DOCKETED			
Docket Number:	24-OPT-04		
Project Title:	Potentia-Viridi Battery Energy Storage System		
TN #:	261445		
Document Title:	DR Response 3 - Attachment 2, Biological Technical Report		
Description:	This revised report describes the existing conditions, regulatory setting, existing biological resources within the Project Study Area (PSA), and preliminary assessment of Project impacts.		
Filer:	Ronelle Candia		
Organization:	Dudek		
Submitter Role:	Applicant Consultant		
Submission Date:	1/29/2025 8:11:11 PM		
Docketed Date:	1/30/2025		

Attachment 2 BTR

Biological Technical Report Potentia-Viridi Battery Energy Storage System Project

JANUARY 2025

Prepared for:

LEVY ALAMEDA LLC

c/o Capstone Infrastructure Corporation 155 Wellington Street West, Suite 2930 Toronto, Ontario M5V 3H1, Canada *Contact: Lauren McLeod*

Prepared by:



Printed on 30% post-consumer recycled material.

Table of Contents

SECTION

PAGE NO.

Acronyn	ns and A	bbreviat	ions	i
Executiv	ve Sumn	nary		iii
1	Introdu	ction		1
2	Proiect	Setting.		3
	2.1	-	Description	
	2.2	•	al Land Use Setting	
	2.3	Climate	and Rainfall	3
	2.4	Soil and	I Terrain	3
	2.5	Hydrolo	gy and Watershed	4
3	Regulat	ory Setti	ng	5
	3.1	Federal		5
		3.1.1	Clean Water Act, Section 404	5
		3.1.2	Clean Water Act, Section 401	5
		3.1.3	Federal Endangered Species Act	6
		3.1.4	Migratory Bird Treaty Act	6
		3.1.5	Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act	7
	3.2	State		7
		3.2.1	Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act	7
		3.2.2	California Endangered Species Act	8
		3.2.3	California Fish and Game Code	8
		3.2.4	California Environmental Quality Act	. 10
		3.2.5	California Energy Commission – Assembly Bill 205	. 10
	3.3	County	of Alameda	. 11
		3.3.1	East Alameda County Conservation Strategy	.11
		3.3.2	Alameda County General Plan	. 14
4	Method	s		. 17
	4.1	Key Def	initions	. 17
	4.2	Databa	se and Literature Review	. 18
	4.3	Field Su	irveys	. 19
		4.3.1	Reconnaissance Surveys	. 20
		4.3.2	Protocol-Level Botanical Surveys	.21
		4.3.3	Focused Burrow Surveys	.21
		4.3.4	Protocol-level Burrowing Owl Surveys	.21

i

		4.3.5	Focused Crotch's Bumble Bee Habitat Assessment	21
		4.3.6	Protocol-Level California Red-Legged Frog Habitat Assessment	22
		4.3.7	Protocol-Level California Tiger Salamander Habitat Assessment	22
		4.3.8	Aquatic Resources Delineation	22
5	Results			23
	5.1		tion Communities	
	5.2		Resources	
	5.3	Observe	ed Plant and Wildlife Species	23
	5.4	Special	-Status Species	23
		5.4.1	Special-Status Plants	23
		5.4.2	Special-Status Wildlife	25
	5.5	Nesting	g Birds	33
	5.6	Other S	ensitive Resources	33
		5.6.1	Designated Critical Habitat	33
		5.6.2	Essential Fish Habitat	34
		5.6.3	Sensitive Natural Communities	35
		5.6.4	Wildlife Corridors and Habitat Linkages	35
6	Summa	ary of Fin	idings	37
	6.1	Biologic	cal Impact Overview	37
	6.2	Regulat	tions and Permitting Overview	39
7	Referer	nces		41

TABLES

Table 1. Field Survey Summary	19
Table 2. Special-Status Plant Species with Moderate or High Potential to Occur	24

APPENDICES

- A Figures 1–6
- B Database Search Results
- C Staff Resumes
- D Plant and Wildlife Species Compendium
- E Photo Record
- F Special-Status Species Potential to Occur within the Project Study Area
- G CRLF Habitat Assessment Datasheets
- H EACCS Score Sheets
- I Wetland Delineation Datasheets



ii

Acronyms and Abbreviations

Acronym/Abbreviation	Definition			
AMM	avoidance and minimization measure			
ВА	biological assessment			
BESS	Battery Energy Storage System			
BGEPA	Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act			
ВО	biological opinion			
BTR	Biological Technical Report			
CDFW	California Department of Fish and Wildlife			
СЕНС	California Essential Habitat Connectivity			
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act			
CESA	California Endangered Species Act			
CFGC	California Fish and Game Code			
CNDDB	California Natural Diversity Database			
CNPS	California Native Plant Society			
CRLF	California red-legged frog			
CRPR	California Rare Plant Rank			
CWA	Clean Water Act			
CZ	Conservation Zone			
DCH	Designated Critical Habitat			
DPS	distinct population segment			
EACCS	East Alameda County Conservation Strategy			
ECAP	East County Area Plan			
ECOS	Environmental Conservation Online System			
EFH	Essential Fish Habitat			
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency			
ESA	Environmentally sensitive area			
FESA	Federal Endangered Species Act			
FGC	California Fish and Game Code			
НСР	habitat conservation plan			
IPaC	Information for Planning and Consultation			
ITP	Incidental Take Permit			
D	Jurisdictional Determination			
LSAA	Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement			
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act			
MMRP	Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program			
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration			
NWI	National Wetlands Inventory			
NWP	Nationwide Permit			
OHWM	ordinary high water mark			
РВО	Programmatic Biological Opinion			

i

Acronym/Abbreviation	Definition
PCE	primary constituent elements
PFMC	Pacific Fishery Management Council
RWQCB	Regional Water Quality Control Board
SB	Senate Bill
SP	Standard Permit
SSC	Species of Special Concern
SWANCC	Solid Waste Agency of Northern Cook County
SWHA	Swainson's hawk
SWRCB	State Water Resources Control Board
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
WEAP	Worker Environmental Awareness Program

ii

Executive Summary

This Biological Technical Report (BTR) was prepared for Levy Alameda LLC for the proposed Potentia-Viridi Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) Project (Project). This BTR describes the existing conditions, regulatory setting, existing biological resources within the Project Study Area (PSA), and preliminary assessment of Project impacts.

The PSA is in eastern Alameda County, California. The PSA consists of the BESS facility and a generation tie (gentie) alignment to the southeast connecting the facility to the adjacent Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E) Tesla Substation. The PSA is currently undeveloped. The PG&E Tesla substation is directly east; along the western Project boundary there are transmission lines running northeast to southwest; Patterson Pass Road follows the eastern boundary; there is a railroad line to the south and a gravel access road to the north. The gen-tie alignment connecting the BESS facility to the PG&E substation crosses Patterson Run (a seasonal stream channel). The lands comprising the PSA have been used for cattle grazing in the past, however, the only lands within the PSA currently being grazed are those along the gen-tie alignment between Patterson Pass Road and the western boundary of the PG&E Tesla Substation property. The nearest city is Tracy, approximately 2.5 miles to the east.

Federal, state, and local regulations or policies applicable to the Project include the following:

- Federal
 - Clean Water Act, Sections 404 and 401
 - Federal Endangered Species Act (FESA)
 - Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA)
 - Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGEPA)
- State
 - Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act
 - California Endangered Species Act (CESA)
 - California Fish and Game Code (FGC)
 - California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)
- Local
 - East Alameda County Conservation Strategy (EACCS)
 - Alameda County General Plan
- Alameda County Code of Ordinances

As part of the BTR, Dudek biologists conducted an updated desktop literature review and database search to identify potentially present special-status biological resources within the PSA and to supplement the Biological Constraints Analysis (Dudek 2023a) and update the September 2023 Biological Technical Report (Dudek 2023b). Dudek qualified biologists also conducted a series of biological field surveys in 2023 and 2024 to evaluate the PSA for special-status species and habitat. Surveys were conducted on March 31, May 16, and August 2 of 2023, January 18, April 12, May 24, and June 17, 2024. These surveys included reconnaissance-level biological field surveys, focused rare plant surveys, burrow mapping, protocol-level burrowing owl surveys, bumble bee habitat mapping, a California red-legged frog habitat assessment, California tiger salamander habitat assessment, and an aquatic resources delineation. The purpose of these surveys was to identify and characterize resources within the

PSA, with particular focus on the potential for occurrence of special-status plant and wildlife species and other sensitive resources.

There was only one vegetation community mapped on the PSA: wild oats and annual brome grassland. This vegetation community is characterized by an herbaceous layer dominated by non-native grass species including wild oats (*Avena* spp.), bromes (*Bromus* spp.), and barleys (*Hordeum* spp.). This habitat type covered the full extent of the PSA.

A formal aquatic resource delineation was conducted on January 18, 2024. No aquatic resources were present on the BESS facility portion of the PSA; however, the gen-tie alignment will cross over a seasonal stream (EPH-O1, Patterson Run). Patterson Run is a potential Water of the United States, and the Project proponent has applied to the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) for a Nationwide Permit under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act to cover minor construction-related impacts to Patterson Run.

A total of 18 special-status and rare plants identified from the literature review were determined to have potential to occur within the PSA. Three individuals of big tarplant (*Blepharizonia plumosa*) were observed within PSA at the southwest corner of the PG&E substation. No other special-status plants were observed during the surveys.

A total of 20 special-status wildlife species identified from the literature review were determined to have potential to occur within the PSA. A total of 6 special-status wildlife species are known to occur within the PSA, were observed or detected during field surveys, or have a moderate to high potential to occur on the PSA and could therefore be impacted by eventual Project implementation. Tricolored blackbird was observed foraging on the site and five other special-status wildlife species have a moderate or high potential to occur on the PSA, including California tiger salamander, California red-legged frog, golden eagle, northern harrier, burrowing owl, and white-tailed kite. Although Swainson's hawk have low potential to nest at the project site or vicinity, they were included in this analysis at the request of CEC and CDFW. No other special-status wildlife species were observed during the surveys. Suitable breeding habitat was identified for California tiger salamander and California red-legged frog within dispersal distance of the PSA, and Designated Critical Habitat for California red-legged frog overlaps with the PSA. Nesting birds are also expected to utilize habitat present within the PSA.

The Project and associated PSA fall within the boundaries of the EACCS, specifically within Conservation Zone (CZ) 10. The EACCS provides a framework for natural resource conservation and to streamline the environmental permitting process within the eastern portion of the county. The EACCS defines standardized mitigation ratios for each of the focal species to offset project impacts, based upon an evaluation of habitat quality within the PSA. Mitigation ratios for each covered species within the EACCS that have been identified during field surveys, or that have been assumed to be present, are then adjusted from the base 3:1 ratio based on habitat quality and species-specific calculators included in Appendix E of the EACCS. Total mitigation acreages for each species determined to be present through field surveys, or assumed to be present, may vary depending on the location(s) of compensatory mitigation land selected, habitat quality of mitigation land relative to habitat quality impacted by the project, and the total acres of habitat impacted by the Project. Final compensatory mitigation acreage would be based on habitat impact acreages calculated from final engineering designs approved for construction of the Project and the adjusted mitigation ratios for species requiring compensatory mitigation.

The Project will obtain applicable permits and other approvals from the California Energy Commission (CEC), USACE, United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (CVRWQCB) and will minimize and mitigate impacts on natural resources to comply with the regulatory standards



of these agencies. These are the same regulatory standards applied by USFWS and the other environmental agencies in their review and approval of the EACCS. The Project will incorporate avoidance and minimization measures (AMMs) in compliance with EACCS guidelines. Development of the Project would not conflict with implementation of the EACCS. Further, the Project would provide compensatory mitigation for impacts to aquatic resources and EACCS covered species, determined, or assumed to be present within the PSA, through the acquisition of credits from existing mitigation banks or through establishing conservation easements on suitable lands.

v

DUDEK

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

1 Introduction

Dudek is pleased to present Levy Alameda LLC with this Biological Technical Report (BTR) for the proposed Potentia-Viridi Battery Energy Storage System (BESS) Project (Project). This BTR describes the existing conditions, regulatory setting, and existing biological resources within the Project Study Area (PSA) and provides a preliminary analysis of Project impacts. As part of the BTR, Dudek biologists conducted an updated desktop literature review and database search specific to biological resources to supplement the Biological Constraints Analysis (Dudek 2023a) and update the September 2023 Biological Technical Report (Dudek 2023b). Dudek also performed additional biological field surveys during the 2023 and 2024 field seasons to supplement the prior reconnaissance-level biological field survey, including focused surveys for rare plants and burrows, focused habitat assessments for Crotch's bumble bee, and protocol-level surveys for burrowing owl. In addition, a focused habitat assessment for California redlegged frog was conducted for suitable and accessible aquatic features within 1 mile of the PSA, and a formal aquatic resources delineation was conducted to identify and map aquatic resources within the PSA. The purpose of these surveys was to identify and characterize resources within the PSA, with particular focus on the potential for occurrence of special-status plant and wildlife species and other sensitive resources. The Project site refers to the area that would be physically affected by construction activities associated with the Project (including temporary disturbance) and the Project layout. The PSA encompasses to the Project site as described above, but also includes a buffer around the generation tie (gen-tie) alignment, buffered areas around the Project site to capture resources within the limits of potential impact or required to be surveyed by species-specific survey protocols, and ponds located to the west of the Project site.

This BTR includes (1) a description of existing conditions on the site, (2) regulatory overview, (3) methods for biological studies, and (4) a description of any sensitive habitats or resources observed on the site. Details pertaining to the PSA are provided below:

- County: Alameda
- Public Land Survey System: Section 31; Township 2S; Range 4E
- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5-Minute Quadrangle: Midway
- Latitude, Longitude (decimal degrees): 37.710926°, -121.575397° (centroid)
- APN: 99b-7890-2-4 (BESS facility, 60.7 acres plus buffer); 99B-7890-2-6, 99B-7885-12 (gen-tie alignment, 20.44 acres including buffer)
- Elevation Range (feet): 383 to 523 feet above mean sea level (amsl)
- PSA: 88.2 acres



1

DUDEK

POTENTIA-VIRIDI BATTERY ENERGY STORAGE SYSTEM PROJECT / BIOLOGICAL TECHNICAL REPORT

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

2 Project Setting

2.1 Project Description

The Potentia-Viridi BESS Project proposes the development of an up to 3,200 MWh of battery energy storage system and associated infrastructure across approximately 88 acres (approximately 60-acre BESS facility lease area and survey buffer + approximately 6-are gen-tie corridor which includes approximately 20-acre associated survey buffer) (Appendix A: Figure 1, Project Location). The BESS facility would interconnect to the electrical grid via a new 500 kV gen-tie constructed from the project substation to the Point of Interconnection (POI) at the existing PG&E Tesla Substation. Construction and commission of the Project is expected to occur over approximately 24 months.

2.2 Regional Land Use Setting

The PSA is currently undeveloped, and the regional land use has remained largely unchanged since the 1980s based on aerial imagery (Google Earth Pro 2023). Relative to the proposed BESS facility lease area, the PG&E Tesla substation is about 0.25 miles east; high voltage transmission lines parallel the BESS facility lease area along the northwestern, northern, northeastern, and eastern boundaries; Patterson Pass Road roughly parallels the eastern boundary; the Western Pacific Railroad is about 0.1 miles southeast; and there is an existing gravel access road adjacent to the northern boundary. The gen-tie alignment connecting the BESS facility to the PG&E substation crosses Patterson Pass Road, Patterson Run (a seasonal stream channel), and generally proceeds southeast to the Point of Change of Ownership transmission structure, before turning east across the PG&E Tesla Substation property and then north into the substation boundary and POI. The BESS facility site and surrounding land have been used for cattle grazing in the past. However, the BESS facility lease area and PG&E Tesla Substation property have not been grazed recently, whereas the property crossed by the gen-tie between the BESS facility lease area and PG&E Tesla Substation Property is currently used as cattle pasture. The nearest city is Tracy, approximately 2.5 miles to the east.

2.3 Climate and Rainfall

The PSA is within a Mediterranean climate where annual temperatures range from 38.3°F to 92.6°F (WRCC 2023). According to the Tracy Pumping Plant (049001) Weather Station Gauge, yearly precipitation averages 12.03 inches, with the highest average rainfall recorded in January (2.54 inches) (WRCC 2023). The past winter season had higher than average rainfall.

2.4 Soil and Terrain

The PSA is relatively flat, with an approximate elevation of 383 to 523 feet amsl. According to the US Department of Agriculture (USDA) Natural Resources Conservation Service, three soil types are present: Linne clay loam, 3% to 15% slopes (65.65 acres); Linne clay loam, 15% to 30% slopes, MLRA 15 (2.80 acres); and Rincon clay loam, 0% to 3% slopes (19.75 acres)(USDA 2024). The Linne series consists of moderately deep, well drained soils that formed in material from soft shale and sandstone. The Rincon series consists of deep, well drained soils that formed in alluvium from sedimentary rock. None of the three soil types mapped on site are included on the USDA list of hydric soils (USDA 2023a) commonly associated with wetlands or other waters.

2.5 Hydrology and Watershed

The PSA occurs within the North Diablo Range of the Alameda Creek Watershed (USGS 2023). According to the USFWS National Wetlands Inventory (NWI), there are several freshwater ponds, freshwater wetlands, and riverine aquatic features in the vicinity of the Project (USFWS 2023a; Appendix A: Figure 2, Biological Setting). The NWI is based on coarse aerial mapping and does not involve ground-truthing. The national hydrography dataset shows Patterson Run and one other drainage crossing the PSA from south to north. Patterson Run is a seasonal stream system that runs parallel to Patterson Pass Road, adjacent to the PSA. Patterson Run is classified in the NWI as a freshwater emergent wetland (USFWS 2023a). The second drainage is classified by the NWI as freshwater emergent wetland (USFWS 223a), however, there is no physical evidence of this drainage within the PSA either on aerial imagery or when surveyed on the ground.

3 Regulatory Setting

3.1 Federal

3.1.1 Clean Water Act, Section 404

Pursuant to Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, the USACE regulates the discharge of dredged and/or fill material into "Waters of the U.S." Activities in wetlands or waters for which a USACE permit may be required include, but are not limited, the placement of fill material due to development, land clearing involving relocation of soil, road construction, erosion control, mining, stockpiling excavation spoils, and utility line or pipeline construction. Activities that generally do not involve a regulated discharge (if performed specifically in a manner to avoid an impact) can include, to an extent, certain drainage channel maintenance activities involving the use of hand tools only or by positioning construction equipment outside of USACE jurisdiction and excavating without stockpiling in jurisdictional areas. Any person or public agency proposing to discharge dredged or fill material into Waters of the U.S., including jurisdictional wetlands, must obtain a Section 404 permit from USACE.

3.1.2 Clean Water Act, Section 401

Section 401 of the CWA provides states and authorized tribes with an important tool to help protect the water quality of federally regulated waters within their borders (i.e., waters of the state), in collaboration with federal agencies. EPA's regulations at 40 CFR 121 address CWA Section 401 certification. Under Section 401 of the CWA, a federal agency may not issue a permit or license to conduct any activity that may result in any discharge into water of the United States unless a CWA Section 401 water quality certification is issued, or certification is waived. States and authorized tribes where the discharge would originate are responsible for issuing water quality certifications. In cases where a state or tribe does not have authority, EPA is responsible for issuing certification. In making decisions to grant, grant with conditions, or deny certification requests, certifying authorities consider whether the federally licensed or permitted activity will comply with applicable water quality standards, effluent limitations, new source performance standards, toxic pollutants restrictions, and other appropriate water quality requirements of state or tribal law. A federal agency may not issue a license or permit for an activity that may result in a discharge into waters of the United States without a water quality certification or waiver (EPA 2023a). On June 9, 2022, proposed rule changes to CWA Section 401 were published (87 FR 35318 et seq.) and were finalized in November of 2023 (EPA 2023b). The changes include pre-filing meetings and statutory timeframes.

Implementation in California

The California State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) has authority over waters of the state, including wetlands, through Section 401 of the CWA, the Porter–Cologne Water Quality Control Act (Porter–Cologne Act), California Code of Regulations Section 3831(k), and the California Wetlands Conservation Policy. The CWA requires that an applicant for a Section 404 permit (to discharge dredge or fill material into waters of the United States) first obtain certification from the appropriate state agency stating that the fill is consistent with the state's water quality standards and criteria. In California, the authority to either grant certification or waive the requirement for permits is delegated by SWRCB to the nine regional boards. The Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board has



authority for Section 401 compliance in the Project region. A request for Water Quality Certification is submitted to the RWQCB while an application is filed with USACE (EPA 2023a).

3.1.3 Federal Endangered Species Act

The Federal Endangered Species Act (FESA) of 1973, as amended (16 USC 1531 et seq.), serves as the enacting legislation to list, conserve, and protect threatened and endangered species, and the ecosystems on which they depend, from extinction. In addition, for those wildlife species listed as federally endangered, FESA provides for the ability to designate critical habitat, defined as that habitat considered "essential to the conservation of the species" and that "may require special management considerations or protection."

Under FESA Section 7, if a project that would potentially result in adverse impacts to threatened or endangered species includes any action that is authorized, funded, or carried out by a federal agency, that agency must consult with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) to ensure that any such action is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any endangered species or threatened species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of designated critical habitat (DCH) for that species. FESA Section 9(a)(1)(B) prohibits the taking, possession, sale, or transport of any endangered fish or wildlife species. "Take" is defined to mean "harass, harm, pursue, hunt, shoot, wound, kill, trap, capture, or collect, or to attempt to engage in any such conduct" (16 USC 1532[19]). With respect to any endangered species of plant, Sections 9(a)(2)(A) and 9(a)(2)(B) prohibit the possession, sale, and import or export, of any such species, and prohibits any action that would "remove and reduce to possession any such species from areas under federal jurisdiction; maliciously damage or destroy any such species on any such area; or remove, cut, dig up, or damage or destroy any such species on any other area in knowing violation of any law or regulation of any State or in the course of any violation of a State criminal trespass law." Pursuant to FESA Section 10(a)(1)(B), USFWS may issue a permit for the take of threatened or endangered species if such taking is "incidental to, and not the purpose of, the carrying out of an otherwise lawful activity" (USFWS 2023b).

Designated Critical Habitat

The FESA also enables USFWS to designate critical habitat, which is defined specific geographic areas, whether occupied by listed species or not, that contain "physical or biological features essential to the conservation of the species" and that "may require special management considerations or protection" (50 CFR 424.12). Designated critical habitat units, published in the Federal Register by USFWS, are often large and may contain areas that do not provide habitat for the species: only areas within the critical habitat units that support the species' *primary constituent elements* (PCEs) are subject to ESA consultation and analysis of critical habitat effects. PCE was a term introduced in the critical habitat designation regulations to describe aspects of "physical or biological features." On May 12, 2014, the Services proposed to revise these regulations to remove the use of the term "primary constituent elements" and replace it with the statutory term "physical or biological features" (79 FR 27066). However, the shift in terminology does not change the approach used in conducting a "destruction or adverse modification" analysis, which is the same regardless of whether the original designation identified PCE, physical or biological features, or both (81 FR 7220, 2/11/16).

3.1.4 Migratory Bird Treaty Act

The Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) regulates or prohibits taking, killing, possession of, or harm to migratory bird species listed in Title 50, Section 10.13 of the CFR. The MBTA is an international treaty for the conservation and

DUDEK

management of bird species that migrate through more than one country and is enforced in the United States by USFWS. Hunting of specific migratory game birds is permitted under the regulations listed in Title 50, Section 20 of the CFR. The MBTA was amended in 1972 to include protection for migratory birds of prey (raptors) (USFWS 2023c).

3.1.5 Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act

The Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (BGEPA) (16 USC 668 et seq.) provides for the protection of both bald and golden eagles. Specifically, BGEPA prohibits take of eagles, which is defined as any action that would "pursue, destroy, shoot at, poison, wound, kill, capture, trap, collect, molest or disturb" bald and golden eagles, including parts, nests, or eggs. The term "disturb" is further defined by regulation as "to agitate or bother a bald or golden eagle to a degree that causes, or is likely to cause, injury to an eagle, a decrease in productivity, or nest abandonment" (50 CFR 22.3). Under BGEPA, it is also illegal to "sell, purchase, barter, trade, import, or export, or offer for sale, purchase, barter, or trade, at any time or in any manner, any bald eagle or any golden eagle, or the parts, nests, or eggs" of these birds. Pursuant to 50 CFR 22.26, and as of the latest amendment to BGEPA in December 2016, a permit may be obtained that authorizes take of bald eagles and golden eagles where the take is "compatible with the preservation of the bald eagle and the golden eagle; is necessary to protect an interest in a particular locality; is associated with, but not the purpose of, the activity; and cannot practicably be avoided" (USFWS 2023d).

3.2 State

3.2.1 Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act

As detailed above in Section 3.1.2, Clean Water Act, Section 401, the Porter–Cologne Act, CFGC Sections 1601-1607, delegates responsibility to SWRCB for water rights and water quality protection and directs the nine statewide RWQCBs to develop and enforce water quality standards within their jurisdiction. The Porter–Cologne Act requires any entity discharging waste, or proposing to discharge waste, within any region that could affect the quality of the waters of the state to file a "report of waste discharge" with the appropriate RWQCB. The appropriate RWQCB then must issue a permit, referred to as a Waste Discharge Requirement. Waste Discharge Requirements implement water quality control plans and take into consideration the beneficial uses to be protected, the water quality objectives required for that purpose, other waste discharges, and the need to prevent nuisances (SWRCB 2023).

SWRCB defines a water of the state as "any surface water or groundwater, including saline waters, within the boundaries of the state" (California Water Code Section 13050[e]). As of April 2019, SWRCB has defined "wetland" to include the following (SWRCB 2023):

- 1. Natural wetlands,
- 2. Wetlands created by modification of a surface water of the state,
- 3. Artificial wetlands that meet any of the following criteria:
 - Approved by an agency as compensatory mitigation for impacts to other Waters of the State, except where the approving agency explicitly identifies the mitigation as being of limited duration;
 - b) Specifically identified in a Water Quality Control Plan as a wetland or other water of the state;



7

- c) Resulted from historic human activity, is not subject to ongoing operation and maintenance, and has become a relatively permanent part of the natural landscape; or
- d) Greater than or equal to one acre in size unless the artificial wetland was constructed and is currently used and maintained, primarily for one or more of the following purposes: industrial or municipal wastewater treatment or disposal; settling of sediment; detention, retention, infiltration, or treatment of stormwater runoff and other pollutants or runoff subject to regulation under a municipal, construction, or industrial permitting program; treatment of surface waters; agricultural crop irrigation or stock watering; fire suppression; industrial processing or cooling water; active surface mining – even if the site is managed for interim wetlands functions and values; log storage; treatment, storage, or distribution of recycled water; maximizing groundwater recharge (this does not include wetlands that have incidental groundwater recharge benefits); or fields flooded for rice growing.

All waters of the United States are waters of the state. Wetlands, such as isolated seasonal wetlands, that are not generally considered waters of the United States are considered waters of the state if, "under normal circumstances, (1) the area has continuous or recurrent saturation of the upper substrate caused by groundwater, or shallow surface water, or both; (2) the duration of such saturation is sufficient to cause anaerobic conditions in the upper substrate; and (3) the area's vegetation is dominated by hydrophytes or the area lacks vegetation" (SWRCB 2023).

3.2.2 California Endangered Species Act

Under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA), the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) has the responsibility of maintaining a list of threatened and endangered species. CESA prohibits the take of state-listed threatened or endangered animals and plants unless otherwise permitted pursuant to CESA. "Take" under CESA is defined as any of the following: "hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill, or attempt to hunt, pursue, catch, capture, or kill" (CFGC Section 86). Species determined by the state to be candidates for listing as threatened or endangered are treated as if listed as threatened or endangered and are, therefore, protected from take. Pursuant to CESA, a state agency reviewing a project within its jurisdiction must determine whether any state-listed endangered or threatened species, or candidate species, could be potentially impacted by that project (CDFW 2023a).

3.2.3 California Fish and Game Code

Divisions of the California Fish and Game Code (CFGC) establish the basis of fish, wildlife, and native plant protections and management in the state.

3.2.3.1 California Fish and Game Code, Section 1940

Section 1940 of the CFGC requires CDFW to develop and maintain a vegetation mapping standard for the state. More than half of the vegetation communities in the state have been mapped through the Vegetation Classification and Mapping Program

Natural vegetation communities are evaluated by CDFW and are assigned global (G), and state (S) ranks based on rarity of and threats to these vegetation communities in California. Sensitive natural communities are defined by CDFW as vegetation alliances with state ranks of S1–S3 (S1: critically imperiled, S2: imperiled, S3: vulnerable), as



identified in the 2010 List of Vegetation Alliances and Associations and subsequent updates. Natural communities with ranks of S1–S3 are considered sensitive natural communities to be addressed in the environmental review processes of CEQA and its equivalents. Additionally, all vegetation associations within the alliances with ranks of S1–S3 are considered sensitive habitats. CEQA requires that impacts to sensitive natural communities be evaluated and mitigated to the extent feasible.

Sensitive natural communities are communities that have a limited distribution and are often vulnerable to the environmental effects of projects. These communities may or may not contain special-status species or their habitats. For purposes of this assessment, sensitive natural communities are considered to include vegetation communities listed in CDFW's California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) and communities listed in the California Natural Community List with a rarity rank of S1- S3 (CDFW 2023c).

3.2.3.2 Lake and Streambed Alteration Program

Under Sections 1600–1616 of the CFGC, CDFW regulates activities that would alter the flow, bed, channel, or bank of streams and lakes. The limits of CDFW's jurisdiction are defined in the code as the "bed, channel or bank of any river, stream, or lake designated by the department in which there is at any time an existing fish or wildlife resource or from which these resources derive benefit." In practice, CDFW usually marks its jurisdictional limit at the top of the stream or bank, or at the outer edge of the riparian vegetation, whichever is wider (CDFW 2023b).

3.2.3.3 Native Plant Protection Act

The Native Plant Protection Act was enacted in 1977 and is administered by CDFW, per CFGC Section 1900 et seq. The Native Plant Protection Act prohibits take of endangered, threatened, or rare plant species native to California, apart from special criteria identified in the CFGC. A "native plant" means a plant growing in a wild uncultivated state that is normally found native to the plant life of the state. A "rare" species can be defined as species that are broadly distributed but never abundant where found, narrowly distributed, or clumped yet abundant where found, and/or narrowly distributed or clumped and not abundant where found. If potential impacts are identified for a project activity, then consultation with CDFW, permitting, and/or other mitigation may be required (CLI 2023).

3.2.3.4 Nesting Migratory Birds and Raptors

Section 3503 of the CFGC states that it is unlawful to take, possess, or needlessly destroy the nests or eggs of any bird, except as otherwise provided by this code or any regulation made pursuant thereto. Section 3503.5 protects all birds of prey (raptors) and their eggs and nests. Section 3511 states that fully protected birds or parts thereof may not be taken or possessed at any time. Section 3513 states that it is unlawful to take or possess any migratory non-game bird as designated in the MBTA.

3.2.3.5 Non-game Mammals

CFGC Section 4150 states a mammal occurring naturally in California that is not a game mammal, fully protected mammal, or fur-bearing mammal is a non-game mammal. A non-game mammal may not be taken or possessed under this code. All bat species occurring naturally in California are considered non-game mammals and are therefore prohibited from take as stated in CFGC Section 4150.



9

3.2.3.6 Fully Protected Species

Sections 3511, 4700, 5050, and 5515 of the CFGC outline protection for fully protected species of mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, and fish. Species that are fully protected by these sections may not be taken or possessed at any time. CDFW cannot issue permits or licenses that authorize the "take" of any fully protected species, except under certain circumstances, such as scientific research and live capture and relocation of such species pursuant to a permit for the protection of livestock. On July 10, 2023, Senate Bill 147 (SB147) was signed into law and amends the Fish and Game Code to allow a 10-year permitting mechanism for a defined set of projects within the renewable energy, transportation, and water infrastructure sectors. Furthermore, it is the responsibility of CDFW to maintain viable populations of all native species. Toward that end, CDFW has designated certain vertebrate species as Species of Special Concern, because declining population levels, limited ranges, and/or continuing threats have made them vulnerable to extinction.

3.2.4 California Environmental Quality Act

CEQA, PRC Section 21000 et seq., requires public agencies undertaking discretionary actions to approve a project to first determine whether a project may have a significant effect on the environment, and then to prepare an environmental impact report if there is substantial evidence that the project may have a significant effect on the environment. Where an environmental impact report has been prepared, CEQA further requires public agencies to adopt findings with respect to each significant effect that "changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated, into the project which mitigate or avoid the significant effects on the environment; that those changes are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of another public agency and have been, or can and should be, adopted by that other agency; or that specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations, including considerations for the provision of employment opportunities for highly trained workers, make infeasible the mitigation measures or alternatives identified in the environmental impact report" (PRC Section 21081[a]).

The California Natural Resources Agency has adopted regulations (i.e., guidelines) to implement CEQA. Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15380, protection is provided for federal and/or state-listed species, as well as species not listed federally or by the state that may be considered rare, threatened, or endangered. Species that meet these criteria can include candidate species, species proposed for listing, and Species of Special Concern (SSC). Plants listed in the California Native Plant Society (CNPS) Rare Plant Program are considered to meet CEQA's Section 15380 criteria as well. Section 15380 also addresses a potential situation in which a public agency is to review a project that may have a significant effect on, for example a candidate species, which has not yet been listed by USFWS or CDFW. Therefore, CEQA enables an agency to protect a species from significant project impacts until the respective government agencies have had an opportunity to list the species as protected, if warranted. Impacts to these species would therefore be considered significant, requiring mitigation (CDFW 2023c).

