILES) (NSCALED) Default: 0 ! NSCALED = 0 !

Ammonia-Limiting Method Option to recompute the HN03/N03 concentration partition prior to performing other actions is controlled by MNITRATE. This option will NOT alter any deposition fluxes contained in the CALPUFF file(s). Three partition selections are provided. The first two are typically used in sequence (POSTUTIL is run more than once). The first selection (MNITRATE=1) computes the partition for the TOTAL (all sources) concentration fields (SO4, NO3, HNO3; NH3), and the second (MNITRATE=2)

uses this partition (from the previous application of POSTUTIL) to compute the partition for individual source groups. The third selection (MNITRATE=3) can be used instead in a single POSTUTIL application if a file of background concentrations is provided (BCKGALM in Input Group 0).

- Required information for MNITRATE=1 includes: species NO3, HNO3, and SO4 NH3 concentration(s) met. data file for RH and T
- Required information for MNITRATE=2 includes: species NO3 and HNO3 for a source group species NO3ALL and HNO3ALL for all source groups, properly partitioned
- Required information for MNITRATE=3 includes: species NO3, HNO3, and SO4 for a source group species NO3, HNO3, SO4 and TNH3 from the background BCKGALM file If TNH3 is not in the background BCKGALM file, monthly TNH3 concentrations are used (BCKTNH3)

Recompute the HNO3/NO3 partition for concentrations? (MNITRATE) Default: 0 ! MNITRATE = 0 ! 0 = no

- 1 = yes, for all sources combined
- 2 = yes, for a source group
- 3 = yes, ALM application in one step

SOURCE OF AMMONIA:

Ammonia may be available as a modeled species in the CALPUFF files, and it may or may not be appropriate to use it for repartitioning NO3/HNO3 (in option MNITRATE=1 or MNITRATE=3). Its use is contolled by NH3TYP. When NH3 is listed as a processed species in Subgroup (2a), as one of the NSPECI NP ASPECI entries, and the right option is chosen for NH3TYP, the NH3 modeled values from the CALPUFF concentration files will be used in the chemical equilibrium calculation.

NH3TYP also controls when monthly background ammonia values are used. Both gaseous (NH3) and total (TNH3) ammonia can be provided monthly as BCKNH3/BCKTNH3.

What is the input source of Ammonia? (NH3TYP) No Default ! NH3TYP = 3 ! 0 = No background will be used. ONLY NH3 from the concentration files listed in Subgroup (2a) as a processed species will be used.

1 = NH3 Monthly averaged background (BCKNH3) listed below will be added to NH3 from concentration files listed in Subgroup (2a)

(Cannot be used with MNITRATE=3)

- 2 = NH3 from background concentration file BCKGALM will be added to NH3 from concentration files listed in Subgroup (2a) (ONLY possible for MNITRATE=3)
- 3 = NH3 Monthly averaged background (BCKNH3) listed below will be used alone.

APPENDIX D3 4 = NH3 from background concentration file BCKGALM will be used alone (ONLY possible for MNITRATE=3) NH3TYP NH3 CONC NH3 FROM BCKGALM NH3 FROM BCKNH3 \_ 0 Х 0 0 \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ - - -1 Х Х 0 \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ - - -2 0 Х Х \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ - - -\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ 3 0 Х 0 \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ 4 0 0 Х \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ Default monthly (12 values) background ammonia concentration (ppb) used for HN03/NO3 partition: Gaseous NH3 (BCKNH3) \* BCKNH3 = 12\*17 \* Default: -999 Total TNH3 (BCKTNH3) Default: -999 BCKTNH3 = 1., 1., 1., 1.1, 1.4, 1.3, 1.3, 1.2, 4\*1. \* If a single value is entered, this is used for all 12 months. Month 1 is JANUARY, Month 12 is DECEMBER. ! END! \_\_\_\_\_ INPUT GROUP: 2 -- Species Processing Information \_ Subgroup (2a) \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ The following NSPECINP species will be processed: ASPECI = S02 ! ! END! Т ASPECI = S04 ! ! END! ASPECI = NOX ! ! END! ! ASPECI = HNO3! ! END! ! ASPECI = ! END! NO3 ! \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ Subgroup (2b) \_\_\_\_ The following NSPECOUT species will be written: ! ASPEC0 = S ! ! END! ! ASPEC0 = Ν! ! END! Subgroup (2c) \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_

The following NSPECCMP species will be computed by scaling and summing one or more of the processed input species. Identify the name(s) of

I

the computed species and provide the scaling factors for each of the NSPECINP input species (NSPECCMP groups of NSPECINP+1 lines each):

! CSPECCMP ! SO2 ! SO4 ! NOX ! HNO3 ! NO3 ! END!	= = = =	N ! 0.0 ! 0.291667 ! 0.30435 ! 0.222222 ! 0.451613 !
! CSPECCMP ! SO2 ! SO4 ! NOX ! HNO3 ! NO3 ! END!	= = = =	S ! 0.500000 ! 0.333333 ! 0.0 ! 0.0 ! 0.0 ! 0.0 !

Subgroup (2d)

Each species in NSCALED CALPUFF data files may be scaled before being processed (e.g., to change the emission rate for all sources modeled in the run that produced a data file). For each file, identify the file name and then provide the name(s) of the scaled species and the corresponding scaling factors (A, B where x' = Ax+B).

