

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION  
Energy Facility Siting and  
Environmental Protection Division

DOCKET  
93-AFC-2

FILE: 93-AFC-2

REPORT OF CONVERSATION

DATE: JAN 14 1994

Procter & Gamble

RECD JAN 14 1994

Meeting/Location

Telephone

Meeting/Location

NAME Lisa Penaska DATE 1/13/94 TIME 11:45 am

WITH USEPA PHONE 415-744-1261  
area code/number

ADDRESS Rm. 17131, 75 Hawthorne, S.F., CA 94105

SUBJECT(s) PSD determination for P&G project

COMMENTS:

I originally called Matt Heber of the USEPA. Lisa Penaska of the USEPA returned my call. I told her that I wanted to keep the EPA informed regarding the emissions levels of the P&G project, in particular NO<sub>x</sub>, since the annual project NO<sub>x</sub> emissions (94 tons/yr) were approaching the 100 ton per year PSD threshold.

She said that the EPA was watching all the SMUD projects and was actively involved in SEPCO and Campbell. She said that she had estimated the P&G NO<sub>x</sub> emissions at 120 t/yr. I pointed out that with hourly limits on some of the P&G units (under which P&G was willing to operate the project), the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions were 94 t/yr. She noted that EPA has not delegated NO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> PSD authority to SMAQMD, so they would be involved in an NO<sub>2</sub> PSD determination, if necessary.

I asked if she has the complete filing, she told me that she did not have the P&G AQ AFC (Volume 5, October 1993). I offered to send it to her along with the first set of AQ data responses.

I reiterated that we wanted to keep the EPA informed, and that I was not requesting any action by the EPA at this time.

ACTION: I sent Ms Penaska Volume 5 of the P&G AFC and the data responses 1/13/94.

ms126\smudpg\lp011394.roc  
cc: Chris Tooker  
Darrel Woo

Signed *ML*  
Name Matt Layton