3.2.5 California Energy Commission - Assembly Bill 205

Assembly Bill (AB) 205 is an emergency regulation expanding the CEC's siting authority for renewable energy projects constructed on or before June 30, 2029. AB 205 was signed into law on June 30, 2022 and allows renewable and energy storage projects to apply for direct state permits through the CEC. CEC certification opt-in statute (specifically 25545.1(b)(1)) says "the issuance of a certificate by the commission for a site and related facility pursuant to this chapter shall be in lieu of any permit, certificate, or similar document required by any state, local, or regional agency [except California Coastal Commission, San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development

Commission, and State/Regional Water Quality Control Board] ... for the use of the site and related facilities, and shall supersede any applicable statute, ordinance, or regulation of any state, local, or regional agency...."

The application for certification process is in lieu of CDFW 2081 ITP or CFGC Section 1600 et seq. LSAA. However, applications for both of these permits will be submitted to the CEC for informational purposes. The CEC Certification will include conditions and mitigation that would otherwise be requirements in these CDFW permits.

3.3 County of Alameda

3.3.1 East Alameda County Conservation Strategy

The County of Alameda (County), along with other local land use jurisdictions and resource agencies, developed the East Alameda County Conservation Strategy (EACCS) to provide a framework for natural resource conservation and to streamline the environmental permitting process within the eastern portion of the county (ICF 2010). The EACCS is not a formal Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) in that it does not require local agencies to conserve species and habitat prior to approving projects that impact listed species and/or their habitat, nor does it have a corresponding programmatic incidental take permit from USFWS. Instead, it is intended to streamline state and local permitting by providing guidance on avoidance, minimization, and mitigation for project-level impacts on selected focal special-status species and sensitive habitats. USFWS and CDFW participated in the development of the Conservation Strategy with the intent that it would become the blueprint for all mitigation and conservation in the region. Both agencies still refer to the EACCS when reviewing project-level impacts on focal species and their habitat.

The EACCS includes standardized mitigation ratios for each of the focal species that can be used by local jurisdictions and resource agencies as guidance to determine appropriate mitigation to offset project impacts on focal species habitat. These are based on an evaluation of the habitat quality on a PSA scored using Focal Species-Impact/Mitigation Score Sheets¹ for each of the focal species assumed present or potentially present. Mitigation ratios are then calculated based on application of the same scoring sheet to the proposed mitigation site. Project-specific mitigation ratios may vary depending on the quality and location of the habitat being lost and the quality and location of proposed mitigation.

The EACCS includes avoidance and minimization measures (AMMs) for all focal species covered by the EACCS. These include general AMMs applicable to all focal species, as well as species- or taxon-specific AMMs. The standardized mitigation ratios discussed above are only valid if a project application is in compliance with all applicable AMMs. The general AMMs and project applicable specific AMMs are detailed below.

General

GEN - 01 Employees and contractors performing construction activities will receive environmental sensitivity training. Training will include review of environmental laws and Avoidance and Minimization Measures (AMMs) that must be followed by all personnel to reduce or avoid effects on covered species during construction activities.

GEN - 02 Environmental tailboard trainings will take place on an as - needed basis in the field. The environmental tailboard trainings will include a brief review of the biology of the covered species and guidelines that must be followed by all personnel to reduce or avoid negative effects to these species during construction activities.

¹ Available at http://www.eastalco-conservation.org/documents/eaccs_appe_oct2010.pdf.

Directors, Managers, Superintendents, and the crew foremen and forewomen will be responsible for ensuring that crewmembers comply with the guidelines.

GEN - 03 Contracts with contractors, construction management firms, and subcontractors will obligate all contractors to comply with these requirements, AMMs.

GEN - 04 The following will not be allowed at or near work sites for covered activities: trash dumping, firearms, open fires (such as barbecues) not required by the activity, hunting, and pets (except for safety in remote locations).

GEN - 05 Vehicles and equipment will be parked on pavement, existing roads, and previously disturbed areas to the extent practicable.

GEN - 06 Off - road vehicle travel will be minimized.

GEN - 07 Vehicles will not exceed a speed limit of 15 mph on unpaved roads within natural land - cover types, or during off - road travel.

GEN - 08 Vehicles or equipment will not be refueled within 100 feet of a wetland, stream, or other waterway unless a bermed and lined refueling area is constructed.

GEN - 09 Vehicles shall be washed only at approved areas. No washing of vehicles shall occur at job sites.

GEN - 10 To discourage the introduction and establishment of invasive plant species, seed mixtures/straw used within natural vegetation will be either rice straw or weed - free straw.

GEN - 11 Pipes, culverts, and similar materials greater than four inches in diameter, will be stored so as to prevent covered wildlife species from using these as temporary refuges, and these materials will be inspected each morning for the presence of animals prior to being moved.

GEN - 12 Erosion control measures will be implemented to reduce sedimentation in wetland habitat occupied by covered animal and plant species when activities are the source of potential erosion problems. Plastic mono - filament netting (erosion control matting) or similar material containing netting shall not be used at the project. Acceptable substitutes include coconut coir matting or tackified hydroseeding compounds.

GEN - 13 Stockpiling of material will occur such that direct effects to covered species are avoided. Stockpiling of material in riparian areas will occur outside of the top of bank, and preferably outside of the outer riparian dripline and will not exceed 30 days.

GEN - 14 Grading will be restricted to the minimum area necessary.

GEN - 15 Prior to ground disturbing activities in sensitive habitats, project construction boundaries and access areas will be flagged and temporarily fenced during construction to reduce the potential for vehicles and equipment to stray into adjacent habitats.

GEN - 16 Significant earth moving - activities will not be conducted in riparian areas within 24 hours of predicted storms or after major storms (defined as 1 - inch of rain or more).



GEN - 17 Trenches will be backfilled as soon as possible. Open trenches will be searched each day prior to construction to ensure no covered species are trapped. Earthen escape ramps will be installed at intervals prescribed by a qualified biologist.

Amphibians: California tiger salamander, CRLF

AMPH-1. Habitat: Streams, wetlands, ponds, vernal pools.

If aquatic habitat is present, a qualified biologist will stake and flag an exclusion zone prior to activities. The exclusion zone will be fenced with orange construction zone and erosion control fencing (to be installed by construction crew). The exclusion zone will encompass the maximum practicable distance from the work site and at least 500 feet from the aquatic feature wet or dry.

AMPH-2. Habitat: Riparian habitat and grasslands within 2-miles of aquatic habitat.

- A qualified biologist will conduct preconstruction surveys prior to activities define a time for the surveys (before groundbreaking). If individuals are found, work will not begin until they are moved out of the construction zone to a USFWS/CDFG approved relocation site.
- A Service approved biologist should be present for initial ground disturbing activities.
- If the work site is within the typical dispersal distance (contact USFWS/CDFG for latest research on this distance for species of interest) of potential breeding habitat, barrier fencing will be constructed around the worksite to prevent amphibians from entering the work area. Barrier fencing will be removed within 72 hours of completion of work.
- No monofilament plastic will be used for erosion control.
- Construction personnel will inspect open trenches in the morning and evening for trapped amphibians.
- A qualified biologist possessing a valid ESA Section 10(a)(1)(A) permit or Service approved under an active biological opinion, will be contracted to trap and to move amphibians to nearby suitable habitat if amphibians are found inside fenced area.
- Work will be avoided within suitable habitat from October 15 (or the first measurable fall rain of 1" or greater, to May 1.

Golden Eagle

BIRD-1. Habitat: Cliff and large trees surrounded by open grassland.

- If an active nest is identified near a proposed work area work will be conducted outside of the nesting season (February 1 to September 1).
- If an active nest is identified near a proposed work area and work cannot be conducted outside of the nesting season, a no - activity zone will be established by a qualified biologist. The no - activity zone will be large enough to avoid nest abandonment and will at a minimum be 250 - feet radius from the nest.
- If an effective no activity zone cannot be established in either case, an experienced golden eagle biologist will develop a site specific plan (i.e., a plan that considers the type and extent of the proposed activity, the duration and timing of the activity, the sensitivity and habituation of the eagles, and the dissimilarity of the proposed activity with background activities) to minimize the potential to affect the reproductive success of the eagles.



Burrowing Owl

BIRD-2. Habitat: Grasslands or ruderal areas with burrows.

- If an active nest is identified near a proposed work area work will be conducted outside of the nesting season (March 15 to September 1).
- If an active nest is identified near a proposed work area and work cannot be conducted outside of the
 nesting season, a no activity zone will be established by a qualified biologist. The no activity zone will
 be large enough to avoid nest abandonment and will at a minimum be 250 feet radius from the nest.
- If burrowing owls are present at the site during the non breeding period, a qualified biologist will establish a no - activity zone of at least 150 feet.
- If an effective no activity zone cannot be established in either case, an experienced burrowing owl biologist will develop a site specific plan (i.e., a plan that considers the type and extent of the proposed activity, the duration and timing of the activity, the sensitivity and habituation of the owls, and the dissimilarity of the proposed activity with background activities) to minimize the potential to affect the reproductive success of the owls.

Tricolored Blackbird

BIRD-3. Habitat: Wetlands, ponds with emergent vegetation.

• If an active nest colony is identified near a proposed work area work will be conducted outside of the nesting season (March 15 to September 1).

Mammals: San Joaquin Kit Fox, American Badger

MAMM-1. Habitat: Grassland, generally with ground squirrel burrows.

- If potential dens are present, their disturbance and destruction will be avoided.
- If potential dens are located within the proposed work area and cannot be avoided during construction, qualified biologist will determine if the dens are occupied or were recently occupied using methodology coordinated with the USFWS and CDFG. If unoccupied, the qualified biologist will collapse these dens by hand in accordance with USFWS procedures (USFWS 2011).
- Exclusion zones will be implemented following USFWS procedures (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1999) or the latest USFWS procedures available at the time. The radius of these zones will follow current standards or will be as follows: Potential Den—50 feet; Known Den—100 feet; Natal or Pupping Den—to be determined on a case - by - case basis in coordination with USFWS and CDFG.
- Pipes will be capped, and trenches will contain exit ramps to avoid direct mortality while construction areas is active.

3.3.2 Alameda County General Plan

The County maintains a General Plan, which provides guidelines for development within the County. The PSA is located within the East County Area Plan (ECAP) (Alameda County 1994). General Plan policies that are relevant to the Project are outlined below.



Policy 123: Where site-specific impacts on biological resources resulting from a proposed land use outside the Urban Growth Boundary are identified, the County shall encourage that mitigation is complementary to the goals and objectives of the ECAP. To that end, the County shall recommend that mitigation efforts occur in areas designated as "Resource Management" or on lands adjacent to or otherwise contiguous with these lands to establish a continuous open space system in East County and to provide for long term protection of biological resources.

Policy 125: The County shall encourage preservation of areas known to support special status species.

Policy 126: The County shall encourage no net loss of riparian and seasonal wetlands.

DUDEK

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

4 Methods

4.1 Key Definitions

Special-Status Species

For the purposes of this analysis, special plant species are defined as plants that are legally protected or that are otherwise considered sensitive by federal, state, or local resource conservation agencies. These species fall into one or more of the following categories:

- Listed by the federal government under the FESA of 1973 or the State of California under the CESA of 1970 as endangered, threatened, or rare.
- A candidate for federal or state listing as endangered or threatened.
- Taxa that are biologically rare, very restricted in distribution, or declining throughout their range but not currently threatened with extirpation.
- Population(s) in California that may be peripheral to the major portion of a taxon's range but are threatened with extirpation in California; and
- Taxa strongly associated with a habitat that is declining in California at a significant rate (e.g., wetlands, riparian, vernal pools, old growth forests, desert aquatic systems, native grasslands, valley shrubland habitats).

Taxa considered to be "rare, threatened, or endangered in California" as defined by CDFW are assigned a California Rare Plant Rank (CRPR). The CDFW system includes six rarity and endangerment ranks for categorizing plant species of concern, as follows:

- CRPR 1A: Plants presumed to be extinct in California.
- **CRPR 1B:** Plants that are rare, threatened, or endangered in California and elsewhere.
- CRPR 2A: Plants presumed to be extinct in California, but more common elsewhere.
- **CRPR 2B:** Plants that are rare, threatened, or endangered in California, but more common elsewhere.
- CRPR 3: Plants about which more information is needed (a review list).
- CRPR 4: Plants of limited distribution (a watch list).

Plants ranked as CRPR 1A, 1B, 2A, or 2B may qualify as endangered, rare, or threatened species within the definition of CEQA Guidelines Section 15380. CDFW recommends that potential impacts to CRPR 1 and 2 species be evaluated in CEQA review documents. In general, CRPR 3 and 4 species do not meet the definition of endangered, rare, or threatened pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15380, but these species may be evaluated on a case-by-case basis (CDFW 2018).

Special-status wildlife species include species that meet any of the following criteria (some species may meet several criteria):

- Listed, proposed for listing, or candidates for listing as threatened or endangered under FESA.
- Listed or candidates for listing as threatened or endangered under CESA.



- Designated as Species of Special Concern by the CDFW.
- Designated as a fully protected species by the California Fish and Game Code.
- Meet the definition of rare, threatened, or endangered as described in the CEQA Guidelines, Section 15380.

Sensitive Natural Communities

Natural vegetation communities are evaluated by CDFW and are assigned global (G), and state (S) ranks based on rarity of and threats to these vegetation communities in California. Sensitive natural communities are defined by CDFW as vegetation alliances with state ranks of S1–S3 (S1: critically imperiled, S2: imperiled, S3: vulnerable), as identified in the 2010 List of Vegetation Alliances and Associations and subsequent updates. Natural communities with ranks of S1–S3 are considered sensitive natural communities to be addressed in the environmental review processes of CEQA and its equivalents. Additionally, all vegetation associations within the alliances with ranks of S1–S3 are considered sensitive habitats. CEQA requires that impacts to sensitive natural communities be evaluated and mitigated to the extent feasible.

Sensitive natural communities are communities that have a limited distribution and are often vulnerable to the environmental effects of projects. These communities may or may not contain special-status species or their habitats. For purposes of this assessment, sensitive natural communities are considered to include vegetation communities listed in CDFW's California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) and communities listed in the California Natural Community List with a rarity rank of S1- S3 (CDFW 2023d).

4.2 Database and Literature Review

Dudek conducted an initial database and literature review as part of the Biological Constraints Analysis drafted in April 2023 (Dudek 2023a). An updated database and literature review was conducted as part of the Biological Technical Report drafted in September 2023 (Dudek 2023b). To reflect recent changes in the Project site boundaries and new gen-tie alignment, updated database and literature reviews for the revised PSA were conducted in January 2024. Specialstatus biological resources present or potentially present within the PSA were identified through an extensive updated literature search using the following sources: USFWS Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) online tool (USFWS 2024), CDFW California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) (CDFW 2024), and California Native Plant Society (CNPS) Online Inventory of Rare and Endangered Vascular Plants (CNPS 2024). The Soil Survey Geographic Database for California (USDA 2024) was also reviewed to identify soil associations potentially supporting special-status plants (e.g., alkaline soils). Native plant community classifications used in this report follow a Manual of California Vegetation Online (CNPS 2023a) and California Natural Community List (CDFW 2023d). The search area for the IPaC query was based on the site boundary. The CNDDB and CNPS databases were queried for the nine U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5-minute quadrangles containing and immediately surrounding the site (Byron Hot Springs, Clifton Court Forebay, Union Island, Altamont, Midway, Tracy, Mendenhall Springs, Cedar Mountain, Lone Tree Creek). Database search results are presented in Appendix B, Database Search Results. Following the updated database review, Dudek biologists determined the potential for special-status plant and wildlife species to occur on site. Determinations were based on a review of habitat types, soils, and elevation preferences, as well as the known geographic range and nearest occurrence records of each species.

4.3 Field Surveys

Dudek qualified biologists conducted biological field surveys in 2023 and 2024 to evaluate the PSA for specialstatus species and habitat. These included reconnaissance surveys and focused surveys for rare plants, burrows, Crotch's bumble bee (*Bombus crotchii*) habitat, protocol-level burrowing owl surveys, and California tiger salamander (CTS) and California red-legged frog (*Rana draytonii*; CRLF) habitat assessments. Additionally, a formal aquatic resource delineation was conducted concurrently with the reconnaissance and focused surveys in 2024. The field surveys are summarized in Table 1 and discussed further below. Resumes for staff are included as Appendix C.

Table 1. Field Survey Summary

Date	Survey Type(s)	Biologists	Time	Survey Conditions
03/31/2023	Reconnaissance (original Project site boundary only, excludes gen-tie)	Emily Scricca Erin Fisher- Colton	9:30 a.m.– 11:30 a.m.	58°F-61°F, 75%- 90% cloud cover, 1- 4 mph wind
05/16/2023	 Protocol-Level Botanical Focused Burrow Surveys Focused Crotch's Bumble Bee Habitat Assessment 	Kelsey Higney Lorna Haworth	8:41 a.m 11:15 a.m.	80°F-85°F, 0% cloud cover, 0-6 mph wind
08/02/2023	 Reconnaissance (gen-tie alignment only) Protocol-Level Botanical Focused Burrow Surveys Focused Crotch's Bumble Bee Habitat Assessment Protocol-level California Red- Legged Frog (CRLF) Habitat Assessment 	Kelsey Higney Erin Fisher- Colton	9:23 a.m 4:54 p.m.	71°F-80°F, 0% cloud cover, 5-20 mph wind
01/18/2024	 Reconnaissance (adjusted gentie alignment only) Protocol-Level Botanical (adjusted gentie alignment only) Focused Burrow Surveys (adjusted gentie alignment only) Focused Crotch's Bumble Bee Habitat Assessment (adjusted gentie alignment only) Aquatic Resources Delineation 	Mikaela Bissell Erin Fisher- Colton	9:16 a.m 2:30 p.m.	50°F-58°F, 80%- 100% cloud cover, 1- 4 mph wind
04/12/2024	 Protocol-level Burrowing Owl Survey – Pass 1 Follow-up burrow assessment for San Joaquin Kit Fox and American Badger Protocol-level rare plant survey 	Mikaela Bissell Tara Johnson- Kelly	8:30 a.m. – 2:00 p.m.	55°F–60°F, 0%-10% cloud cover, 10-14 mph wind

Date	Survey Type(s)	Biologists	Time	Survey Conditions
05/03/2024	 Protocol-level Burrowing Owl survey – Pass 2 	Kelsey Higney Tara Johnson- Kelly	7:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.	56°F–71°F, 0% cloud cover, 10-15 mph wind
05/24/2024	 Protocol-level Burrowing Owls Survey – Pass 3 	Tara Johnson- Kelly Paul Keating	7:00 a.m. – 12:00 p.m.	57°F-64°F, 0%-10% cloud cover, 10 mph wind
06/17/2024	 Protocol-level Burrowing Owl Survey – Pass 4 Protocol-level rare plant survey 	Paul Keating	3:00 p.m. – 7:00 p.m.	82°F-78°F, 0% cloud cover, 15-20 mph wind

Table 1. Field Survey Summary

All plant species encountered during the field surveys were identified to lowest possible taxonomic rank and recorded. Latin and common names for plant species with a California Rare Plant Rank (CRPR) follow the CNPS Online Inventory of Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Plants of California (CNPS 2024). For plant species without a CRPR, Latin names follow the Jepson Interchange List of Currently Accepted Names of Native and Naturalized Plants of California (Jepson Flora Project 2023), and common names follow the U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service Plants Database (USDA 2023b). Wildlife species detected during field surveys by sight, calls, tracks, scat, or another sign were recorded. Binoculars (8-times magnification) were used to identify observed wildlife. A list of observed plant and wildlife species is presented in Appendix D, Plant and Wildlife Species Compendium, and representative site photographs are presented in Appendix E, Photo Record.

4.3.1 Reconnaissance Surveys

A reconnaissance-level field survey was conducted on March 31, 2023, to identify vegetation communities and assess the original BESS Project site boundary and vicinity for suitable habitat for special-status plant and wildlife species. This survey was conducted on foot and by vehicle to provide complete visual coverage of the original Project site. No protocol-level surveys were conducted at this time.

A follow-up reconnaissance-level field survey was conducted for the updated PSA which included the BESS Project site and buffered gen-tie alignment of the Project area on August 2, 2023, in conjunction with the surveys for rare plants, burrows, and Crotch's bumble bee habitat. This survey was conducted on foot to identify vegetation communities in the updated PSA boundaries. During the August reconnaissance survey, a reconnaissance-level wetland assessment was done for the site. The focus was to determine if there were any potential jurisdictional waters on the site that would require further protocol jurisdictional delineations.

A second follow-up reconnaissance-level field survey was conducted for the adjusted buffered gen-tie alignment on January 18, 2024. This survey was conducted on foot to identify vegetation communities along the adjusted gentie alignment and included surveys for rare plants, burrows, and Crotch's bumble bee habitat within the adjusted buffered gen-tie alignment.

4.3.2 Protocol-Level Botanical Surveys

Protocol-level rare plant surveys were conducted on May 16, 2023, August 2, 2023, and January 18, April 12, and June 17, 2024, to identify special-status rare plant species within the updated PSA boundaries. Dudek qualified biologists surveyed the entire PSA on foot in approximately 20-meter parallel transects to provide complete visual coverage within the updated PSA boundaries and gen-tie alignment. Rare plants surveys were conducted in accordance with the Guidelines for Conducting and Reporting Botanical Inventories for Federally Listed, Proposed, and Candidate Plants (USFWS 2000), the Protocol for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special-Status Native Plant Populations and Sensitive Natural Communities (CDFW 2018), and the CNPS Botanical Survey Guidelines (CNPS 2001). Rare plants occurrences were mapped using ArcGIS Field Maps (Esri).

4.3.3 Focused Burrow Surveys

Focused burrow surveys were conducted on May 16, 2023, August 2, 2023, and January 18, 2024, to identify a variety of animal burrows within the updated PSA boundaries. Additional surveys to assess burrow suitability for San Joaquin kit fox and American badger were conducted on April 12, 2024. The subsequent assessment for San Joaquin kit fox and American badger followed recommendations outlined in the *San Joaquin Kit Fox Survey Protocol for the Northern Range* (USFWS 1999). Dudek qualified biologists surveyed the entire PSA on foot in approximately 20-meter parallel transects to provide complete visual coverage within the updated PSA boundaries and gen-tie alignment. Burrows of all sizes were mapped using ArcGIS Field Maps (Esri).

4.3.4 Protocol-level Burrowing Owl Surveys

Surveys for western burrowing owl were conducted by Dudek qualified biologists on April 12, May 3, May 24, and June 17, 2024. Surveys followed recommended protocol outlined in Appendix D of the *Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation* (CDFW 2012). Surveys utilized data collected during the focused burrow surveys (Section 4.3.3) to walk transect no more than 20 meters apart within the PSA. Biologists documented any sight or sign of western burrowing owl during the survey.

4.3.5 Focused Crotch's Bumble Bee Habitat Assessment

Focused Crotch's bumble bee habitat assessments were conducted on May 16, 2023, August 2, 2023, and January 18, 2024, to identify foraging and nesting habitat for Crotch's bumble bees within the updated PSA boundaries. Dudek qualified biologists surveyed the entire PSA on foot in approximately 20-meter parallel transects to provide complete visual coverage within the updated PSA boundaries and gen-tie alignment. Bumble bee habitat was identified following CDFW Survey Considerations for California Endangered Species Act (CESA) Candidate Bumble Bee Species (CDFW 2023e), which includes plant species that provide floral (nectar) resources and nesting substrates such as bare ground, rodent burrows, thatched grass, or rock piles. Potential bumble bee floral resources and nesting substrates were mapped using ArcGIS Field Maps (Esri).



4.3.6 Protocol-Level California Red-Legged Frog Habitat Assessment

A protocol-level habitat assessment for CRLF was conducted on August 2, 2023, for suitable aquatic habitats identified within, and in the vicinity of, the PSA to identify potential aquatic breeding sites within dispersal distance of the PSA. Not all aquatic habitats within 1 mile were able to be surveyed due to access restrictions. Habitat assessments were conducted in accordance with the USFWS *Revised Guidance on Site Assessments and Field surveys for the California Red-legged Frog* (USFWS 2005). Aquatic features were coarsely mapped along top of bank using ArcGIS Field Maps (Esri).

4.3.7 Protocol-Level California Tiger Salamander Habitat Assessment

Concurrently with the CRLF habitat assessment (4.3.6), a protocol-level habitat assessment for California tiger salamander was conducted on August 2, 2023, for suitable aquatic habitats identified within, and in the vicinity of, the PSA to identify potential aquatic breeding sites within dispersal distance of the PSA. Not all aquatic habitats within 1.24 miles were able to be surveyed due to access restrictions. Habitat assessments were conducted in accordance with the USFWS *Interim Guidance on Site Assessment and Field Surveys for Determining Presence or a Negative Finding of the California Tiger Salamander* (USFWS 2003). Aquatic features were coarsely mapped along top of bank using ArcGIS Field Maps (Esri).

4.3.8 Aquatic Resources Delineation

A preliminary wetland assessment was conducted during the reconnaissance survey on August 2, 2023, to generally identify and coarsely map aquatic resources that may require further protocol jurisdictional delineations. Dudek then conducted a complete aquatic resources delineation concurrent with the reconnaissance-level biological field survey on January 18, 2024, to identify and map the extent of aquatic resources within the entire PSA that are potentially subject to regulation under federal CWA Sections 401 and 404, CFGC Section 1602, or under the Porter-Cologne Act. The results of the aquatic resources delineation have been incorporated into this report. Representative photographs were collected for each of the aquatic resources (Appendix E) and wetland delineation datasheets were completed (Appendix I).

5 Results

5.1 Vegetation Communities

Only one vegetation community was mapped in the PSA: wild oats and annual brome grassland (*Avena* spp. – *Bromus* spp. Herbaceous Semi-Natural Alliance; CNPS 2023a; Figure 2). This community, often referred to as California annual grassland, is characterized by an herbaceous layer dominated by non-native grass species including wild oats (*Avena* spp.), bromes (*Bromus* spp.), and barleys (*Hordeum* spp.). The herbaceous layer is less than 1.2 meters in height and cover is open to continuous (CNPS 2023a). Annual grassland covers the entire PSA outside of the aquatic features (88.24 acres).

5.2 Aquatic Resources

A formal aquatic delineation was conducted on January 18, 2024. There is one seasonal channel (EPH-01; 0.37 acre, 846.07 linear feet), Patterson Run, within the PSA where the along the gen-tie alignment, which parallels Patterson Pass Road (Figure 3). This seasonal channel flows southwest to northeast. The channel had moderate flow during the March 2023 and February 2024 surveys and was dry during the May and August 2023 surveys. One swale-like area was surveyed along the gen-tie alignment at the southwest corner of the PG&E substation. This feature exhibited cracked clay and sandy wash type soils during the August 2023 survey, with patchy grassland habitat along the margins and herbaceous plants such as dove weed (*Croton setiger*), curly dock (*Rumex crispus*), and big tarplant (*Blepharizonia plumosa*). However, the survey determined that this feature did not contain hydric soils, vegetation, or hydrology and, thus, is not a jurisdictional aquatic resource.

5.3 Observed Plant and Wildlife Species

A total of 42 plant species, consisting of 19 (45%) native species and 23 (55%) non-native species, were observed within or in the immediate vicinity of the PSA during the rare plant surveys and reconnaissance-level biological field surveys (Appendix D). A total of 20 native and 1 non-native wildlife species were recorded within or in the immediate vicinity of the PSA during the biological field surveys (Appendix D). Big tarplant was observed during the rare plant survey on August 2, 2023 (Refer to Section 5.4.1 for further information). No other special-status plant species were observed during the 2023 or 2024 surveys, and the surveys were coincident with the timing when many special-status plant species are detectable. A detailed account of special-status wildlife on site is provided in Section 5.4.2 below. Tricolored blackbird was observed foraging within the PSA during the January 18, 2024 site survey. No other special-status wildlife species or their sign were observed during the biological field surveys.

5.4 Special-Status Species

5.4.1 Special-Status Plants

Based on the updated literature review and database searches, a total of 42 special-status plants have been recorded within 5 miles of the PSA and/or within the 9 quadrangles in the vicinity of the PSA (Appendix A: Figure 4, Special-Status Species Occurrences; Appendix F, Special-Status Species' Potential to Occur within the PSA) (CDFW


2024; CNPS 2024). Of these species, 24 were removed from further consideration due to lack of suitable habitat within or adjacent to the PSA, no known occurrences within 5 miles of the PSA, and/or because the PSA is outside of the species' known geographic or elevation range. An additional 7 species were determined to have a low potential to occur based on the lack of suitable microhabitat (e.g., mesic areas, serpentine soils) and recent occurrences in the site vicinity, including heartscale (*Atriplex cordulata* var. *cordulata*), lesser saltscale (*Atriplex minuscula*), big-scale balsamroot (*Balsamorhiza macrolepis*), Mt. Diablo fairy-lantern (*Calochortus pulchellus*), palmate-bracted bird's-beak (*Chloropyron palmatum*), California alkali grass (*Puccinellia simplex*), and saline clover (*Trifolium hydrophilum*). None of these species are further addressed in this report.

Eleven special-status plants have a moderate or high potential to occur or were directly observed: big tarplant (*Blepharizonia plumosa*), brittlescale (*Atriplex depressa*), Lemmon's jewelflower (*Caulanthus lemmonii*), Congdon's tarplant (*Centromadia parryi* ssp. congdonii), recurved larkspur (*Delphinium recurvatum*), spiny-sepaled button-celery (*Eryngium spinosepalum*), diamond-petaled California poppy (*Eschscholzia rhombipetala*), San Joaquin spearscale (*Extriplex joaquinana*), showy golden madia (*Madia radiata*), shining navarretia (*Navarretia nigelliformis* ssp. *radians*), and caper-fruited tropidocarpum (*Tropidocarpum capparideum*) (Table 2 and Appendix D. All the special-status plant species are found in valley and foothill grassland, often with alkaline and/or clay soils.

Species Name	Common Name	Status (Federal/State/CRPR/EACCS) ¹	Potential to Occur ²
Atriplex depressa	brittlescale	None/None/1B.2/No	Moderate
Blepharizonia plumosa	big tarplant	None/None/1B.1/C	Known
Caulanthus lemmonii	Lemmon's jewelflower	None/None/1B.2/No	Moderate
Centromadia parryi ssp. congdonii	Congdon's tarplant	None/None/1B.1/C	Moderate
Delphinium recurvatum	recurved larkspur	None/None/1B.2/C	Moderate
Eryngium spinosepalum	spiny-sepaled button-celery	None/None/1B.2/No	Moderate
Eschscholzia rhombipetala	diamond-petaled California poppy	None/None/1B.1/No	Moderate
Extriplex joaquinana	San Joaquin spearscale	None/None/1B.2/C	Moderate
Madia radiata	showy golden madia	None/None/1B.1/No	Moderate
Navarretia nigelliformis ssp. radians	shining navarretia	None/None/1B.2/No	Moderate
Tropidocarpum capparideum	caper-fruited tropidocarpum	None/None/1B.1/No	High

Table 2. Special-Status Plant Species with Moderate or High Potential to Occur

Notes: Additional information on determining potential to occur is in Appendix F, Special-Status Species Potential to Occur within the Project study area.

¹ Status:

None= Not listed/no conservation status.

CRPR =California Rare Plant Rank. Plants ranked as CRPR 1A, 1B, 2A, or 2B may qualify as endangered, rare, or threatened species within the definition of CEQA Guidelines Section 15380.

California Rare Plant Rank (CRPR) Status

1B: plants rare, threatened, or endangered in California and elsewhere.

Threat Rank

0.1: Seriously threatened in California (over 80% of occurrences threatened/high degree and immediacy of threat).

0.2: Moderately threatened in California (20%–80% occurrences threatened/moderate degree and immediacy of threat).

C= 'Covered' under the East Alameda County Conservation Strategy (EACCS)

² Potential to Occur:

Known to Occur= Known occurrences recorded within the PSA.



High Potential to Occur: The species has not been documented in the PSA but is known to occur in the vicinity and species habitat is present.

Moderate Potential to Occur: The species has not been documented in the vicinity, but the PSA is within the known range of the species, and habitat for the species is present.

Protocol-Level Botanical Survey Results

Land surrounding the PSA is predominantly private property. As such, reference populations for focal plant species with moderate to high potential to occur were not available or were greater than 10 miles from the PSA. In addition to CNDDB records, Dudek biologists reviewed available herbarium records and research-grade observations documented in iNaturalist (Consortium of California Herbaria 2023 and iNaturalist 2023, respectively). Based on the review of available information, all focal plant species would have been evident and identifiable during the survey windows. Early-blooming species such as diamond-petalled California poppy and caper-fruited tropidocarpum were verified to be evident and identifiable in April based on regional collections (California Consortium of Herbaria, 2023). Protocol-level botanical surveys were conducted in May and August 2023, and in April and June 2024. The surveys coincided with the period when all special-status species would be evident and identifiable.

Three individuals of big tarplant were observed during protocol-level botanical surveys conducted on August 2, 2023 (Figure 5).

Big tarplant is an annual herb that endemic to California, with limited distribution throughout the state. This species has a CRPR rank of 1B.1 (rare, threatened or endangered in California and elsewhere), and is a covered species under the EACCS. This species prefers habitats in valley grassland vegetation communities, as well as in foothill woodlands and chaparral (Calflora 2023). Threats to this species include urbanization, disking, residential development, and encroachment by non-native plant species (CNPS 2023b).