	A(Defaul t=1.0)	B(Defaul t=0.0)		
* MODDAT =NOFILES.DAT *				
* S02 =	1.1,	0.0 *		
* S04 =	1.5,	0.0 *		
* HNO3 =	0.8,	0.0 *		
* NO3 =	0.1,	0.0 *		
*END*				

# Attachment E Sample CALMET Input File

------ Run title (3 lines) ------CALMET MODEL CONTROL FILE \_\_\_\_\_ INPUT GROUP: 0 -- Input and Output File Names Subgroup (a) Default Name Type File Name \_\_\_\_\_ GEO. DAT i nput ! GEODAT=GEO4KM. DAT ļ SURF. DAT input ! SRFDAT=SURF. DAT \_ ! \* CLDDAT= CLOUD. DAT i nput ! PRCDAT=PRECI P. DAT PRECI P. DAT input \_ ! \* WTDAT= WT. DAT i nput CALMET. LST output ! METLST=CMET. LST ļ CALMET. DAT ! METDAT=CMET. DAT output İ. PACOUT. DAT output \* PACDAT= All file names will be converted to lower case if LCFILES = T Otherwise, if LCFILES = F, file names will be converted to UPPER CASE T = I ower caseF = UPPER CASE! LCFILES = T !NUMBER OF UPPER AIR & OVERWATER STATIONS: Number of upper air stations (NUSTA) No default ! NUSTA = 1 ! Number of overwater met stations (NOWSTA) No default ! NOWSTA = 1 ! NUMBER OF PROGNOSTIC and IGF-CALMET FILES: Number of MM4/MM5/3D. DAT files (NM3D) No default ! NM3D = 12 ! Number of IGF-CALMET. DAT files (NIGF) No default ! NIGF = 0 !! END! \_\_\_\_\_ Subgroup (b) Upper air files (one per station) ------Default Name Type File Name ----\_ UP1.DAT input 1 ! UPDAT=UPPWM. DAT! ! END! \_\_\_\_\_ Subgroup (c) Overwater station files (one per station) Default Name Type File Name input 1 ! SEADAT=SEA. DAT! ! END! SEA1. DAT 

Page 1
Subgroup (d) -----MM4/MM5/3D. DAT files (consecutive or overlapping) -----Default Name Type File Name MM51.DAT input 1 \* M3DDAT=LSP2003.DAT \* \*END\* \_\_\_\_\_ Subgroup (e) \_\_\_\_\_ IGF-CALMET. DAT files (consecutive or overlapping) \_\_\_\_\_ Default Name Type File Name I GFn. DAT i nput 1 \* I GFDAT=CALMETO. DAT \* \*END\* · Subgroup (f) Other file names \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ Default Name Type File Name DI AG. DAT i nput \* DI ADAT= PROG. DAT i nput \* PRGDAT= \* TEST. PRT \* TSTPRT= \* output output \* TSTPRT= output \* TSTOUT= output \* TSTKI N= output \* TSTFRD= output \* TSTSLP= output \* DCSTGD= TEST. OUT TEST. KI N \* TEST. FRD TEST. SLP \* output DCST. GRD NOTES: (1) File/path names can be up to 70 characters in length
(2) Subgroups (a) and (f) must have ONE 'END' (surrounded by delimiters) at the end of the group
(3) Subgroups (b) through (e) are included ONLY if the corresponding number of files (NUSTA, NOWSTA, NM3D, NIGF) is not 0, and each must have an 'END' (surround by delimiters) at the end of EACH LINE ! END! \_\_\_\_\_ INPUT GROUP: 1 -- General run control parameters Year (IBYR) -- No default! IBYR= 2006 !Month (IBMO) -- No default! IBMO= 1 !Day (IBDY) -- No default! IBDY= 1 !Hour (IBHR) -- No default! IBHR= 0 ! Starting date: Note: IBHR is the time at the END of the first hour of the simulation (IBHR=1, the first hour of a day, runs from 00:00 to 01:00) (IBTZ) -- No default Base time zone ! I BTZ= 8 ! PST = 08, MST = 07CST = 06, EST = 05Length of run (hours) (IRLG) -- No default ! I RLG= 8760 ! Page 2

(IRTYPE) -- Default: 1 ! IRTYPE= 1 ! Run type 0 = Computes wind fields only 1 = Computes wind fields and micrometeorological variables (u\*, w\*, L, zi, etc.) (IRTYPE must be 1 to run CALPUFF or CALGRID) Compute special data fields required by CALGRID (i.e., 3-D fields of W wind components and temperature) in additional to regular fields ? (LCALGRD) (LCALGRD must be T to run CALGRID) Default: T ! LCALGRD = T ! Flag to stop run after SETUP phase (ITEST) Default: 2 ! ITEST= 2 ! (Used to allow checking of the model inputs, files, etc.) ITEST = 1 - STOPS program after SETUP phase ITEST = 2 - Continues with execution of COMPUTATIONAL phase after SETUP Test options specified to see if they conform to regulatory ! MREG = 1 ! values? (MREG) No Default 0 = NO checks are made 1 = Technical options must conform to USEPA guidance I MI XH' -1 Maul-Carson convective mixing height over land; OCD mixing height overwater OCD deltaT method for overwater fluxes I COARE  $\cap$ Threshold buoyancy flux over land needed THRESHL 0.0 to sustain convective mixing height growth ! END! \_\_\_\_\_ INPUT GROUP: 2 -- Map Projection and Grid Information for Output \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ Projecti on Map projection for all X, Y (km) (PMAP) Default: UTM ! PMAP = LCC ! Universal Transverse Mercator Tangential Transverse Mercator UTM : TTM : LCC : Lambert Conformal Conic PS : Polar Stereographic EM : Equatorial Mercator LAZA: Lambert Azimuthal Equal Area False Easting and Northing (km) at the projection origin (Used only if PMAP= TTM, LCC, or LAZA) (FEAST) Defaul t=0.0 ! FEAST = 0.0 !(FNORTH) ! FNORTH = 0.0 !Defaul t=0.0 UTM zone (1 to 60) (Used only if PMAP=UTM)

