DOCKETED		
Docket Number:	09-AFC-06C	
Project Title:	Blythe Solar Power Project - Compliance	
TN #:	201391	
Document Title:	CRIT's Requested Additions to the Stipulated Conditions of Certification for Cultural Resources	
Description:	N/A	
Filer:	Sara Clark	
Organization:	Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger LLP	
Submitter Role:	Intervenor Representative	
Submission Date:	12/9/2013 4:58:55 PM	
Docketed Date:	12/9/2013	

CRIT's Requested Additions to the Stipulated Conditions of Certification for Cultural Resources

As evidenced by the document filed by CEC Staff on December 9, 2012, the Parties were able to reach agreement on some cultural resource conditions of certification. Nevertheless, CRIT has remaining concerns, as discussed specifically in its Opening Brief (TN# 201342). To assist the Commission in preparing the conditions of certification, CRIT provides this document, which builds on the document filed by CEC Staff, to illustrate its remaining requested modifications. CRIT's general comments on CUL-1, CUL-6 and CUL-7, as documented in Exhibit 4007, still apply but are not repeated here.

RED TEXT: Additions agreed to by Staff, CRIT and NextEra.

GREEN TEXT: Proposed Staff language that has counter language from CRIT and NextEra (noted in the comments).

BLUE TEXT: Language proposed by CRIT in Exhibit 4007 and not addressed in stipulated language.

NOTE: Text that has been replaced with the red, green or blue text has been deleted and is not shown in strikeout.

CUL-5 CULTURAL RESOURCES MONITORING AND MITIGATION PLAN

Prior to the start of ground disturbance, the project owner shall submit to the CPM for review and approval <u>draft and final versions of a</u> Cultural Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan (CRMMP), as prepared by or under the direction of the CRS, with the contributions of the PPA, and the PHA. <u>The CPM shall provide each draft of the CRMMP to affiliated Native American tribal entities¹ for review and comment. Subsequent iterations of the draft CRMMP and the final CRMMP shall evidence consideration of comments received from said tribal entities, where such comments have been received within 30 days for the initial draft and 7 days for each subsequent draft. The authors' name(s) shall appear on the title page of the</u>

¹ "affiliated Native American tribal entities" means those tribal entities with which Energy Commission staff initiated consultation under the original siting case and under the consideration of all subsequent amendments to the September 2010 Final Decision for the present project. The list of tribal entities can be found on the project's webpage at

http://docketpublic.energy.ca.gov/PublicDocuments/09-AFC-06C/TN200052_20130729T101117_Blythe_Amendment_CEC_Tribal_Consultation.pdf

CRMMP. The CRMMP shall specify the impact mitigation protocols for all known cultural resources and identify general and specific measures to minimize potential impacts to all other cultural resources, including those discovered during construction. Implementation of the CRMMP shall be the responsibility of the CRS and the project owner. Copies of the CRMMP shall reside with the CRS, alternate CRS, the PPA, and the PHA, each CRM, and the project owner's on-site construction manager. No ground disturbance shall occur prior to CPM approval of the CRMMP, unless such activities are specifically approved by the CPM. Prior to certification, the project owner may have the CRS, alternate CRS, the PPA, and the PHA complete and submit to CEC for review the CRMMP, except for the portions to be contributed by the PTNCL and the DTCCL programs.

The CRMMP shall include, but not be limited to, the elements and measures listed below.