Only one plant was flowering, therefore allowing a qualified Dudek botanist (Laura Burris) to definitively key the plant to species based on descriptions, measurements, and photos taken in the field. All three individuals are located near the southwest corner of the PG&E substation in an area of sparse grassland that shows evidence of drainage patterns from the surrounding hills, including cracked soils, reduced grass cover and increased scrub species cover, and increased bare ground.

5.4.2 Special-Status Wildlife

Based on the updated literature review and database searches, a total of 41 special-status wildlife species have been recorded within 5 miles of the Project site and/or within the 9 quadrangles in the vicinity of the PSA (Figure 4; Appendix F) (CDFW 2024; USFWS 2024). Of these species, 21 were removed from further consideration due to lack of suitable habitat within or adjacent to the PSA, no known occurrences within 5 miles of the PSA, and/or because the PSA is outside of the species' known geographic or elevation range. An additional 11 species were determined to have a low potential to occur based on the lack of suitable microhabitat (e.g., vernal pools, aquatic habitat, host plants), including Crotch's bumble bee (*Bombus* crotchii), western spadefoot (*Spea hammondii*), California glossy snake (*Arizona elegans occidentalis*), western pond turtle (*Emys marmorata*), San Joaquin whipsnake (*Masticophis flagellum ruddocki*), Blainville's horned lizard (*Phrynosoma blainvillii*), grasshopper sparrow (*Ammodramus savannarum*), short-eared owl (*Asio flammeus*), Swainson's hawk (*Buteo swainsoni*), loggerhead shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*), and pallid bat (*Antrozous pallidus*). None of these species are further addressed in this report.



Nine special-status wildlife species were determined to have a moderate or high potential to occur within the PSA: California tiger salamander (*Ambystoma californiense*), California red-legged frog (*Rana draytonii*), tricolored blackbird (*Agelaius tricolor*), golden eagle (*Aquila chrysaetos*), burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia*), northern harrier (*Circus hudsonius*), white-tailed kite (*Elanus leucurus*), American badger (*Taxidea taxus*), and San Joaquin kit fox (*Vulpes macrotis mutica*). These special-status wildlife species are known to occur in open grassland habitats and are discussed in further detail below.

5.4.2.1 Crotch's Bumble Bee (*Bombus crotchii*)

Crotch's bumble bee is a state candidate for listing as endangered under CESA and is not covered under the EACCS. The species has low potential to occur within the PSA. The CBB occurs almost exclusively in California, currently primarily in the Central Valley, but has been described as having historically occupied grasslands and shrublands in southern to central California. Bumble bees are known to be generalist pollinators but have preferences based on flower color including purple, blue, and yellow. Specifically, this species is found in grasslands with food plant genera that include Antirrhinum, Phacelia, Clarkia, Dendromecon, Eschscholzia, and Eriogonum, among others (USFS 2012). The queen flight season for this species is February to March, and the colony active period (highest detection probability) is April to August (CDFW 2023e). Additionally, suitable habitat may contain any of the following: 1) areas of grasslands and upland scrub that contain requisite habitat elements, such as small mammal burrows and forage plants; 2) potential nest habitat (late February through late October) containing underground abandoned small mammal burrows, perennial bunch grasses and/or thatched annual grasses, brush piles, old bird nests, dead trees or hollow logs; 3) overwintering sites (November through early February) utilized by mated queens in self-excavated hibernacula potentially in soft, disturbed soil, sandy, well-drained, or loose soils, under leaf litter or other debris with ground cover requisites such as barren areas, tree litter, bare-patches within short grass in areas lacking dense vegetation. There are no CNDDB records within 5 miles of the PSA (CDFW 2024). One occurrence is documented within a nine-guad search (Occurrence number 19). This occurrence of was documented in 1959 and the exact location of this occurrence was unknown and recorded to CNDDB to demonstrate the general vicinity (CDFW, 2024).

Crotch's Bumble Bee Focused Habitat Assessment Survey Results

Focused Crotch's bumble bee habitat assessments were conducted on May 16 and August 2, 2023, and January 18, 2024. Scattered floral resources were observed including lupines (*Lupinus* spp.), Mexican whorled milkweed (*Asclepias fascicularis*), and exserted Indian paintbrush (*Castilleja exserta*), along with potential nesting substrates such as bare cracked soil, small rocky areas, and small rodent burrows. Both *Lupinus* sp. and *Asclepias* sp. are example food plants utilized by this species (Williams et al. 2014). No bumble bee species were seen during the field surveys, however, presence is assumed due to suitable foraging floral resource presence.

5.4.2.2 California Tiger Salamander (*Ambystoma californiense*)

The central California distinct population segment (DPS) of California tiger salamander is a federally and state threatened species and is covered under the EACCS. This species has moderate potential to occur within the PSA. This species is found in annual grassland, valley-foothill hardwood, and valley-foothill riparian habitats and breeds in vernal pools, ephemeral pools, stock ponds, and (infrequently) along streams and human-made water bodies if predatory fishes are absent. The nearest documented occurrence is approximately 1.6 miles southwest of the PSA from 2012 (Occ. No. 1003), but there are numerous other records within 5 miles of the PSA (CDFW 2024). The habitat on the PSA is suitable upland refuge and dispersal habitat for this species, consisting of grassland with

small mammal burrows. Two nearby stock ponds provide suitable aquatic breeding habitat approximately 0.3 miles from the PSA (Figure 6). No California tiger salamanders were observed during the field surveys, but this species is extremely difficult to detect without focused surveys in accordance with USFWS and CDFW-sanctioned protocols (USFWS and CDFG 2003).

A protocol-level habitat assessment for California tiger salamander was conducted on August 2, 2023, for suitable aquatic habitats identified within, and in the vicinity of, the PSA to identify potential aquatic breeding sites within dispersal distance of the PSA. Three aquatic features were assessed for habitat suitability for CTS: Patterson Run, a seasonal stream paralleling Patterson Pass Road, and two stock ponds approximately 0.3 miles northwest (Pond 1) and west (Pond 2) of the PSA (Figure 6). Of these aquatic features, Ponds 1 and 2 were determined to provide high-quality breeding habitat for California tiger salamander. Neither of these features would be impacted by the proposed project. Patterson Run lacked large pools suitable for breeding. No CTS were observed during the field surveys or habitat assessment. Grasslands surrounding the aquatic features, including within the PSA, contain suitable upland refuge and overland migration habitat.

5.4.2.3 California Red-Legged Frog (*Rana draytonii*)

California red-legged frog (CRLF) is a federally threatened species and a California Species of Special Concern and is covered under the EACCS. The PSA is also located within critical habitat for California red-legged frog (refer to Section 5.6.1; 75FR12816 12959). The species has a moderate potential to occur within the PSA. This species is found in lowland streams, wetlands, riparian woodlands, and livestock ponds with dense, shrubby, or emergent vegetation and deep, still, or slow-moving water. They will use adjacent upland habitats for refuge during dry seasons. The nearest documented occurrences are approximately 1.5 miles east, south, and west of the PSA (Occ. Nos. 822 from 2001, 1079 from 2008, 1759 from 2012, and 44 from 1993); there are numerous other records within 5 miles of the PSA (CDFW 2024). The habitat on the PSA is suitable upland refuge and dispersal habitat for this species, consisting of abundant grassland with small mammal burrows.

A protocol-level habitat assessment for CRLF was conducted on August 2, 2023, for suitable aquatic habitats identified within, and in the vicinity of, the PSA to identify potential aquatic breeding sites within dispersal distance of the PSA. Three aquatic features were assessed for habitat suitability for CRLF: Patterson Run, a seasonal stream paralleling Patterson Pass Road, and two stock ponds approximately 0.3 miles northwest (Pond 1) and west (Pond 2) of the PSA (Figure 6; Appendix G, CRLF Habitat Assessment Datasheets). Of these aquatic features, only Pond 2 was determined to provide high-quality breeding habitat for CRLF, consisting of a large, deep stock pond with perennial water and a large quantity of emergent vegetation (bulrush [*Schoenoplectus* sp.] along with alkali bulrush [*Bolboschoenus maritimus*]) and surrounded by grazed grassland. Patterson Run lacked large pools suitable for breeding but could provide non-breeding aquatic habitat when water is present or dispersal habitat .Pond 1 lacked suitable emergent or margin vegetation and would not provide breeding habitat. No CRLF were observed during the field surveys or habitat assessment.

5.4.2.4 Tricolored Blackbird (Agelaius tricolor)

Tricolored blackbird (nesting colony) is state threatened and a California Species of Special Concern that is covered under the EACCS and is known to forage within the PSA. This species was observed during the field survey on January 18, 2024, foraging in the grassland within the gen-tie buffer area. Tricolored blackbird nests colonially near freshwater, often in emergent wetlands of cattail or tule, but will also nest in dense, thorny vegetation such as Himalayan blackberry (*Rubus armenicus*) or thistles (*Cirsium* spp., *Silybum* spp., etc.). A desktop level habitat

assessment was conducted for suitable breeding habitat potential within 0.5 miles of the PSA, and aquatic habitat within 0.5 miles was visited in the field concurrently with the CTS habitat assessment. Per the CDFW CNDDB database, there has not been any documented occurrences of this species within 0.5 miles. The National Wetland Inventory (NWI) has mapped data of the following three features that have been evaluated for tricolored blackbird breeding habitat suitability:

- PUBHh Approximately 0.40 miles west of the PSA there is a 0.21-acre feature mapped by NWI categorized as a freshwater pond PUBHh [(P) Palustrine; (UB) unconsolidated bottom; (H) permanently flooded; (h) diked/impounded] (NWI, 2024). On March 31, 2023, Dudek biologist Emily Scricca conducted an evaluation of this aquatic feature. Representative photos were captured of this feature and display a lack of suitable foliage required for this species to nest (Appendix E).
- **PUBHh** Approximately 0.46 miles northwest of the PSA there is a 0.24-acre feature mapped by NWI categorized as a freshwater pond PUBHh. On March 31, 2023, Dudek biologist Emily Scricca conducted an evaluation of this aquatic feature, and this feature presented similar lacking suitable nesting species required for this species to nest. Representative photos were captured of this feature (Appendix E).
- **PEM1A** Approximately 0.25 miles northwest of the PSA there is a 5.00- acre feature mapped by NWI categorized as a freshwater emergent wetland PEM1A [(P) palustrine; (EM) emergent; (1) persistent; (A) temporary flooded] (NWI, 2024). On January 18, 2024, Dudek biologist Erin Colton-Fisher conducted an evaluation of this aquatic feature for habitat suitability for tricolored blackbird. Representative photos were captured of this feature display a lack of standing water and suitable nesting foliage required for this species (Appendix E).

Tricolored blackbird forage in grasslands, woodlands, and in agricultural areas. The nearest documented occurrence is 1.8 miles east of the PSA, a historical record from 1998 (Occ. No. 418), and six occurrences are recorded within 5 miles of the PSA as recently as 2015 (CDFW 2024). The six documented occurrences of tricolored blackbird within a five-mile radius from the PSA are detailed below:

- Occurrence number 989: This occurrence of tricolored blackbird was northwest of the PSA within a fivemile radius and documented in 1993. The observation notes for this occurrence detail that a breeding colony was observed in tall green mustard. No nearby aquatic features are visible through satellite imagery on Google Earth and CNDDB notes document that this occurrence was an approximate location. The following year, 1994, no tricolored blackbirds were observed at this location. Coordinates: (37.7218, -121.6874).
- Occurrence number 842: This occurrence of tricolored blackbird was northwest of the PSA within a fiveradius and documented in 2005. The observation notes for this occurrence detail that the habitat was comprised of milk thistle, mustard, and poison hemlock in a wet meadow. Records indicate that groups of 25-30 nesting birds were observed on June 04, 2005. The wet meadow was eventually drained in 2008 and no observations were documented from 2008 through 2014. Coordinates: (37.7398, -121.6714)
- Occurrence number 436: This occurrence of tricolored blackbird was southeast of the PSA within a fivemile radius and documented in 2015. The observation notes for this occurrence detail that the habitat consisted of grasslands with freshwater emergent wetlands and seeps. The vegetation that was dominate in the freshwater emergent wetlands entail dense cattails and nettles. This differs from the PSA due to the

freshwater emergent wetlands within 0.5 miles lacking dense suitable nesting vegetation. Per this occurrence record, tricolored blackbird was documented in this area as an explosive test site from 100's nesting in 1993 to observing 800 birds in 2015. Coordinates: (37.65680, -121.52776)

- Occurrence number 418: This occurrence of tricolored blackbird is the closest occurrence of this species in proximity to the PSA within a five-mile radius and was documented in 1998. The observation notes for this occurrence detail that the habitat consisted of patches of milk thistle with cattle presence, however, no suitable habitat was present in 2011. In 1998, approximately 1,500 individual tricolored blackbirds were observed coming and going with food and/or fecal sacs being carried by adults. A secondary site visit on April 17, 2011, revealed that 0 individual tricolored blackbirds were observed within the area documented in 1998. Coordinates: (37.71521, -121.53471)
- Occurrence number 235: This occurrence of tricolored blackbird was southeast of the PSA within a fivemile radius and documented in 1992. The observation notes of this occurrence detail that the habitat consisted of an artificially impounded pond grown over with a heavy stand of cattails (*Typha* sp). This observation habitat differs from the PSA due to lacking heavy stands of nesting vegetation. The observation notes also detail that 3 individual male tricolored blackbirds were within a group of nesting redwinged blackbirds and that nesting habitat was assumed. Coordinates: (37.69438, -121.51829)
- Occurrence number 190: This occurrence of tricolored blackbird was southeast of the PSA within a fivemile radius and documented in 1992. The observation notes of this occurrence detail that the habitat was within non-native annual grassland. The colony that was observed was split into two parts. The first colony was in a patch of Italian thistle near a creek. The second colony was in a patch of mustard approximately 0.2 miles away from the first colony. Approximately 45 individual tricolored blackbirds were observed nesting between the two locations on May 01, 1992. Folow up site visits occurred on the following dates: April 16, 2011; April 17, 2011; and April 20, 2014. Of the site visits, no individuals were observed spread between the two previous colony sites. Coordinates: 37.74481, -121.64051

Although this species was observed foraging on the PSA, it is unlikely to form a nesting colony as there is no suitable nesting habitat present within the PSA. Further, data on tricolored nesting on lands surrounding the PSA provided by Westervelt Ecological Services (2024) shows that tricolored blackbird are not utilizing the aquatic habitat nearest to the PSA. Aquatic habitat within 0.5 mile of the PSA does not include stands of emergent vegetation or dense riparian vegetation that provide suitable nesting substrates preferred by this species (Shuford et al, 2008) (refer to photographs in Appendix E). Additionally, although this species may also utilize upland vegetation for nesting (Cook and Toft 2005), they prefer dense stands of vegetation that offer protection from predators. The grasslands within the PSA are dominated by bromes and wild oat, and do not contain appropriate stands of vegetation for nesting colonies. Other than one observation of this species utilizing the PSA for winter foraging, this species has not been observed within the PSA during regular surveys associated with burrowing owl, which occurred every three weeks during the bird nesting season. Thus, although this species may utilize the PSA for foraging, it does not breed within the PSA.

5.4.2.5 Golden Eagle (*Aquila chrysaetos*)

Golden eagle is federally protected by the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act and is a California fully protected species that is covered under the EACCS with moderate potential to occur within the PSA. The golden eagle was formerly considered common within suitable habitats in California (Grinnell and Miller 1944) and is now considered

an uncommon resident throughout California (Garrett and Dunn 1981). This species requires rolling foothills, mountain terrain, and wide arid plateaus deeply cut by streams and canyons, open mountain slopes and cliffs, and rock outcrops (Zeiner et al. 1990). In central California, the golden eagle nests primarily in open grasslands and oak savannah and, to a lesser degree, in oak woodlands and open shrublands (Hunt et al. 1995, 1999). The PSA has a vegetation community of wild oats and annual brome along with an ephemeral drainage located in the southeast. The project site lacks mountain terrain, wide arid plateaus deeply cut by streams and canyons, open mountain slopes and cliffs, and rock outcrops. The only trees onsite that may provide potential nesting locations for golden eagle are concentrated in the southeastern portion of the PSA along Patterson Run. However, these trees are relatively short in stature, located within a low-lying area associated with the channel of Patterson Run, and do not contain raptor nests. An assessment of potentially suitable golden eagle nesting habitat within 2 miles of the PSA, where access and land ownership allowed. Potentially suitable nesting habitat within 2 miles of the PSA, while some stick nests were observed within transmission towers, they were most likely associated with ravens (*Corvus corax*). Additionally, most of the potentially suitable nesting habitat is blocked from visual range of the PSA by terrain. No golden eagles were observed during the nest habitat assessment.

The nearest documented occurrence is approximately 4.9 miles south of the PSA from 2014, a record of a nest in a tower (Occ. No. 323; CDFW 2024). There are a total of 14 documented occurrences of golden eagle occurring in a USGS nine quad search surrounding the PSA (CDFW 2024). Of the 14 documented occurrences of golden eagle occurring, 5 occurrences have been documented within a 10-mile radius of the project site.

- Occurrence No. 71 located approximately 8.25 miles northwest from the project site nest was found on north-facing slope on a 40 ft valley oak located mid-slope in a canyon with mixed riparian habitat and was documented to occur in 2000.
- Occurrence No. 324. Located approximately 6 miles south from the project site. Comments recorded in CNDDB state that there "may" have been a nest located within power poles and comments state a need for field work. Occurrence was documented in 1998.
- Occurrence No. 323. located approximately 4.9 miles southeast of the project site record of a nest in a tower, described in above text. Occurrence was documented in 2014. (Figure 4, Special-Status Species Occurrences).
- Occurrence. No 124 located approximately 5.04 miles southeast of the project site and nesting substrate was located on a power pole. Occurrence was last seen in 1996, and the surrounding topography shows steep bluffs from aerial imagery, which is lacking on the project site.
- Occurrence No. 147 located approximately 9.75 miles northwest of the project site and nest was located within blue oak savannah and annual grazed grassland within a protected watershed. Comments state that coordinates provided to CDFW are erroneous and do not represent nest site. This occurrence offers potential to occur outside a 10-mile radius from the project site due to that reasoning. Observance of occurrence was documented in 2006.

The remaining 9 occurrences documented of golden eagle within the USGS 9 quad search are concentrated to occur near Los Vaqueros Reservoir approximately 11 miles northwest of the PSA. The Los Vaqueros Reservoir provides high quality suitable nesting habitat for this species due to having a steep bluff terrain, various nesting



locations, and a reservoir resource to support this species. The grassland foraging habitat on the PSA is of moderate quality, with low-quality nesting habitat provided by transmission towers surrounding the site and the trees associated with Patterson Run. No eagles or potential nests were observed during the field surveys.

5.4.2.6 Burrowing Owl (Athene cunicularia)

Burrowing owl is a candidate for listing as a protected species under the CESA, and is also covered under the EACCS with moderate potential to occur on the PSA. This species nests and forages in grassland, open scrub, and agricultural lands that contain ground squirrel burrows or burrow surrogates (e.g., concrete debris piles, culverts, riprap) for nesting and shelter. There are three documented occurrences adjacent or overlapping with the PSA, from 1982, 2002, and 2006 (Occ. Nos. 48, 468, and 1229). Multiple other documented occurrences are within 5 miles of the PSA, most recently from 2015 (CDFW 2024).

Focused Burrow Survey Results

Focused burrow surveys were conducted on May 16 and August 2, 2023, and January 18, 2024, to identify a variety of animal burrows within the updated PSA boundaries, including for burrowing owl. There is abundant grassland habitat within the PSA, but it is currently of moderate suitability for burrowing owls because it lacks extensive ground squirrel burrows and the vegetation is generally tall and dense (burrowing owls prefer areas with short, sparse vegetation). Burrows present on the site were generally small and not suitable for burrowing owls. Higher-quality habitat with low, grazed vegetation and ground squirrel colonies were observed throughout the surrounding landscape. No burrowing owls were observed during the field surveys.

Protocol-level Burrowing Owl Survey Results

Protocol-level burrowing owl surveys were conducted on April 12, May 3, May 24, and June 17, 2024. Results of the focused burrow survey were used to identify areas of potential breeding habitat (burrows). No burrowing owls or their sign were observed during the field surveys. In general, CDFW considers sites occupied if BUOW and/or their sign (e.g. burrows with whitewash, feathers, pellets, prey debris) have been observed on the site in the last 3 years, therefore, based on the lack of documented occurrences and survey results, this species is not present within the PSA.

5.4.2.7 Northern Harrier (*Circus hudsonius*)

Northern harrier is a California Species of Special Concern that is not covered under the EACCS with a moderate potential to occur within the PSA. This species nests in open wetlands (such as wet meadows, old fields, and marshes) and in dry grassland and grain fields, and forages in open habitats including grassland, scrub, rangelands, and emergent wetlands. The nearest documented occurrence is approximately 2.2 miles northeast of the PSA from 2001 (Occ. No. 49; CDFW 2024). There is moderate-quality grassland habitat on the PSA of sufficient height and density for nesting. No northern harriers were observed during the field surveys.

5.4.2.8 White-Tailed Kite (*Elanus leucurus*)

White-tailed kite is a California fully protected species that is not covered under the EACCS with a low potential to occur within the PSA. This species nests in woodland, riparian, and individual trees near open land, and forages opportunistically in grassland, meadows, scrubs, agriculture, emergent wetland, savannah, and disturbed lands.

The nearest documented occurrence is approximately 3.7 miles south of the PSA, a historical record from 1996 (Occ. No. 152; CDFW 2024). There is moderate-quality grassland habitat present within the PSA, with a few scattered cottonwood trees (*Populus* sp.) associated with Patterson Run suitable for nesting. No raptor nests were noted within the trees associated with Patterson Run during any of the site surveys. No white-tailed kites were observed during the field surveys.

5.4.2.9 Swainson's hawk (Buteo swainsonii)

Swainson's hawk is a California state threatened species that is not covered under the EACCS with a low potential for nesting and foraging within the PSA. Swainson's hawks are primarily a grassland bird, but they are also found in sparse shrubland and small, open woodlands (Bechard et al. 2010). In Central California, Swainson's hawks are primarily associated with grain and hay croplands that mimic native grasslands with respect to prey density and availability (Esetep 1989, Babcock 1995). Within a USGS nine quad search, a total of 85 occurrences of Swainson's hawk have been reported. Within a 10-mile radius of the PSA, a total of 59 occurrences of Swainson's hawk have been reported (CDFW 2024). Most of the documented observations within 5 miles are located approximately 4 miles or greater north and east of the PSA, primarily east of the Diablo Range (CDFW 2024). Four occurrences of this species are documented within 5 miles, but none are closer than 3.8 miles to the PSA. The occurrence located approximately 3.8 miles northeast of the PSA is a historic record documented in 1994 (CDFW 2024). Additionally, information provided by CDFW (2024) coincides with ebird records and shows this species overflying the PSA and sometimes displaying courtship behavior.

An assessment of potentially suitable nesting habitat within 0.5 mile of the PSA was conducted in December 2024. Potential nesting habitat within 0.5 mile of the PSA includes trees associated with residences, transmission towers, and riparian vegetation associated with Patterson Run south of the PSA. No raptor stick nests were noted in any of this habitat during the nest habitat assessment. Although the PSA presents grassland foraging habitat for this species, suitable nesting habitat within 0.5 mile of the PSA is limited and includes trees associated with homes and development. Trees onsite are short in stature and do not provide high quality nesting substrates for raptors. No Swainson's hawks or raptor stick nests were observed during field surveys.

5.4.2.10 American Badger (*Taxidea taxus*)

American badger is a California Species of Special Concern and is covered under the EACCS, with moderate potential to forage within the PSA. This species occurs on dry, open, treeless areas such as grasslands, coastal scrub, agriculture, and pastures, especially with friable soils for burrowing. The nearest documented occurrences are approximately 0.2 miles north (Occ. No. 520 from 2014) and south (Occ. No. 250, unknown date prior to 2004) of the PSA, with multiple other records within 5 miles of the PSA, the most recent from 2015 (CDFW 2024). Although there is abundant moderate-quality grassland for foraging, no suitable den habitat was documented within the PSA during the focused burrow surveys, as described below.

Focused Burrow Survey Results

Focused burrow surveys were conducted on May 16 and August 2, 2023, January 18, 2024, and additional burrow assessment was conducted during protocol-level burrowing owl surveys on April 12, May 3, May 24, and June 17, 2024, to identify a variety of animal burrows within the updated PSA boundaries, including for American badger. Several large burrow tailings were observed on the eastern side of the PSA along Patterson Pass Road, evidence of highly suitable soils for burrowing and hunting. Burrows were also investigated for sign of American badger

occupancy, including prey remains, scat, tracks, and claw/scratch marks. The burrows were not greater than 4 inches in diameter and are associated with active ground squirrel colonies and are not suitable denning structures for American badgers. No American badgers or their sign were observed during the field surveys.

5.4.2.11 San Joaquin Kit Fox (Vulpes macrotis mutica)

San Joaquin kit fox is a federally endangered and state threatened species and is covered under the EACCS, with low potential to occur on the PSA. This species occurs on grassland and scrublands, oak woodland, alkali sink scrubland, vernal pools, and alkali meadows. The PSA is in the northern range of this species, in the S1 (Alameda, Contra Costa, and San Joaquin Counties) San Joaquin kit fox satellite population recovery area (USFWS 2010), where there have been no confirmed observations since 2002 (USFWS 2020). Extensive surveys using scent dogs between 2001 and 2003 did not detect any San Joaquin kit foxes in surveyed portions of Alameda County (Smith et al. 2006).

The nearest documented occurrence is approximately 0.3 miles southwest of the PSA, a historical record from 1984 (Occ. No. 6); multiple other historical records are within 5 miles of the PSA, all prior to 1992 (CDFW 2024). Although there is abundant moderate-quality grassland present on the site, none of the burrows onsite are suitable for this species (see burrow survey results, below), and it is highly unlikely this species utilizes the PSA for denning habitat.

Focused Burrow Survey Results

Focused burrow surveys were conducted on May 16 and August 2, 2023, January 18, 2024, and additional burrow assessment was conducted during protocol-level burrowing owl surveys on April 12, May 3, May 24, and June 17, 2024, to identify a variety of animal burrows within the updated PSA boundaries, including for San Joaquin kit fox. Several large burrow tailings were observed on the eastern side of the PSA along Patterson Pass Road, evidence of highly suitable soils for burrowing. Burrows were also investigated for sign of San Joaquin kit fox occupancy, including prey remains, scat, tracks, and claw/scratch marks. The burrows onsite were not greater than 4 inches in diameter and are associated with active ground squirrel colonies and are not suitable denning structures for San Joaquin kit fox. No San Joaquin kit fox or their sign were observed during the field surveys.

5.5 Nesting Birds

The PSA provides habitat for nesting birds protected by the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and California Fish and Game Code (CFGC). Red-tailed hawk (*Buteo jamaicensis*), common raven (*Corvus corax*), and American kestrel (*Falco sparverius*), and other bird species were observed foraging on site and the vicinity. While no nests were observed during the surveys, there are suitable trees along Patterson Pass Road, transmission towers for large raptors and ravens, and grassland for ground-nesting species such as western meadowlark (*Sturnella neglecta*).

5.6 Other Sensitive Resources

5.6.1 Designated Critical Habitat

Designated Critical Habitat (DCH) is designated by USFWS when a species is federally listed and represents areas of the species' range (or potential range) that contain essential features for the species' conservation (USFWS)



2017). There is DCH for multiple species within 5 miles of the PSA; however, only DCH for CRLF overlaps with the Study Area (Appendix A: Figure 5, Critical Habitat and Essential Fish Habitat).

California Red-Legged Frog

There is DCH for CRLF overlapping the PSA and extending to the north and southwest (USFWS 2023e), in areas of undeveloped or rural agricultural lands. Critical habitat for CRLF consists of four primary constituent elements (PCEs), which support different components of the species' life history, as last updated by USFWS in 2010 (75 FR 12816-12959):

- Aquatic Breeding Habitat: Standing bodies of fresh water including natural and manmade (e.g., stock) ponds, slow-moving streams, pools within streams, and other ephemeral or permanent water bodies that typically become inundated during winter rains and hold water for a minimum of 20 weeks in most years.
- 2. Aquatic Non-Breeding Habitat: Freshwater aquatic habitats that may not hold water long enough for the species to complete its aquatic life cycle, but which provide for shelter, foraging, predator avoidance, and aquatic dispersal of juvenile and adult CRLF. These may include breeding habitat as described above, as well as plunge pools within intermittent creeks, seeps, quiet water refugia within streams, and flowing springs.
- 3. Upland Habitat: Upland areas adjacent to or surrounding breeding and non-breeding aquatic and riparian habitat up to 1 mi (1.6 km), depending on surrounding landscape and dispersal barriers. Upland habitat may include grassland, woodland, forest, wetland, or riparian areas that provide shelter, forage, and predator avoidance with structural features such as boulders, rocks and organic debris (e.g., downed trees, logs), small mammal burrows, or moist leaf litter.
- 4. Dispersal Habitat: Accessible upland or riparian habitat within and between occupied locations within a minimum of 1 mi (1.6 km) of each other and that support movement between such sites. Dispersal habitat includes various natural or moderately altered habitats (such as agricultural fields) that do not contain dispersal barriers. Dispersal habitat does not include moderate- to high-density urban or industrial developments, nor does it include large (>50 ac) lakes or reservoirs.

PCEs 3 and 4 (upland and dispersal habitat) are present on the PSA, and PCEs 1 and 2 (aquatic breeding and nonbreeding habitat) are present within dispersal distance (1 mile) of the PSA.

5.6.2 Essential Fish Habitat

Essential Fish Habitat (EFH) on the west coast is managed by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and the Pacific Fishery Management Council (PFMC) under the Magnuson-Stevens Act of 1976 to protect habitat for federally managed fish species across life stages (NOAA 2021). EFH is broadly mapped as the geographic area wherein a fish species may occur at any time in its life and is designated at the watershed level of the USGS 4th field hydrologic unit to account for variability in freshwater habitats over time (PFMC 2014, 2022). Thus, mapped EFH may encompass terrestrial habitats that do not currently provide appropriate conditions for target fish species but are within the same watershed as the species' known distribution and may become suitable habitat as environmental conditions change (e.g., droughts, floods, etc.).



The PSA overlaps with designated freshwater EFH for Pacific coast salmon. Specifically, the Pacific Salmon Fishery Management Plan (PFMC 2014, 2022) identifies freshwater EFH for Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) in the San Joaquin Delta hydrologic unit (HUC-8 18040003), which includes the PSA within the Old River watershed. Freshwater EFH for Chinook salmon consists of four major activities: (1) spawning and incubation; (2) juvenile rearing; (3) juvenile migration corridors; and (4) adult migration corridors and adult holding habitat (PFMC 2014, 2022). Chinook salmon EFH includes all freshwater habitat currently or historically occupied in Washington, Oregon, Idaho, and California (PFMC 2014, 2022). There are currently no aquatic habitats with flowing water suitable for salmonids within the PSA.

5.6.3 Sensitive Natural Communities

Sensitive natural communities are communities that have a limited distribution and are often vulnerable to the environmental effects of projects. These communities may or may not contain special-status species or their habitats. For purposes of this assessment, sensitive natural communities include vegetation communities listed in CDFW's California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB; CDFW 2024) and communities listed in the California Natural Community List (CDFW 2023d) with a rarity rank of S1, S2, or S3 (S1: critically imperiled; S2: imperiled; S3: vulnerable). Additionally, all vegetation associations within the alliances with ranks of S1–S3 are considered sensitive habitats. CEQA requires that impacts to sensitive natural communities be evaluated and mitigated to the extent feasible. There are no sensitive natural communities within the PSA.

5.6.4 Wildlife Corridors and Habitat Linkages

Wildlife movement corridors have been recognized by federal and state agencies as important habitats worthy of conservation. Wildlife corridors provide migration channels seasonally (i.e., between winter and summer habitats), and provide non-migrant wildlife the opportunity to move within their home range for food, cover, reproduction, and refuge. Habitat linkages are small patches that join larger blocks of habitat and help reduce the adverse effects of habitat fragmentation. Habitat linkages provide a potential route for gene flow and long-term dispersal of plants and animals and may also serve as primary habitat for smaller animals, such as reptiles and amphibians. Habitat linkages may be continuous habitat or discrete habitat islands that function as steppingstones for dispersal.

The PSA does not overlap with any California Essential Habitat Connectivity Areas (CDFW 2014). but is considered part of the large contiguous Natural Landscape Block that extends from Alameda County south through the Diablo Range and Southern Coastal Ranges, terminating north of the Transverse Ranges (CDFW 2017). Given that the existing vegetation is surrounded on three sides by similar annual grassland habitat and is close to the existing PG&E substation, the PSA likely provides movement habitat for local wildlife but is not recognized as an important regional wildlife corridor by any state agency or jurisdiction and is of limited linkage value on a landscape scale. Furthermore, although local wildlife may utilize the PSA as movement habitat, regional connectivity is highly limited by Patterson Pass Road, an unnamed gravel road directly to the north of the PSA, Interstates (I) 580 and I-5 to the north and east, respectively, and the railroad south of the PSA. Thus, the project would not impose significant barrier to wildlife movement.

DUDEK

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

6 Summary of Findings

6.1 Biological Impact Overview

The Project could have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on species identified as candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by CDFW or USFWS. Incorporation of mitigation measures ensures that these impacts will be less than significant.