APPENDI X\_E ! | UTMZN = -999 !(IUTMZN) No Default Hemisphere for UTM projection? (Used only if PMAP=UTM) (UTMHEM) Default: N ! UTMHEM = N !Northern hemisphere projection Ν S Southern hemisphere projection Latitude and Longitude (decimal degrees) of projection origin (Used only if PMAP= TTM, LCC, PS, EM, or LAZA) (RLATO) No Default ! R ŔLATO = 34.06179N ! ! RLONO = 117.8192W ! (RLONO) No Default RLONO identifies central (true N/S) meridian of projection RLATO selected for convenience TTM : RLONO identifies central (true N/S) meridian of projection LCC : RLATO selected for convenience PS RLONO identifies central (grid N/S) meridian of projection • RLATO selected for convenience EM RLONO identifies central meridian of projection : RLATO is REPLACED by 0.0N (Equator) RLONO identifies longitude of tangent-point of mapping plane RLATO identifies latitude of tangent-point of mapping plane LAZA: Matching parallel(s) of latitude (decimal degrees) for projection (Used only if PMAP= LCC or PS) No Default (RLAT1) ! RLAT1 = 33. ON ! (RLAT2) No Default ! RLAT2 = 35. ON ! Projection cone slices through Earth's surface at RLAT1 and RLAT2 LCC : PS : Projection plane slices through Earth at RLAT1 (RLAT2 is not used) \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ Latitudes and longitudes should be positive, and include a Note: letter N, S, E, or <code>\Vec{W}</code> indicating north or south latitude, and east or west longitude. For example, 35.9 N Latitude = 35.9N 118.7 E Longi tude = 118.7E Output Datum-Region The Datum-Region for the output coordinates is identified by a character string. Many mapping products currently available use the model of the Earth known as the World Geodetic System 1984 (WGS-84). Other local models may be in use, and their selection in TERREL will make its output consistent with local mapping products. The list of Datum-Regions with official transformation parameters is provided by the National Imagery and and Mapping Agency (NIMA). Datum-region for output coordinates (DATUM) Default: WGS-84 ! DATUM = WGS-84 ! Horizontal grid definition: Rectangular grid defined for projection PMAP, with X the Easting and Y the Northing coordinate ! NX = No. X grid cells (NX) No default Ţ Page 4

APPENDIX E No default No. Y grid cells (NY) ! NY = \_ ! Grid spacing (DGRIDKM) No default ! DGRIDKM = 4 !Units: km Reference grid coordinate of SOUTHWEST corner of grid cell (1, 1) X coordinate (XORIGKM) ! XORIGKM = ! No default Y coordinate (YORIGKM) No default ! YORIGKM = ! Units: km Vertical grid definition: No. of vertical layers (NZ) No default ! NZ = 10 ! Cell face heights in arbitrary vertical grid (ZFACE(NZ+1)) No defaults Units: m  $! \quad \mathsf{ZFACE} \ = \ \mathsf{0.}\ ,\ \mathsf{20.}\ ,\ \mathsf{40.}\ ,\ \mathsf{80.}\ ,\ \mathsf{160.}\ ,\ \mathsf{320.}\ ,\ \mathsf{640.}\ ,\ \mathsf{1200.}\ ,\ \mathsf{2000.}\ ,\ \mathsf{3000,}\ \ \mathsf{4000.}\ \ !$ ! END! \_\_\_\_\_ INPUT GROUP: 3 -- Output Options \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ DISK OUTPUT OPTION Save met. fields in an unformatted output file ? Default: T ! LSAVE = T ! (LSAVE) (F = Do not save, T = Save)Type of unformatted output file: (IFORMO) Default: 1 ! | FORMO = 1 !1 = CALPUFF/CALGRID type file (CALMET.DAT) 2 = MESOPUFF-II type file (PACOUT. DAT) LINE PRINTER OUTPUT OPTIONS: Print met. fields ? (LPRINT) De (F = Do not print, T = Print) (NOTE: parameters below control which Default: F ! LPRINT = F ! met. variables are printed) Print interval (IPRINF) in hours Default: 1 ! | PRINF = 1 !(Meteorological fields are printed every 1 hours) Specify which layers of U, V wind component to print (IUVOUT(NZ)) -- NOTE: NZ values must be entered (O=Do not print, 1=Print) (used only if LPRINT=T) Defaults: NZ\*0 ! IUVOUT = 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 ! \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ Page 5

Specify which levels of the W wind component to print (NOTE: W defined at TOP cell face -- 10 values) (IWOUT(NZ)) -- NOTE: NZ values must be entered (O=Do not print, 1=Print) (used only if LPRINT=T & LCALGRD=T) ------Defaults: NZ\*0 Specify which levels of the 3-D temperature field to print (ITOUT(NZ)) -- NOTE: NZ values must be entered (O=Do not print, 1=Print) (used only if LPRINT=T & LCALGRD=T) Defaults: NZ\*0 ! I TOUT = 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0! Specify which meteorological fields to print (used only if LPRINT=T) Defaults: 0 (all variables) \_ Vari abl e Print ? (0 = do not print,1 = print)\_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_\_\_\_\_ ! - PGT stability class Т STABILITY = 0 ! - Friction velocity USTAR Т 0 = 0 ! - Monin-Obukhov length I MONI N = ! - Mixing height
! - Convective velocity scale MI XHT 0 = WSTAR = 0 ! - Precipitation rate PRECI P I = 0 I SENSHEAT 0 ! - Sensible heat flux = CONVZI ! - Convective mixing ht. = 0 Testing and debug print options for micrometeorological module Print input meteorological data and internal variables (LĎB) (F = Do not print, T = print) Default: F ! LDB = F !(NOTE: this option produces large amounts of output) First time step for which debug data are printed (NN1) Default: 1 ! NN1 = 1 ! Last time step for which debug data are printed (NN2) Default: 1 ! NN2 = 1 ! Print distance to land internal variables (LDBCST) Default: F ! LDBC (F = Do not print, T = print) (Output in . GRD file DCST. GRD, defined in input group 0) Default: F ! LDBCST = F ! Testing and debug print options for wind field module (all of the following print options control output to wind field module's output files: TEST.PRT, TEST.OUT, TEST. KIN, TEST. FRD, and TEST. SLP)

