DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	03-AFC-02C
Project Title:	Los Esteros Phase II Compliance
TN #:	200133
Document Title:	Comments by the Los Esteros Critical Energy Facility on the CEC Staff Analysis of Proposed Air Quality Amendments
Description:	N/A
Filer:	Tiffani Winter
Organization:	Ellison, Schneider & Harris L.L.P.
Submitter Role:	Applicant's Representative
Submission Date:	8/8/2013 5:06:11 PM
Docketed Date:	8/8/2013

ELLISON, SCHNEIDER & HARRIS L.L.P.

ANNE J. SCHNEIDER 1947-2010

CHRISTOPHER T. ELLISON
JEFFERY D. HARRIS
DOUGLAS K. KERNER
ROBERT E. DONLAN
ANDREW B. BROWN
PETER J. KIEL
LYNN M. HAUG
CHRISTOPHER M. SANDERS
GREGGORY L. WHEATLAND
ELIZABETH P. EWENS

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

2600 CAPITOL AVENUE, SUITE 400 SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA 95816 TELEPHONE: (916) 447-2166 FACSIMILE: (916) 447-3512 http://www.eslawfirm.com BRIAN S. BIERING
CRAIG A. CARNES, JR.
JEDEDIAH J. GIBSON
CHASE B. KAPPEL
SHANE E. C. McCOIN
SAMANTHA G. POTTENGER

OF COUNSEL: RONALD LIEBERT

August 8, 2013

By Electronic Submission: docket@energy.ca.gov
California Energy Commission
Attn: Craig Hoffman, Compliance Project Manager
Dockets Unit, MS-4
Docket No. 03-AFC-2C
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

Re: Docket # 03-AFC-2C

Los Esteros Critical Energy Facility Phase 2

Comments by the Project Owner on the California Energy Commission Staff

Analysis of Proposed Air Quality Amendments

Dear Mr. Hoffman:

Los Esteros Critical Energy Facility, LLC (hereinafter, "Project Owner") appreciates the opportunity to submit these comments on the California Energy Commission ("CEC") Staff Analysis of Proposed Air Quality Amendments for the Los Esteros Critical Energy Facility Phase 2 project ("LECEF")(collectively, "Staff Analysis"). We appreciate that Staff recommends approval of the proposed air quality amendments at the Commission's August 27, 2013 Business Meeting.²

We recommend a minor modification to the first sentence of Condition of Certification AQ-48 set forth in the Staff Analysis. We recommend that this sentence be revised as follows:

S14 is a GE LM6000 turbine that is equivalent to the four existing gas turbines and is used brought in as a substitute while one of the existing turbines is being maintained.

¹ The Staff Analysis is available at: http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/losesteros2/compliance_phase_1/2013-07-22 Staff analysis of proposed AQ Amendments.pdf.

² Cover Letter from Craig Hoffman, Compliance Project Manager, CEC, re: LECEF Staff Analysis, at 2 (July 22, 2013), *available at*: http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/losesteros2/compliance_phase_1/2013-07-22 Staff analysis of proposed AQ Amendments.pdf.

Craig Hoffman California Energy Commission August 8, 2013 Page 2

The first sentence of AQ-48 as proposed in the Staff Analysis could be misleading. By stating that S-14 is "brought in" as a substitute, AQ-48 might imply that S-14 is located elsewhere (i.e., offsite) before being used as a substitute for one of the existing turbines. AQ-48 should not imply that S-14 is stored offsite.

As explained in the Staff Analysis, "[t]he addition of proposed Condition of Certification AQ-48 would allow the facility to *have* a power turbine that could be substituted into any of the four trains at any time." LECEF Staff Analysis, at 2 (emphasis added); *see also id.* at 18. The use of the word "have" in this context correctly indicates that LECEF could store S-14 onsite at the facility, for use as a substitute for any of the four gas turbines.

This minor clarification to AQ-48 will not change the fact that LECEF conforms with the applicable LORS related to air quality and will not result in significant air quality impacts or any increases to the facility's emissions profile.

Please contact me at (916) 447-2166 if you have any questions about these comments.

Sincerely,

Greggory L. Wheatland

May (chanter)