A total of 1 special-status plant species and 6 special-status wildlife species are known to occur within the PSA, were observed or detected during field surveys, or have a moderate to high potential to occur on the PSA and could therefore be impacted by eventual Project implementation. Big tarplant was observed on the site. Tricolored blackbird was observed foraging on the site and five other special-status wildlife species have a moderate or high potential to occur on the PSA, including California tiger salamander, California red-legged frog, golden eagle, northern harrier, burrowing owl, and white-tailed kite. Special-status plant and wildlife resources may be subject to agency jurisdiction pursuant to regulations under FESA, CESA, California FGC, CEQA guidelines, the Alameda County General Plan, and the EACCS. Species-specific AMMs will be provided for all special-status species to reduce potential impacts to less than significant under CEQA.

The Project would not have a substantial adverse effect on any sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by CDFW or USFWS.

No CDFW sensitive natural communities were identified within the PSA, and no impacts are anticipated.

Designated Critical Habitat for California red-legged frog overlaps with the PSA. Removal of upland refuge and dispersal habitat associated with construction of the BESS site will be mitigated through purchase of appropriate credits at an agency-approved mitigation bank.

The PSA overlaps with designated freshwater EFH for Pacific coast salmon. Specifically, the Pacific Salmon Fishery Management Plan (PFMC 2014, 2022) identifies freshwater EFH for Chinook salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) in the San Joaquin Delta hydrologic unit (HUC-8 18040003), which includes the PSA within the Old River watershed. There are currently no aquatic habitats with flowing water suitable for salmonids within the PSA and no impacts are anticipated.

The Project could have a substantial adverse effect on state or federally protected wetlands (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means. Incorporation of mitigation measures ensures that these impacts will be less than significant.

A USACE-level jurisdictional delineation of aquatic resources was conducted in January 2024. There are no aquatic resources present on the BESS facility portion of the PSA. The gen-tie alignment crosses one seasonal channel (EPH-01, Patterson Run), which parallels Patterson Pass Road and flows southwest to northeast on a seasonal basis. AMMs, including obtaining a CWA Section 404 Nationwide Permit from the USACE and CWA Section 401 Water Quality Certification from the CVRWQCB, are recommended to reduce potential impacts to less than significant under CEQA.

The Project would not interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites.



Undeveloped grasslands on the PSA may provide nursery and dispersal habitat for wildlife species. According to the California Essential Habitat Connectivity Project, the PSA does not overlap with any California Essential Habitat Connectivity Areas (CDFW 2014) but is considered part of a Natural Landscape Block (CDFW 2017). Given that the existing vegetation is surrounded on three sides by similar open, undeveloped annual grassland habitat and is close to the existing PG&E substation, the PSA likely provides habitat value but is of limited linkage value in the landscape. The PSA plan and recommended avoidance and minimization measures to protect special-status species ensure this impact is less than significant.

The Project would not conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance.

There are no tree preservation policies or ordinances in Alameda County. The Alameda County General Plan and Code of Ordinances have policies for protecting riparian, wetland, and watercourse habitats. The PSA plan and recommended avoidance and minimization measures to protect aquatic resources ensure this impact is less than significant.

The Project would conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan. Incorporation of mitigation measures ensures that the Project will not conflict with the EACCS.

The EACCS provides a framework for natural resource conservation and to streamline the environmental permitting process within the eastern portion of the county. The PSA is in Conservation Zone (CZ) 10 of the EACCS. This CZ emphasizes conservation priorities that may conflict with the Project implementation, such as protection of all big tarplant occurrences, protection of critical habitat for California red-legged frog (including annual grasslands near ponds), and protection and restoration of Patterson Run. The impacts to the EACCS CZ-10 from Project development are a very small percentage of the inventory of those lands in CZ-10.

The Project will obtain applicable permits and other approvals from USFWS, USACE, CDFW, and RWQCB, and will minimize and mitigate impacts on natural resources to comply with the regulatory standards of these agencies. These are the same regulatory standards applied by USFWS and the other environmental agencies in their review and approval of the EACCS. The Project will adhere to AMMs that comply or exceed EACCS guidelines, so development of this PSA will not conflict with implementation of the EACCS, and Project effects on EACCS Covered Species, if present, would be avoided and minimized. Further, the Project will provide compensatory mitigation for impacts to aquatic resources and specific EACCS covered species through the acquisition of credits from existing mitigation banks and other compensatory mitigation.

The EACCS defines standardized mitigation ratios for each of the focal species to be utilized by local jurisdictions and resource agencies to determine the level of mitigation necessary to offset project impacts. These are based upon an evaluation of the habitat quality on the PSA scored using species-specific "habitat units." Mitigation ratios are then calculated based on the acreage of habitat affected, the location of the site, and the species-specific mitigation ratio table (Appendix H). Total mitigation acreages may vary depending on the location of selected mitigation areas the total habitat acreage affected by the Project.



6.2 Regulations and Permitting Overview

Federal: USACE, USFWS

- Under FESA, USFWS regulates species listed as threatened or endangered, including DCH. Since the Project "may affect" several federally listed species and their habitat, formal consultation with USFWS should be initiated to identify the appropriate FESA permitting pathway.
 - Section 7 consultation would occur if a federal CWA Section 404 were required (see next bullet). Section 7 of the FESA requires all federal agencies to ensure that any action they authorize, fund, or carry out is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of designated critical habitat critical to such species' survival. To ensure that its actions do not result in jeopardy to listed species or in the adverse modification of critical habitat, each federal agency must consult with USFWS and/or NMFS regarding actions that may affect listed species, including issuance of CWA Section 404 permits by USACE. Consultation begins when the federal agency submits a written request for initiation to USFWS or NMFS, along with the agency's biological assessment (BA) of its proposed action, and when USFWS or NMFS accepts that biological assessment as complete. If USFWS or NMFS concludes that the action is not likely to adversely affect a listed species, the action may be conducted without further review under FESA. Otherwise, USFWS or NMFS must prepare a written biological opinion (BO) describing how the agency's action will affect the listed species and its critical habitat.
 - Section 10 consultation would occur if there were no federal land, funding, or authorization (e.g., CWA permit issuance) required. Private landowners, corporations, state agencies, local agencies, and other nonfederal entities must obtain a Section 10(a)(1)(B) incidental take permit for take of federally listed fish and wildlife species "that is incidental to, but not the purpose of, otherwise lawful activities." Section 10(a)(1)(B) incidental take permits are issued upon completion of an approved habitat conservation plan (HCP).
- USFWS regulates the take of golden eagle under BGEPA. If a golden eagle nest became established on or within 0.5 miles of the PSA and there was reasonable likelihood that the Project would result in take (including disturbance resulting in nest abandonment), the applicant would need to obtain an eagle incidental take permit.
- Federal waters of the United States are regulated through Section 404 of the CWA and fall under the authority of USACE. For impacts to waters of the United States, permitting would be achieved through a technical study and a USACE verified Aquatic Resources Delineation, and either through a Nationwide Permit (NWP) (i.e., for impacts less than or equal to 0.5 acres, 300 linear feet), or through a Standard Permit (SP) such as an individual permit.

State: CDFW, CEQA, RWQCB

 Under the CESA, CDFW regulates species listed as threatened or endangered. Note that unlike the FESA, CESA does not include indirect impacts (e.g., habitat degradation, harassment, harm) in its definition of "take." In addition, compliance with the CFGC Section 1900 as it relates to the NPPA, Section 3503 regulating "take" of nesting migratory birds and raptors as designated by the MBTA, and Section 4150 regulating the "take" of non-game mammals, including bat species, apply to state-listed and other species. Additionally, CFGC Section 1940 requires sensitive habitat and sensitive natural communities that have



the potential to impacted by a project, to be addressed through the CEQA process (see below). If the Project potentially impacts a listed special-status species and/or suitable habitat of that species that may potentially occur and/or are known to occur in the PSA, then CESA permitting may be achieved through a technical study and the preparation this BRA, CFGC Section 2081 Incidental Take Permit (ITP), and/or through CFGC Section 1602 Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement (LSAA).

- Pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15380, protection is provided for federal and/or state-listed species, as well as species not listed federally or by the state that may be considered rare, threatened, or endangered. Under the CEQA guidelines, protection is also provided to aquatic resources and surface waters. Species that meet these criteria can include "candidate species," species "proposed for listing," and "SSC." Plants listed in the CNPS Rare Plant Program are considered to meet CEQA's Section 15380 criteria as well. CEQA requires that impacts to sensitive natural communities be evaluated and mitigated to the extent feasible. CEQA must be completed prior to the issuance of any federal or state permits.
- SWRCB has authority over waters of the state, including wetlands, through Section 401 of the CWA, as well as the Porter-Cologne Act, California Code of Regulations Section 3831(k), and California Wetlands Conservation Policy. In California CWA Section 404 and Porter-Cologne Act compliance are achieved through an Aquatic Resources Delineation (preferably USACE verified), and Section 404 permitting with the RWQCB and obtaining WQC and/or a WDR for impacts to waters of the state. Note that aquatic resources may meet criteria for both waters of the United States and waters of the state.

Local: Alameda County

- The EACCS provides a framework for natural resource conservation and helps streamline the environmental permitting process within the eastern portion of Alameda County. The EACCS defines standardized mitigation ratios for each of the focal species to be utilized by local jurisdictions and resource agencies to determine the level of mitigation necessary to offset project impacts. These are based upon an evaluation of the habitat quality on the PSA scored using species-specific "habitat units." Mitigation ratios are then calculated based on the acreage of habitat affected, the location of the site, and the species-specific mitigation ratio table. The EACCS also provides approved mitigation measures for focal species covered under the plan, along with general biological AMMs applicable to all projects. Although not an HCP per se, the EACCS was developed with the intention of streamlining the FESA regulatory process and could therefore facilitate the formal consultation process with USFWS described above, especially if Section 10 is identified as the only permitting mechanism.
- The General Plan includes limited policies to help preserve and restore biological resources and aquatic resources throughout Alameda County. The PSA is not overlaid with any special designations according to the General Plan and is designated "Large Parcel Agriculture," so most of the policies related to preservation and restoration of habitat do not directly apply. The limited policies that do apply focus on protection and mitigation of watercourses and riparian areas. General Plan compliance as it relates to these resources is expected to be achieved through the CEQA process.

7 References

- Alameda County. 1994. East County Area Plan: A Portion of the Alameda County General Plan. Volume 1: Goals, Policies and Programs. Adopted by the Board of Supervisors May 5, 1994. Last amended November 2000. Accessed August 2023. http://acgov.org/cda/planning/generalplans/documents/ EastCountyAreaPlancombined.pdf.
- Alameda County. 2022. Alameda County Code of Ordinances. Title 13 Public Services. Chapter 13.12 Watercourse Protection. Accessed August 2023. https://library.municode.com/ca/alameda_county/ codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=TIT13PUSE_CH13.12WAPR.
- Babcock, K.W. 1995. "Home Range and Habitat Use of Breeding Swainson's Hawks in the Sacramento Valley, California." Journal of Raptor Research 29(3): 193 0150197. Accessed October 2024
- Bechard, M.J., C.S. Houston, J.H. Sarasola, and A.S. England. 2010. "Swainson's Hawk (Buteo swainsoni)." In The Birds of North America Online, edited by A. Poole. Ithaca, New York: Cornell Lab of Ornithology. http://bna.birds.cornell.edu/bna/species/625doi:10.2173/bna.265. Accessed October 2024.
- Calflora. 2023. Blepharizonia plumosa (big tarplant). Accessed September 2023. https://www.calflora.org/app/taxon?crn=1098.
- CDFG (California Department of Fish and Game). 2012. *Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation*. Department of Fish and Game, Natural Resources Agency, State of California. March 7, 2012. Accessed August 2023. https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=83843&inline=true.
- CDFW (California Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2014. "Essential Connectivity Areas California Essential Habitat Connectivity (CEHC) [ds620]." GIS data viewed on BIOS 6. CDFW Biogeographic Data Branch. Last updated in BIOS on January 13, 2014. Accessed August 2023. https://map.dfg.ca.gov/metadata/ DS0620.html.
- CDFW. 2017. "Natural Landscape Blocks California Essential Habitat Connectivity (CEHC) [ds621]." GIS data viewed on BIOS 6. Prepared by Conservation Biology Institute for CDFW. Last updated in BIOS on October 4, 2017. Accessed August 2023. https://map.dfg.ca.gov/metadata/DS0621.html.
- CDFW. 2018. Protocols for Surveying and Evaluating Impacts to Special Status Native Plant Populations and Sensitive Natural Communities. Sacramento, California: CDFW. March 20, 2018.
- CDFW. 2023a. Threatened and Endangered Species Protected Under the California Endangered Species Act. California Endangered Species Act (CESA). Accessed August 2023. https://wildlife.ca.gov/ Conservation/CESA.
- CDFW. 2023b. Lake and Streambed Alteration Program. Environmental Review. Accessed August 2022. https://wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/Environmental-Review/LSA.



- CDFW. 2023c. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Review. Accessed August 2023. https://wildlife.ca.gov/Conservation/Environmental-Review/CEQA.
- CDFW. 2023d. "California Natural Communities List." June 1, 2023. Accessed August 2023. https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=153398&inline.
- CDFW. 2023e. Survey Considerations for California Endangered Species Act (CESA) Candidate Bumble Bee Species. Sacramento, California: CDFW. June 6, 2023.
- CDFW. 2024. California Natural Diversity Database (CNDDB) Rarefind 5, Commercial version 5.3.0. CDFW, Biogeographic Data Branch. Accessed January 2024. https://wildlife.ca.gov/Data/CNDDB.
- CLI (California Legislative Information). 2023. California Fish and Game—Division 2. California Department of Fish and Wildlife (700 – 1940), Chapter 10. Native Plant Protection Act (1900 – 1913). Accessed August 2023. http://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/codes_displayText.xhtml?division=2.&chapter= 10.&lawCode=FGC.
- CNPS (California Native Plant Society). 2001. CNPS Botanical Survey Guidelines. Revised June 2, 2001. Accessed August 2023. https://cnps.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/cnps_survey_guidelines.pdf.
- CNPS. 2023a. A Manual of California Vegetation, Online Edition. Sacramento, California: CNPS. Accessed August 2023. https://vegetation.cnps.org.
- CNPS. 2023b. Blepharizonia plumosa (big tarplant). Rare Plant Inventory (online edition, V9.5). Accessed September 2023. https://rareplants.cnps.org/plants/details?taxon=Blepharizonia%20plumosa.
- CNPS. 2024. Rare Plant Inventory (online edition, v9.5). California Native Plant Society, Rare Plant Program, Sacramento, CA. Accessed January 2024. http://www.rareplants.cnps.org.
- Cook, L.F., and C.A. Toft. 2005. "Dynamics of Extinction: Population Decline in the Colonially Nesting Tricolored Blackbird (Agelaius tricolor)." Bird Conservation International 15: 73–88. Accessed December 2024. https://tricolor.ice.ucdavis.edu/sites/g/files/dgvnsk3096/files/inlinefiles/Cook%20and%20Toft%202005.pdf.
- Dudek. 2023a. "Biological Constraints Analysis for the Proposed Mulqueeney Battery Energy Storage System Project, Alameda County, California." Letter report from David Hochart (Dudek) to Joel Diaz (Eurowind Energy US Development, LLC). April 30, 2023.
- Dudek. 2023b. Biological Technical Report for the Potentia-Viridi Battery Energy Storage System Facility Project, Alameda County, California. Prepared for Levy Alameda LLC by Dudek. Oakland, California. September 2024.
- Dudek. 2024. Aquatic Resource Delineation Report for the Potentia-Viridi Battery Energy Storage System Facility Project, Alameda County, California. Prepared for Levy Alameda LLC by Dudek. Auburn, California. February 2024.



- Estep, J.A. 2009. The Influence of Vegetation Structure on Swainson's Hawk (Buteo swainsoni) Foraging Habitat Suitability in Yolo County, California. Prepared for Technology Associates International Corporation and Yolo Natural Heritage Program. Prepared by Estep Environmental Consulting. February 2009. Accessed October 2024.
- Hunt, W.G., R.E. Jackman, T.L. Brown, J.G. Gilardi, D.E. Driscoll, and L. Culp. 1995. A Pilot Golden Eagle Population Study in the Altamont Pass Wind Resource Area, California. Predatory Bird Research Group, University of California, Santa Cruz. Accessed September 2024.
- Hunt, W.G., R.E. Jackman, T.L. Brown, D.E. Driscoll, and L. Culp. 1998. A Population Study of Golden Eagles in the Altamont Pass Wind Resource Area: Population Trend Analysis 1997. Report prepared for National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL), Subcontract XAT-6-16459-01. Predatory Bird Research Group, University of California, Santa Cruz. Accessed September 2024.
- EPA. 2023a. Overview of CWA Section 401 Certification. Accessed August 2023. https://www.epa.gov/ cwa-401/overview-cwa-section-401-certification.
- EPA. 2023b. Proposed Clean Water Act Section 401 Water Quality Certification Improvement Rule. Accessed August 2023. https://www.epa.gov/cwa-401/proposed-clean-water-act-section-401-water-qualitycertification-improvement-rule.
- Garrett, K., and J. Dunn. 1981. The Birds of Southern California: Status and Distribution. Los Angeles, California: Los Angeles Audubon Society. Accessed September 2024.
- Google Earth Pro. 2023. "Mulqueeney Ranch PSA 37°42'42.80"N, 121°34'24.40"W". Version 7.3.6.9345. Mountain View, CA: Google Earth Mapping Service. Accessed August 2023.
- Grinnell, J., and A.H. Miller. 1944. The Distribution of the Birds of California. Pacific Coast Avifauna, no. 27. Berkeley, California: Cooper Ornithological Club. December 30, 1944. Lee Vining, California: Artemisia Press. Reprinted April 1986. Accessed September 2024.
- ICF. 2010. *East Alameda County Conservation Strategy*. Final. Prepared for: East Alameda County Conservation Strategy Steering Committee. San Jose, CA: ICF International. ICF 00906.08. October 2010. Accessed August 2023. http://www.eastalco-conservation.org/documents.html.
- Jepson Flora Project. 2023. Jepson eFlora. Berkeley, California: University of California. Accessed August 2023. http://ucjeps.berkeley.edu/interchange/index.html.
- NOAA (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration). 2005. *National ESA Critical Habitat Mapper* [online GIS mapper]. NOAA Fisheries. Accessed August 2023. https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/resource/map/ national-esa-critical-habitat-mapper.
- NOAA. 2021. Essential Fish Habitat Mapper [online GIS mapper]. West Coast GIS Data for Essential Fish Habitat (EFH). NOAA Fisheries. Accessed August 2023. https://www.habitat.noaa.gov/apps/efhmapper/.



- PFMC (Pacific Fishery Management Council). 2014. Appendix A to the Pacific Coast Salmon Plan: Identification and Description of Essential Fish Habitat, Adverse Impacts and Recommended Conservation Measures for Salmon. Portland, Oregon: PFMC. September 2014.
- PFMC. 2022. Pacific Coast Salmon Fishery Management Plan for Commercial and Recreational Salmon Fisheries off the Coasts of Washington, Oregon, and California as Revised through Amendment 23. Portland, Oregon: PFMC. December 2022.
- Shuford, W. D., and T. Gardali, editors. 2008. California Bird Species of Special Concern: A Ranked Assessment of Species, Subspecies, and Distinct Populations of Birds of Immediate Conservation Concern in California. In collaboration with the Bird Species Of Special Concern Technical Advisory Committee: E.C. Beedy, B.E. Deuel, R.A. Erickson, S.D. Fitton, K.L. Garrett, K. Hunting, T. Manolis, M.A. Patten, W.D. Shuford, J. Sterling, P. Unitt, and B.J. Walton. Studies of Western Birds, No. 1. Camarillo, California: Western Field Ornithologists; and Sacramento, California: State of California, Natural Resources Agency, Department of Fish and Game.
- Smith, D.A., K. Ralls, B.L. Cypher, H.O. Clark Jr., P.A. Kelly, D.F. Williams, and J.E. Maldonado. 2006. "Relative abundance of endangered San Joaquin kit foxes (Vulpes macrotis mutica) based on scat-detection dog surveys." *The Southwestern Naturalist* 51:210–219
- SWRCB (State Water Resources Control Board). 2023. Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act- Water Code Division 7 and Related Section (as amended, including Statutes 2022). January 2023. Sacramento, California. Accessed August 2023. https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/laws_regulations/docs/portercologne.pdf.
- USDA (U.S. Department of Agriculture). 2023a. List of Hydric Soils. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, Soil Survey Staff. Accessed August 2023. https://www.nrcs.usda.gov/conservation-basics/natural-resourceconcerns/soil/hydric-soils.
- USDA. 2023b. "California." State PLANTS Checklist. Accessed August 2023. https://plants.usda.gov/home/stateSearch.
- USDA. 2024. Soil Survey Geographic Database: Web Soil Survey [GIS online viewer]. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service, Soil Survey Staff. Accessed January 2024. http://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm.
- USFS (U.S. Forest Service). 2012. Bumble Bees of the Western United States. USFS and Pollinator Partnership.
- USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 1999. San Joaquin Kit Fox Survey Protocol for the Northern Range. Prepared by the Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office. June 1999.
- USFWS. 2000. Guidelines for Conducting and Reporting Botanical Inventories for Federally Listed, Proposed, and Candidate Plants. January 2000. Accessed August 2023. https://fws.gov/media/guidelines-conducting-and-reporting-botanical-inventories-federally-listed-proposed-and.
- USFWS. 2003. Interim Guidance on Site Assessment and Field Surveys for Determining Presence or a Negative Finding of the California Tiger Salamander. Sacramento, California: USFWS. October 2003.
- USFWS. 2005. Revised Guidance on Site Assessments and Field Surveys for the California Red-legged Frog. Sacramento, California: USFWS. August 2005.



- USFWS. 2010. San Joaquin Kit Fox (Vulpes macrotis mutica), 5-Year Review: Summary and Evaluation. Sacramento, California: USFWS. February 2010.
- USFWS. 2011. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Standardized Recommendations for Protection of the Endangered San Joaquin Kit Fox Prior to or During Ground Disturbance. January 2011. Accessed August 2023. https://fws.gov/media/standardized-recommendations-protection-endangered-san-joaquin-kit-fox-prioror-during-ground.
- USFWS. 2012. Programmatic Biological Opinion for U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Permitted Projects Utilizing the East Alameda County Conservation Strategy that May Affect Federally Listed Species in East Alameda County, California (Corps File Number 2011-00230S). Letter from Susan K. Moore (USFWS) to Jane M. Hicks (San Francisco District USACE). May 31, 2012. Accessed August 2023. http://eastalcoconservation.org/documents/eaccs_bo.pdf.
- USFWS. 2017. Critical Habitat Fact Sheet. March 1, 2017. Accessed August 2023. https://www.fws.gov/ media/critical-habitat-fact-sheet.
- USFWS. 2020. Species Status Assessment Report for the San Joaquin Kit Fox (Vulpes macrotis mutica). Sacramento, California: USFWS. Accessed November 17, 2023. https://ecos.fws.gov /ServCat/DownloadFile/185116.
- USFWS. 2023a. "National Wetlands Inventory." U.S. Department of the Interior, USFWS. Accessed August 2023. http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/.
- USFWS. 2023b. Endangered Species Act. USFWS. Accessed August 2023. https://www.fws.gov/node/266482.
- USFWS. 2023c. *Migratory Bird Treaty Act*. USFWS, Migratory Bird Program. Accessed August 2023. https://www.fws.gov/law/migratory-bird-treaty-act-1918.
- USFWS. 2023d. Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act. USFWS, Migratory Bird Program. Accessed August 2023. https://www.fws.gov/law/bald-and-golden-eagle-protection-act.
- USFWS. 2023e. "Critical Habitat for Threatened & Endangered Species" [online GIS mapper]. Accessed August 2023. https://fws.maps.arcgis.com/home/webmap/viewer.html?webmap= 9d8de5e265ad4fe09893cf75b8dbfb77.
- USFWS. 2023f. "Alameda whipsnake (=striped racer) (*Masticophis lateralis euryxanthus*)." Environmental Conservation Online System (ECOS). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Accessed August 2023. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/C04A.
- USFWS. 2023g. "Delta smelt (*Hypomesus transpacificus*)." Environmental Conservation Online System (ECOS). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Accessed August 2023. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/321.
- USFWS. 2023h. "Large-flowered fiddleneck (*Amsinckia grandiflora*)." Environmental Conservation Online System (ECOS). U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Accessed August 2023. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/Q1SU.
- USFWS. 2024. IPaC (Information for Planning and Consultation) Search. Accessed January 2024. https://ipac.ecosphere.fws.gov/.



- USFWS and CDFG. 2003. Interim Guidance on Site Assessment and Field Surveys for Determining Presence or a Negative Finding of the California Tiger Salamander. Sacramento, California: USFWS and CDFG. Accessed August 29, 2023. https://nrm.dfg.ca.gov/FileHandler.ashx?DocumentID=83915&inline.
- USGS (U.S. Geological Survey). 2023. "The National Map Viewer" [online GIS viewer]. *National Hydrography GIS Data*. Accessed August 2023. https://www.usgs.gov/tools/national-map-viewer.
- Williams et al. 2014. "Bumble Bees of North America" Accessed October 2024
- WRCC (Western Regional Climate Center). 2023. "Historical Climate Information: Tracy Pumping Plant, California (049001)." Accessed August 2023. https://wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/cliMAIN.pl?ca9001.
- Xerces (Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation). 2016. State of the Monarch Butterfly Overwintering Sites in California. Report prepared for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Portland, Oregon: Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation. June 2016.
- Zeiner, D.C., W.F. Laudenslayer Jr., K.E. Mayer, and M. White, eds. 1990. *California's Wildlife: Vol. 2. Birds.* California Wildlife Habitat Relationships System. Sacramento, California: State of California Department of Fish and Game. Accessed September 2024.





SOURCE: Bing Maps 2024, Open Street Map 2019

DUDEK 🜢 🛀

1,000 2,000 Feet

Project Location Biological Technical Report for the Potentia-Viridi Battery Energy Storage System Project, Alameda County, CA



SOURCE: Bing Maps 2024, Open Street Map 2019, USFWS 2019, USGS 2019

Biological Setting Biological Technical Report for the Potentia-Viridi Battery Energy Storage System Project, Alameda County, CA

1 Miles

- + Control Point
- Project Boundary
- Culvert
- Wetland Sampling Point
- Transect

Aquatic Resource

Seasonal Drainage (OHWM) (0.37 acre)



1 inch = 500 feet

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 CA State Plane (Zone III) Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic Datum: North American 1983 Vertical Datum: NAVD 88. U.S. Feet

> Created on February 15, 2024 Verified on February 15, 2024

Made in accordance with the Updated Map and Drawing Standards for the South Pacific Division Regulatory Program, as amended on February 10, 2016, by: Jason Deters, Project Manager Enforcement and Special Projects Unit U.S. Army Corps of Engineers South Pacific Divsion Sacramento District, Regulatory Divsion 1325 J Street, Room 1350 Sacramento. California 95814-2922

SOURCE: Bing Maps (accessed 2024); Open Streets Map 2019

DUDEK



FIGURE 3 Aquatic Resources Delineation Biological Technical Report for the Potentia-Viridi Battery Energy Storage System Project, Alameda County, CA

Project Study Area

C Project Boundary - 5 Mile Buffer

12.44.1

USFWS Critical Habitat

- □ Large-Flowered Fiddleneck
- Alameda Whipsnake
- 🚫 Delta Smelt
- 💋 California Red-Legged Frog

NOAA Essential Fish Habitat

- Chinook Salmon
 - Chinook and Coho Salmon

SOURCE: Bing Maps 2022, USFWS 2022, NOAA 2021

0.75 1.5 _____Miles

Critical Habitat and Essential Fish Habitat Biological Technical Report for the Potentia-Viridi Battery Energy Storage System Project, Alameda County, CA

FIGURE 5



SOURCE: Bing Maps 2022, Open Street Map 2019, USGS 2022

DUDEK

850 425 Feet

Biological Resources Survey Results

Biological Technical Report for the Potentia-Viridi Battery Energy Storage System Project, Alameda County, CA

Appendix B Database Search Results




California Natural Diversity Database

 Query Criteria:
 Quad IS (Byron Hot Springs (3712176) OR Clifton Court Forebay (3712175) OR Union Island (3712174) OR Altamont (3712166) OR Altamont (3712166) OR Altamont (3712166) OR Mendenhall Springs (3712165) OR Cedar Mtn. (3712155) OR Mendenhall Springs (3712156) OR Cedar Mtn. (3712155) OR Lone Tree Creek (3712154))

 (Fish OR Amphibians OR Reptiles OR Birds OR Reptiles OR Birds OR Birds OR Amphibians OR Reptiles OR Birds OR Amphibians OR Mollusks OR Birds OR Birds OR Amphibians OR Mollusks OR Birds OR Crustaceans OR Moncots OR Ispan>Moncots OR Birds OR Birds

Species	Element Code	Federal Status	State Status	Global Rank	State Rank	Rare Plant Rank/CDFW SSC or FP
Accipiter cooperii	ABNKC12040	None	None	G5	S4	WL
Cooper's hawk						
Acipenser medirostris pop. 1	AFCAA01031	Threatened	None	G2T1	S1	
green sturgeon - southern DPS						
Agelaius tricolor	ABPBXB0020	None	Threatened	G1G2	S2	SSC
tricolored blackbird						
Allium sharsmithiae	PMLIL02310	None	None	G2	S2	1B.3
Sharsmith's onion						
Ambystoma californiense pop. 1	AAAAA01181	Threatened	Threatened	G2G3T3	S3	WL
California tiger salamander - central California DPS						
Ammodramus savannarum	ABPBXA0020	None	None	G5	S3	SSC
grasshopper sparrow						
Amsinckia grandiflora	PDBOR01050	Endangered	Endangered	G1	S1	1B.1
large-flowered fiddleneck						
Anniella pulchra	ARACC01020	None	None	G3	S2S3	SSC
Northern California legless lizard		News	News	04	00	000
Antrozous pallidus pallid bat	AMACC10010	None	None	G4	S3	SSC
Aquila chrysaetos	ABNKC22010	None	None	G5	S3	FP
golden eagle	ADINICZZOTO	None	None	05	00	
Arctostaphylos manzanita ssp. laevigata	PDERI04273	None	None	G5T2	S2	1B.2
Contra Costa manzanita	T DERIOAZIO	None	None	0012	02	10.2
Arizona elegans occidentalis	ARADB01017	None	None	G5T2	S2	SSC
California glossy snake					-	
Asio flammeus	ABNSB13040	None	None	G5	S2	SSC
short-eared owl						
Astragalus tener var. tener	PDFAB0F8R1	None	None	G2T1	S1	1B.2
alkali milk-vetch						
Athene cunicularia	ABNSB10010	None	None	G4	S2	SSC
burrowing owl						
Atriplex cordulata var. cordulata	PDCHE040B0	None	None	G3T2	S2	1B.2
heartscale						
Atriplex depressa	PDCHE042L0	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
brittlescale						





Species	Element Code	Federal Status	State Status	Global Rank	State Rank	Rare Plant Rank/CDFW SSC or FP
Atriplex minuscula	PDCHE042M0	None	None	G2	S2	1B.1
lesser saltscale						
Balsamorhiza macrolepis	PDAST11061	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
big-scale balsamroot						
Blepharizonia plumosa	PDAST1C011	None	None	G1G2	S1S2	1B.1
big tarplant						
Bombus crotchii	IIHYM24480	None	Candidate	G2	S2	
Crotch bumble bee			Endangered			
Bombus occidentalis	IIHYM24252	None	Candidate	G3	S1	
western bumble bee			Endangered			
Branchinecta longiantenna	ICBRA03020	Endangered	None	G2	S2	
longhorn fairy shrimp						
Branchinecta lynchi	ICBRA03030	Threatened	None	G3	S3	
vernal pool fairy shrimp						
Branchinecta mesovallensis	ICBRA03150	None	None	G2	S2S3	
midvalley fairy shrimp						
Buteo regalis	ABNKC19120	None	None	G4	S3S4	WL
ferruginous hawk						
Buteo swainsoni	ABNKC19070	None	Threatened	G5	S4	
Swainson's hawk						
Calochortus pulchellus Mt. Diablo fairy-lantern	PMLIL0D160	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
Caulanthus lemmonii	PDBRA0M0E0	None	None	G3	S3	1B.2
Lemmon's jewelflower						
Centromadia parryi ssp. congdonii	PDAST4R0P1	None	None	G3T2	S2	1B.1
Congdon's tarplant						
Chlorogalum pomeridianum var. minus dwarf soaproot	PMLIL0G042	None	None	G5T3	S3	1B.2
Chloropyron molle ssp. hispidum hispid salty bird's-beak	PDSCR0J0D1	None	None	G2T1	S1	1B.1
Chloropyron palmatum palmate-bracted bird's-beak	PDSCR0J0J0	Endangered	Endangered	G1	S1	1B.1
Circus hudsonius northern harrier	ABNKC11011	None	None	G5	S3	SSC
<i>Cirsium fontinale var. campylon</i> Mt. Hamilton thistle	PDAST2E163	None	None	G2T2	S2	1B.2
Clarkia concinna ssp. automixa	PDONA050A1	None	None	G5?T3	S3	4.3
Santa Clara red ribbons						
Corynorhinus townsendii	AMACC08010	None	None	G4	S2	SSC
Townsend's big-eared bat						
Deinandra bacigalupii Livermore tarplant	PDAST4R0V0	None	Endangered	G1	S1	1B.1