- The following statement shall be included in the Introduction: "Any discussion, summary, or paraphrasing of the Conditions of Certification in this CRMMP is intended as general guidance and as an aid to the user in understanding the Conditions and their implementation. The Conditions, as written in the Commission Decision, shall supersede any summarization, description, or interpretation of the conditions in the CRMMP. The Cultural Resources Conditions of Certification from the Commission Decision are contained in Appendix A."
- 2. The duties of the CRS shall be fully discussed, including coordination duties with respect to the completion of the Prehistoric Trails Network Cultural Landscape (PTNCL) documentation and possible NRHP nomination program and the Desert Training Center California-Arizona Maneuver Area Cultural Landscape (DTCCL) documentation and possible NRHP nomination program, and oversight/management duties with respect to site evaluation, data collection, monitoring, and reporting at both known prehistoric and historic-period archaeological sites and any CRHR-eligible (as determined by the CPM) prehistoric and historic-period archaeological sites discovered during construction.
- 3. Explicitly takes into account the perspective of affiliated Native American tribal entities with respect to in-situ or onsite reburial, (unless otherwise prohibited) for the disposition of archaeological and ethnographic resources encountered as a result of the application review process and as a result of project construction and operation.
- 4. A general research design shall be developed that:

- a. Charts a timeline of all research activities, including those coordinated under the PTNCL and DTCCL documentation and possible NRHP nomination programs;
- b. Recapitulates the existing paleoenvironmental, prehistoric, ethnohistoric, ethnographic, and historic contexts developed in the PTNCL and DTCCL historic context and adds to these the additional context of the non-military, historic-period occupation and use of the Palo Verde Mesa, to create a comprehensive historic context for the BSPP vicinity;
- c. Poses archaeological research questions and testable hypotheses specifically applicable to the archaeological resource types known for the Palo Verde Mesa, based on the research questions developed under the PTNCL and DTCCL research and on the archaeological and historical literature pertinent to the Palo Verde Mesa, and taking into account potential data constraints that may occur as the result of in-situ or onsite reburial of resources under subsection 3. above; and
- d. Clearly articulates why it is in the public interest to address the research questions that it poses.
- 4. Protocols, reflecting the guidance provided in CUL-6 through CUL-11 shall be specified for the data recovery from known prehistoric and historic-period archaeological resource types.
- 5. Artifact collection, retention/disposal, and curation policies shall be discussed, as related to the research questions formulated in the research design. These policies shall apply to cultural resources materials and documentation resulting from evaluation and data recovery at both known prehistoric and historic-period archaeological sites and any CRHR-eligible (as determined by the CPM) prehistoric and historic-period archaeological sites discovered during construction. A prescriptive treatment plan may be included in the CRMMP for limited data types.
- 6. The implementation sequence and the estimated time frames needed to accomplish all project-related tasks during the ground-disturbance and post-ground–disturbance analysis phases of the project shall be specified.
- 7. Person(s) expected to perform each of the tasks, their responsibilities, and the reporting relationships between project construction management and the mitigation and monitoring team shall be identified.
- 8. The manner in which Native American observers or monitors will be included, in addition to their roles in the activities required under CUL-1. The procedures to be used to select them and their roles and responsibilities shall be described.
- 9. All impact-avoidance measures (such as flagging or fencing) to prohibit or otherwise restrict access to sensitive resource areas that are to be avoided during ground disturbance, construction, and/or operation shall be described.

Any areas where these measures are to be implemented shall be identified. The description shall address how these measures would be implemented prior to the start of ground disturbance and how long they would be needed to protect the resources from project-related impacts.