Page 6

		—					
	Control variable for writing the wind fields to disk files (IOUTD) (O=Do not write, 1=write)	)	-	i	IOUTD =	0	ļ
	Number of levels, starting at the to print (NZPRN2)	e surface, Default:	1	İ	NZPRN2 =	0	İ
	Print the INTERPOLATED wind compo (IPRO) (O=no, 1=yes)	onents ? Default:	0	ļ	IPR0 =	0	ļ
	Print the TERRAIN ADJUSTED surfac components ? (IPR1) (O=no, 1=yes)		0	i	IPR1 =	0	ļ
	Print the SMOOTHED wind component the INITIAL DIVERGENCE fields ? (IPR2) (O=no, 1=yes)	ts and Default:	0	i	IPR2 =	0	ļ
	Print the FINAL wind speed and di fields ? (IPR3) (O=no, 1=yes)		0	i	IPR3 =	0	ļ
	Print the FINAL DIVERGENCE fields (IPR4) (O=no, 1=yes)	s ? Default:	0	ļ	IPR4 =	0	ļ
	Print the winds after KINEMATIC e are added ? (IPR5) (O=no, 1=yes)	effects Default:	0	i	IPR5 =	0	ļ
	Print the winds after the FROUDE adjustment is made ? (IPR6) (O=no, 1=yes)		0	i	IPR6 =	0	ļ
	Print the winds after SLOPE FLOWS are added ? (IPR7) (O=no, 1=yes)	S Defaul t:	0	i	IPR7 =	0	ļ
	Print the FINAL wind field compor (IPR8) (O=no, 1=yes)	nents ? Default:	0	i	IPR8 =	0	ļ
! END!							
	P: 4 Meteorological data optic						
	ERVATION MODE (NOOBS) 0 = Use surface, overwater, and u 1 = Use surface and overwater sta Use MM4/MM5/3D for upper air 2 = No surface, overwater, or upp Use MM4/MM5/3D for surface, o	ations (no data per air ob	stations upper a oservatio	i r ns	observat	ti or	
NUMBER	OF SURFACE & PRECIP. METEOROLOGI	ICAL STATI	ONS				
Num	ber of surface stations (NSSTA)	) No defa	aul t	ļ	NSSTA =	TBI	) !
Num (NP	ber of precipitation stations STA=-1: flag for use of MM5/3D pr (NPSTA) Page	) No defa	a) aul t	ļ	NPSTA =	TBI	) !

CLOUD DATA OPTIONS Gridded cloud fields: (ICLOUD) Default: 0 ! ICLOUD = 0 ! ICLOUD = 0 - Gridded clouds not used ICLOUD = 1 - Gridded CLOUD. DAT generated as OUTPUT ICLOUD = 2 - Gridded CLOUD. DAT read as INPUT ICLOUD = 3 - Gridded cloud cover computed from prognostic fields FILE FORMATS Surface meteorological data file format (IFORMS) Default: 2 ! | FORMS = 2 ! (1 = unformatted (e.g., SMERGE output)) (2 = formatted (free-formatted user input)) Precipitation data file format (IFORMP) Default: 2 ! | FORMP = 2 ! (1 = unformatted (e.g., PMERGE output)) (free-formatted user input)) (2 = formatted Cloud data file format (IFORMC) Default: 2 (1 = unformatted - CALMET unformatted output) ! | FORMC = 2 ! (2 = formatted - free-formatted CALMET output or user input) ! END! \_\_\_\_\_ INPUT GROUP: 5 -- Wind Field Options and Parameters \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ WIND FIELD MODEL OPTIONS Model selection variable (IWFCOD) Default: 1 ! IWFCOD = 1 ! 0 = Objective analysis only 1 = Diagnostic wind module Compute Froude number adjustment effects ? (IFRADJ) (0 = NO, 1 = YES) Default: 1 ! | FRADJ = 1 !Compute kinematic effects ? (IKINE) Default: 0 ! | KINE = 0 ! (0 = N0, 1 = YES)Use O'Brien procedure for adjustment of the vertical velocity ? (IOBR) (0 = NO, 1 = YES) Default: 0 ! | OBR = 0 !Compute slope flow effects ? (ISLOPE) Default: 1 ! | SLOPE = 1 !(0 = N0, 1 = YES)Extrapolate surface wind observations to upper layers ? (IEXTRP) Default: -4 ! | EXTRP = -4 !(1 = no extrapolation is done, 2 = power law extrapolation used, 3 = user input multiplicative factors for layers 2 - NZ used (see FEXTRP array) 4 = similarity theory used -1, -2, -3, -4 = same as above except layer 1 data at upper air stations are ignored Page 8