Species	Element Code	Federal Status	State Status	Global Rank	State Rank	Rare Plant Rank/CDFW SSC or FP
Delphinium californicum ssp. interius	PDRAN0B0A2	None	None	G3T3	S3	1B.2
Hospital Canyon larkspur						
Delphinium recurvatum	PDRAN0B1J0	None	None	G2?	S2?	1B.2
recurved larkspur						
Desmocerus californicus dimorphus valley elderberry longhorn beetle	IICOL48011	Threatened	None	G3T3	S3	
Dipodomys heermanni berkeleyensis	AMAFD03061	None	None	G4T1	S2	
Berkeley kangaroo rat						
Elanus leucurus	ABNKC06010	None	None	G5	S3S4	FP
white-tailed kite						
Emys marmorata	ARAAD02030	Proposed	None	G3G4	S3	SSC
western pond turtle		Threatened				
Eremophila alpestris actia	ABPAT02011	None	None	G5T4Q	S4	WL
California horned lark						
Eryngium spinosepalum	PDAPI0Z0Y0	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
spiny-sepaled button-celery						
Eschscholzia rhombipetala	PDPAP0A0D0	None	None	G1	S1	1B.1
diamond-petaled California poppy						
Eumops perotis californicus	AMACD02011	None	None	G4G5T4	S3S4	SSC
western mastiff bat						
Extriplex joaquinana	PDCHE041F3	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
San Joaquin spearscale						
Falco mexicanus	ABNKD06090	None	None	G5	S4	WL
prairie falcon						
Fritillaria agrestis	PMLIL0V010	None	None	G3	S3	4.2
stinkbells						
Fritillaria falcata	PMLIL0V070	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
talus fritillary						
Gonidea angulata	IMBIV19010	None	None	G3	S2	
western ridged mussel						
Haliaeetus leucocephalus	ABNKC10010	Delisted	Endangered	G5	S3	FP
bald eagle						
Helianthella castanea	PDAST4M020	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
Diablo helianthella						
Hesperolinon breweri	PDLIN01030	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
Brewer's western flax						
Hibiscus lasiocarpos var. occidentalis	PDMAL0H0R3	None	None	G5T3	S3	1B.2
woolly rose-mallow						
Hoita strobilina	PDFAB5Z030	None	None	G2?	S2?	1B.1
Loma Prieta hoita						
Hygrotus curvipes curved-foot hygrotus diving beetle	IICOL38030	None	None	G2	S2	





Species	Element Code	Federal Status	State Status	Global Rank	State Rank	Rare Plant Rank/CDFW SSC or FP
Hypomesus transpacificus	AFCHB01040	Threatened	Endangered	G1	S1	
Delta smelt						
Lanius Iudovicianus	ABPBR01030	None	None	G4	S4	SSC
loggerhead shrike						
Lasiurus cinereus	AMACC05032	None	None	G3G4	S4	
hoary bat						
Legenere limosa	PDCAM0C010	None	None	G2	S2	1B.1
legenere						
Leptosyne hamiltonii	PDAST2L0C0	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
Mt. Hamilton coreopsis						
Lilaeopsis masonii	PDAPI19030	None	Rare	G2	S2	1B.1
Mason's lilaeopsis						
Limosella australis	PDSCR10030	None	None	G4G5	S2	2B.1
Delta mudwort						
Linderiella occidentalis	ICBRA06010	None	None	G2G3	S2S3	
California linderiella						
Madia radiata	PDAST650E0	None	None	G3	S3	1B.1
showy golden madia						
Malacothamnus hallii	PDMAL0Q0F0	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
Hall's bush-mallow						
Masticophis flagellum ruddocki	ARADB21021	None	None	G5T2T3	S3	SSC
San Joaquin coachwhip						
Masticophis lateralis euryxanthus	ARADB21031	Threatened	Threatened	G4T2	S2	
Alameda whipsnake						
Melospiza melodia pop. 1	ABPBXA3013	None	None	G5T3?Q	S3?	SSC
song sparrow ("Modesto" population)						
Navarretia nigelliformis ssp. radians	PDPLM0C0J2	None	None	G4T2	S2	1B.2
shining navarretia						
Oncorhynchus mykiss irideus pop. 11 steelhead - Central Valley DPS	AFCHA0209K	Threatened	None	G5T2Q	S2	
Perognathus inornatus	AMAFD01060	None	None	G2G3	S2S3	
San Joaquin pocket mouse						
Phacelia phacelioides	PDHYD0C3Q0	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
Mt. Diablo phacelia						
Phrynosoma blainvillii	ARACF12100	None	None	G4	S4	SSC
coast horned lizard						
Plagiobothrys glaber	PDBOR0V0B0	None	None	GX	SX	1A
hairless popcornflower						
Puccinellia simplex	PMPOA53110	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
California alkali grass						
Rana boylii pop. 4	AAABH01054	Threatened	Endangered	G3T2	S2	
foothill yellow-legged frog - central coast DPS			-			





Species	Element Code	Federal Status	State Status	Global Rank	State Rank	Rare Plant Rank/CDFW SSC or FP
Rana draytonii	AAABH01022	Threatened	None	G2G3	S2S3	SSC
California red-legged frog						
Ravenella exigua	PDCAM020A0	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
chaparral harebell						
Senecio aphanactis	PDAST8H060	None	None	G3	S2	2B.2
chaparral ragwort						
Spea hammondii	AAABF02020	Proposed	None	G2G3	S3S4	SSC
western spadefoot		Threatened				
Spergularia macrotheca var. longistyla	PDCAR0W062	None	None	G5T2	S2	1B.2
long-styled sand-spurrey						
Spirinchus thaleichthys	AFCHB03010	Candidate	Threatened	G5	S1	
longfin smelt						
Sylvilagus bachmani riparius	AMAEB01021	Endangered	Endangered	G5T1	S2	
riparian brush rabbit						
Taxidea taxus	AMAJF04010	None	None	G5	S3	SSC
American badger						
Thaleichthys pacificus	AFCHB04010	Threatened	None	G5	S1	
eulachon						
Trifolium hydrophilum	PDFAB400R5	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2
saline clover						
Tropidocarpum capparideum	PDBRA2R010	None	None	G1	S1	1B.1
caper-fruited tropidocarpum						
Vireo bellii pusillus	ABPBW01114	Endangered	Endangered	G5T2	S3	
least Bell's vireo						
Vulpes macrotis mutica	AMAJA03041	Endangered	Threatened	G4T2	S3	
San Joaquin kit fox						

Record Count: 93



CNPS Rare Plant Inventory

Search Results

61 matches found. Click on scientific name for details

Search Criteria: Quad is one of [3712154:3712164:3712165:3712155:3712156:3712166:3712174:3712175:3712176]

▲ SCIENTIFIC NAME	COMMON NAME	FAMILY	LIFEFORM	BLOOMING PERIOD	FED LIST	STATE LIST	GLOBAL RANK		CA RARE PLANT RANK	CA ENDEMIC	DATE ADDED	рното
<u>Acanthomintha</u> <u>lanceolata</u>	Santa Clara thorn-mint	Lamiaceae	annual herb	Mar-Jun	None	None	G4	S4	4.2	Yes	1974- 01-01	© 2005 Barry Breckling
<u>Allium</u> sharsmithiae	Sharsmith's onion	Alliaceae	perennial bulbiferous herb	Mar-May	None	None	G2	S2	1B.3	Yes	1980- 01-01	© 2017 John Doyen
<u>Amsinckia</u> grandiflora	large-flowered fiddleneck	Boraginaceae	annual herb	(Mar)Apr- May	FE	CE	G1	S1	1B.1	Yes	1974- 01-01	© 2015 Zoya Akulova
<u>Androsace</u> <u>elongata ssp.</u> acuta	California androsace	Primulaceae	annual herb	Mar-Jun	None	None	G5? T3T4	\$3\$4	4.2		1994- 01-01	© 2008 Aaron Schusteff
<u>Arctostaphylos</u> <u>manzanita ssp.</u> <u>laevigata</u>	Contra Costa manzanita	Ericaceae	perennial evergreen shrub	Jan- Mar(Apr)	None	None	G5T2	S2	1B.2	Yes	1984- 01-01	© 2019 Susan McDougall
<u>Aspidotis</u> <u>carlotta-halliae</u>	Carlotta Hall's lace fern	Pteridaceae	perennial rhizomatous herb	Jan-Dec	None	None	G3	S3	4.2	Yes	1994- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>Astragalus tener</u> <u>var. tener</u>	alkali milk- vetch	Fabaceae	annual herb	Mar-Jun	None	None	G2T1	S1	1B.2	Yes	1994- 01-01	No Photo Available

<u>Atriplex</u> <u>cordulata var.</u> cordulata	heartscale	Chenopodiaceae	annual herb	Apr-Oct	None	None	G3T2	S2	1B.2	Yes	1988- 01-01	© 1994 Robert E. Preston, Ph.D.
<u>Atriplex</u> <u>coronata var.</u> <u>coronata</u>	crownscale	Chenopodiaceae	annual herb	Mar-Oct	None	None	G4T3	S3	4.2	Yes	1994- 01-01	© 1994 Robert E. Preston, Ph.D.
<u>Atriplex</u> depressa	brittlescale	Chenopodiaceae	annual herb	Apr-Oct	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2	Yes	1994- 01-01	© 2009 Zoya Akulova
<u>Atriplex</u> <u>minuscula</u>	lesser saltscale	Chenopodiaceae	annual herb	May-Oct	None	None	G2	S2	1B.1	Yes	1994- 01-01	© 2000 Robert E. Preston, Ph.D.
<u>Balsamorhiza</u> <u>macrolepis</u>	big-scale balsamroot	Asteraceae	perennial herb	Mar-Jun	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2	Yes	1974- 01-01	© 1998 Dean Wm. Taylor
<u>Blepharizonia</u> plumosa	big tarplant	Asteraceae	annual herb	Jul-Oct	None	None	G1G2	S1S2	1B.1	Yes	1994- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>Calochortus</u> pulchellus	Mt. Diablo fairy-lantern	Liliaceae	perennial bulbiferous herb	Apr-Jun	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2	Yes	1974- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>Caulanthus</u> <u>lemmonii</u>	Lemmon's jewelflower	Brassicaceae	annual herb	Feb-May	None	None	G3	S3	1B.2	Yes	2001- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>Centromadia</u> parryi ssp. congdonii	Congdon's tarplant	Asteraceae	annual herb	May- Oct(Nov)	None	None	G3T2	S2	1B.1	Yes	1994- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>Chlorogalum</u> pomeridianum var. minus	dwarf soaproot	Agavaceae	perennial bulbiferous herb	May-Aug	None	None	G5T3	S3	1B.2	Yes	1994- 01-01	© 1997 Dean Wm

Taylor

<u>Chloropyron</u> <u>molle ssp.</u> <u>hispidum</u>	hispid salty bird's-beak	Orobanchaceae	annual herb (hemiparasitic)	Jun-Sep	None	None	G2T1	S1	1B.1	Yes	1974- 01-01	No Photo Available
palmatum	palmate- bracted bird's- beak	Orobanchaceae	annual herb (hemiparasitic)	May-Oct	FE	CE	G1	S1	1B.1	Yes	1974- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>Cirsium</u> fontinale var. <u>campylon</u>	Mt. Hamilton thistle	Asteraceae	perennial herb	(Feb)Apr- Oct	None	None	G2T2	S2	1B.2	Yes	1974- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>Clarkia breweri</u>	Brewer's clarkia	Onagraceae	annual herb	Apr-Jun	None	None	G4	S4	4.2	Yes	1974- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>Clarkia</u> <u>concinna ssp.</u> <u>automixa</u>	Santa Clara red ribbons	Onagraceae	annual herb	(Apr)May- Jun(Jul)	None	None	G5?T3	S3	4.3	Yes	1994- 01-01	No Photo Available
	small-flowered morning-glory	Convolvulaceae	annual herb	Mar-Jul	None	None	G4	S4	4.2		1994- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>Deinandra</u> <u>bacigalupii</u>	Livermore tarplant	Asteraceae	annual herb	Jun-Oct	None	CE	G1	S1	1B.1	Yes	2001- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>californicum</u>	Hospital Canyon larkspur	Ranunculaceae	perennial herb	Apr-Jun	None	None	G3T3	S3	1B.2	Yes	1984- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>Delphinium</u> <u>recurvatum</u>	recurved larkspur	Ranunculaceae	perennial herb	Mar-Jun	None	None	G2?	S2?	1B.2	Yes	1988- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>Eriogonum</u> <u>umbellatum var.</u> <u>bahiiforme</u>	bay buckwheat	Polygonaceae	perennial herb	Jul-Sep	None	None	G5T3	S3	4.2	Yes	2001- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>jepsonii</u>	Jepson's woolly sunflower	Asteraceae	perennial herb	Apr-Jun	None	None	G3	S3	4.3	Yes	1974- 01-01	No Photo Available
<i>y</i> 0	spiny-sepaled button-celery	Apiaceae	annual/perennial herb	Apr-Jun	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2	Yes	1980- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>rhombipetala</u>	diamond- petaled California poppy	Papaveraceae	annual herb	Mar-Apr	None	None	G1	S1	1B.1	Yes	1980- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>Extriplex</u> joaquinana	San Joaquin spearscale	Chenopodiaceae	annual herb	Apr-Oct	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2	Yes	1988- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>Fritillaria</u> <u>agrestis</u>	stinkbells	Liliaceae	perennial bulbiferous herb	Mar-Jun	None	None	G3	S3	4.2	Yes	1980- 01-01	© 2016 Aaron

Schusteff

Secture and creasings gate sepentine bedstraw Rubiaceae sepentine bedstraw perennial herb Astraceae Apr-Jul None None GST3 S3 4.2 Yes 19:4 Same sepentine bedstraw Hisianthella castaneae Diablo helianthella Asteraceae perennial herb Mar-Jun None None GST S3 4.2 Yes 19:4 Same sepentine transformer Hesperceiran cautescers hogwallow Asteraceae annual herb Mar-Jun None None GS S3 4.2 Yes 20:0 Same sepentine transformer Hesperceiran cautescers None None GS S3 4.2 Yes 0:0 Same sepentine transformer Hesperceiran cautescers None None GS S3 4.2 Yes 0:0 Same sepentine transformer Historace cautescers Malvaceae hoita Malvaceae hoita Malvaceae hoita perennial herb May-Jul None None GST S3 1.2 Yes 0:0 Same sepentine transformer Historace cautescers Malvaceae hoita Malvaceae hoita Malvaceae hoita Perennial herb May- Lul(Aug- Cet) None None GST S3 1.2 Yes 0:0 Same sepen										
andzewscii sapa gatersze serpentine gatersze serpentine bedstraw serpentine beds	<u>Fritillaria falcata</u>	talus fritillary	Liliaceae		Mar-May	None None G2	S2	1B.2	Yes	© 2013 Aaron Schusteff
castaneg helianthelia	<u>andrewsii ssp.</u>	serpentine	Rubiaceae	perennial herb	Apr-Jul	None None G5T3	S3	4.2	Yes	© 2021 Steve Matson
caulescens starfish 01-01 200 Hesperolinon Brewer's Linaceae annual herb May-Jul None None G2 52 18.2 Yes 1974 Solar of the second sec			Asteraceae	perennial herb	Mar-Jun	None None G2	S2	18.2	Yes	© 2013 Christopher Bronny
breweri western flax western flax usi in the second			Asteraceae	annual herb	Mar-Jun	None None G3	S3	4.2	Yes	© 2017 John Doyen
tasiacarpos var. occidentalis mallow hizomatous herb (emergent) None None G2? \$2? 18.1 Yes 201- Steve Per Hoita strobilina hoita Loma Prieta hoita Fabaceae perennial herb May- Jul(Aug- Oct) None None G2? \$2? 18.1 Yes 2001- 01-01 Image: Steve Per Lasthenia ferrisica Ferris' goldfields Asteraceae annual herb Feb-May None None G3 \$3 4.2 Yes 2001- 01-01 Image: Steve Per Legenere limosa legenere Campanulaceae annual herb Apr-Jun None None G2 \$2 18.1 Yes 1974- 01-01 Image: Steve Legenere limosa legenere Campanulaceae annual herb Apr-Jun None None G2 \$2 18.1 Yes 1974- 01-01 Image: Steve			Linaceae	annual herb	May-Jul	None None G2	S2	18.2	Yes	© 2014 Neal Kramer
hoita Jul(Aug- Oct) Otto Image: Second	<u>lasiocarpos var.</u>	-	Malvaceae	rhizomatous	Jun-Sep	None None G5T3	S3	18.2	Yes	© 2020 Steven Perry
ferrisiae goldfields 01-01 is 20 Zoy Zoy Xulation Xulation Legenere limosa legenere Campanulaceae annual herb Apr-Jun None None G2 S2 1B.1 Yes 1974- 01-01 is 20	<u>Hoita strobilina</u>		Fabaceae	perennial herb	Jul(Aug-	None None G2?	S2?	1B.1	Yes	© 2004 Janell Hillman
01-01 01-01 ©200			Asteraceae	annual herb	Feb-May	None None G3	S3	4.2	Yes	© 2009 Zoya Akulova
	<u>Legenere limosa</u>	legenere	Campanulaceae	annual herb	Apr-Jun	None None G2	S2	1B.1	Yes	©2000 John Game

<u>Leptosiphon</u> <u>ambiguus</u>	serpentine leptosiphon	Polemoniaceae	annual herb	Mar-Jun	None	None	G4	S4	4.2	Yes	1994- 01-01	© 2010 Aaron Schusteff
<u>Leptosyne</u> <u>hamiltonii</u>	Mt. Hamilton coreopsis	Asteraceae	annual herb	Mar-May	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2	Yes	1974- 01-01	©2012 Aaron Schusteff
<u>Lessingia tenuis</u>	spring lessingia	Asteraceae	annual herb	May-Jul	None	None	G4	S4	4.3	Yes	1974- 01-01	© 2020 Keir Morse
<u>Lilaeopsis</u> <u>masonii</u>	Mason's lilaeopsis	Apiaceae	perennial rhizomatous herb	Apr-Nov	None	CR	G2	S2	1B.1	Yes	1974- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>Limosella</u> australis	Delta mudwort	Scrophulariaceae	perennial stoloniferous herb	May-Aug	None	None	G4G5	S2	2B.1		1994- 01-01	© 2020 Richard Sage
<u>Madia radiata</u>	showy golden madia	Asteraceae	annual herb	Mar-May	None	None	G3	S3	1B.1	Yes	1988- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>Malacothamnus</u> <u>hallii</u>	Hall's bush- mallow	Malvaceae	perennial deciduous shrub	(Apr)May- Sep(Oct)	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2	Yes	1974- 01-01	© 2017 Keir Morse
<u>Micropus</u> amphibolus	Mt. Diablo cottonweed	Asteraceae	annual herb	Mar-May	None	None	G3G4	S3S4	3.2	Yes	1974- 01-01	© 2008 Aaron Arthur
<u>Microseris</u> <u>sylvatica</u>	sylvan microseris	Asteraceae	perennial herb	Mar-Jun	None	None	G4	S4	4.2	Yes	2001- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>Myosurus</u> <u>minimus ssp.</u> <u>apus</u>	little mousetail	Ranunculaceae	annual herb	Mar-Jun	None	None	G5T2Q	S2	3.1		1980- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>Navarretia</u> <u>nigelliformis</u> <u>ssp. radians</u>	shining navarretia	Polemoniaceae	annual herb	(Mar)Apr- Jul	None	None	G4T2	S2	1B.2	Yes	1994- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>Phacelia</u> phacelioides	Mt. Diablo phacelia	Hydrophyllaceae	annual herb	Apr-May	None	None	G2	S2	1B.2	Yes	1974- 01-01	©2019

Matson

<u>Piperia</u> michaelii	Michael's rein orchid	Orchidaceae	perennial herb	Apr-Aug	None None G3	S3	4.2	Yes	1984- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>Plagiobothrys</u> g <u>laber</u>	hairless popcornflower	Boraginaceae	annual herb	Mar-May	None None GX	SX	1A	Yes	1974- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>Puccinellia</u> <u>simplex</u>	California alkali grass	Poaceae	annual herb	Mar-May	None None G2	S2	1B.2		2015- 10-15	No Photo Available
<u>Ravenella</u> <u>exigua</u>	chaparral harebell	Campanulaceae	annual herb	May-Jun	None None G2	S2	1B.2	Yes	1974- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>Senecio</u> <u>aphanactis</u>	chaparral ragwort	Asteraceae	annual herb	Jan- Apr(May)	None None G3	S2	2B.2		1994- 01-01	No Photo Available
<u>Spergularia</u> macrotheca var. longistyla	long-styled sand-spurrey	Caryophyllaceae	perennial herb	Feb-May	None None G5T2	S2	1B.2	Yes	2017- 06-16	No Photo Available
<u>Trifolium</u> hydrophilum	saline clover	Fabaceae	annual herb	Apr-Jun	None None G2	S2	1B.2	Yes	2001- 01-01	© 2005 Dean Wm Taylor
<u>Tropidocarpum</u> <u>capparideum</u>	caper-fruited tropidocarpum	Brassicaceae	annual herb	Mar-Apr	None None G1	S1	1B.1	Yes	1974- 01-01	No Photo Available

Showing 1 to 61 of 61 entries

Suggested Citation:

California Native Plant Society, Rare Plant Program. 2024. Rare Plant Inventory (online edition, v9.5). Website https://www.rareplants.cnps.org [accessed 24 January 2024].

IPaC resource list

This report is an automatically generated list of species and other resources such as critical habitat (collectively referred to as *trust resources*) under the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (USFWS) jurisdiction that are known or expected to be on or near the project area referenced below. The list may also include trust resources that occur outside of the project area, but that could potentially be directly or indirectly a ected by activities in the project area. However, determining the likelihood and extent of e ects a project may have on trust resources typically requires gathering additional site-species (e.g., vegetation/species surveys) and project-species (e.g., magnitude and timing of proposed activities) information.

Below is a summary of the project information you provided and contact information for the USFWS o ce(s) with jurisdiction in the de ned project area. Please read the introduction to each section that follows (Endangered Species, Migratory Birds, USFWS Facilities, and NWI Wetlands) for additional information applicable to the trust resources addressed in that section.

Location

Alameda County, California



Local office

Sacramento Fish And Wildlife O ce



Federal Building 2800 Cottage Way, Room W-2605 Sacramento, CA 95825-1846

Endangered species

This resource list is for informational purposes only and does not constitute an analysis of project level impacts.

The primary information used to generate this list is the known or expected range of each species. Additional areas of in uence (AOI) for species are also considered. An AOI includes areas outside of the species range if the species could be indirectly a ected by activities in that area (e.g., placing a dam upstream of a sh population even if that sh does not occur at the dam site, may indirectly impact the species by reducing or eliminating water ow downstream). Because species can move, and site conditions can change, the species on this list are not guaranteed to be found on or near the project area. To fully determine any potential e ects to species, additional site-speci c and project-speci c information is often required.

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act **requires** Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of such proposed action" for any project that is conducted, permitted, funded, or licensed by any Federal agency. A letter from the local o ce and a species list which full IIs this requirement can **only** be obtained by requesting an o cial species list from either the Regulatory Review section in IPaC (see directions below) or from the local eld o ce directly.

For project evaluations that require USFWS concurrence/review, please return to the IPaC website and request an o cial species list by doing the following:

- 1. Draw the project location and click CONTINUE.
- 2. Click DEFINE PROJECT.
- 3. Log in (if directed to do so).
- 4. Provide a name and description for your project.
- 5. Click REQUEST SPECIES LIST.

Listed species¹ and their critical habitats are managed by the <u>Ecological Services Program</u> of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the sheries division of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA Fisheries²).

Species and critical habitats under the sole responsibility of NOAA Fisheries are **not** shown on this list. Please contact <u>NOAA Fisheries</u> for <u>species under their jurisdiction</u>.

- Species listed under the <u>Endangered Species Act</u> are threatened or endangered; IPaC also shows species that are candidates, or proposed, for listing. See the <u>listing status page</u> for more information. IPaC only shows species that are regulated by USFWS (see FAQ).
- 2. <u>NOAA Fisheries</u>, also known as the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), is an o ce of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration within the Department of Commerce.

The following species are potentially a ected by activities in this location:

Mammals

San Joaquin Kit Fox Vulpes macrotis mutica Wherever found No critical habitat has been designated for this species. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/2873</u> Endangered

Birds

Birds	
NAME	STATUS
California Condor Gymnogyps californianus There is nal critical habitat for this species. Your location does not overlap the critical habitat. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/8193</u>	Endangered
Reptiles	10
NAME	STATUS
Alameda Whipsnake (=striped Racer) Masticophis lateralis euryxanthus Wherever found There is nal critical habitat for this species. Your location does not overlap the critical habitat. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/5524	Threatened
Northwestern Pond Turtle Actinemys marmorata Wherever found No critical habitat has been designated for this species. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/1111</u> Amphibians	Proposed Threatened
NAME	STATUS
California Red-legged Frog Rana draytonii Wherever found There is nal critical habitat for this species. Your location overlaps the critical habitat. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/2891	Threatened
California Tiger Salamander Ambystoma californiense There is nal critical habitat for this species. Your location does not overlap the critical habitat.	Threatened
https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/2076	

Insects

NAME	STATUS
Monarch Butter y Danaus plexippus Wherever found No critical habitat has been designated for this species. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9743</u>	Candidate
Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle Desmocerus californicus dimorphus Wherever found There is nal critical habitat for this species. Your location does not overlap the critical habitat. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/7850</u>	Threatened
Crustaceans	STATUS
Vernal Pool Fairy Shrimp Branchinecta lynchi Wherever found There is nal critical habitat for this species. Your location does not overlap the critical habitat. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/498</u>	Threatened
Vernal Pool Tadpole Shrimp Lepidurus packardi Wherever found There is nal critical habitat for this species. Your location does not overlap the critical habitat. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/2246</u>	Endangered
Flowering Plants	STATUS

Large- owered Fiddleneck Amsinckia grandiflora Wherever found There is **nal** critical habitat for this species. Your location does not overlap the critical habitat. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/5558</u>

Critical habitats

Potential e ects to critical habitat(s) in this location must be analyzed along with the endangered species themselves.

This location overlaps the critical habitat for the following species:

NAME

Endangered

Bald & Golden Eagles

Bald and golden eagles are protected under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act¹ and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act².

Any person or organization who plans or conducts activities that may result in impacts to bald or golden eagles, or their habitats³, should follow appropriate regulations and consider implementing appropriate conservation measures, as described in the links below. Speci cally, please review the <u>"Supplemental Information on Migratory Birds and Eagles"</u>.

Additional information can be found using the following links:

- Eagle Management https://www.fws.gov/program/eagle-management
- Measures for avoiding and minimizing impacts to birds <u>https://www.fws.gov/library/collections/avoiding-and-minimizing-incidental-take-migratory-birds</u>
- Nationwide conservation measures for birds <u>https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/_les/documents/nationwide-standard-conservation-measures.pdf</u>
- Supplemental Information for Migratory Birds and Eagles in IPaC <u>https://www.fws.gov/media/supplemental-information-migratory-birds-and-bald-and-golden-eagles-may-occur-project-action</u>

There are bald and/or golden eagles in your project area.

For guidance on when to schedule activities or implement avoidance and minimization measures to reduce impacts to migratory birds on your list, see the PROBABILITY OF PRESENCE SUMMARY below to see when these birds are most likely to be present and breeding in your project area.

NAME	BREEDING SEASON
Bald Eagle Haliaeetus leucocephalus This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in o shore areas from certain types of development or activities.	Breeds Jan 1 to Aug 31
Golden Eagle Aquila chrysaetos This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in o shore areas from certain types of development or activities. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/1680	Breeds Jan 1 to Aug 31

Probability of Presence Summary

The graphs below provide our best understanding of when birds of concern are most likely to be present in your project area. This information can be used to tailor and schedule your project activities to avoid or minimize impacts to birds. Please make sure you read <u>"Supplemental Information on Migratory Birds and Eagles"</u>, speci cally the FAQ section titled "Proper Interpretation and Use of Your Migratory Bird Report" before using or attempting to interpret this report.

Probability of Presence (

Each green bar represents the bird's relative probability of presence in the 10km grid cell(s) your project overlaps during a particular week of the year. (A year is represented as 12 4-week months.) A taller bar indicates a higher probability of species presence. The survey e ort (see below) can be used to establish a level of con dence in the presence score. One can have higher con dence in the presence score if the corresponding survey e ort is also high.

How is the probability of presence score calculated? The calculation is done in three steps:

- 1. The probability of presence for each week is calculated as the number of survey events in the week where the species was detected divided by the total number of survey events for that week. For example, if in week 12 there were 20 survey events and the Spotted Towhee was found in 5 of them, the probability of presence of the Spotted Towhee in week 12 is 0.25.
- 2. To properly present the pattern of presence across the year, the relative probability of presence is calculated. This is the probability of presence divided by the maximum probability of presence across all weeks. For example, imagine the probability of presence in week 20 for the Spotted Towhee is 0.05, and that the probability of presence at week 12 (0.25) is the maximum of any week of the year. The relative probability of presence on week 12 is 0.25/0.25 = 1; at week 20 it is 0.05/0.25 = 0.2.
- 3. The relative probability of presence calculated in the previous step undergoes a statistical conversion so that all possible values fall between 0 and 10, inclusive. This is the probability of presence score.

To see a bar's probability of presence score, simply hover your mouse cursor over the bar.

Breeding Season (

Yellow bars denote a very liberal estimate of the time-frame inside which the bird breeds across its entire range. If there are no yellow bars shown for a bird, it does not breed in your project area.

Survey Effort (|)

Vertical black lines superimposed on probability of presence bars indicate the number of surveys performed for that species in the 10km grid cell(s) your project area overlaps. The number of surveys is expressed as a range, for example, 33 to 64 surveys.

To see a bar's survey e ort range, simply hover your mouse cursor over the bar.

No Data (–)

A week is marked as having no data if there were no survey events for that week.

Survey Timeframe

Surveys from only the last 10 years are used in order to ensure delivery of currently relevant information. The exception to this is areas o the Atlantic coast, where bird returns are based on all years of available data, since data in these areas is currently much more sparse.

SPECIES	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
Bald Eagle Non-BCC Vulnerable	++++	++1-	++++	++++	-+++	+				1	-+++	++++
Golden Eagle Non-BCC Vulnerable	+111	111	1+1	+ + +	· +	+					-11	+11

What does IPaC use to generate the potential presence of bald and golden eagles in my speci ed location?

The potential for eagle presence is derived from data provided by the <u>Avian Knowledge Network (AKN)</u>. The AKN data is based on a growing collection of <u>survey</u>, <u>banding</u>, <u>and citizen science datasets</u> and is queried and ltered to return a list of those birds reported as occurring in the 10km grid cell(s) which your project intersects, and that have been identied as warranting special attention because they are a BCC species in that area, an eagle (<u>Eagle Act</u> requirements may apply). To see a list of all birds potentially present in your project area, please visit the <u>Rapid Avian Information Locator</u> (<u>RAIL</u>) <u>Tool</u>.

What does IPaC use to generate the probability of presence graphs of bald and golden eagles in my speci ed location?

The Migratory Bird Resource List is comprised of USFWS <u>Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC)</u> and other species that may warrant special attention in your project location.

The migratory bird list generated for your project is derived from data provided by the <u>Avian Knowledge Network (AKN)</u>. The AKN data is based on a growing collection of <u>survey</u>, <u>banding</u>, <u>and citizen science datasets</u> and is queried and Itered to return a list of those birds reported as occurring in the 10km grid cell(s) which your project intersects, and that have been identi ed as warranting special attention because they are a BCC species in that area, an eagle (<u>Eagle</u> <u>Act</u> requirements may apply), or a species that has a particular vulnerability to o shore activities or development.

Again, the Migratory Bird Resource list includes only a subset of birds that may occur in your project area. It is not representative of all birds that may occur in your project area. To get a list of all birds potentially present in your project area, please visit the <u>Rapid Avian Information Locator (RAIL) Tool</u>.

What if I have eagles on my list?

If your project has the potential to disturb or kill eagles, you may need to obtain a permit to avoid violating the <u>Eagle Act</u> should such impacts occur. Please contact your local Fish and Wildlife Service Field O ce if you have questions.

Migratory birds

Certain birds are protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act¹ and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act².

Any person or organization who plans or conducts activities that may result in impacts to migratory birds, eagles, and their habitats³ should follow appropriate regulations and consider implementing appropriate conservation measures, as described in the links below. Speci cally, please review the <u>"Supplemental Information on Migratory Birds and Eagles"</u>.

- 1. The <u>Migratory Birds Treaty Act</u> of 1918.
- 2. The <u>Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act</u> of 1940.

Additional information can be found using the following links:

- Eagle Management https://www.fws.gov/program/eagle-management
- Measures for avoiding and minimizing impacts to birds <u>https://www.fws.gov/library/collections/avoiding-and-minimizing-incidental-take-migratory-birds</u>
- Nationwide conservation measures for birds <u>https://www.fws.gov/sites/default/_les/</u> <u>documents/nationwide-standard-conservation-measures.pdf</u>
- Supplemental Information for Migratory Birds and Eagles in IPaC <u>https://www.fws.gov/media/supplemental-information-migratory-birds-and-bald-and-golden-eagles-may-occur-project-action</u>

The birds listed below are birds of particular concern either because they occur on the <u>USFWS Birds</u> of <u>Conservation Concern</u> (BCC) list or warrant special attention in your project location. To learn more about the levels of concern for birds on your list and how this list is generated, see the FAQ <u>below</u>. This is not a list of every bird you may <u>nd</u> in this location, nor a guarantee that every bird on this list will be found in your project area. To see exact locations of where birders and the general public have sighted birds in and around your project area, visit the <u>E-bird data mapping tool</u> (Tip: enter your location, desired date range and a species on your list). For projects that occur o <u>the Atlantic Coast</u>, additional maps and models detailing the relative occurrence and abundance of bird species on your list are available. Links to additional information about Atlantic Coast birds, and other important information about your migratory bird list, including how to properly interpret and use your migratory bird report, can be found <u>below</u>.