- 10. The commitment to record on Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR) 523 forms, to map, and to photograph all encountered cultural resources over 50 years of age shall be stated. In addition, the commitment to curate all archaeological materials retained as a result of the archaeological investigations (survey, testing, data recovery), in accordance with the California State Historical Resources Commission's Guidelines for the Curation of Archaeological Collections, into a retrievable storage collection in a public repository or museum shall be stated.
- 11. The commitment of the project owner to pay all curation fees for artifacts recovered and for related documentation produced during cultural resources investigations conducted for the project shall be stated. The project owner shall identify a curation facility that could accept cultural resources materials resulting from BSPP cultural resources investigations.
- 12. The CRS shall attest to having access to equipment and supplies necessary for site mapping, photography, and recovery of all cultural resource materials (that cannot be treated prescriptively) from known CRHR-eligible archaeological sites and from CRHR-eligible sites that are encountered during ground disturbance.
- 13. A section that clearly and concisely sets out the flows of authority and work products for CUL-16, the Construction Monitoring Program, and sets out explicit communication protocols to facilitate the condition's implementation and notification of affiliated tribal entities.
- 14. A section that clearly and concisely sets out the flows of authority and work products for CUL-17, Authority to Halt Construction; Treatment of Discoveries, and sets out explicit communication protocols to facilitate the condition's implementation and notification of affiliated tribal entities.
- **153.** The contents, format, and review and approval process of the final Cultural Resource Report (CRR) shall be described.
- 16. A provision requiring that all eligible or presumed eligible prehistoricperiod archaeological sites, traditional cultural properties, or ethnographic resources discovered during construction shall be avoided if feasible. Any determination that avoidance is infeasible shall be made in writing based on substantial evidence. This provision shall further provide that, if avoidance is determined to be infeasible pursuant to this provision, the CPM must next consider whether it is feasible to rebury or otherwise allow to remain in situ any such resources discovered during construction. Again, any determination of infeasibility shall be made in writing based on

substantial evidence. Only if the CPM determines that both avoidance and in-situ reburial are infeasible may the CPM consider other measures, such as data recovery.

<u>Verification</u>: Preferably at least 90 days, but in any event no less than 60 days <u>prior to</u> the start of ground disturbance, the project owner shall submit to the CPM for review and approval draft and final versions of a Cultural Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan (CRMMP). The CPM shall submit each draft of the CRMMP to affiliated Native American tribal entities for review and comment. The CPM shall provide written responses to all comments received from an Indian tribe. Subsequent iterations of the draft CRMMP and the final CRMMP shall evidence consideration of comments received from said tribal entities, where such comments have been received by the CPM within the time frame provided in the condition.

At least 20 days prior to the start of ground disturbance, in a letter to the CPM, the project owner shall agree to pay curation fees for any materials generated or collected as a result of the archaeological investigations (survey, testing, data recovery).

At least 30 days prior to the initiation of ground disturbance, the project owner shall provide to the CPM a copy of a letter from a curation facility that meets the standards stated in the California State Historical Resources Commission's Guidelines for the Curation of Archaeological Collections, stating the facility's willingness and ability to receive the materials generated by BSPP cultural resources activities and requiring curation. Any agreements concerning curation will be retained and available for audit for the life of the project.

CUL-16 CONSTRUCTION MONITORING PROGRAM

The **project owner** shall ensure that the CRS, alternate CRS, or CRMs, prevent construction impacts to undiscovered resources and <u>shall further</u> ensure that known resources are not impacted in an unanticipated manner, monitor full time all ground disturbances:

[COMMENT: DISPUTED language: CRIT and Nextera propose the following: "The CPM, working with the project owner, shall ensure . . .]

- 1. associated with construction-related grading and other earthwork;
- 2. for the trenches for underground communication lines and the natural gas pipeline;
- 3. for the holes for the transmission line support structures;

4. And for the jack-and-bore tunneling for underground conductor or cable lines or pipelines, that they monitor the excavation of the jack-and-bore entry and exit pits and examine, log, and screen auger backdirt samples, as detailed in the CRMMP.

Full-time archaeological monitoring for this project shall be the archaeological monitoring of the earth-removing activities in the areas specified in the previous paragraph, for as long as the activities are ongoing. Where excavation equipment is actively removing dirt and hauling the excavated material farther than fifty feet from the location of active excavation, full-time archaeological monitoring shall require at least two monitors per excavation area. In this circumstance, one monitor shall observe the location of active excavation and a second monitor shall inspect the dumped material. For excavation areas where the excavated material is dumped no farther than fifty feet from the location of active excavation, one monitor shall both observe the location of active excavation, and material is dumped no farther than fifty feet from the location of active excavation, one monitor shall both observe the location of active excavation and inspect the dumped material.