Extrapolate surface winds even if calm? (ICALM) (0 = NO, 1 = YES) Default: 0 ! | CALM = 0 !Layer-dependent biases modifying the weights of surface and upper air stations (BLAS(NZ)) -1<=BI AS<=1 Negative BLAS reduces the weight of upper air stations (e.g. BLAS=-0.1 reduces the weight of upper air stations by 10%; BLAS=-1, reduces their weight by 100 %) Positive BLAS reduces the weight of surface stations (e.g. BLAS= 0.2 reduces the weight of surface stations by 20%; BLAS=1 reduces their weight by 100%) Zero BLAS Leaves weights unchanged (1/R\*\*2 interpolation) Default: NZ\*0 ! BIAS = 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \_ ! Minimum distance from nearest upper air station to surface station for which extrapolation of surface winds at surface station will be allowed (RMIN2: Set to -1 for IEXTRP = 4 or other situations where all surface stations should be extrapolated) Default: 4. ! RMIN2 = -1.0!Use gridded prognostic wind field model output fields as input to the diagnostic wind field model (IPROG) (0 = No, [IWFCOD = 0 or 1] Default: 0 ! | PROG = 14 ! 1 = Yes, use CSUMM prog. winds as Step 1 field, [IWFCOD = 0] 2 = Yes, use CSUMM prog. winds as initial guess field [IWFCOD = 1] 3 = Yes, use winds from MM4.DAT file as Step 1 field [IWFCOD = 0] 4 = Yes, use winds from MM4.DAT file as initial guess field [IWFCOD = 1] 5 = Yes, use winds from MM4. DAT file as observations [IWFCOD = 1] 13 = Yes, use winds from MM5/3D. DAT file as Step 1 field [IWFCOD = 0] 14 = Yes, use winds from MM5/3D.DAT file as initial guess field [IWFCOD = 1] 15 = Yes, use winds from MM5/3D.DAT file as observations [IWFCOD = 1] Timestep (hours) of the prognostic model inpùt data (I STEPPG) ! ISTEPPG = 1 !Default: 1 Use coarse CALMET fields as initial guess fields (IGFMET) (overwrites IGF based on prognostic wind fields if any) Í IGFMET = O ! Default: 0 RADIUS OF INFLUENCE PARAMETERS Use varying radius of influence ! LVARY = F!Default: F (if no stations are found within RMAX1, RMAX2, or RMAX3, then the closest station will be used) Maximum radius of influence over land in the surface layer (RMAX1) No default ! RMAX1 = 100. ! Units: km Maximum radius of influence over land aloft (RMAX2) No default ! RMAX2 = 200. ! Units: km Maximum radius of influence over water (RMAX3) No default ! RMAX3 = 200. ! Units: km

0

01	APPENDIX HER WIND FIELD INPUT PARAMETERS	К_Е	
	Minimum radius of influence used in the wind field interpolation (RMIN)	Default: 0.1 Units: km	! RMIN = 0.1 !
	Radius of influence of terrain	No default	! TERRAD = 15. !
	Relative weighting of the first	Units: km	
	guess field and observations in the SURFACE layer (R1) (R1 is the distance from an observational station at which the observation and first guess field are equally weighted)	No default Units: km	! R1 = 50. !
	Relative weighting of the first guess field and observations in the layers ALOFT (R2) (R2 is applied in the upper layers in the same manner as R1 is used in the surface layer).	No default Units: km	! R2 = 100. !
	Relative weighting parameter of the prognostic wind field data (RPROG) (Used only if IPROG = 1)	No default Units: km	! RPROG = 0. !
	Maximum acceptable divergence in the divergence minimization procedure (DIVLIM)	Default: 5.E-6	! DIVLIM= 5.0E-06 !
	Maximum number of iterations in the divergence min. procedure (NITER)	Default: 50	! NITER = 50 !
2,	Number of passes in the smoothing procedure (NSMTH(NZ)) NOTE: NZ values must be entered Default: 2,(mxnz-1)*4 ! NSMTH = 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4,	4 !	
99,	Maximum number of stations used in each layer for the interpolation of data to a grid point (NINTR2(NZ)) NOTE: NZ values must be entered 99, 99, 99, 99, 99, 99, 99, 99,	Default: 99. 99, 99!	! NINTR2 =
	Critical Froude number (CRITFN)	Default: 1.0	! CRITFN = 1. !
	Empirical factor controlling the influence of kinematic effects (ALPHA)	Default: 0.1	! ALPHA = 0.1 !
	Multiplicative scaling factor for extrapolation of surface observations to upper layers (FEXTR2(NZ)) ! FEXTR2 = 0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0., 0., (Used only if LEXTRP = 3 or -3)	Default: NZ*0.0 0., 0., 0. !	

BARRIER INFORMATION

APPENDI Number of barriers to interpolation	X_E	
of the wind fields (NBAR)	Default: O	! NBAR = 0 !
Level (1 to NZ) up to which barriers apply (KBAR)	Default: NZ	! KBAR = 10 !
THE FOLLOWING 4 VARIABLES ARE INCLUDE ONLY IF NBAR > O NOTE: NBAR values must be entered for each variable	D No defaults Units: km	
X coordinate of BEGINNING of each barrier (XBBAR(NBAR)) Y coordinate of BEGINNING of each barrier (YBBAR(NBAR))	! XBBAR = 0. ! ! YBBAR = 0. !	
X coordinate of ENDING of each barrier (XEBAR(NBAR)) Y coordinate of ENDING of each barrier (YEBAR(NBAR))	! XEBAR = 0. ! ! YEBAR = 0. !	
DIAGNOSTIC MODULE DATA INPUT OPTIONS		
Surface temperature (IDIOPT1) O = Compute internally from hourly surface observations 1 = Read preprocessed values from a data file (DIAG.DAT)	Default: O	! I DI OPT1 = 0 !
Surface met. station to use for the surface temperature (ISURFT) (Must be a value from 1 to NSSTA) (Used only if IDIOPT1 = 0)	No default	! ISURFT = TBD !
Domain-averaged temperature lapse rate (IDIOPT2) O = Compute internally from twice-daily upper air observat 1 = Read hourly preprocessed value from a data file (DIAG.DAT)	ions	! IDIOPT2 = 0 !
Upper air station to use for the domain-scale lapse rate (IUPT) (Must be a value from 1 to NUSTA) (Used only if IDIOPT2 = 0)	No default	! IUPT = TBD !
Depth through which the domain-sca lapse rate is computed (ZUPT) (Used only if IDIOPT2 = 0)		! ZUPT = 200. !
Domain-averaged wind components (IDIOPT3) O = Compute internally from twice-daily upper air observat 1 = Read hourly preprocessed value a data file (DIAG.DAT)	i ons	! IDIOPT3 = 0 !
Upper air station to use for the domain-scale winds (IUPWND) Page 1		! IUPWND = -1 !