For guidance on when to schedule activities or implement avoidance and minimization measures to reduce impacts to migratory birds on your list, see the PROBABILITY OF PRESENCE SUMMARY below to see when these birds are most likely to be present and breeding in your project area.

NAME	BREEDING SEASON
Bald Eagle Haliaeetus leucocephalus This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in o shore areas from certain types of development or activities.	Breeds Jan 1 to Aug 31
Belding's Savannah Sparrow Passerculus sandwichensis beldingi This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/8</u>	Breeds Apr 1 to Aug 15
Bullock's Oriole Icterus bullockii This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA	Breeds Mar 21 to Jul 25
California Gull Larus californicus This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.	Breeds Mar 1 to Jul 31
Common Yellowthroat Geothlypis trichas sinuosa This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/2084</u>	Breeds May 20 to Jul 31

Golden Eagle Aquila chrysaetos This is not a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) in this area, but warrants attention because of the Eagle Act or for potential susceptibilities in o shore areas from certain types of development or activities. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/1680</u>	Breeds Jan 1 to Aug 31
Nuttall's Woodpecker Picoides nuttallii This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9410</u>	Breeds Apr 1 to Jul 20
Oak Titmouse Baeolophus inornatus This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9656</u>	Breeds Mar 15 to Jul 15
Olive-sided Flycatcher Contopus cooperi This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/3914</u>	Breeds May 20 to Aug 31
Tricolored Blackbird Agelaius tricolor This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/3910</u>	Breeds Mar 15 to Aug 10
Yellow-billed Magpie Pica nuttalli This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9726</u>	Breeds Apr 1 to Jul 31

Probability of Presence Summary

The graphs below provide our best understanding of when birds of concern are most likely to be present in your project area. This information can be used to tailor and schedule your project activities to avoid or minimize impacts to birds. Please make sure you read <u>"Supplemental Information on Migratory Birds and Eagles"</u>, speci cally the FAQ section titled "Proper Interpretation and Use of Your Migratory Bird Report" before using or attempting to interpret this report.

Probability of Presence (

Each green bar represents the bird's relative probability of presence in the 10km grid cell(s) your project overlaps during a particular week of the year. (A year is represented as 12 4-week months.) A taller bar indicates a higher probability of species presence. The survey e ort (see below) can be used to establish a level of con dence in the presence score. One can have higher con dence in the presence score if the corresponding survey e ort is also high.

How is the probability of presence score calculated? The calculation is done in three steps:

- 1. The probability of presence for each week is calculated as the number of survey events in the week where the species was detected divided by the total number of survey events for that week. For example, if in week 12 there were 20 survey events and the Spotted Towhee was found in 5 of them, the probability of presence of the Spotted Towhee in week 12 is 0.25.
- 2. To properly present the pattern of presence across the year, the relative probability of presence is calculated. This is the probability of presence divided by the maximum probability of presence across all weeks. For example, imagine the probability of presence in week 20 for the Spotted Towhee is 0.05, and that the probability of presence at week 12 (0.25) is the maximum of any week of the year. The relative probability of presence on week 12 is 0.25/0.25 = 1; at week 20 it is 0.05/0.25 = 0.2.
- 3. The relative probability of presence calculated in the previous step undergoes a statistical conversion so that all possible values fall between 0 and 10, inclusive. This is the probability of presence score.

To see a bar's probability of presence score, simply hover your mouse cursor over the bar.

Breeding Season (=)

Yellow bars denote a very liberal estimate of the time-frame inside which the bird breeds across its entire range. If there are no yellow bars shown for a bird, it does not breed in your project area.

Survey Effort (|)

Vertical black lines superimposed on probability of presence bars indicate the number of surveys performed for that species in the 10km grid cell(s) your project area overlaps. The number of surveys is expressed as a range, for example, 33 to 64 surveys.

To see a bar's survey e ort range, simply hover your mouse cursor over the bar.

No Data (–)

A week is marked as having no data if there were no survey events for that week.

Survey Timeframe

Surveys from only the last 10 years are used in order to ensure delivery of currently relevant information. The exception to this is areas o the Atlantic coast, where bird returns are based on all years of available data, since data in these areas is currently much more sparse.

\sim				🔳 pro	bability	of preser	nce 🗖 b	oreeding	season	l survey	e ort	— no data
SPECIES	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
Bald Eagle Non-BCC Vulnerable	++++	++1-	+++++	++++	· • + +	+				I	-+++	++++
Belding's Savannah Sparrow BCC - BCR	1111	11+	1++1	++1]	· • + +	+				••-+	-111	1111
Bullock's Oriole BCC - BCR	++++	+++-	+++++	+ • + 1	+ + <mark> </mark> +	• • • •				+	-+++	++++
California Gull BCC Rangewide (CON)	+	+++-	++++	+++	· • + +	+				+	-+++	+++
Common Yellowthroat BCC - BCR	++++	+++-	++++	++ +	+	+				+	-+++	++++

Golden Eagle Non-BCC Vulnerable	+111	111	1 + 1 ·	+ • +]	• • 1 1	+	 		-11	1+11
Nuttall's Woodpecker BCC - BCR	++11	111	++++	++[]	• • 1 1	+ • • •	 	1	•+++	++
Oak Titmouse BCC Rangewide (CON)	+	+++	++++	+•1+	-+ <mark>1</mark> +	+	 	+	-+++	++++
Olive-sided Flycatcher BCC Rangewide (CON)	++++	+++-	++++	++∎∔	+ <mark> </mark> +	- I		+	-+++	++++
Tricolored Blackbird BCC Rangewide (CON)	u∎+∎∎	1+1	+111	+ • <mark> </mark>	++ <mark> </mark> +	+ • • •	 	+	-+ <mark> </mark> +	 + +
Yellow-billed Magpie BCC Rangewide (CON)	++++	+++-	+++	+ • + +	· • + +	I • • •	 	1	-+++	++++

Tell me more about conservation measures I can implement to avoid or minimize impacts to migratory birds.

Nationwide Conservation Measures describes measures that can help avoid and minimize impacts to all birds at any location year round. Implementation of these measures is particularly important when birds are most likely to occur in the project area. When birds may be breeding in the area, identifying the locations of any active nests and avoiding their destruction is a very helpful impact minimization measure. To see when birds are most likely to occur and be breeding in your project area, view the Probability of Presence Summary. Additional measures or permits may be advisable depending on the type of activity you are conducting and the type of infrastructure or bird species present on your project site.

What does IPaC use to generate the list of migratory birds that potentially occur in my speci ed location?

The Migratory Bird Resource List is comprised of USFWS <u>Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC)</u> and other species that may warrant special attention in your project location.

The migratory bird list generated for your project is derived from data provided by the <u>Avian Knowledge Network (AKN)</u>. The AKN data is based on a growing collection of <u>survey, banding, and citizen science datasets</u> and is queried and

Itered to return a list of those birds reported as occurring in the 10km grid cell(s) which your project intersects, and that have been identied as warranting special attention because they are a BCC species in that area, an eagle (Eagle Act requirements may apply), or a species that has a particular vulnerability to o shore activities or development.

Again, the Migratory Bird Resource list includes only a subset of birds that may occur in your project area. It is not representative of all birds that may occur in your project area. To get a list of all birds potentially present in your project area, please visit the <u>Rapid Avian Information Locator (RAIL) Tool</u>.

What does IPaC use to generate the probability of presence graphs for the migratory birds potentially occurring in my speci ed location?

The probability of presence graphs associated with your migratory bird list are based on data provided by the <u>Avian</u> <u>Knowledge Network (AKN)</u>. This data is derived from a growing collection of <u>survey</u>, <u>banding</u>, <u>and citizen science</u> <u>datasets</u>.

Probability of presence data is continuously being updated as new and better information becomes available. To learn more about how the probability of presence graphs are produced and how to interpret them, go the Probability of Presence Summary and then click on the "Tell me about these graphs" link.

How do I know if a bird is breeding, wintering or migrating in my area?

To see what part of a particular bird's range your project area falls within (i.e. breeding, wintering, migrating or yearround), you may query your location using the <u>RAIL Tool</u> and look at the range maps provided for birds in your area at the bottom of the pro les provided for each bird in your results. If a bird on your migratory bird species list has a breeding season associated with it, if that bird does occur in your project area, there may be nests present at some point within the timeframe speci ed. If "Breeds elsewhere" is indicated, then the bird likely does not breed in your project area.

What are the levels of concern for migratory birds?

Migratory birds delivered through IPaC fall into the following distinct categories of concern:

- 1. "BCC Rangewide" birds are <u>Birds of Conservation Concern</u> (BCC) that are of concern throughout their range anywhere within the USA (including Hawaii, the Paci c Islands, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands);
- 2. "BCC BCR" birds are BCCs that are of concern only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA; and
- 3. "Non-BCC Vulnerable" birds are not BCC species in your project area, but appear on your list either because of the <u>Eagle Act</u> requirements (for eagles) or (for non-eagles) potential susceptibilities in o shore areas from certain types of development or activities (e.g. o shore energy development or longline shing).

Although it is important to try to avoid and minimize impacts to all birds, e orts should be made, in particular, to avoid and minimize impacts to the birds on this list, especially eagles and BCC species of rangewide concern. For more information on conservation measures you can implement to help avoid and minimize migratory bird impacts and requirements for eagles, please see the FAQs for these topics.

Details about birds that are potentially a ected by o shore projects

For additional details about the relative occurrence and abundance of both individual bird species and groups of bird species within your project area o the Atlantic Coast, please visit the <u>Northeast Ocean Data Portal</u>. The Portal also o ers data and information about other taxa besides birds that may be helpful to you in your project review. Alternately, you may download the bird model results les underlying the portal maps through the <u>NOAA NCCOS</u> <u>Integrative Statistical Modeling and Predictive Mapping of Marine Bird Distributions and Abundance on the Atlantic</u> <u>Outer Continental Shelf</u> project webpage.

Bird tracking data can also provide additional details about occurrence and habitat use throughout the year, including migration. Models relying on survey data may not include this information. For additional information on marine bird tracking data, see the <u>Diving Bird Study</u> and the <u>nanotag studies</u> or contact <u>Caleb Spiegel</u> or <u>Pam Loring</u>.

What if I have eagles on my list?

If your project has the potential to disturb or kill eagles, you may need to <u>obtain a permit</u> to avoid violating the Eagle Act should such impacts occur.

Proper Interpretation and Use of Your Migratory Bird Report

The migratory bird list generated is not a list of all birds in your project area, only a subset of birds of priority concern. To learn more about how your list is generated, and see options for identifying what other birds may be in your project area, please see the FAQ "What does IPaC use to generate the migratory birds potentially occurring in my speci ed location". Please be aware this report provides the "probability of presence" of birds within the 10 km grid cell(s) that overlap your project; not your exact project footprint. On the graphs provided, please also look carefully at the survey e ort (indicated by the black vertical bar) and for the existence of the "no data" indicator (a red horizontal bar). A high survey e ort is the key component. If the survey e ort is high, then the probability of presence score can be viewed as more dependable. In contrast, a low survey e ort bar or no data bar means a lack of data and, therefore, a lack of certainty about presence of the species. This list is not perfect; it is simply a starting point for identifying what birds of concern have the potential to be in your project area, when they might be there, and if they might be breeding (which

means nests might be present). The list helps you know what to look for to con rm presence, and helps guide you in knowing when to implement conservation measures to avoid or minimize potential impacts from your project activities, should presence be con rmed. To learn more about conservation measures, visit the FAQ "Tell me about conservation measures I can implement to avoid or minimize impacts to migratory birds" at the bottom of your migratory bird trust resources page.

Facilities

National Wildlife Refuge lands

Any activity proposed on lands managed by the <u>National Wildlife Refuge</u> system must undergo a 'Compatibility Determination' conducted by the Refuge. Please contact the individual Refuges to discuss any questions or concerns.

311

There are no refuge lands at this location.

Fish hatcheries

There are no fish hatcheries at this location.

Wetlands in the National Wetlands Inventory (NWI)

Impacts to <u>NWI wetlands</u> and other aquatic habitats may be subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, or other State/Federal statutes.

For more information please contact the Regulatory Program of the local <u>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</u> <u>District</u>.

Please note that the NWI data being shown may be out of date. We are currently working to update our NWI data set. We recommend you verify these results with a site visit to determine the actual extent of wetlands on site.

This location overlaps the following wetlands:

FRESHWATER EMERGENT WETLAND

PEM1A PEM1C FRESHWATER POND PUBHh PUSA

RIVERINE

<u>R4SBC</u> <u>R4SBA</u> <u>R5UBF</u>

A full description for each wetland code can be found at the National Wetlands Inventory website

NOTE: This initial screening does **not** replace an on-site delineation to determine whether wetlands occur. Additional information on the NWI data is provided below.

Data limitations

The Service's objective of mapping wetlands and deepwater habitats is to produce reconnaissance level information on the location, type and size of these resources. The maps are prepared from the analysis of high altitude imagery. Wetlands are identi ed based on vegetation, visible hydrology and geography. A margin of error is inherent in the use of imagery; thus, detailed on-the-ground inspection of any particular site may result in revision of the wetland boundaries or classi cation established through image analysis.

The accuracy of image interpretation depends on the quality of the imagery, the experience of the image analysts, the amount and quality of the collateral data and the amount of ground truth veri cation work conducted. Metadata should be consulted to determine the date of the source imagery used and any mapping problems.

Wetlands or other mapped features may have changed since the date of the imagery or eld work. There may be occasional di erences in polygon boundaries or classi cations between the information depicted on the map and the actual conditions on site.

Data exclusions

Certain wetland habitats are excluded from the National mapping program because of the limitations of aerial imagery as the primary data source used to detect wetlands. These habitats include seagrasses or submerged aquatic vegetation that are found in the intertidal and subtidal zones of estuaries and nearshore coastal waters. Some deepwater reef communities (coral or tuber cid worm reefs) have also been excluded from the inventory. These habitats, because of their depth, go undetected by aerial imagery.

Data precautions

Federal, state, and local regulatory agencies with jurisdiction over wetlands may de ne and describe wetlands in a di erent manner than that used in this inventory. There is no attempt, in either the design or products of this inventory, to de ne the limits of proprietary jurisdiction of any Federal, state, or local government or to establish the geographical scope of the regulatory programs of government agencies. Persons intending to engage in activities involving modi cations within or adjacent to wetland areas should seek the advice of appropriate Federal, state, or local agencies concerning speci ed agency regulatory programs and proprietary jurisdictions that may a ect such activities.



United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources Conservation Service A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for Alameda Area, California

Potentia-Viridi BESS Site



Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

Preface How Soil Surveys Are Made	
Soil Map	
Soil Map	9
Legend	10
Map Unit Legend	11
Map Unit Descriptions	11
Alameda Area, California	13
DbC—Diablo clay, 7 to 15 percent slopes	13
LaC—Linne clay loam, 3 to 15 percent slopes	14
LaD—Linne clay loam, 15 to 30 percent slopes, MLRA 15	15
LaE2—Linne clay loam, 30 to 45 percent slopes, eroded	17
RdA—Rincon clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	19
So—Sycamore silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, MLRA 14	20
References	22

How Soil Surveys Are Made

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.



	MAP L	EGEND		MAP INFORMATION
	erest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI)	8	Spoil Area Stony Spot	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.
Soils	Soil Map Unit Polygons	Ø V	Very Stony Spot Wet Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
\sim	Soil Map Unit Lines Soil Map Unit Points	Δ	Other	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of
Special	Point Features Blowout	Water Fea		contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.
X	Borrow Pit Clay Spot	Transport	Streams and Canals ation Rails	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.
\$ \$	Closed Depression Gravel Pit	~	Interstate Highways	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:
.: ©	Gravelly Spot Landfill	Major Roads	Major Roads	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857) Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator
۸. سه	Lava Flow Marsh or swamp Mine or Quarry	Backgrou		projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
0	Miscellaneous Water Perennial Water			This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.
× +	Rock Outcrop Saline Spot			Soil Survey Area: Alameda Area, California Survey Area Data: Version 17, Sep 11, 2023
**	Sandy Spot Severely Eroded Spot			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.
♦	Sinkhole Slide or Slip			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Mar 9, 2022—Mar 11, 2022
ø	Sodic Spot			The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.
Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI	
-----------------------------	---	--------------	----------------	--
DbC	Diablo clay, 7 to 15 percent slopes	0.1	0.0%	
LaC	Linne clay loam, 3 to 15 percent slopes	144.4	59.1%	
LaD	Linne clay loam, 15 to 30 percent slopes, MLRA 15	23.9	9.8%	
LaE2	Linne clay loam, 30 to 45 percent slopes, eroded	0.2	0.1%	
RdA	Rincon clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes	75.0	30.7%	
So	Sycamore silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, MLRA 14	1.0	0.4%	
Totals for Area of Interest		244.5	100.0%	

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it

was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

Alameda Area, California

DbC—Diablo clay, 7 to 15 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hb36 Elevation: 300 to 1,700 feet Mean annual precipitation: 10 to 15 inches Mean annual air temperature: 57 degrees F Frost-free period: 240 to 280 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

Map Unit Composition

Diablo and similar soils: 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Diablo

Setting

Landform: Hills Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Alluvium derived from shale and siltstone

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 6 inches: clay H2 - 6 to 42 inches: silty clay H3 - 42 to 50 inches: silty clay H4 - 50 to 54 inches: bedrock

Properties and qualities

Slope: 7 to 15 percent Depth to restrictive feature: 40 to 60 inches to paralithic bedrock Drainage class: Well drained Runoff class: High Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low (0.00 in/hr) Depth to water table: More than 80 inches Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 5 percent Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to moderately saline (0.0 to 8.0 mmhos/cm) Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 8.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: R015XY008CA - Hills <20"ppt Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Altamont

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

Pescadero

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Basin floors Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Talf Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: Yes

Linne

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

LaC—Linne clay loam, 3 to 15 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hb3l Elevation: 700 to 1,700 feet Mean annual precipitation: 10 to 15 inches Mean annual air temperature: 57 degrees F Frost-free period: 240 to 260 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

Map Unit Composition

Linne and similar soils: 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Linne

Setting

Landform: Hills Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Residuum weathered from sandstone and shale

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 36 inches: clay loam *H2 - 36 to 40 inches:* bedrock

Properties and qualities

Slope: 3 to 15 percent *Depth to restrictive feature:* 20 to 40 inches to paralithic bedrock

Drainage class: Well drained Runoff class: Medium Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low (0.00 in/hr) Depth to water table: More than 80 inches Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 10 percent Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm) Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 6.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: R015XY008CA - Hills <20"ppt Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Altamont

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

Diablo

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

Clear lake

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Basin floors Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Talf Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: Yes

Pescadero

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Basin floors Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Talf Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: Yes

LaD—Linne clay loam, 15 to 30 percent slopes, MLRA 15

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2w63l Elevation: 110 to 1,560 feet Mean annual precipitation: 13 to 22 inches *Mean annual air temperature:* 59 to 61 degrees F *Frost-free period:* 300 to 365 days *Farmland classification:* Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Linne and similar soils: 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Linne

Setting

Landform: Mountain slopes, hillslopes Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountainflank, side slope Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Residuum weathered from calcareous shale

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 9 inches: clay loam A1 - 9 to 14 inches: clay loam A2 - 14 to 29 inches: clay loam AC - 29 to 32 inches: sandy clay loam Ck - 32 to 36 inches: fine sandy loam Cr - 36 to 51 inches: bedrock

Properties and qualities

Slope: 15 to 30 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 35 to 50 inches to paralithic bedrock
Drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 5 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 4.0
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 6.1 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 4e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: D Ecological site: R015XY013CA - Loamy Mountains <20"ppt Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Diablo

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Mountain slopes, hillslopes Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Mountainflank, side slope Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex *Ecological site:* R015XD001CA - CLAYEY *Hydric soil rating:* No

Altamont

Percent of map unit: 4 percent Landform: Hillslopes Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

Clear lake

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Drainageways Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

Pescadero

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Depressions, drainageways Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope, dip Down-slope shape: Concave, convex Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

Haploxerolls, landslides

Percent of map unit: 1 percent Landform: Landslides, slumps Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Head slope Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: No

LaE2—Linne clay loam, 30 to 45 percent slopes, eroded

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hb3n Elevation: 700 to 1,700 feet Mean annual precipitation: 10 to 15 inches Mean annual air temperature: 57 degrees F Frost-free period: 240 to 260 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Linne and similar soils: 85 percent

Minor components: 15 percent

Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Linne

Setting

Landform: Hills Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Residuum weathered from sandstone and shale

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 36 inches: clay loam *H2 - 36 to 40 inches:* bedrock

Properties and qualities

Slope: 30 to 45 percent Depth to restrictive feature: 20 to 40 inches to paralithic bedrock Drainage class: Well drained Runoff class: High Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low (0.00 in/hr) Depth to water table: More than 80 inches Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: None Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 10 percent Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm) Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Moderate (about 6.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: R014XD092CA - CLAYEY HILLS Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Altamont

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

Diablo

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

Clear lake

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Basin floors Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Talf Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: Yes

Pescadero

Percent of map unit: 2 percent

Custom Soil Resource Report

Landform: Basin floors Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Talf Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: Yes

RdA—Rincon clay loam, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hb4j Elevation: 10 to 600 feet Mean annual precipitation: 12 to 16 inches Mean annual air temperature: 57 degrees F Frost-free period: 260 days Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated

Map Unit Composition

Rincon and similar soils: 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Rincon

Setting

Landform: Valley floors, fans Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope, footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread, talf Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium derived from sandstone and shale

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 16 inches: clay loam
H2 - 16 to 52 inches: sandy clay
H3 - 52 to 60 inches: stratified sandy loam to clay loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 5 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: High (about 9.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 2s Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4s Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: R017XY905CA - Dry Alluvial Fans and Terraces Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Clear lake

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

Pleasanton

Percent of map unit: 5 percent *Hydric soil rating:* No

San ysidro

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

So—Sycamore silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, MLRA 14

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2xcbh Elevation: 310 to 380 feet Mean annual precipitation: 16 to 22 inches Mean annual air temperature: 60 to 61 degrees F Frost-free period: 336 to 349 days Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated

Map Unit Composition

Sycamore and similar soils: 85 percent Minor components: 15 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Sycamore

Setting

Landform: Alluvial fans, flood-plain steps Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Silty alluvium derived from sedimentary rock

Typical profile

Ap - 0 to 7 inches: silt loam Akg - 7 to 18 inches: silt loam ACkg - 18 to 30 inches: silt loam Ckg1 - 30 to 44 inches: silt loam Ckg2 - 44 to 60 inches: silt loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 2 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Moderately well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.60 to 2.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 18 to 60 inches
Frequency of flooding: Rare
Frequency of ponding: None
Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 2 percent
Gypsum, maximum content: 1 percent
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline (0.2 to 0.5 mmhos/cm)
Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 3.0
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: Very high (about 12.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 1 Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4c Hydrologic Soil Group: B Ecological site: R014XG918CA - Loamy Fan Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Unnamed

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Flood plains Landform position (three-dimensional): Talf Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: Yes

Yolo

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

Clear lake

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Basin floors Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Talf Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: Yes

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf



Laura Burris

SENIOR BIOLOGIST, BOTANIST

Laura Burris is a biologist with 17 years' experience in terrestrial biology. Ms. Burris specializes in botanical surveys and the ecological study of vegetation communities for application in habitat restoration, mitigation, and conservation. She is knowledgeable about the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and their processes and is skilled in managing and drafting environmental documents such as biological resource assessments, wetland delineation reports, arborist reports, habitat restoration plans, technical sections of environmental impact reports (EIRs) and environmental impact statements (EISs), and regulatory permit applications.

In addition to botanical expertise, Ms. Burris has extensive training and experience in reconnaissance-and protocol level surveys and construction monitoring for sensitive wildlife species, including Swainson's hawk (*Buteo swainsonii*), burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia*), sensitive bat species, sensitive butterfly species, California tiger salamander (*Ambystoma californiense*), Valley elderberry longhorn beetle (*Desmocerus californicus dimorphus*), California red-legged frog (*Rana draytonii*), foothill yellow-legged frog (*Rana boylii*), western pond turtle (*Actinemys marmorata*), native California bumble bees, and California vernal pool branchiopods. Ms. Burris attends annual courses and training seminars on plant identification, special-status species biology, natural resources, CEQA/NEPA, and regulatory permitting.

Project Experience Development

Elkus Ranch Master Plan Project, San Mateo County, California. Conducted a botanical inventory, biological field assessment, sensitive resource and riparian habitat assessment, and wetland delineation within the University of California Elkus Ranch property located near Half Moon Bay. Target special status plant species observed and documented included Choris' popcornflower (*Plagiobothrys chorisianus*). The purpose of the Elkus Ranch Master Plan study is to assess opportunities and constraints for growing ranch education programs, for extending the use of the conference center, and for improving research and office facilities on site. 2019.

Protocol-Level Special-Status Plant Surveys for the Sierra Hot Springs Master Plan Project, Sierra County, California. Conducted protocol-level rare plant surveys in support of a development Master Plan at the Sierra Hot Springs. The surveys consisted to two passes during the bloom season for high-elevation



Education Humboldt State University BS, Biology, 2007

Certifications

USFWS 10(a)(1)(A) Recovery Permit for California tiger salamander, California red-legged frog, and listed large branchiopods (ESPER0057548-0; expires 07/27/2028)

CDFW Memorandum of Understanding for California tiger salamander

CDFW Scientific Collecting Permit (S-230580003-23067-001)

CDFW, Voucher Plant Collector's Permit

CRAM Practitioner, Riverine and Depressional Modules

40-Hour Wetland Delineation Training, Wetlands Training Institute 2014

Professional Affiliations

California Native Plant Society Northern California Botanists

The Wildlife Society



special-status plant species. Completed technical memoranda of results. 2016.

Granite Bay Development Housing Projects, Placer County, California. Served as primary biologist and wetland delineator. Conducted surveys and wetland delineation fieldwork for various private development projects in Placer County. Compiled results on soils, hydrology, and plants for wetland delineation reports and preliminary jurisdictional determinations. Communicated with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) regarding results and potential project permitting requirements. 2012.

Development Projects in the City of Monterey, Monterey, California. Conducted general biological constraints surveys for various projects in the Monterey area. Surveys included identification and flagging of Monterey dusky-footed woodrat (*Neotoma fuscipes luciana*) middens and recommendations for avoidance or removal. 2015 – 2016.

Lytton Residential Development Technical Biological Studies, Windsor, California. Assisted with protocol-level surveys for the Sonoma population of California tiger salamander and California red-legged frog in compliance with a biological opinion issued by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) for land proposed to be placed into federal trust for the Lytton Rancheria of California. In addition, for several consecutive years, conducted focused rare plant surveys on the property in accordance with the Santa Rosa Plain Conservation Strategy. 2014.

Point Molate Casino EIR/EIS Native Grassland Surveys and Technical Report, Point Richmond, California. As botanist, assisted in the design and implementation of quantitative and qualitative native grassland and scrub habitat evaluations on the former Point Molate Naval Refueling Depot in support of a natural resources management plan. Coordinated with the local chapter of the California Native Plant Society (CNPS) and other botanists knowledgeable of the local flora to complete the study. A technical memorandum was drafted detailing results of the surveys and identifying areas suitable for preservation and/or restoration. The report also provided recommendations for restoration techniques and invasive species management practices for the coastal terrace prairie and coastal scrub habitats on site. 2010 – 2012.

Compost Facility and Bureau of Land Management (BLM) Right-of-Way Biological Evaluation and Permitting Compliance, Nursery Products LLC, Barstow, California. Served as project biologist and permitting specialist. Compiled subconsultant technical reports to create a biological evaluation and assessment for the Nursery Products Hawes Composting Facility. The project involved access through BLM land, creating a federal nexus and requiring federal Endangered Species Act Section 7 consultation with USFWS regarding the potential presence of desert tortoise (*Gopherus agassizii*). Also drafted a raven management plan detailing measures to negate predation of desert tortoise by common ravens (*Corvus corax*), which might be attracted to the area due to the development. 2013.

EIR and Technical Botanical and Arborist Reports, White Wolf Ski Resort, Placer County, California. Served as primary botanist and arborist. Conducted focused botanical surveys and arborist surveys to identify biological constraints, impacts, and mitigation measures for proposed development in the Lake Tahoe area. Per the Placer County Tree Protection Ordinance, all trees were assessed and documented in an arborist assessment. Technical botanical and arborist reports were used to draft the biology section of the EIR for the project. 2019.

Jasude Estate Timber Harvest Plan and Timberland Conversion EIR, Napa County, California. Served as project botanist. Conducted botanical and general biological resources surveys of the areas proposed for timberland conversion to a new vineyard. Drafted a supplemental biological technical memorandum and the technical section of the Draft EIR, including recommendations for avoidance and minimization measures to ensure no impacts to sensitive habitats and species. 2014.

Abrue Vineyard Timber Harvest Plan and Timberland Conversion EIR, Angwin, California. Conducted botanical and general biological resources surveys of the areas proposed for timberland conversion to new vineyard. Compiled technical reports from subconsultants and drafted the biological section of the Draft EIR. Special-status species found on the site included northern spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis caurina*) and anadromous fish within the streams. 2014.

Sydney Apartments Vineyard Water Rights Biological Assessment, Napa County, California. Conducted a comprehensive botanical inventory of the project site, with special attention to areas of serpentinite soil substrates in support of a water rights application for extension of time. Provided technical assistance for the biological resources analysis and initial study, and drafted recommendations for preservation and avoidance of native grasslands and other sensitive habitats. 2013 – 2014.

Energy

Pipeline Pathways, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San Francisco Bay Area, California. Served as primary botanist and conducted focused botanical surveys along gas pipelines where vegetation management was scheduled. Documented occurrences of rare plant species, drafted technical memoranda, and provided technical guidance for avoidance and minimization of potential impacts to rare species as a result of vegetation management. 2012 – 2013.

Confidential Client, Sacramento County, California. Served as biologist, aquatic resource specialist, and botanist. Conducted focused rare plant surveys, aquatic resources delineation surveys, and general wildlife surveys. Documented a new population of Myers' pincushionplant (*Navarretia myersii* ssp. *myersii*). 2023 – 2024.

Confidential Client, Sacramento County, California. Served as biologist, aquatic resource specialist, and botanist. Conducted focused rare plant surveys, aquatic resources delineation surveys, listed large branchiopod wet and dry season surveys, and general wildlife surveys. 2023 – 2024.

Greenbrae Boardwalk Pipeline Replacement Project, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, Greenbrae, California. Served as primary biologist and permitting specialist. Coordinated and obtained wetland permits for emergency work on a gas pipeline in a tidally influenced marsh. Drafted permit applications for restoration work on a neighboring preserve, including Clean Water Act (CWA) Sections 404 and 401, and Bay Conservation and Development Commission permits. Coordinated with project interested parties, clients, and agencies to ensure

that the project could be safely completed in a timely manner while obeying the letter and intent of the law. 2013.

Confidential Solar Energy Project, Washoe County, Nevada. Conducted a delineation of waters of the United States and a general habitat assessment on an approximately 1,068-acre portion of the solar project. Assisted with Great Basin habitat and plant identification, and mapping of potentially jurisdictional wetlands. Drafted technical reports and coordinated with BLM staff. 2019 – present.

Gas Pipeline Installation, South Sacramento, California. Served as biologist and biological monitor. Conducted monitoring for Swainson's hawk during a 3-month gas pipeline installation project that included open trenching and horizontal directional boring under a major freeway. Several Swainson's hawk nests were present within 0.25 miles of the project site. Daily monitoring logs were recorded and reported to the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW). 2010.



28th Street Landfill Solar Farm, City of Sacramento, California. Conducted botanical and general biological resources surveys of the areas proposed for conversion to a solar farm. Drafted a biological resources assessment and assisted with an evaluation of habitat suitability for Swainson's hawk in accordance with CDFW guidelines. Other special-status species found on the site included Northern California black walnut (*Juglans hindsii*) and Valley elderberry longhorn beetle. 2010.

Military

Former Fort Ord Base Realignment and Closure, Annual Rare Plant Survey and Habitat Assessment, Monterey, California. Conducted quantitative rare plant surveys for sand gilia (*Gilia tenuiflora* ssp. *arenaria*), Monterey spineflower (*Chorizanthe pungens* var. *pungens*), and seaside bird's-beak (*Cordylanthus rigidus* ssp. *littoralis*) in support of an ecological study of existing vegetative resources on the former military base. Also conducted transect surveys of chaparral habitat in sensitive areas containing live munitions. 2009.

Municipal

Calaveras County Water District As-needed Biological Support, Calaveras County, California. Provided as-needed biological support for infrastructure projects, including pre-construction surveys for special-status wildlife, nesting birds, and botanical resources. Coordinated avoidance and minimization measures with client and contractors. Monitored construction to ensure no adverse effects to special-status wildlife and botanical resources. 2020 – 2022.

Placer County Government Center Master Plan EIR, California. Served as botanist and wetland delineator. Performed wetland delineation and drafted technical wetland delineation reports. Provided technical expertise on botanical resources, vegetation communities, and permitting. Assisted with drafting the EIR for the Master Plan. 2021-2024.