A Native American monitor shall be obtained to monitor <u>all of the</u> ground disturbance <u>described above</u>. Contact lists of interested Native Americans and guidelines for monitoring shall be obtained from the Native American Heritage Commission. Preference in selecting a monitor shall be given to Native Americans with traditional ties to the area that shall be monitored. If efforts to obtain the services of a qualified Native American monitor are unsuccessful, the project owner shall immediately inform the CPM. The CPM will either identify potential monitors or will allow ground disturbance to proceed without a Native American monitor.

The research design in the CRMMP shall govern the <u>avoidance</u>, collection, treatment, retention/disposal, and curation of any archaeological materials encountered.

On forms provided by the CPM, CRMs shall keep a daily log of any monitoring and other cultural resources activities and any instances of noncompliance with the Conditions and/or applicable LORS. Copies of the daily monitoring logs shall be provided by the CRS to the CPM, if requested by the CPM, and to any affiliated Native American tribal entities that request such logs. From these logs, the CRS shall compile a monthly monitoring summary report to be included in the MCR. If there are no monitoring activities, the summary report shall specify why monitoring has been suspended.

The CRS or alternate CRS shall report daily to the CPM on the status of the project's cultural resources-related activities, unless reducing or ending daily reporting is requested by the CRS and approved by the CPM.

In the event that the CRS believes that the current level of monitoring is not appropriate in certain locations, a letter or e-mail detailing the justification for changing the level of

monitoring shall be provided to the CPM for review and approval prior to any change in the level of monitoring and concurrently notify affiliated Native American tribal entities.

The CRS, at his or her discretion, or at the request of the CPM, may informally discuss cultural resources monitoring and mitigation activities with Energy Commission technical staff.

Cultural resources monitoring activities are the responsibility of the CRS. Any interference with monitoring activities, removal of a monitor from duties assigned by the CRS, or direction to a monitor to relocate monitoring activities by anyone other than the CRS shall be considered non-compliance with these Conditions.

Upon becoming aware of any incidents of non-compliance with the Conditions and/or applicable LORS, the CRS and/or the project owner shall notify the CPM by telephone or e-mail within 24 hours. The CRS shall also recommend corrective action to resolve the problem or achieve compliance with the Conditions. When the issue is resolved, the CRS shall write a report describing the issue, the resolution of the issue, and the effectiveness of the resolution measures. This report shall be provided in the next MCR for the review of the CPM.

<u>Verification</u>: At least 30 days prior to the start of ground disturbance, the CPM will provide to the CRS an electronic copy of a form to be used as a daily monitoring log.

Monthly, while monitoring is on-going, the project owner shall include in each MCR a copy of the monthly summary report of cultural resources-related monitoring prepared by the CRS and shall attach any new DPR 523A forms completed for finds treated prescriptively, as specified in the CRMMP.

At least <u>48</u> hours prior to implementing a proposed change in monitoring level, the project owner shall submit to the CPM, for review and approval, a letter or e-mail (or some other form of communication acceptable to the CPM) detailing the CRS's justification for changing the monitoring level <u>and concurrently notify affiliated Native</u> <u>American tribal entities</u>.

Daily, as long as no cultural resources are found, the CRS shall provide a statement that "no cultural resources over 50 years of age were discovered" to the CPM as an email or in some other form of communication acceptable to the CPM and to any affiliated Native American tribal entities that request such statements.

Weekly, during jack-and-bore tunneling for the underground transmission line, the project owner shall provide the CPM with copies of the soil and sediment descriptions

and auger-backdirt screening logs kept by the CRS, alternate CRS, or CRMs, as detailed in the CRMMP.

At least 24 hours prior to reducing or ending daily reporting, the project owner shall submit to the CPM, for review and approval, a letter or e-mail (or some other form of communication acceptable to the CPM) detailing the CRS's justification for reducing or ending daily reporting.

No later than 30 days following the discovery of any Native American cultural materials, the project owner shall submit to the CPM copies of the information transmittal letters sent to the Chairpersons of the <u>affiliated</u> Native American <u>tribal entities</u> who requested the information. Additionally, the project owner shall submit to the CPM copies of letters of transmittal for all subsequent responses to Native American requests for notification, consultation, and reports and records.

Within 15 days of receiving them, the project owner shall submit to the CPM copies of any comments or information provided by Native Americans in response to the project owner's transmittals of information. The project owner shall provide written responses to any such comments or information within five business days of their receipt and copy the CPM with such correspondence.

[Comment: DISPUTED language. CRIT and NextEra propose: "The CPM shall provide a written response, or shall ensure the project owner provides a written response, to such comments . . . "]

CUL-17 AUTHORITY TO HALT CONSTRUCTION; TREATMENT OF DISCOVERIES

The project owner shall grant authority to halt ground disturbance to the CRS, alternate CRS, PPA, PHA, <u>NAMs</u>, and the CRMs in the event of a discovery. Redirection of ground disturbance shall be accomplished under the direction of the construction supervisor in consultation with the CRS. In the event that a cultural resource over 50 years of age is found (or if younger, determined exceptionally significant by the CPM), or impacts to such a resource can be anticipated, ground disturbance shall be halted or redirected in the immediate vicinity of the discovery sufficient to ensure that the resource is protected from further impacts. Monitoring and daily reporting, as provided in other Conditions, shall continue during the project's ground-disturbing activities elsewhere. The halting or redirection of ground disturbance shall remain in effect until the CRS has visited the discovery, and all of the following have occurred:

1. The CRS has notified the project owner, and the CPM has been notified within 24 hours of the discovery, or by Monday morning if the cultural resources discovery occurs between 8:00 AM on Friday and 8:00 AM on Sunday morning, including a description of the discovery (or changes in character or attributes), the action taken (i.e., work stoppage or redirection), a recommendation of CRHR eligibility, and recommendations for <u>avoidance of</u> <u>the discovery if feasible</u>, or if avoidance is infeasible, data recovery from any cultural resources discoveries, <u>and</u> whether or not a determination of CRHR eligibility has been made.

- 2. If the discovery would be of interest to <u>affiliated</u> Native American <u>tribal</u> <u>entities</u>, <u>the CPM shall ensure the CRS has notified</u>, <u>within 48 hours</u>, all <u>affiliated</u> Native American <u>tribal entities</u> that expressed a desire to be notified in the event of such a discovery. <u>The CRS shall inform the CPM if</u> <u>there are any barriers to performing the notification</u>. In the event the <u>CRS fails to timely provide such notification, the CPM shall fine the</u> <u>project owner \$5,000 per day of delay</u>.
- 3. The CRS has completed field notes, measurements, and photography for a DPR 523 Primary form. Unless the find can be treated prescriptively, as specified in the CRMMP, the Description entry of the DPR 523 Primary form shall include a recommendation on the CRHR eligibility of the discovery. The project owner shall submit completed forms to the CPM.
- 4. The CRS, the project owner, any interested Indian Tribes, and the CPM have conferred, and the CPM has concurred with the recommended eligibility of the discovery.
- 5. If the discovery is an eligible or potentially eligible prehistoric site, traditional cultural property, or ethnographic site, the CRS, the project owner, the CPM, and any interested Indian tribes have discussed the possibility of avoiding the discovery or, if avoidance is infeasible, reburying in-situ. If avoidance of the discovery is determined to be infeasible, the CPM has provided a written determination of infeasibility supported by substantial evidence. If reburying in-situ is infeasible, the CPM has provided a written determination of infeasibility supported by substantial evidence. If avoidance and re-burial are both infeasible, the CPM has and approved the CRS's proposed data recovery, if any, including the curation of the artifacts, or other appropriate mitigation; and any necessary data recovery and mitigation have been completed.