APPENDIX E (Must be a value from -1 to NUSTA) (Used only if IDIOPT3 = 0) Bottom and top of layer through which the domain-scale winds are computed (ZUPWND(1), ZUPWND(2)) Defaults: 1., 1000. ! ZUPWND= 1., 1000. ! (Used only if IDIOPT3' = 0) Units: meters Observed surface wind components for wind field module (IDIOPT4) Default: 0 ! IDIOPT4 = 0 ! O = Read WS, WD from a surface data file (SURF. DAT) 1 = Read hourly preprocessed U, V from a data file (DIAG.DAT) Observed upper air wind components for wind field module (IDIOPT5) Default: 0 ! IDIOPT5 = 0 ! 0 = Read WS, WD from an upper air data file (UP1.DAT, UP2.DAT, etc.) 1 = Read hourly preprocessed U, V from a data file (DIAG.DAT) LAKE BREEZE INFORMATION Use Lake Breeze Module (LLBREZE) ! LLBREZE = F ! Default: F Number of Lake breeze regions (NBOX) ! NBOX = 0 !X Grid line 1 defining the region of interest ! XG1 = 0. !X Grid line 2 defining the region of interest ! XG2 = 0. !Y Grid line 1 defining the region of interest ! YG1 = 0. ! Y Grid line 2 defining the region of interest ! YG2 = 0. !X Point defining the coastline (Straight line) (XBCSŤ) (KM) Default: none ! XBCST = 0. ! Y Point defining the coastline (Straight line) ! YBCST = 0. ! (YBCST) (KM) Default: none X Point defining the coastline (Straight line) ! XECST = 0. ! (XECST) (KM) Default: none Y Point defining the coastline (Straight line) (YECSŤ) (KM) Default: none ! YECST = 0. ! Default: none ! NLB = 0 ! Number of stations in the region (Surface stations + upper air stations) Station ID's in the region (METBXID(NLB)) (Surface stations first, then upper air stations) ! METBXID = 0 !

\_\_\_\_\_ INPUT GROUP: 6 -- Mixing Height, Temperature and Precipitation Parameters EMPIRICAL MIXING HEIGHT CONSTANTS Neutral, mechanical equation Default: 1.41 ! CONSTB = 1.41 ! (CONSTB) Convective mixing ht. equation (CONSTE) ! CONSTE = 0.15 ! Default: 0.15 Stable mixing ht. equation (CONSTN) Default: 2400. ! CONSTN = 2400.! Òverwater mixing ht. equation (CONSTW) Default: 0.16 ! CONSTW = 0.16 ! Absolute value of Coriolis Default: 1.E-4 ! FCORIOL = 1.0E-04! parameter (FCORIOL) Units: (1/s)SPATIAL AVERAGING OF MIXING HEIGHTS Conduct spatial averaging (IAVEZI) (0=no, 1=yes) Default: 1 | | AVEZ | = 1 |Max. search radius in averaging ! MNMDAV = 1 !process (MNMDAV) Default: 1 Units: Grid cells Half-angle of upwind looking cone for averaging (HAFANG) Default: 30. ! HAFANG = 30. ! Units: deg. Layer of winds used in upwind Default: 1 |||FV7| = 1 ||averaging (ILEVZI) (must be between 1 and NZ) CONVECTIVE MIXING HEIGHT OPTIONS: Method to compute the convective mixing height(IMIHXH) Defaul 1: Maul-Carson for Land and water cells -1: Maul-Carson for Land cells only -! | M | X H = -1 !Default: 1 OCD mixing height overwater 2: Batchvarova and Gryning for land and water cells -2: Batchvarova and Gryning for land cells only OCD mixing height overwater Threshold buoyancy flux required to sustain convective mixing height growth Default: 0.05 overland (THRESHL) ! THRESHL = 0.0 !(expressed as a heat flux units: W/m3 per meter of boundary layer) Threshold buoyancy flux required to sustain convective mixing height growth overwater (THRESHW) (expressed as a heat flux per meter of boundary layer) Default: 0.05 ! THRESHW = 0.05 !units: W/m3 Option for overwater lapse rates used in convective mixing height growth