Wheatland Ranch Project, Yuba County, California. Served as primary botanist and wetland delineator for a City annexation project northeast of the City of Wheatland. Provided botanical survey expertise, vegetation community mapping, and delineated vernal pool habitat on approximately 1,000 acres of undeveloped land. 2017.

Community Center Improvements, City of Citrus Heights, California. Served as primary arborist. In compliance with the Citrus Heights Tree Protection Ordinance, conducted an arborist survey for improvements to the Community Center grounds. Drafted a technical arborist report detailing findings and measures for avoiding permanent impacts to arboricultural resources. Responded to comments from the City's Design Review Board, and aided in the implementation of mitigation measures. 2014.

Resource Management

Vegetation and Land Cover Type Mapping, University of California, Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz County, California. Led and conducted comprehensive vegetation surveys of the University of California, Santa Cruz main campus as part of the Habitat Conservation Plan preparation. Surveys were conducted using guidance and protocol issued by CDFW and CNPS. 2023.

Focused Botanical Surveys for Fire Safe Vegetation Management along Highway 35, Santa Cruz and San Mateo Counties, California. Conducted protocol-level surveys for special-status plant species in areas proposed for vegetation management. Activities included visiting reference sites for special-status plant species and conducting surveys during the appropriate bloom season. Observed, documented, and flagged for avoidance special-status plant species including Kings Mountain manzanita (*Arctostaphylos regismontana*), Anderson's manzanita (*Arctostaphylos andersonii*), and San Mateo woolly sunflower (*Eriophyllum latilobum*). 2023.



Delta Field Division Habitat Conservation Plan, Department of Water Resources, Various Counties, California.

Served as senior biologist/field coordinator. As field coordinator, organized and implemented surveys for a wide variety of plant and wildlife species throughout the study area. Created schedules and coordinated with field teams and the client to ensure surveys were completed within the appropriate time frame. As senior biologist, conducted surveys for special-status plant species, vegetation mapping, burrowing owl, Swainson's hawk, tricolored blackbird (*Agelaius tricolor*), listed large branchiopods, and California red-legged frog. Assisted with compiling the data and drafting the baseline biological report. 2020 – present.

Delta Dams Rodent Burrow Remediation Project Permit Compliance, Department of Water Resources, Alameda County, California. Served as senior biologist/compliance manager. Reviewed regulatory permit requirements, coordinated with client and contractors, scheduled pre-construction surveys and construction monitors, and drafted and submitted compliance documents to agency personnel. 2024 – present.

Potrero Hills Landfill Expansion Project, Waste Connections Inc., Solano County, California. Assists with mitigation monitoring for a 10-year monitoring program for rare plants, federally listed large branchiopod species, and California tiger salamander. Monitors populations of special-status San Joaquin spearscale (*Extriplex joaquiniana*), Contra Costa goldfields (*Lasthenia conjugens*), and pappose tarplant (*Centromadia parryi* ssp. *parryi*); conducts annual large branchiopod wet season surveys; and annual surveys for eggs and larvae for California tiger salamander. She compiles data and drafts annual monitoring reports for submittal to the client and responsible agencies. 2016 – 2024.

San Felipe Creek Restoration Project, Santa Clara Valley Habitat Agency, California. Conducted biological surveys and reporting, wetland delineation, and pre-construction survey support for habitat restoration efforts on the San Felipe Creek Restoration Project. Additionally, provides technical assistance and habitat restoration monitoring during the plant establishment period. The project consists of restoration of approximately 1 mile of incised stream channel and enhance wetland areas through the use of channel and floodplain modifications. Leading the 10-year mitigation monitoring efforts post construction, including qualitative and quantitative methods for assessing mitigation success. Conducts monitoring fieldwork, data compilation and management, and report generation. Communicates closely with client and agency staff to ensure timely submittal of progress and annual reports. 2020-2023.

Altamont Landfill Resource Recovery Facility, Livermore, California. As part of a 10-year mitigation monitoring plan and USACE, Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), and CDFW permit specifications, assists with preconstruction biological surveys to determine the presence of special-status species including California tiger salamander, California red-legged frog, American badger (*Taxidea taxus*), San Joaquin kit fox (*Vulpes macrotis mutica*), and burrowing owl. Assists with annual botanical, wildlife, and wetland monitoring surveys and biological reconnaissance surveys, as well as periodic construction monitoring. Compiles data and drafts annual monitoring reports for submittal to the client and responsible agencies. 2015 – 2019.

Focused Botanical Surveys for Coldstream Canyon Wetland Restoration Project, Donner Pass Area, Placer County, California. Conducted protocol-level surveys for special-status plant species in support of a restoration project. Special-status plant species were documented using hand-held GPS devices. Surveys consisted of two passes to capture the diversity of flora in high elevation wetland and fen habitats.2020 and 2024.

Tilden Nature Area Pond Restoration and Public Access, NCE/East Bay Regional Park District, Berkeley, California. Conducted biological surveys and provided technical botanical assistance for native revegetation and trail system at an interpretive pond area. 2019.

Cloverdale High School Restoration Plan, Cloverdale, Sonoma County, California. Conducted biological and aquatic resources surveys, senior review, and provided technical assistance with development of two alternative conceptual plans for relocation and enhancement of a degraded creek corridor and tributary to accommodate development of athletic fields on vacant land in the City of Cloverdale. 2022 – 2023.

North Delta Ecosystem Habitat Restoration Project, Thornton, California. Served as primary wetland delineator and permit advisor for the McCormack Williamson Tract restoration wetland delineation. Conducted surveys to identify wetlands and waters that were potentially jurisdictional under CWA Section 404. Compiled data and drafted a wetland delineation report to be verified by USACE and used in the permitting process. 2014.

Habitat Mitigation and Monitoring Plan, Brooktrails Community Services District, California. Served as primary botanist and permitting specialist. In compliance with the 5-year monitoring plan for tree and wetland mitigation, conducted surveys to assess the progress of mitigation plantings in the community. Compiled and analyzed data to produce annual reports in compliance with the CWA Section 404 permit and Section 1600 Streambed Alteration Agreement. Consulted with local interested parties, the Community Services District, CDFW, and USACE to ensure all success criteria would be met within the specified period. 2011.

Water Rights Biological Resources Assessment, Hanuman Fellowship, Watsonville, California. Served as primary botanist. Conducted a botanical inventory and general biological resources survey, and assisted with a stream assessment for a reservoir expansion project. Drafted the biological resources analysis and recommended avoidance and minimization measures for sensitive species on site, including Anderson's manzanita (*Arctostaphylos andersonii*). 2010-2011.

Huichica Hills Ranch Off-Stream Storage Pond Conversion and Stream Restoration, Vino Farms, Sonoma County, California. Served as deputy project manager and project biologist. Managed all regulatory permitting and regulatory agency consultations. Conducted general biological surveys, assisted in the delineation of waters of the United States, and drafted a biological technical memorandum in support of a categorical exemption and permit applications. Also drafted habitat mitigation and monitoring plan for restoration and subsequent monitoring of wetlands impacted as a result of the project. 2011-2012.

Water Right Biological Technical Memorandum, Stream Management Plan, and Arborist Report, Marin Country Club, Novato, California. Served as primary botanist and arborist. Conducted surveys to identify biological constraints, impacts, and mitigation measures for trees removed as a result of constructing several on-stream reservoirs. Drafted a stream management plan in accordance with local ordinance and permitting needs. The plan detailed avoidance and minimization measures, restoration techniques, monitoring and reporting methods, and success criteria. 2018.

Off-Stream Storage Pond Conversion Project Stream Restoration Plan and Mitigation Monitoring, Nemerever Vineyards, Oakville, California. Served as primary botanist. Created and implemented a stream revegetation plan in compliance with permits issued by USACE and RWQCB for an off-stream storage pond conversion and stream restoration project. Collected percent vegetation cover data; compiled annual reports; and consulted with the property owner, interested parties, and regulatory agency staff. 2012.

Transportation

Housing and Urban Development Grant Roadway Improvement Biological Assessment, County of Mariposa, California. Served as primary botanist tasked with preparation of technical supporting documents for NEPA review. Conducted preliminary research and general biological resources surveys for all roadway segments scheduled for improvement as part of an emergency evacuation plan. Drafted the biological technical memorandum in support

of an environmental assessment required under NEPA, including recommendations for avoidance and mitigation of impacts to sensitive species and habitats in the project vicinity. 2012.

California High Speed Rail, Fresno, California. Served as project botanist and biologist. Coordinated and conducted surveys for listed large branchiopods, rare plants, sensitive habitat, and other environmentally sensitive areas. Drafted reports of findings and project updates for the client. Attended meetings with agency representatives and other interested parties. 2015 – 2017.

La Rue Bridge Replacement Project, Davis, California. Coordinated with resource agencies for aquatic resources permitting. Conducted pre-construction surveys for western pond turtle and Swainson's hawk, as well as general nesting bird and roosting bat surveys. Coordinated construction monitoring. 2019.

East Bay Area Rapid Transit Project Mitigation Implementation, Antioch, California. Conducted surveys for burrowing owl within the project footprint of the new East Bay Area Rapid Transit depot. Assisted in drafting technical biological reports. Aided in implementing the impact avoidance measures, which included installation of one-way exclusion doors on burrows and construction monitoring. 2013.

Focused Wetland Plant Survey for Mitigation Bank Feasibility Study, California Department of Transportation, Humboldt County, California. Conducted quantitative vegetation surveys of wetland and grassland habitats adjacent to Humboldt Bay for a California Department of Transportation mitigation bank feasibility study. Plotted belt transects, calculated overall and relative percent cover for plant species, and assessed overall habitat at the site. 2012.

Tribal

Fee-to-Trust Project—California Tiger Salamander Study, Plymouth, Ione Band of Miwok Indians, California. Assisted with protocol-level surveys for the Central Valley population of California tiger salamander in compliance with a biological opinion issued by USFWS for land proposed to be placed into federal trust for the Ione Band of Miwok Indians. Surveys also included an evaluation of the status of vernal pools and other potentially suitable aquatic habitat on the site. 2011.

Vernal Pool Study, United Auburn Indian Community Housing, Sheridan, California. Assisted for 2 years with protocol-level vernal pool branchiopod surveys conducted in accordance with regulatory permits to establish baseline data for future land management practices. Vernal pool fairy shrimp (*Branchinecta lynchi*) were present in several of the pools. Also conducted an inventory of plants within the vernal pool complex, and conducted a rangeland evaluation of the overall property, including calculations of residual dry matter.2011 – 2012.

Fee-to-Trust Project—Biological Assessment and Environmental Assessment, Coyote Valley Band of Pomo Indians, Mendocino County, California. Served as project biologist. Conducted preliminary research and general biological resources surveys for the U.S. Bureau of Indian Affairs to support an application from the Coyote Valley Band of Pomo Indians for 6 acres of land to be placed into federal trust. Drafted the biological evaluation with recommendations for avoidance and minimization measures for sensitive species and waters of the United States in the vicinity of the project site. Also provided technical assistance to the Bureau of Indian Affairs throughout the federal Endangered Species Act Section 7 consultation process with USFWS. 2010 – 2011.

Cache Creek Casino Resort Wetland Mitigation and Monitoring Plan Implementation, Yocha Dehe Wintun Nation, Brooks, California. Served as primary botanist and permitting compliance specialist. In compliance with a 10-year wetland mitigation monitoring and reporting plan, conducted annual surveys to assess the progress of mitigation plantings and hydrology. Compiled and analyzed data to produce annual reports in compliance with CWA Section



404 individual permit stipulations. Consulted with local interested parties, the tribe, and USACE to ensure all success criteria would be met within the specified time frame. 2011 – 2012.

Fee-to-Trust Project—Botanical Survey, Sycuan Band of Kumeyaay Nation, San Diego, California. Performed comprehensive floristic surveys on all parcels proposed for transfer to federal trust. Surveys focused on identifying and documenting populations of several locally rare plant species and larval host plants for Quino checkerspot butterfly (*Euphydryas editha quino*). 2013.

Water/Wastewater

Arboretum Waterway Improvement Project, University of California, Davis. Served as biologist. Conducted biological surveys of the project area to assess potential constraints to waterway restoration. Developed a salvage and relocation plan for western pond turtle and assisted with regulatory permitting, pre-construction surveys, and provided biological support for the project. 2021 – 2023.

Newell Creek Dam, Santa Cruz County, California. Served as botanist and wetland specialist. Conducted an aquatic resources delineation and botanical survey in support of CEQA documentation. Botanical surveys were conducted in accordance with USFWS, CDFW, and CNPS protocol. Drafted technical reports and provided technical assistance with environmental documentation and permitting. 2018.

State Water Project—Erosion Repair Routine Maintenance, Contra Costa County, California. As primary biologist, conducted surveys and wetland delineation fieldwork for various proposed erosion repair sites at State Water Project facilities. Compiled results on soils, hydrology, and plants in a wetland delineation report and preliminary jurisdictional determination. Communicated with agencies regarding permitting requirements. Completed documents for CEQA compliance. 2015 – 2016.

Lake Dalwigk Habitat Enhancement Initial Study and Permitting, Vallejo Sanitation and Flood Control District, Vallejo, California. Served as deputy project manager and project biologist. Prepared and performed senior review of multiple sections of the project's CEQA documents. Conducted biological and botanical surveys for technical supporting documents. Ensured that the initial study/mitigated negative declaration was completed within time constraints and budget. Conducted focused plant surveys, habitat assessment field studies, and background research for potential biological constraints. Completed applications and consulted with regulatory agencies to obtain the following permits: Section 1600 Streambed Alteration Agreement from CDFW, CWA Section 404 Individual Permit from USACE, CWA Section 401 Water Quality Certification from the San Francisco RWQCB, and Coastal Development Permit from the Bay Conservation and Development Commission. As part of the permitting process, drafted a wetland mitigation and monitoring plan to be implemented over the next 10 years. 2010 – 2013.

Denniston/San Vicente Creeks Water EIR, Coastside County Water District, Half Moon Bay, California. Served as deputy project manager and project biologist. Prepared and performed senior review of multiple sections of the project's CEQA document, biological survey reports, and supporting technical documents. Assisted the project manager in preparing the EIR for improvements to existing stream diversions to enable the Coastside County Water District to use current water rights on the San Vicente and Denniston Creeks. Assisted in identifying biological constraints in the project vicinity and in formulating alternatives to the project. Responsible for managing the EIR team, including in-house specialists and subconsultants; managing the project budget; assessing biological resources for the CEQA evaluation; and ensuring that the CEQA evaluation was progressing on time and on budget. 2009-2012.

Placer County Sewer Maintenance District 3 Pipeline Improvement, Granite Bay, California. As part of a team of biologists, conducted floristic surveys of proposed pipeline routes and assisted with the delineation of waters of



the United States. Drafted a botanical technical memorandum and provided technical assistance for botanical resources throughout the EIR and permitting process. 2012.

Family Water Alliance Fish Screen Installation, Sacramento and American Rivers, California. As botanist/biologist, assisted fisheries biologists in evaluating riverine and riparian habitat for potential to support special-status plant and animal species as part of a water pump intake fish-screening project. Drafted biological resources assessments for each site, including avoidance and minimization measures for potential impacts. 2012.

Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvement Project, Swainson's Hawk Monitoring, Vacaville Wastewater Treatment Plant, Vacaville, California. Served as biologist and biological monitor. In compliance with measures outlined in the EIR and in consultation with CDFW, monitored two active Swainson's hawk nests within 500 feet of ground-disturbing activities at a wastewater treatment plant. For several months during the nesting season, recorded activities and reported daily on the status of the active nests to ensure that no impacts to Swainson's hawk resulted from project activities. 2014.

Trails/Recreation

Copeland Creek Trail to Crane Creek Regional Park, Sonoma County, California. Serviced as biologist, aquatic resource specialist, and botanist. Ms. Burris conducted focused rare plant surveys, aquatic resources delineation surveys, habitat assessment for California tiger salamander and California red-legged frog, and general wildlife surveys. 2018 – Present.

Focused Botanical Surveys for Martis Valley Habitat Enhancement Project, Martis Valley, Placer County, California. Conducted pre-activity surveys for special-status plant species including Pluma ivesia (*Ivesia sericoleuca*). Hundreds of plants were documented using hand-held GPS devices. Populations of rare plants were flagged for avoidance and included in the Worker Environmental Awareness Training conducted by Dudek for the project. 2023.

Focused Botanical Surveys for the Pines to Mines Trail Project, Tahoe National Forest, Placer County, California. Conducted protocol-level surveys for special-status plant species along the proposed alignment of a back-country trail. Activities included visiting reference sites for special-status plant species and conducting surveys during the appropriate bloom season. 2023 and 2024.

Specialized Trainings

- "Bumble Bees of California" workshop presented by Jaime Pawelek of Wild Bee Garden Design/Essig Museum Research Associate. Participated in a 1-day specialized bumble bee training that focused on the four California candidate species, Crotch bumble bee (*Bombus crotchii*), Franklin bumble bee (*Bombus franklini*), western bumble bee (*Bombus occidentalis*), and Suckley cuckoo bumble bee (*Bombus suckleyi*). Lecture topics included classification and bee morphology, identification of species, life history and ecology, and sampling protocols. A large portion of the workshop included identification of specimens using a microscope and the guidebook "Bumble Bees of the Western United States" (Koch et al. 2012). November 2019.
- 40-Hour Wetland Delineation Training, Wetlands Training Institute. 2014.
- 40-Hour California Rapid Assessment Method (CRAM) Training Course, Depressions and Riverine Module.
 2015.



- Rare Pond Species Workshop, Laguna de Santa Rosa, Rohnert Park, California. Workshop covering species biology and survey technique for California red-legged frog, California tiger salamander, and western pond turtle. 2016.
- Listed Large Branchiopod identification course presented by Mary Schug Belk. December 5, 2015.
 Passed identification lab practical of 26 species of fairy shrimp and 2 species of tadpole shrimp with 100% accuracy. Retested in 2022 with 100% accuracy.
- Advanced Hydric and Problem Area Soils, various locations in Northern California, Wetlands Training Institute. 2023.
- Field Ecology education course, Sacramento City College. Course covering survey and trapping techniques for mammals such as ring-tailed cat (*Bassariscus astutus*) and bats. Included habitat assessment and mist-netting for bat species. 2015.
- Annual courses and seminars on plant identification, special-status species biology, natural resources, CEQA/NEPA, and regulatory permitting. 2007 – Present.



Surveying and Monitoring Hours for Relevant Species*

, ,		0	I I	
Species	Monitoring Hours	Surveying Hours	Notes	
		200+	Egg, larval and adult pit-fall trap surveys and monitoring conducted. Handled 1000s of larvae, 23 juvenile, and 41 adults under the supervision of permitted biologist. Positive identification of eggs.	
	80	100	Egg, larval, and adult eye-shine surveys conducted. Eggs masses identified and 7 adults handled under supervision of permitted biologist. Observed 19 adults, 7 juveniles, 10 larvae.	
	80	200+	Conducted protocol level wet and dry season surveys and mitigation monitoring surveys. Monitored construction around occupied vernal pool habitat. Handled 100s of individuals of <i>Branchinecta conservatio, B. lynchii, Lepidurus</i> <i>packardii</i> under the supervision of permitted biologists.	
		120	Habitat assessments, spot-light surveys, and burrow mapping.	
	120	300+	Habitat assessments, protocol-level surveys, exclusions, and monitoring conducted.	
	200+	500+	Preconstruction surveys for nesting bird and raptor species.	
	200+	200+		
	40	80	Conducted daytime roost surveys and evening and nighttime surveys using Anabat systems and mist-netting techniques under the supervision of expert permitted biologists. Monitored exclusion devices.	
	80	100		
	24	64	Conducted preconstruction surveys, assisted with habitat assessment, positive identification of numerous adults in suitable habitat	
	120	80	Conducted habitat assessments and pre- construction surveys. Provided onsite monitoring during construction.	
	-	80	Level 1 surveyor. Conducted protocol-level surveys in suitable habitat. Positive identification of one individual.	



Mikaela Bissell

ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE SPECIALIST

Mikaela Bissell (*mi-KAY-la BIS-uhl; she/her*) is an environmental compliance specialist with 4 years' experience as an environmental professional. Ms. Bissell has served as an environmental compliance administrator, consulting utility forester, and field lead forester. Her diverse experience includes hazardous waste management, fueling station regulatory compliance, oil and gas projects, utility forestry, wildfire mitigation, arboriculture, ArcGIS Collector, nesting bird surveys, and riparian surveys.

Project Experience

San Benito College Campus Project, Gavilan Joint Community College District, San Benito County, California. Approved as a California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) Designated Monitor in CDFW Region 4. Performed five rounds of preliminary pre-construction clearance surveys alongside designated biologist, totaling 30 hours of surveys on behalf of the client for the following targeted species: California tiger salamander (Ambystoma californiense), American badger (Taxidea taxus), burrowing owl (Athene cunicularia), San Joaquin kit fox (Vulpes macrotis mutica), and nesting birds. Additionally, monitored active construction of initial ground-disturbing activities of installation of exclusionary salamander fencing alongside approved designated biologist. Independently monitored activities of non-ground-disturbing construction. Total monitoring hours are at 16. No species were detected or handled during the surveys or monitoring. Additionally, serving as a deputy project manager for the project. Assisting with technical writing of reports due to CDFW and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). Reports include summary of survey results, California tiger salamander mortality and relocation plan, and pre-construction commencement package. ITP No. 2081-2015-014-04; USFWS HCP TE27171C-0. (2023-Present)



California. Conducted a 5-hour field survey for a 10-year monitoring program for San Joaquin spearscale (*Extriplex joaquiniana*). Performed more than 100 hours of monitoring services for the covered species of California tiger salamander. Monitoring efforts include surveying the permanent California tiger salamander exclusionary fencing to check for covered species, leading Workers Environmental Awareness Training for new construction crews, and monitoring construction activities in accordance with the project's permit conditions. Coordinated with biological monitors daily regarding project scope, agency permit stipulations, and biological inquiries. Prepared quarterly regulatory compliance reporting. ITP No. 2081-2011-073-03. (2022–2024)

Confidential Solar Project, Confidential Client, Sacramento County, California. Approved as a CDFW Biological Monitor. Performed nesting pre-construction surveys and biological monitoring for trenching activities. Pre-construction nesting surveys lasted approximately 4 days at 8 hours each. Conducted approximately 32 hours



Education University of Nevada, Reno BS, Environmental Science, 2017

Certifications

40-Hour Basic Wetland Delineation Certification -Wetland Training Institute (WTI) [April 2024]

International Code Council California UST Designated Operator Certification, ID: 10165111

American Red Cross Adult CPR/AED, ID: 00NSSHG

Professional Affiliations

International Society of Arboriculture

of nesting surveys; multiple active nests with eggs or chicks were flagged for avoidances. Biological monitoring for trenching involved sweeping area for California tiger salamander and western spadefoot (*Spea hammondii*). No covered species were identified during monitoring. (2023)

Highway 128 Caltrans Emergency Landslide Repairs, Valentine Contractors, Sonoma County, California. Operating under Corps Emergency Permit for 3Y160, performed biological monitoring for nesting birds and general wildlife for Valentine Contractors during construction activities. Assisted project manager in compliance management for contract by scheduling and coordinating monitors to be on site for spot checks. Additionally, performed biological monitoring for 6 days on site, for a total of 24 hours of monitoring. No nests or wildlife species of concern were identified. (2023)

Copeland Creek Trail to Crane Creek Regional Park, City of Rohnert Park, Public Works CIP Division, Sonoma County, California. Assisted Dudek botanist with focused rare plant surveys during blooming season for the following species: Franciscan onion (*Allium peninsulare var. franciscanum*), bent-flowered fiddleneck (*Amsinckia lunaris*), pappose tarplant (*Centromadia parryi* ssp.), fragrant fritillary (*Fritillaria liliacea*), congested-headed hayfield tarplant (*Hemizonia congesta*), Jepson's leptosiphon (*Leptosiphon jepsonii*), and two-fork clover (*Trifolium amoenum*). No species were identified during targeted survey. (2023)

Learning Center at Fairfield Osborne Preserve, California State University, Sonoma, California. As an approved CDFW Biological Monitor, performed two rounds of biological monitoring for the following covered species under EPIMS-SON-21304-R3: foothill-yellow legged frog (*Rana boylii*), roosting bats, and nesting birds. Two adult Sierran treefrog (*Pseudacris sierra*) were relocated during monitoring to approved relocation site. (2023)

Confidential Battery Energy Storage Projects, Multiple Confidential Clients, Multiple Counties, California.

Responsible for assisting in the field for aquatic resources delineation and biological resources assessment for proposed battery energy storage projects. Following the field effort, responsible for assisting in the completion of an aquatic resources delineation report and biological resources assessment memorandum to be submitted to the client. Conducted field efforts for project sites in Sacramento, Butte, Colusa, San Bernadino, Alameda, and Sonoma Counties. Completing aquatic resources delineation report and biological resources assessment memorandum for project sites in Sacramento and Butte Counties. (2022–Present)

Confidential Solar Projects, Multiple Confidential Clients, Multiple Counties, California. Responsible for the completion of critical issues analyses reports for proposed solar projects documenting environmental constraints (aesthetics, agriculture, biological resources, cultural resources, hydrology/water quality, land use, and zoning) at the project sites and providing recommendations to the clients interested in developing the sites. Project sites analyzed thus far in California include Tulare, Riverside, Fresno, and Los Angeles Counties. (2023–Present)

Confidential Solar and Battery Energy Storage System Project, Confidential Client, Linn County, Oregon.

Responsible for the completion of critical issues analyses reports for proposed solar and battery energy storage projects documenting environmental constraints (aesthetics, agriculture, biological resources, cultural resources, hydrology/water quality, land use, zoning) at the project site and providing recommendations to the clients interested in developing the site. (2023–Present)

Confidential Development Project, Confidential Client, King County, Washington. Responsible for completing tree inventory survey for a confidential development project in King County, Washington. Tree inventory data included identification of species, assessment of tree defects/pathogens, submeter GPS data collection, use of rangefinder to record height of species, and collection of each tree's diameter at breast height. Surveyed for approximately 15 hours total. Approximately 200 trees inventoried for the assessment. (2023)

Confidential Development Project, Confidential Client, Washington County, Oregon. Responsible for completing tree inventory survey for a confidential development project in Washington County, Oregon. Tree inventory data included identification of species, assessment of tree defects/pathogens, submeter GPS data collection, use of rangefinder to record height of species, and collection of each tree's diameter at breast height. Surveyed for approximately 8 hours total. Approximately 100 trees inventoried for the assessment. (2023)

Confidential Battery Energy Storage System Projects, Confidential Client, Tulare County, California. Responsible for the completion of critical issues analyses reports for proposed battery energy storage projects documenting environmental constraints (aesthetics, agriculture, biological resources, cultural resources, hydrology/water quality, land use, and zoning) at the project sites and providing recommendations to the clients interested in developing the sites. (2023–Present)

Confidential Solar Project, Confidential Client, Sacramento County, California. Performed three 8-hour night-eye shine surveys for western spadefoot. Surveys took place 30 minutes after dusk during rain events and targeted areas of suitable aquatic and upland habitat for western spadefoot. Various bullfrogs and treefrogs were observed during survey. Night-eye shine surveys involved scanning aquatic features and surrounding uplands to examine for amphibian night-eye shine. No western spadefoot were detected during surveys. Visually observed branchiopods during surveys at a vernal pool feature. (2024)

Confidential Solar Project, Confidential Client, Sacramento County, California. Performed 24 hours of a protocollevel burrowing owl survey in accordance with the 2012 CDFW protocol titled Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation and the 1993 California Burrowing Owl Consortium Survey Protocol and Mitigation Guidelines. Burrowing owl surveys were performed during the nonbreeding season in areas of suitable habitat. Observed three adult burrowing owls under a piece of plywood and within culverts. Observed evidence of white wash, claw marks, and prey remains on top of the plywood. (2024)

Confidential Solar Project, Confidential Client, Sacramento County, California. Performed one 8-hour protocol-level survey for Swainson's hawk (*Buteo swainsoni*) in accordance with methodology used in the Swainson's Hawk Technical Advisory Committee's 2000 Recommended Timing and Methodology for Swainson's Hawk Nesting Surveys in California's Central Valley, as well as the California Energy Commission and CDFW's 2010 Swainson's Hawk Survey Protocols, Impact Avoidance, and Minimization Measures (for the Renewable Energy Project in Antelope Valley of Los Angeles and Kern County, California). During the survey, two adult Swainson's hawk were identified in paired behavior. Individuals were watched for 15–20 minutes until they flew away. Recorded species and took coordinates for reporting purposes. (2023)

Confidential Solar Projects, Confidential Client, Multiple Counties, Nevada. Performed two rounds of noxious weed surveys in Lyon County, Nevada, and one round of noxious weed surveys in Lassen County, California. Target species identified during surveys includes the following: Russian prickly thistle (Salsola tragus), scotch thistle (Onopordum acanthium), perennial pepper weed (*Lepidium latifolium*), tall tumble mustard (Sisymbrium altissimum), cheatgrass (Bromus tectorum), saltlover (Halogeton glomeratus), and flixweed (Descurainia sophia). (2022–2023)

Confidential Solar Project, Confidential Client, Lyon County, Nevada. Performed one round of rare plant surveys totaling 8 hours. Identified Booth's evening primrose (*Eremothera boothii*) and sagebrush cholla (*Grusonia pulchella*). Additionally, performed an aquatic resources field delineation following the Arid-West supplement for 7 days totaling 56 hours. Identified multiple playa pools during surveys along with ephemeral channels. (2022–2023)

Camino Conduit Project, El Dorado Irrigation District, El Dorado County, California. Performed two rounds of pre-construction clearance surveys for riparian resources and nesting birds, totaling 14 hours. No nesting birds identified during surveys. Various ephemeral channels blocked off for avoidance. Assisted by El Dorado Irrigation District representative Michael Baron. (2023)

West Lake Tahoe Regional Water Treatment Plant Project, Placer County, California. Performed one round of pre-construction clearance survey for nesting birds. No nesting birds identified during survey. (2023)

Neal Road Recycling and Waste Facility, Butte County Public Works, Butte County, California. Served as a compliance monitor and biologist for the project during different task orders. Under the first task order, served as a compliance monitor responsible for monitoring the project's restoration efforts for the procurement and planting of 12 cottonwood (*Populus fremontii*) trees. Following monitoring efforts, drafted a technical memorandum for submittal to the client based on restoration efforts observed and best management practices adopted for the transplanting of trees. Under the second task order, served as a biologist responsible for conducting a preliminary biological resources assessment of a potential expansion project. Summarized the results in a biological resources constraints memorandum. (2022–2023)

Advanced Metering Infrastructure Project, Alameda County Water District, Multiple Counties, California. Assisted with preparing the Workers Environmental Awareness Training presentation and pamphlets. Species covered include California tiger salamander, California red-legged frog (*Rana draytonii*), Alameda whipsnake (*Masticophis lateralis euryxanthus*), and San Francisco garter snake (*Thamnophis sirtalis tetrataenia*). Presentation focused on reviewing the description, appearance, distribution, habitat, and life history of each species. Additionally, reviewed common species that present similar features to covered species in the presentation. Reviewed minimization efforts and penalties for violation of the federal and California Endangered Species Acts. (2022)

Bonturi Ranch Conservation Easement, AKT Santa Nella Solar Investors II LLC., Merced County, California.

Conducted 2 days of field surveying for protocol level burrow/den surveys according to the USFWS 1999 survey guidelines for the San Joaquin kit fox. Surveys, totaling approximately 16 hours, were performed by walking 20-meter transects on ongoing grazing ranch property. No active or natal dens identified during survey. (2023)

San Joaquin Habitat Conservation Plan, California Department of Water Resources, Multiple Counties, California. Assisted with the field effort of redeployment of game cameras to capture images of wildlife for the wildlife movement assessment survey and San Joaquin kit fox camera study. Spent more than 100 hours assisting with data management uploads of images and identification of wildlife species caught on camera on CamWon. (2022)

Relevant Previous Experience

Flyers Energy LLC, Auburn, California. Served as an environmental compliance administrator for a fueling station corporation. Assisted the company by working to return the facility back to compliance after regulatory inspections (underground storage tank, aboveground storage tank, fire, air quality, and stormwater) from state or county agencies. Researched regulations in 23 states to resolve violations outlined during inspections. Assisted during renewals of operating permits, fire permits, air quality permits, underground storage tank/aboveground storage tank permits, and authority to construct permits. Input hazardous materials business plan data into the California Environmental Reporting System. Designated as an environmental professional to respond to petroleum-related spills and deem the spills reportable based on regulatory requirements per state and per incident. Reviewed spill prevention, control, and countermeasure documentation for aboveground storage tanks. Became familiar with testing requirements for petroleum storage tanks, dispatching testing contractors, and reviewing testing reports to ensure accuracy per regulatory requirements. Managed repairs and small construction projects by determining

the best course of action, soliciting bids, hiring contractors, and overseeing the completion of each job. Responded to designated operator inspections performed by an outside contractor, as required by the California Water Board's Title 23 regulatory requirements. Resolved and mitigated compliance issues outlined during monthly inspections. (2021–2022)

Enhanced Vegetation Management Program, Subcontracted through Pacific Gas and Electric Company, El Dorado County, California. Served as a subcontracted consulting utility forester and consulting utility forester field lead under the Pacific Gas and Electric Company's Enhanced Vegetation Management Program to reduce wildfire threats in California by surveying vegetation near utility structures. Input data collected from field surveys into ArcGIS Collector and ArcGIS Survey123. Identified flora and fauna in El Dorado and Placer Counties. Conducted visual bird surveys that triggered the field biologist if work was identified to be removed or pruned. Identified riparian zones within 25 feet of project worked under Clean Water Act requirements. As consulting utility forester field lead, performed quality control and quality assurance audits on field surveys completed by the team. Presented educational tailboards to the team about the diagnosis of local plant disorders or risks of failure. Led the team job safety tailboard to ensure all fieldwork was performed in accordance with best management safety practices. (2019–2020)

Specialized Training

- Wetland Training Institute (WTI) Basic Wetland Delineation 40-Hour Certification: In progress on course work for online learning for wetland delineations in Northern California. In addition, will complete a 2-day (16-hour) field practicum. (Ongoing; 2024)
- Dudek Internal Northern California Wetland Delineation Training Series: Completed four courses on aquatic resources delineations in Northern California. One of the courses involved a field practicum.
 Focused on Arid West U.S. Army Corps of Engineers regional supplements. Hours involved in training = 10 hours. (2023)
- California Native Grass Association Identifying and Appreciating the Native and Naturalized Grasses of California course, class and field practicum held at the University of California Davis Bodega Marine Laboratory. (2023)
- Designated Operator Exam Prep Course, Tait Environmental: Completed training course in preparation for taking the International Code Council Designated Operator Exam. (2022)
- International Society of Arboriculture Course, Tree Test Prep: Completed educational training on the International Society of Arboriculture's Arborist Exam chapters. Actively working to obtain Arborist certification. (2019)

Species	Surveying Hours*	Monitoring Hours*	Notes
American badger	50	16	Pre-construction surveys and construction monitoring. Species was covered under USFWS Habitat Conservation Plan and CEQA FEIR for San Benito College Campus Project. No digs or dens observed. Numerous past American badger activity observed during Bonturi Ranch den surveys.
Bat species	8	10	Assessed for roosting bats during a biological clearance survey in Sonoma County, California.