<u>Verification</u>: At least 30 days prior to the start of ground disturbance, the project owner shall provide the CPM and CRS with a letter confirming that the CRS, alternate CRS, PPA, PHA, <u>NAMs</u>, and CRMs have the authority to halt ground disturbance in the vicinity of a cultural resources discovery, and that the project owner shall ensure that the CRS notifies the CPM within 24 hours of a discovery, or by Monday morning if the cultural resources discovery occurs between 8:00 AM on Friday and 8:00 AM on Sunday morning.

Within 48 hours of the discovery of a resource of interest to Native Americans, <u>the CPM</u> shall ensure that the CRS notifies all <u>affiliated Native American tribal entities</u> that expressed a desire to be notified in the event of such a discovery.

Unless the discovery can be treated prescriptively, as specified in the CRMMP, completed DPR 523 forms for resources newly discovered during ground disturbance shall be submitted to the CPM for review and approval no later than 24 hours following the notification of the CPM, or 48 hours following the completion of data recordation/recovery, whichever the CRS decides is more appropriate for the subject cultural resource.

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Sara Clark, declare that on December 9, 2013, I served and filed copies of CRIT's Requested Additions to the Stipulated Conditions of Certification for Cultural Resources dated December 9, 2013. The most recent Proof of Service List, which I copied from the web page for this project at: http://www.energy.ca.gov, is attached to this Declaration.

(Check one)

For service to all other parties and filing with the Docket Unit at the Energy Commission:

- <u>x</u> I successfully uploaded the document to the Energy Commission's e-filing system and I personally delivered the document or deposited it in the US mail with first class postage to those persons for whom a physical mailing address but no e-mail address is shown on the attached Proof of Service List. [The e-filing system will serve the other parties and Committee via e-mail when the document is approved for filing.] **or**
- I e-mailed the document to docket@energy.ca.gov and I personally delivered the document or deposited it in the US mail with first class postage to those persons for whom a physical mailing address but no e-mail address is shown on the attached Proof of Service List. [The e-filing system will serve the other parties and Committee via e-mail when the document is approved for filing.] **or**
- Instead of e-filing or e-mailing the document, I personally delivered it or deposited it in the US mail with first class postage to all of the persons on the attached Proof of Service List for whom a mailing address is given and to the

California Energy Commission – Docket Unit Attn: Docket No. _____ 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

[The e-filing system will serve an additional electronic copy on the other parties and Committee via e-mail when the paper document or CD is received, scanned, uploaded, and approved for filing. The electronic copy stored in the e-filing system is the official copy of the document.]

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that I am over the age of 18 years.

Dated: December 9, 2013

/s/ Sara A. Clark_	
[Name]	



CA.gov | Contact Us | Accessibility | Quick Links

HOME ABOUT US EFFICIENCY FUNDING POWER PLANTS RENEWABLES RESEARCH TRANSPORTATION

Proof of Service List

Docket: 09-AFC-06C Project Title: Blythe Solar Power Project - Compliance Generated On: 12/9/2013 4:41:23 PM

Applicant

Dan Neville, Project Director, Development NextEra Energy Resources 505 14th Street, Suite 310 Oakland, CA 94616 daniel.neville@nexteraenergy.com

Applicant Representative

Marie Fleming Galati/Blek, LLP 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 mfleming@gb-llp.com

Applicant Consultant

Sara Head AECOM 1220 Avenida Acaso Camarillo, CA 93012 sara.head@aecom.com

Intervenor

Elizabeth Klebaner, Counsel for California Unions for Reliable Energy (CURE) Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo 601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000 South San Francisco, CA 94080 eklebaner@adamsbroadwell.com

Tanya A. Gulesserian, Counsel for California Unions for Reliable Energy (CURE) Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo 601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000 South San Francisco, CA 94080 tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com

