<b>Docket Number:</b>	12-AFC-03
Project Title:	Redondo Beach Energy Project
TN #:	200697
<b>Document Title:</b>	Irene Kurata Comments: We need the "needs analysis" and an analysis of the power lines
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Irene Kurata
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Public
Submission Date:	10/1/2013 1:02:41 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	10/1/2013

Comment Received From: Irene Kurata

Submitted On: 10/1/2013 Docket Number: 12-AFC-03

## We need the "needs analysis" and an analysis of the power lines

To the California CEC - Thank you very much for soliciting and considering public input regarding the AES plans for repowering. I wholeheartedly agree with Sen Lieu's and Assemblymember Muratsuchi's comments in his letter to the CEC. While I doubt very much a new AES power plant is needed in Redondo Beach, grid reliability is important - a CEC analysis regarding how/where electricity is transmitted would be very powerful.

One more thing. My niece has been working at the Seaside Lagoon summer camp for the past two summers. My niece and the elementary school age children have a WONDERFUL time attending this camp. The joyful clamor from Seaside Lagoon can easily be heard a few blocks away. EXCEPT, when the current AES plant starts running one of their operations. My niece reports the noise is POSITIVELY DEAFENING. The kids clap their hands over their ears, talking comes to a standstill but the ears continue to ring for several minutes after the AES noises go back to more tolerable levels. The current AES power plant is less than an ideal neighbor now. Should AES repower, how can we believe their assurances of less pollution, smaller footprint, etc?

I would also like to bring to the CEC's attention the Cal Poly Pomona's 606 Graduate Studio's 2011 "Re-envisioning Open Space" report. Cal Poly Pomona gathered community "likes" and "needs" - perhaps this is worth revisiting? I've attached one of the graphics included in the Cal Poly study.

Thank you.

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

## Figure 3.61 : Community Dislikes Map with a Record of Comments

Community response data acquired from the second mapping activity. Color blocks correspond to areas that participants hand-marked on maps; areas with higher numbers of responses indicated by darker colors of orange. The opposite page zooms in where data is too compacted for the regional scale.

## "more solar power"

"getting a ticket for walking my dog from my condo...to the ocean"

"parking—especially on summer weekends at the beach"

"Bike path interrupted from Hermosa to Manhattan—have to ride on street about 1/2 to 3/4 mile"

"Save our beaches! Cut down on pollution as much as possible."

upted from

"biking can be scary—no | good bike paths exist & you have to ride on the streets"

 scattered power plant Grant parking lot, underutilized public property Chevron Good Year Blimp advertisement Low flying helicopters & planes (I don't mind LAX) warehouse / putt-putt park no skate park (needs one) add east/west bike route · Sepulveda has areas that need to be upgraded/tidied-up ARTESIA BLVD power lines—great opportunity to connect inland with pier/harbor ExxonMobil Refinery railroad right-of-way Bike path corridor blocked along Del Amo by developed parcels If we could get permission jazz up Torrance Blvd; make it to cross, great bike path better gateway to Redondo Pier low vegetation opportunity for river trail bike paths don't go to beach; they end too soon non-operating car wash more solar power poor access bad visibility • dog/skate park underutilized bike paths oil fields golf course plans. It would

> make more sense to connect open space

> > SB botanic gardens

underutilized

pocket parks are never

used

105 FWY