APPENDIX E (I TWPROG) Default: 0 ! | TWPROG = 0 !0 : use SEA.DAT Lapse rates and deltaT (or assume neutral conditions if missing) 1: use prognostic lapse rates (only if IPROG>2) and SEA. DAT deltaT (or neutral if missing)
2: use prognostic lapse rates and prognostic delta T (only if iprog>12 and 3D DAT version# 2.0 or higher) Land Use category ocean in 3D. DAT datasets (ILUOC3D) ! I LUOC3D = 16 ! Default: 16 Note: if 3D.DAT from MM5 version 3.0, iluoc3d = 16 if MM4.DAT, typically iluoc3d = 7 OTHER MIXING HEIGHT VARIABLES Minimum potential temperature lapse rate in the stable layer above the Default: 0.001 ! DPTMIN = 0.001 ! current convective mixing ht. (DPTMIN) Units: deg. K/m Depth of layer above current conv. mixing height through which lapse Default: 200. ! DZZI = 200. ! Units: meters rate is computed (DZZI) Minimum overland mixing height Defaul t: 50. ! ZIMIN = 50. ! (ZIMIN)Units: meters ! ZIMAX = 3000. ! Maximum overland mixing height Default: 3000. (ZIMAX) Units: meters Minimum overwater mixing height
(ZIMINW) -- (Not used if observed) Defaul t: ! ZIMINW = 50. ! 50. Units: meters overwater mixing hts. are used) Maximum overwater mixing height (ZIMAXW) -- (Not used if observed Default: 3000. ! ZIMAXW = 3000. ! Units: meters overwater mixing hts. are used) OVERWATER SURFACE FLUXES METHOD and PARAMETERS (ICOARE) Default: 10 ! | COARE = 0\_ I 0: original deltaT method (OCD) 10: COARE with no wave parameterization (jwave=0, Charnock) 11: COARE with wave option jwave=1 (Oost et al.) and default wave properties -11: COARE with wave option jwave=1 (Oost et al.) and observed wave properties (must be in SEÁ.DAT files) 12: COARE with wave option 2 (Taylor and Yelland) and default wave properties -12: COARE with wave option 2 (Taylor and Yelland) and observed wave properties (must be in SEA DAT files) Coastal/Shallow water length scale (DSHELF) (for modified z0 in shallow water) (COARE fluxes only) Default : 0. ! DSHELF = 0. !units: km ! I WARM = 0 COARE warm layer computation (IWARM) i 1: on - 0: off (must be off if SST measured with IR radiometer) Default: 0 COARE cool skin layer computation (ICOOL) 1: on - 0: off (must be off if SST measured with ! | COOL = 0Ţ IR radiometer) Default: 0

**TEMPERATURE PARAMETERS** 3D temperature from observations or Default: 0 ! ITPROG = 0 ! from prognostic data? (ITPROG) 0 = Use Surface and upper air stations (only if NOOBS = 0)1 = Use Surface stations (no upper air observations) Use MM5/3D for upper air data (only if NOOBS = 0, 1) 2 = No surface or upper air observations Use MM5/3D for surface and upper air data (only if NOOBS = 0,1,2) Interpolation type  $(1 = 1/R ; 2 = 1/R^{*}2)$ Default:1 ! | RAD = 1 ! Radius of influence for temperature interpolation (TRADKM) Default: 500. ! TRADKM = 500. ! Units: km Maximum Number of stations to include in temperature interpolation (NUMTS) Default: 5 ! NUMTS = 5 ! Conduct spatial averaging of temperatures (IAVET) (0=no, 1=yes) Default: 1 ! | AVET = 1 !(will use mixing ht MNMDAV, HAFANG so make sure they are correct) Default: -.0098 ! TGDEFB = -0.0098 ! Default temperature gradient below the mixing height over Units: K/m water (TGDEFB) Default temperature gradient Default: -.0045 ! TGDEFA = -0.0045 !above the mixing height over Units: K/m water (TGDEFA) Beginning (JWAT1) and ending (JWAT2) land use categories for temperature interpolation over water -- Make bigger than largest land use to disable ! JWAT1 = 55 ! ! JWAT2 = 55 ! PRECIP INTERPOLATION PARAMETERS Method of interpolation (NFLAGP) (1=1/R, 2=1/R\*\*2, 3=EXP/R\*\*2) Radius of Influence (SIGMAP) Default: 2 ! NFLAGP = 2 !Default: 100.0 ! SIGMAP = 100. ! (0.0 => use half dist. btwn Units: km nearest stns w & w/out precip when NFLAGP = 3) Minimum Precip. Rate Cutoff (CUTP) (values < CUTP = 0.0 mm/hr) Default: 0.01 ! CUTP = 0.01 !Units: mm/hr ! END! INPUT GROUP: 7 -- Surface meteorological station parameters \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ SURFACE STATION VARIABLES (One record per station -- TBD records in all)

Page 15

2 1 ١D Name X coord. Y coord. Time Anem. (km) (km) zone Ht.(m) \_ \_ \_ \_ . \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ =' ' SS1 I I =' . SS2 Ţ SS3 =' i ļ SS4 =' İ İ ! SS5 . =' Т \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ 1 Four character string for station name (MUST START IN COLUMN 9) 2 Six digit integer for station ID ! END! INPUT GROUP: 8 -- Upper air meteorological station parameters UPPER AIR STATION VARIABLES (One record per station -- TBD records in all) 1 2 ID Name X coord. Y coord. Time zone (km) (km) \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ US1 =' ' US2 ï =' ' ! US3 İ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ 1 Four character string for station name (MUST START IN COLUMN 9) 2 Five digit integer for station ID ! END! INPUT GROUP: 9 -- Precipitation station parameters \_\_\_\_\_ PRECIPITATION STATION VARIABLES (One record per station -- TBD records in all) (NOT INCLUDED IF NPSTA = 0) 1 2 Station X coord. Y coord. Name (km) Code (km) \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ \_ ! PS1 ='' i

!       PS         !       PS	53 54 55 56 57 58 59 510 511 512 513	='' ='' =''		APPENDI X_E
	 1 2	(MUST STA	 racter string for st ART IN COLUMN 9) t station code compo rst 2 digits) and st )	osed of state

! END!

From:	Nick, Andrea -FS [anick@fs.fed.us]
Sent:	Friday, December 06, 2013 7:59 AM
То:	Salamy, Jerry/SAC
Cc:	McCorison, Mike -FS; Anderson, Bret A -FS; Procter, Trent -FS; Uyehara, Julie C -FS; tchico@aqmd.gov; jbaker@aqmd.gov; stephen.okane@AES.com; Holladay.Cleveland@epamail.epa.gov; bohnenkamp.carol@epa.gov; Gbemis@energy.state.ca.us; JYee@aqmd.gov; Chris.Davis@energy.ca.gov
Subject:	(Correction) AES Alamitos Energy Center PSD Application

Hello,

I apologize, the email below is in reference to the AES Alamitos Energy Center. The email should have read:

I am contacting you regarding the AES Alamitos Energy Center's Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) permit. The U.S. Forest Service's Air Resources air quality modeling specialist along with other interested parties within the agency have reviewed the air dispersion modelling protocol which was submitted on November 11, 2013 entitled "AES\_AEC\_1\_Protocol\_Response\_USFS\_11-11-13.pdf". We are not anticipating further comment on the air dispersion modelling protocol for the AES Alamitos Energy Center project and you are free to proceed with the agreed protocol.



Andrea Nick, Air Resources Specialist Forest Service Region 5 www.fs.fed.us/air

p: 626-574-5209 / c: 626-590-4451 / anick@fs.fed.us 701 N. Santa Anita Avenue, Arcadia, CA 91006-2725

From: Nick, Andrea -FS
Sent: Friday, December 06, 2013 7:49 AM
To: 'Jerry.Salamy@CH2M.com'
Cc: McCorison, Mike -FS; Anderson, Bret A -FS; Procter, Trent -FS; Uyehara, Julie C -FS; tchico@aqmd.gov; jbaker@aqmd.gov; stephen.okane@AES.com; Holladay.Cleveland@epamail.epa.gov; bohnenkamp.carol@epa.gov; Gbemis@energy.state.ca.us; JYee@aqmd.gov; Chris.Davis@energy.ca.gov
Subject: RE: AES Alamitos Energy Center PSD Application

Hello,

I am contacting you regarding the AES Huntington Beach Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) permit. The U.S. Forest Service's Air Resources air quality modeling specialist along with other interested parties within the agency have reviewed the air dispersion modelling protocol which was submitted on November 11, 2013 entitled "AES\_AEC\_1\_Protocol\_Response\_USFS\_11-11-13.pdf". We are not anticipating further comment on the air dispersion modelling protocol for the AES Huntington Beach project and you are free to proceed with the agreed protocol.

Please contact me if you have further questions. Thank you.



p: 626-574-5209 / c: 626-590-4451 / <u>anick@fs.fed.us</u> 701 N. Santa Anita Avenue, Arcadia, CA 91006-2725

From: Jerry.Salamy@CH2M.com [mailto:Jerry.Salamy@CH2M.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2013 9:29 AM
To: Nick, Andrea -FS
Cc: McCorison, Mike -FS; Anderson, Bret A -FS; Procter, Trent -FS; Uyehara, Julie C -FS; tchico@aqmd.gov; jbaker@aqmd.gov; stephen.okane@AES.com; Holladay.Cleveland@epamail.epa.gov; bohnenkamp.carol@epa.gov; Gbemis@energy.state.ca.us; JYee@aqmd.gov; Chris.Davis@energy.ca.gov
Subject: RE: AES Alamitos Energy Center PSD Application

Hi Andrea,

Based on your feedback during our November 1 conference call, we have prepared the attached responses to the United States Forest Service's comments on the Alamitos Energy Center's Class I air dispersion modeling protocol. Please let us know if you have any additional questions.

Thanks,

Jerry Salamy Principal Project Manager CH2M HILL/Sacramento Phone 916-286-0207 Fax 916-614-3407 Cell Phone 916-769-8919

From: Nick, Andrea -FS [mailto:anick@fs.fed.us]
Sent: Wednesday, September 11, 2013 9:06 AM
To: Salamy, Jerry/SAC
Cc: McCorison, Mike -FS; Anderson, Bret A -FS; Procter, Trent -FS; Uyehara, Julie C -FS
Subject: AES Alamitos Energy Center PSD Application

Good Morning,

Our modeling specialist (Bret Anderson) has looked over the modeling protocols supplied for the AES Alamitos Energy Center PSD application. Additional information on the following subjects is requested:

1. MM5 Data documentation: source of data, horizontal/vertical resolution, physics options, performance evaluation, etc.

2. List of all surface and upper air meteorological stations.

3. Procedures for filling in missing meteorological data.

4. List of Proposed CALPUFF control options.

5. List of POSTUTIL and CALPOST options.

6. Discussion on CALMET control options as proposal does not comport with August 31, 2009 EPA Model Clearinghouse memorandum.

Our modeling specialist has recommended that we schedule a conference call to discuss these issues. Would any of the afternoons on Monday, Tuesday, or Thursday work for you?

\*\*\*\*\*

Andrea Nick Air Resources Specialist 701 N. Santa Anita Ave. Arcadia, Ca. 91006 Office (626) 574-5209 Cell (626) 590-4451 <u>anick@fs.fed.us</u> <u>www.fs.fed.us/air</u>

This electronic message contains information generated by the USDA solely for the intended recipients. Any unauthorized interception of this message or the use or disclosure of the information it contains may violate the law and subject the violator to civil or criminal penalties. If you believe you have received this message in error, please notify the sender and delete the email immediately.