Scott Galati Galati/Blek, LLP

Galati/Blek, LLP 455 Capitol Mall, Suite 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 sgalati@gb-llp.com

Tricia Bernhardt

Tetra Tech, Inc. 143 Union Boulevard, Suite 1010 Lakewood, CO 80228 tricia.bernhardt@tetratech.com

Marc D. Joseph, Counsel for California Unions for Reliable Energy (CURE) Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo 601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000 South San Francisco, CA 94080 mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com Rebecca Loudbear, Office of the Attorney General Colorado River Indian Tribes 26600 Mohave Road Parker, AZ 85344 rloudbear@critdoj.com

Intervenor Representative

Michael R. Lozeau , Counsel for LIUNA Local 1184 Lozeau Drury LLP 410 12th Street, Suite 250 Oakland, CA 94607

Winter King, Counsel for Colorado River Indian Tribes Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger, LLP 396 Hayes Street San Francisco, CA 94102 king@smwlaw.com

Commission Staff

michael@lozeaudrury.com

efiling archive

Richard T. Drury , Counsel for LIUNA Local 1184 Lozeau Drury LLP 410 12th Street, Suite 250 Oakland, CA 94607 richard@lozeaudrury.com Sara A. Clark, Counsel for Colorado River Indian Tribes Shute, Mihaly & Weinberger, LLP 396 Hayes Street San Francisco, CA 94102 clark@smwlaw.com California Energy Commission Sacramento, CA efilingPOSarchive@energy.ca.gov

Committee

DAVID HOCHSCHILD, Associate Member, Commissioner California Energy Commission Sacramento, CA

Jennifer Nelson, Adviser to Commissioner Douglas California Energy Commission Sacramento, CA

Raoul Renaud, Hearing Adviser California Energy Commission Sacramento, CA

Public Adviser

Alana Mathews, Public Adviser California Energy Commission Public Advisers Office, 1516 Ninth Street, MS-12 Sacramento, CA 95814 publicadviser@energy.ca.gov

Public Agency

California ISO Folsom, CA e-recipient@caiso.com

Magdalena Rodriguez

California Department of Fish and Game, Inland Desert Region 3602 Inland Empire Boulevard, Suite C-220 Ontario, CA 91764 magdalena.rodriguez@wildlife.ca.gov California Energy Commission Office of the Chief Counsel, 1516 Ninth Street, MS-14 Sacramento, CA 95814 jared.babula@energy.ca.gov

Eileen Allen, Commissioners' Technical Adviser for Facility Siting California Energy Commission Sacramento, CA

KAREN DOUGLAS, Presiding Member, Commissioner California Energy Commission Sacramento, CA California Energy Commission Siting, Transmission & Environmental Protection Division, 1516 Ninth Street, MS-2000 Sacramento, CA 95814 mary.dyas@energy.ca.gov

Eli Harland, Adviser to Commissioner Douglas California Energy Commission Sacramento, CA

Kelly Foley, Adviser to Commissioner Hochschild California Energy Commission Sacramento, CA

Jeff Childers

Bureau of Land Management, Desert District Office 22835 Calle San Juan De Los Lagos Moreno Valley, CA 92553 jchilders@blm.gov

Tera Baird

United States Fish and Wildlife Service 777 East Tahquitz Canyon Way, Suite 208 Palm Springs, CA 92262 Tera_baird@fws.gov

John Guerin

Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission Riverside County Administrative Center, 4080 Lemon Street, 14th Floor Riverside, CA 92501 jguerin@rctlma.org

Tiffany North, Supervising Deputy County Counsel Riverside County 3960 Orange Street, Suite 500 Riverside, CA 92501 tnorth@co.riverside.ca.us

Conditions of Use | Privacy Policy Decisions Pending and Opportunities for Public Participation Copyright © 1994-2013 California Energy Commission, All Rights Reserved State of California, Edmund G. Brown Jr., Governor