Surveying and Monitoring Hours for Relevant Species

Surveying and Monitoring Hours for Relevant Species

Species	Surveying Hours*	Monitoring Hours*	Notes
			Additionally monitored for roosting bats in Sonoma County, California, under the Sonoma Fairfield Osborne Project. No species identified.
Burrowing owl	74	100	Pre-construction surveys and construction monitoring. Species was covered under USFWS Habitat Conservation Plan and CEQA FEIR for the San Benito College Campus Project. No active burrows identified. Suitable burrows identified to house species. Additionally, monitors species under the Potrero Hills Landfill. One adult identified during site walk of mitigation lands. Performed protocol-level burrowing owl surveys in suitable habitat in Sacramento County, California. Observed three adult burrowing owls under a piece of plywood and in culverts.
California tiger salamander	50	150+	Pre-construction surveys and construction monitoring. Performed burrow survey targeted for California tiger salamander in critical habitat. More than 200+ burrows flagged off for avoidance during survey. No species identified.
Foothill yellow-legged frog	0	10	Biological monitoring of foothill yellow-legged frog. No species identified during monitoring.
Nesting birds and raptors	30	200+	Pre-construction surveys, woodpecker surveys, shorebird surveys, and nest monitoring during construction. Active nests identified and flagged for avoidance. Various eggs and western kingbird chicks identified during surveys.
San Joaquin kit fox	16	0	Performed San Joaquin kit fox burrow mapping survey in Merced County, California. No positive San Joaquin kit fox burrows mapped, however, species caught on wildlife camera determined presence in area. Identified potential scat of San Joaquin kit fox.
Swainson's hawk	8	0	Protocol-level survey for Swainson's hawk in Sacramento County, California. Two adult Swainson's hawks identified during survey observed in paired behavior. Mapped and recorded data during reporting.
Western spadefoot	24	0	Performed three rounds of night-eye shine surveys for western spadefoot in Sacramento County, California. Surveys targeted suitable aquatic habitat such as vernal pools, seasonal wetlands, and swales. No western spadefoot detected during surveys. Encountered a vernal pool with branchiopods during surveying effort.

* Hours are approximate.



Erin Fisher-Colton

BIOLOGIST

Erin Fisher-Colton (*AIR-in FISH-ur COL-ten; she/her*) is a biologist with 5 years' experience providing biological surveying, monitoring, and mapping for nesting birds, special-status species, and rare plants and 5 years' related experience with California birds, mammals, reptiles, and amphibians. Ms. Fisher-Colton has experience with California red-legged frog (*Rana draytonii*), northwestern and southwestern pond turtle (*Actinemys marmorata* and *A. pallida*), Swainson's hawk (*Buteo swainsoni*), burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia*), tricolored blackbird (*Agelaius tricolor*), San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat (*Neotoma fuscipes annectens*), and others.

Ms. Fisher-Colton is proficient with technical report writing, habitat mapping, and data entry and analysis and has worked on numerous vegetation management and water infrastructure projects. She is familiar with state and federal environmental laws, the California Environmental Quality Act, and the Santa Clara Valley Habitat Plan.

Project Experience

Development

DeAnza College Master Plan, DeAnza College, Cupertino, California. Conducted a reconnaissance-level survey to evaluate the potential for special-status species such as white-tailed kite (*Elanus leucurus*), pallid and Townsend's bigeared bats (*Antrozous pallidus, Corynorhinus townsendii*), bat roosting colonies, and other biological resources to be impacted by project activities. Prepared a letter report describing existing site conditions, special-status species potential to occur, and summary of biological constraints. (2023)



Education

San Jose State University MS, Environmental Studies, 2020 California State Polytechnic University, Pomona

BS, Environmental Biology, 2012

Permits

CDFW Plant Voucher Collecting Permit, No. 2081(a)-23-133-V

Professional Affiliations

The Wildlife Society – Western Section & SF Bay Area Chapter

Golden Gate Raptor Observatory

Confidential Project, Contra Costa County, California. Conducted a reconnaissance-level survey to evaluate the potential for special-status species such as California red-legged frog, California tiger salamander (*Ambystoma californiense*), and other biological resources to be impacted by project activities. Observed 36 juvenile California red-legged frog. (2023)

Augustin Bernal Mountain Bike Trail Project, City of Pleasanton, Pleasanton, California. Conducted a preconstruction nesting bird survey for development of a mountain bike trail. Observed active nests of multiple passerine species. (2023)

East Bay Applied Sciences Center Project; California State University, East Bay; Hayward; California. Conducted a nesting bird and roosting bat habitat assessment to evaluate the potential for future nesting bird and roosting bat occupancy on the proposed project site. (2022)



Vaca Valley Hotel Construction, J and P Hospitality, Vacaville, California. Conducted biological monitoring and daily sweeps for northwestern pond turtle and burrowing owl during culvert installation. (2022)

2410 and 2384 Stony Point Road Temporary Fence Installation, Affordable Housing Development Corporation/ Santa Rosa Ridge Point LLC, Santa Rosa, California. Conducted biological monitoring and daily sweeps for California tiger salamander during ground disturbance activities. (2022)

Eastern Hillside Stabilization, California State University Maritime Academy, Vallejo, California. Conducted surveys for burrowing owl, roosting bats, and nesting birds. Observed one active red-tailed hawk (*Buteo jamaicensis*) nest. (2022)

Energy

Gonzaga Wind Project, Scout Clean Energy LLC, Merced County, California. Conducted protocol-level botanical surveys for rare plants, with positive detection of spiny-sepaled button-celery (*Eryngium spinosepalum*), Hall's bush-mallow (*Malacothamnus hallii*), and rare Navarretia species. Conducted point surveys for bald and golden eagles (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus, Aquila chrysaetos*). (2023–Present)

Confidential Project, Alameda County, California. Conducted reconnaissance-level surveys to evaluate the potential for special-status species including California tiger salamander, burrowing owl, and other biological resources to be impacted by project activities. Conducted protocol-level botanical surveys with positive detection of big tarplant (*Blepharizonia plumosa*). Conducted a California red-legged frog habitat assessment. Prepared a biological technical report summarizing existing conditions, survey efforts, and preliminary impact analysis. (2023)

Confidential Project, Fresno County, California. Conducted protocol-level Swainson's hawk surveys. Observed multiple pairs of Swainson's hawks foraging, copulating, nest-building, and incubating. Prepared letter report to client summarizing nesting survey efforts and results. (2023)

Mitigation and Conservation

Bonturi Ranch Conservation Easement, AKT Santa Nella Solar Investors II LLC, Merced County, California. Assisted with pedestrian transect surveys to map burrows and assess site suitability for San Joaquin kit fox (*Vulpes macrotis mutica*) and assisted with camera-trapping efforts on the site. (2023)

California Tiger Salamander Mitigation Pond Monitoring, Potrero Hills Landfill, Solano County, California. Conducted California tiger salamander larval surveys in three mitigation ponds to assess breeding success. (2022)

Vegetation Management

East Dunne Avenue Escape Route Project (Phases 1 & 2), Santa Clara County FireSafe Council, Morgan Hill, California. Project manager for a vegetation management project providing biological resource services on 5.6 miles of rural roadway. Conducted a biological pre-activity survey for San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat, rare plants, and other biological concerns. Prepared a letter report summarizing field survey efforts and results. (2023)

Robleda-Burke Evacuation Route Project, Santa Clara County FireSafe Council, Los Altos Hills, California. Project manager for a vegetation management project providing biological resource services on roughly 3.0 miles of suburban roadway. Conducted a biological pre-activity survey for San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat and other biological concerns. Oversaw the completion of a letter report summarizing field survey efforts and results. (2023)

Magdalena-Summerhill Evacuation Route Project, Santa Clara County FireSafe Council, Los Altos Hills, California. Project manager for a vegetation management project providing biological resource services on 1.7 miles of suburban roadway. Conducted a biological pre-activity survey for San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat and other biological concerns. Prepared a letter report summarizing field survey efforts and results. (2023)

St. Helena Defensible Space Project, City of St. Helena, California. Conducted a reconnaissance-level survey to evaluate the potential for occurrence of special-status species including northwestern pond turtle, Swainson's hawk, bald eagle, foothill yellow-legged frog (*Rana boylii*), pallid and Townsend's big-eared bats, and bat roosting colonies. (2023)

Bear Creek Redwoods Open Space Preserve Vegetation Management Project, Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District, Los Gatos, California. Conducted surveys for nesting birds, San Francisco dusky-footed woodrats, and other species in dense redwood forest, oak woodland, and scrub habitats on highly variable terrain. (2022–2023)

Interstate 280 Hazardous Fuel Reduction Project, Los Altos Hills County Fire District, Los Altos Hills, California.

Prepared a biological resources analysis report for a 150-acre, 5.5-mile-long proposed fuel management project on Interstate 280 (northbound, southbound, and center divide rights-of-way). Conducted land cover mapping and field evaluations in which the biotic resources of the site were determined. Evaluated the potential for Santa Cruz black salamander (*Aneides niger*), California red-legged frog, southwestern pond turtle, white-tailed kite, Townsend's big-eared bat, and San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat to be impacted by planned project activities. (2022)

Highway 84 Roadside Fuel Management Project, Fire Safe San Mateo County, San Mateo County, California.

Co-authored a biological resources analysis report for a 90-acre, 8.2-mile-long proposed fuel management project on Highway 84. Conducted land cover mapping and field evaluations in which the biotic resources of the site were determined. Evaluated the potential for Santa Cruz black salamander, California giant salamander (*Dicamptodon ensatus*), California red-legged frog, long-eared owl (*Asio otus*), burrowing owl, marbled murrelet (*Brachyramphus marmoratus*), olive-sided flycatcher (*Contopus cooperi*), peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus*), bald eagle, pallid bat, Townsend's big-eared bat, San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat, and American badger (*Taxidea taxus*) to be impacted by planned project activities. (2022)

Highway 35 Roadside Fuel Management Project, Fire Safe San Mateo County, San Mateo County, California.

Co-authored a biological resources analysis report for a 182-acre, 25-mile-long proposed fuel management project on Highway 35. Conducted land cover mapping and field evaluations in which the biotic resources of the site were determined. Evaluated the potential for Santa Cruz black salamander, California giant salamander, California red-legged frog, red-bellied newt (*Taricha rivularis*), long-eared owl, burrowing owl, marbled murrelet, olive-sided flycatcher, white-tailed kite, peregrine falcon, bald eagle, pallid bat, Townsend's big-eared bat, San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat, and American badger to be impacted by planned project activities. (2022)

Water Resources

Arana Gulch Sewer Line Project, Consor North America Inc., Santa Cruz, California. Conducted preconstruction surveys for nesting birds, roosting bats, and other biological concerns. Relocated 23 San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat middens out of the construction footprint. Conducted biological monitoring of vegetation removal activities. (2023–Present)

John Nicholas Trail Road Project, San Jose Water, Santa Clara County, California. Conducted preconstruction surveys and daily sweeps for special-status amphibians, nesting birds, and other biological resources prior to repair work on access roads. Conducted biological monitoring of access road repair and maintenance. (2023)


Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project, California Department of Water Resources, Gustine, California. Conducted protocol-level sunrise/sunset surveys for burrowing owl, conducted protocol-level nesting surveys for Swainson's hawk, and evaluated and monitored a nesting colony of more than 500 tricolored blackbirds. Assisted with protocol-level surveys for rare plants including spiny-sepaled button-celery. (2022–Present)

Delta Habitat Conservation Plan, Department of Water Resources, San Francisco Bay Delta Region, California. Conducted focused surveys for burrowing owl, northwestern pond turtle, and dry season branchiopods. Identified wildlife species in wildlife movement study camera trap photos. Assisted with writing of the draft habitat conservation plan. (2022–2023)

San Joaquin Habitat Conservation Plan, Department of Water Resources, San Joaquin Valley Region, California. Conducted focused surveys for rare plants and identified wildlife species in wildlife movement study camera trap photos, including San Joaquin kit fox. (2022)

Relevant Previous Experience

Disaster Recovery

Camp Fire Hazard Tree Removal Project, California Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery, Paradise, California. Conducted nesting bird surveys and biological site assessments prior to hazard tree removal on properties affected by the Camp Fire. Conducted surveys and habitat assessments for California red-legged frog and foothill yellow-legged frog. Established work buffers around active bird nests and sensitive aquatic habitats and provided biological construction monitoring for nesting birds, California red-legged frog, and sensitive aquatic habitat. Provided Worker Environmental Awareness Training to construction personnel. (2021)

Energy

Gas Transmission Line R-985 In-Line Inspection and Upgrade Project, Pacific Gas & Electric, Millbrae, California. Provided biological construction monitoring for California red-legged frog, San Francisco garter snake (*Thamnophis sirtalis tetrataenia*), and nesting birds under the direct supervision of a permitted biologist during earthmoving work and excavation. Provided biological training to construction personnel and vehicle escort between active work areas. (2021)

Electric Power Line Inspections, Pacific Gas & Electric, Moraga and Orinda, California. Conducted nesting bird surveys along electrical lines in preparation for inspections, Orinda to Moraga section. (2021)

Alto Substation Defensible Space Project, Pacific Gas & Electric, Mill Valley, California. Provided biological construction monitoring for California Ridgway's rail (*Rallus obsoletus obsoletus*), salt marsh harvest mouse (*Reithrodontomys raviventris*), and nesting birds during earthmoving and trenching activities. Provided biological training to construction personnel. (2021)

Field Research

Golden Gate Raptor Observatory Hawk Banding Program, Marin Headlands, California. Participating in ongoing migration monitoring of diurnal raptors during autumn migration season. Working with a team at a banding station to trap, band, and collect morphometric data on migrating hawks and falcons in the Golden Gate National Recreation Area. Handled, banded, measured, aged, and sexed red-tailed hawk, red- shouldered hawk (*Buteo lineatus*), Cooper's hawk (*Accipiter cooperii*), sharp-shinned hawk (*Accipiter striatus*), northern harrier (*Circus hudsonius*), and merlin (*Falco columbarius*). (2016–Present)



Mourning Dove Banding Program, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, San Mateo County, California.

Participating locally in ongoing population monitoring for the National Mourning Dove Strategic Harvest Management Plan. Working independently to trap, band, sex, and age mourning doves (*Zenaida macroura*) during the summer banding season. (2021–Present)

San Jose State University, Department of Environmental Studies, Master of Science Graduate Thesis Research, Santa Clara County, California. Investigated the effects of urbanization on the diet and reproduction of nesting red-shouldered hawks in Santa Clara County. Independently conducted raptor nest surveys, identified prey species (mammal, bird, reptile, and amphibian), and collected data in the field. Mapped and analyzed habitat characteristics using ArcGIS, conducted statistical analyses, and synthesized existing literature and study results in a master's thesis. Thesis defended in April 2020 and published in August 2020. (2018–2020)

California State Polytechnic University, Pomona, Department of Biology, Honors Undergraduate Research, Pomona, California. Conducted visual and aural detection surveys for coastal California gnatcatcher (*Polioptila californica californica*) and vegetation sampling to determine habitat preferences on the Voorhis Ecological Reserve in Pomona. Conducted GIS mapping of habitat and gnatcatcher activity and statistical analysis of habitat preference. Presented results at the Kellogg Honors College Capstone Symposium in June 2012. (2011–2012)

Water Resources

Calaveras Fault Inlet-Outlet Levee Road Culvert Repair Project, Valley Water, San Benito County, California. Conducted protocol-level surveys for Swainson's hawk, including six sunrise and three sunset surveys in the 2020 nesting season and one sunrise survey in 2021. Conducted presence-absence and nesting surveys for burrowing owl, white-tailed kite, bald eagle, tricolored blackbird, and loggerhead shrike (*Lanius Iudovicianus*). (2020–2021)

Cheltenham Way Culvert Replacement Project, Valley Water, San Jose, California. Prepared a biological site assessment for the Cheltenham Way Culvert Replacement Project in accordance with Valley Water Avoidance and Mitigation Measures, Santa Clara Valley Habitat Plan applications, the California Environmental Quality Act, and other permits. Conducted reconnaissance-level biological surveys at the project site and geospatial analyses of wildlife records using the California Natural Diversity Database. (2020)

Chesbro Dam Spillway and Plunge Pool Inspection, Valley Water, Morgan Hill, California. Conducted monitoring for southwestern pond turtle and relocation of non-salmonid fish species during water draining and inspection activities under the supervision of a senior biologist. Assisted with daily water sampling activities utilizing a Horiba U-50. (2020)

South County Stream Gauges Project, Valley Water, Morgan Hill and Gilroy, California. Conducted surveys for least Bell's vireo (Vireo bellii pusillus) and tricolored blackbird for two proposed stream flow gauges in south Santa Clara County. Performed habitat assessments and geographic information system (GIS) analyses of habitat impacts. Co-authored biological site assessment memorandum for Item 5 of the Valley Habitat Plan Application Package. (2020)

Vasona Pump Station Fence and Gate Replacement Project, Valley Water, Los Gatos, California. Conducted preconstruction surveys for nesting birds and San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat at the Vasona Pump Station. Provided monitoring for nesting raptors during construction activities. (2020)

San Francisquito Creek Flood Protection Project, Valley Water, Palo Alto, California. Assisted with protocol-level passive detection surveys for California Ridgway's rail as part of the mitigation and monitoring plan for the San Francisquito Flood Protection Project. (2020)



In-House Water Utility Enterprise Projects, Valley Water, Santa Clara County, California. Projects included, but were not limited to, routine maintenance on pipelines, pump stations, water treatment plants, canals, and groundwater recharge facilities; vegetation management; invasive plant control and removal; and dam maintenance and inspection. Conducted pre-activity surveys and biomonitoring for nesting birds, burrowing owl, tricolored blackbird, least Bell's vireo, California red-legged frog, California tiger salamander, southwestern pond turtle, San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat, and rare plants including Santa Clara Valley dudleya (*Dudleya abramsii* ssp. setchellii), smooth lessingia (*Lessingia micradenia* var. *glabrata*), and Hall's bush-mallow. Produced internal field reports and biological clearances for distribution to facility managers and project leads. Conducted database searches in the California Natural Diversity Database and managed database of survey activities. (2019–2021)

In-House Stream Maintenance Program Projects, Valley Water, Santa Clara County, California. Projects included, but were not limited to, routine maintenance of channelized rivers and creeks, vegetation management, invasive plant control and removal, and hazard tree removal. Conducted pre-activity surveys and biomonitoring for nesting birds, tricolored blackbird, least Bell's vireo, California red-legged frog, California tiger salamander, southwestern pond turtle, and San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat. Conducted nighttime eyeshine surveys for California red-legged frog and assisted with electrofishing activities for relocation of non-salmonid native fish species. Conducted monitoring for salt marsh harvest mouse under direct supervision of experienced biologists. (2019–2021)

Specialized Training

- Pond Turtle Nesting Workshop, The Wildlife Project, June 2023. Attended an online lecture (3 hours) and field workshop (4.5 hours) covering upland habitat use and breeding behavior of northwestern and southwestern pond turtles. Field portion included techniques for conducting nest surveys, documenting nest predation, and assessing nesting habitat. Handled 4 southwestern and 1 northwestern pond turtles and identified 2 intact and 1 predated pond turtle nests.
- Adult First Aid/CPR/AED, Dudek, September 2022.
- Level I California Red-Legged Frog Natural History and Identification Workshop, The Wildlife Project, July 2021. Attended an online lecture (3.5 hours) and overnight field workshop (6.0 field hours) covering the identification, ecology, and survey techniques for California red-legged frog, including dip netting, hand capture, and eyeshine surveys. Additional identification information on other rare species likely to co-occur including foothill yellow-legged frog and northwestern/southwestern pond turtle. Captured and handled California red-legged frog, foothill yellow-legged frog, northwestern pond turtle, and coast range newts (*Taricha torosa*).
- Graduate Certificate in Environmental Planning, San Jose State University, June 2020. Certificate program
 of 12 semester units, including courses on environmental planning, land use planning and law, and
 environmental impact analysis, intended to provide a working knowledge of environmental planning
 regulations and procedures.

Publications

Fisher, C.Y. 2020. "Effects of Urbanization and Habitat on the Diet and Reproduction of Red-shouldered Hawks in Central California." Master's thesis; San Jose State University, San Jose.

Surveying and Monitoring Hours for Relevant Species*

Species	Surveying Hours	Monitoring Hours	Notes	
Bat species	60	0	Conducted diurnal roost surveys and roost habitat assessments.	
Burrowing owl	115	0	Provided pre-activity visual encounter and nesting surveys and protocol- level sunrise/sunset surveys. Positive identification of active burrows and owls.	
California red- legged frog	170	100	Provided pre-construction visual encounter surveys, nocturnal eyeshine surveys, construction monitoring, and general habitat assessment. Experience with hand-capture and dip-netting for adults. Attended CRLF Level I workshop in which positive detection of adult CRLF was made.	
California tiger salamander	85	20	Provided pre-activity visual encounter surveys; conducted seine and dip netting surveys for larvae. Positive detection of larval CTS was made.	
Eagles (bald/golden)	20	0	Conducted point count eagle activity surveys and visual detection surveys. Positive detection of adult and juvenile bald and golden eagles.	
Fish	5	5	Assisted with non-salmonid fish relocation and monitoring, including electrofishing.	
Foothill yellow- legged frog	50+	0	Provided pre-construction visual encounter surveys and general habitat assessment. Attended CRLF Level I workshop in which positive detection of adult FYLF was made.	
Nesting birds and raptors	850+	350+	Provided pre-construction surveys and monitoring for nesting bird and raptor species. Positively identified numerous bird nests of various species.	
Pond turtle (northwestern/ southwestern)	60	20	Conducted visual encounter surveys and monitoring. Attended Pond Turtle Nesting Workshop in which positive detection of adults and nests were made of both species. Attended CRLF Level I workshop in which positive detection of adults was made of both species.	
Rare Plants	180	0	Conducted protocol-level transect surveys and pre-construction activity surveys. Positive identification of several species of focal plants.	
San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat	430	50	Provided pre-construction surveys and biomonitoring for woodrat middens. Positive identification of several active middens. Relocated 23 middens.	
San Joaquin kit fox	70 (field), 120 (images)	0	Provided pre-activity visual encounter surveys and transect surveys for habitat assessment with positive detection via scat. Processed and tagged SJKF camera trap images including 6 positive detections of images of adult SJKF.	
Swainson's Hawk	35	0	Protocol-level nesting surveys. Observed several pairs during courtship, nest-building, incubation, nestling, and fledgling stages.	
Tricolored Blackbird	10	24	Conducted visual detection surveys and biomonitoring of colony of approx. 500 nests through fledging and a second brood.	
Vernal Pool Branchiopods	50	0	Dry season surveys.	

Notes

* Hours are approximate.

1

Kelsey Higney

BIOLOGIST

Kelsey Higney (*KEL-see HIG-nee; she/her*) is a biologist with 5 years' experience in field biology, conducting surveys for birds, herpetofauna, invertebrates, mammals, and plants, as well as habitat assessments and compliance monitoring. She has worked extensively on protocol-level and preconstruction surveys for a range of sensitive species including burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia*),California tiger salamander (*Ambystoma californiense*), California red-legged frog (*Rana draytonii*), California spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis occidentalis*), Swainson's hawk (*Buteo swainsoni*), rare plants, and Migratory Bird Treaty Act nesting birds. Ms. Higney has extensive experience and leads crews in nesting bird surveys in Northern and Central California. She has a broad skill set in wildlife and botanical sampling techniques, invasive plant management, technical report writing, and data management.

Main Street Ferry Terminal Marine Mammal Surveys and Monitoring.

for marine mammals during in-water construction. (2023)

Project Experience

Development

San Francisco Bay Area Water Emergency Transport Authority, Alameda, California. Performed preconstruction surveys for the presence of harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina*) and California sea lions (*Zalophus californianus*) within the Alameda ferry terminal impact zones. Provided construction monitoring

El Salto Drive Project, City of Capitola, California. Performed a biological resources reconnaissance survey for sensitive biological resources including plants, wildlife, and potentially jurisdictional aquatic resources. Provided the client with the scope of work. Composed a biological resources technical memorandum. (2023)

Don Dahvee Trailhead Improvement Project, City of Monterey, California. Performed a biological resources reconnaissance survey for sensitive biological resources including plants, wildlife, and potentially jurisdictional aquatic resources. Target plant species in the area included Yadon's rein-orchid (*Piperia yadonii*), Monterey pine (*Pinus radiata*), and Hickman's onion (*Allium hickmanii*). Monterey pine was identified on the project site. Provided the client with the scope of work. Composed a biological resources technical memorandum. (2023)

Veterans Memorial Park Parking Lot Improvement Project, City of Monterey. California. Performed a biological resources reconnaissance survey for sensitive biological resources including plants, wildlife, and potentially jurisdictional aquatic resources. Target plant species in the area included Monterey pine, which was identified on the project site. Yadon's rein-orchid is known to occur in the project region. Provided the client with the scope of work. Composed a biological resources technical memorandum. (2023)

Education Antioch University New England MS, Environmental Studies (Conservation Biology) San Francisco State University BS, Biology (Zoology)



DUDEK

Pelican's Jaw Blunt-Nosed Leopard Lizard Surveys, Confidential Client, Kettleman City, California. Performed bluntnosed leopard lizard (*Gambelia sila*) protocol transect survey of a potential solar energy site as a Level 1 surveyor, under the guidance of a Level 2 survey lead. (2023)

Coyote Creek Swainson's Hawk and Burrowing Owl Surveys, Confidential Client, Sloughhouse, California. Led nesting season surveys for burrowing owl and Swainson's hawk. Performed habitat mapping of potential nectar resources and nesting habitat for Crotch's bumble bee (*Bombus crotchii*). (2022–2023)

San Benito Campus Project Burrow Survey, Gavilan College, Hollister, California. Surveyed for burrows with potential to support sensitive wildlife including California tiger salamander, American badger (*Taxidea taxus*), burrowing owl, and San Joaquin kit fox (*Vulpes macrotis mutica*), and reviewed the draft of a biological resources technical memorandum. (2023)

Habitat Conservation Plan Vegetation Mapping, University of California, Santa Cruz, California. Assisted senior botanist with vegetation mapping on the University of California, Santa Cruz, campus. (2022)

Brio Studios Mixed-Use Building Site Nesting Bird Survey, Confidential Client, Fairfield, California. Performed a Migratory Bird Treaty Act nesting bird survey of the work site for active bird nests. (2022)

Mission Trail Preserve Reconnaissance Survey, Confidential Client, Carmel-by-the-Sea, California. Surveyed for sensitive wildlife and plant species to inform project constraints and drafted a technical memorandum. (2022)

Energy

Confidential Solar Project, Alameda County, California. Performed biological resources surveys including burrow mapping, floral resources mapping for Crotch's bumble bee, California red-legged frog habitat assessment, and a rare plant survey for an energy storage site. Identified big tarplant (*Blepharizonia plumosa*) within the survey area. (2023)

Gonzaga Ridge Wind Farm Repowering Project Rare Plant and Aquatic Delineation Surveys, Confidential Client, Los Banos, California. Performed rare plant surveys under supervision of a qualified botanist in the vicinity of San Luis Reservoir, California (field logistics lead). Target plant species were big-scale balsam root (*Balsamhoriza macrolepis*), Hall's bush-mallow (*Malacothamnus hallii*), lime-ridge navarretia (*Navarretia gowenii*), shining navarretia (*Navarretia nigelliformis* ssp. *radians*), and spiny-sepaled button celery (*Eryngium spinosepalum*). Assisted with the jurisdictional delineation of aquatic resources, identifying multiple vernal pools, ephemeral drainages, seasonal wetlands, and seasonal wetland swales. Composed a rare plant survey memorandum, aquatic resources delineation report, and biological sections of the project's California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) addendum. (2023)

Gonzaga Raptor Point-Count Surveys, Confidential Client, Los Banos, California. Performed point counts for all raptor species present on a wind energy site, with a focus on the age class and flight path of golden eagles (*Aquila chrysaetos*) and bald eagles (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*). (2022–2023)

Sonrisa Solar Swainson's Hawk Surveys, Confidential Client, Mendota, California. Performed breeding-season surveys for Swainson's hawk to locate and monitor nests. Identified Swainson's hawk pairs and individuals and determined stages of active nests. (2022–2023)

Ponderosa Battery Energy Storage Biological Reconnaissance Survey, Confidential Client, Sacramento, California. Surveyed for potential habitat to support sensitive wildlife, plants, and aquatic resources to inform project constraints and drafted a biological resources assessment. (2022)

Confidential Solar Project, Confidential Client, Santa Rosa, California. Performed wildlife reconnaissance and assisted with rare plant reconnaissance and jurisdictional delineation. Composed a biological resource assessment technical report. (2022)

Berrenda Mesa Solar Project, Confidential Client, Lost Hills, California. Monitored the installation of utility poles for blunt-nosed leopard lizard and the safety of common wildlife. (2022)

Confidential Solar Project, Confidential Client, Newman, California. Participated in preconstruction surveys for San Joaquin kit fox (15 transect miles), burrowing owl (15 transect miles), and valley elderberry longhorn beetle (*Desmocerus californicus dimorphus*; 1 hour), for a total of approximately 20 survey hours. (2021)

Confidential Solar Project, Confidential Client, Mojave, California. Participated in site clearance surveys for Mojave desert tortoise (60 transect miles) and preconstruction surveys for San Joaquin kit fox, burrowing owl, and American badger (30 transect miles). Performed four burrow/den excavations and compliance monitoring, including the relocation of non-listed small mammals and snakes, for a total of approximately 150 hours. (2021)

Fire/Fuels Mitigation

Robleda-Burke Evacuation Route Project, Santa Clara County FireSafe Council (SCCFSC), Los Altos, California. Performed preconstruction surveys for sensitive biological resources including San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat (*Neotoma fuscipes* ssp. *annectens*) middens, sensitive California Department of Fish and Wildlife sensitive vegetation communities, and aquatic resources to flag for avoidance prior to vegetation/fuels management. (2023)

Page Mill Road Evacuation Route Project, SCCFSC, Los Altos, California. Performed preconstruction surveys for sensitive biological resources including nesting birds prior to vegetation/fuels management. (2023)

Bear Creek Redwoods Preconstruction Surveys, Midpeninsula Open Space Trust, Los Gatos, California. Surveyed and flagged sensitive natural resources including San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat middens and aquatic resources for avoidance during vegetation treatments for fuels mitigation. (2022–2023).

Highways 35 and 84 Roadside Fuel Management Project, FIRE SAFE San Mateo County, California. Performed field reconnaissance for sensitive wildlife and plant resources along highway corridors. Performed vegetation mapping to California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) alliances. Composed a biological constraints analysis technical report. (2022)

Infrastructure

Arana Gulch Sewer Line Replacement Project, County of Santa Cruz, California. Relocated woodrat middens to new locations away from the project site to mitigate impacts of vegetation clearing. Provided construction monitoring during vegetation clearing and debris removal. Delivered the project-specific Worker's Environmental Awareness Training (WEAT). (2023)



Iris Canyon Sediment Removal Project, City of Monterey, California. Performed preconstruction surveys for sensitive biological resources including nesting birds and California red-legged frog and monitored vegetation clearing and sediment removal activities within Iris Canyon, Monterey, California. (2023)

Presidio of Monterey Preconstruction Surveys, City of Monterey, California. Performed preconstruction nesting bird surveys prior to tree work within the Presidio of Monterey. Composed a biological resources technical memorandum. (2023)

East Cliff Sanitary Sewer Transmission Marine Mammal Surveys, Confidential Client, Santa Cruz, California. Performed site impact surveys for bridge alteration on marine mammals in the Santa Cruz Small Craft Harbor, collecting data on off-haul sites and the number of individuals. (2022)

Eureka Manchester Fiber Optic, Confidential Client, Eureka and Ukiah, California. