STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission

	CKI AFC		· I
DATE	MAR 2	6	1999
RECD.	MAR 2	6	1999
1664	10		

In the Matter of:)	Docket No. 98-AFC-1
Application for Certification for the Pittsburg District Energy)))	STAFF PREHEARING CONFERENCE STATEMENT
Facility (PDEF)	_)	

INTRODUCTION

Staff issued its Staff Assessment on March 10, 1999, and is conducting noticed workshops with the applicant and agencies regarding the Staff analysis in the document. The Staff Assessment is Staff's testimony in the proceeding. At the workshops held last week the parties identified areas that require additional work and wil be the subject of follow-up meetings. It will be necessary for Staff to provide further testimony on some subject areas prior to hearing. These subject areas include air quality, visual resources, transportation, water resources, transmission system engineering, facility design, and land use. The need for supplemental testimony in these areas is addressed separately below.

Staff believes that no adjudication of issues will be necessary for most subject areas. Likewise, in subject areas not mentioned above Staff believes that no further information will be necessary, either from the applicant or other parties. However, Staff believes it is possible that two areas could require adjudication: air quality and visual resources. However, it is entirely possible that the issues in those areas will be resolved through further workshops.

The status of these six topic areas where further analysis is necessary, and that will require supplemental testimony prior to hearing, is discussed in further detail below.

AIR QUALITY

It is yet unclear whether this subject area will require adjudication. The Bay Area Air Quality Management District ("District") has only this week issued its Preliminary Determination of Compliance ("PDOC"), and has indicated that the Final Determination of Compliance ("FDOC") will not be issued before late May. Staff will file

PROOF OF SERVICE (REVISED _____) FILED WITH ORIGINAL MAILED FROM SACRAMENTO ON 3/36/99

supplemental testimony after the FDOC is issued. This means final testimony on this issue will probably not be filed before late in May.

The applicant has raised three issues with Staff's proposed conditions in air quality. First, Staff has recommended limits on the amount of sulfur in gas used to fuel the project. The applicant objects that such a requirement is unnecessary and perhaps infeasible. Second, Staff has proposed a condition to limit maximum daily emissions below those required by the District PDOC. The applicant asserts that this mitigation is unnecessary and infeasible, in that it will restrict plant operating flexibility necessary for the project to be viable. Third, Staff has proposed a condition revising maximum start-up/shut down emissions based on source tests taken during the first year of operation. The applicant contends that this is unnecessary and would ignore higher emissions that might be expected from equipment degradation or different operating scenarios.

Staff believes that each of the proposed requirements to which the applicant objects are consistent with prior cases or with limits proposed by the Pittsburg Delta AFC for a similar project.

Staff and the applicant have only just begun to discuss these issues, as the Staff Assessment and the District PDOC have only recently been issued. Staff will be meeting with both the District and the applicant to resolve these issues prior to the FDOC, in which case adjudication will become unnecessary.

WATER RESOURCES

There is no current disagreement in this area. However, Staff is doing further analysis regarding whether the higher concentration of heavy metal constituents of water returned to the Delta Diablo Water District could adversely affect the surface waters into which the water will be discharged. The discharge would be pursuant to the water district's NPDES permit. The water district believes that the discharge will still be within acceptable limits. Staff is currently confirming this.

VISUAL RESOURCES

Staff's Assessment indicates that the project will have a significant effect on visual resources because of its transmission line and the transition stations where the line will go underground. The applicant has expressed disagreement with Staff's conclusions, but has also just proposed new mitigation that would lower the transmission poles and visually screen the transition stations. Staff is evaluating whether this mitigation effectively reduces the visual impact to less than significant, and will file supplemental testimony on that issue. Adjudication may be necessary, but it is

uncertain until Staff has evaluated the mitigation and had further discussion with the applicant.

LAND USE

Staff is unaware of any issues requiring adjudication. However, it needs additional time to finalize proposed permit conditions that reflect requests from the City of Pittsburg, the City of Antioch, and Contra Costa County, particularly with regard to conditions for encroachment permits and franchise agreements. These will be covered in supplementary testimony.

TRANSPORTATION

Staff is unaware of any issues requiring adjudication. However, public comment and the City of Antioch have requested further analysis of 1) truck route impacts and alternatives (including public health concerns), and 2) construction traffic impacts on two intersections in the City of Antioch. These issues will be covered in supplemental testimony.

TRANSMISSION SYSTEM ENGINEERING

The Detailed Facilities Study will not be available before May. The Independent System Operator will use that study to decide what, if any, downstream facilities will need to be upgraded. These "downstream" upgrades will in all likelihood not be finally identified before late June; thus, any necessary environmental analysis of downstream upgrades would require a substantial delay in the proceeding. Staff has proposed how it believes this issue should be handled, and is waiting for the Committee to either confirm the Staff proposal or otherwise direct its analysis.

FACILITY DESIGN

Staff is unaware of any issues requiring adjudication. However, comments received from the applicant request changes to the recommended conditions of certification to relax record-keeping review and approval requirements. Staff and the applicant have only just begun to discuss these issues.

WITNESSES

Staff witnesses are identified in the Staff Assessment. Staff may also sponsor witnesses from the Delta Diablo Water District and the Independent System Operator.

HEARING SCHEDULE

With the exception of the testimony in air quality, Staff will attempt to file its supplemental testimony in time for the hearings in late April. Air quality testimony should be filed by late May.

Dated: March 26, 1999

Respectfully submitted,

DICK RATLIFF

Senior Staff Counsel

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission

In the Matter of:)	Docket No. 98-AFC-1
)	
Application for Certification)	PROOF OF SERVICE
for the PITTSBURG DISTRICT)	*[Revised 02/25/99]
ENERGY FACILITY)	
)	

I, Alicia Perez, declare that on March 26, 1999, I deposited copies of the attached PITTSBURG DISTRICT ENERGY FACILITY STAFF'S PREHEARING CONFERENCE STATEMENT in the United States mail in Sacramento, CA with first class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to the following:

DOCKET UNIT

Send the original signed document plus the required 12 copies to the address below:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION DOCKET UNIT, MS-4 Attn: Docket No. 98-AFC-1 1516 Ninth Street Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

* * * * *

In addition to the documents sent to the Commission Docket Unit, also send individual copies of any documents to:

APPLICANT

Samuel L. Wehn, Project Director Attn: Pittsburg Energy Facility Enron Capital & Trade Resources Corp. 101 California Street, Suite 1950 San Francisco, CA 94111

Allan J. Thompson, Esq. 21 "C" Orinda Way, No. 314 Orinda, CA 94563

Robert Ray, Project Manager URS Greiner Woodward Clyde 130 Robin Hill Rd., Ste. 100 Santa Barbara, CA 93117

*Revisions to POS List, i.e. updates, additions and/or deletions. PITTSBURG/98-AFC-1.POS

1

INTERVENORS

California Unions for Reliable Energy Mark D. Joseph, Esq. Katherine S. Poole, Esq. Adams Broadwell & Joseph 651 Gateway Blvd., Suite 900 South San Francisco, CA 94080

William V. Manheim, Esq. Kelly M. Morton, Esq. Law Department Pacific Gas and Electric Company P.O. Box 7442 San Francisco, CA 94120

*Calpine Corporation Attn: Maura Hernandez 6700 Koll Center Parkway, Ste.200 Pleasanton, CA 94566

Christopher Ellison, Esq. Ellison & Schneider 2015 H Street Sacramento, CA 95814

City of Antioch Att: William R. Galstan, City Atty. Third and "H" Streets P. O. Box 5007 Antioch, CA 93431-5007

LIMITED INTERVENOR

Thomas M. Barnett, VP High Desert Power Project 3501 Jamboree Rd., S. Tower, Ste. 606 Newport Beach, CA 92660

INTERESTED AGENCIES

Jeffrey C. Kolin, City Manager City of Pittsburg 2020 Railroad Avenue Pittsburg, CA 94565

Michael Ramsey, City Manager City of Antioch P.O. Box 5007 Antioch, CA 94531-5007

RESPONSIBLE AGENCIES

(FOR NOTICES ONLY)

Ray Menebroker ARB Stationary Source Div. Project Assessment P.O. Box 2815 Sacramento, CA 95815-2815

Paul Causey Delta Diablo Sanitation District 2500 Pittsburg-Antioch Highway Antioch, CA 94509-1373

John Waithman California Department of Fish & Game 7329 Silverado Trail Napa, CA 94558

Dennis Jang
Bay Area Air Quality Management District
939 Ellis Street
San Francisco, CA 94109

Matt Haber, Chief of Permits Office U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

Ed Wylie U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 333 Market Street San Francisco, CA 94105-2197

Richard Corey ARB Stationary Source Division Project Assessment P.O. Box 2815 Sacramento, CA 95815-2815

Jeff Miller California Independent System Operator 151 Blue Ravine Road Folsom, CA 95630

I declare that under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

[signature]

CEC INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION LIST ONLY!

Parties <u>DO NOT</u> mail to the following individuals. The Energy Commission Docket Unit will internally distribute documents filed in this case to the following:

DAVID A. ROHY, Ph.D., Vice Chair Presiding Committee Member MS-35

MICHAL C. MOORE, Commissioner Associate Committee Member MS-34

Susan Gefter Hearing Officer MS-9

Lorraine White Project Manager MS-15

Dick Ratliff Staff Counsel MS-14

Jonathan Blees Chief Counsel MS-14

Roberta Mendonca Public Adviser MS-12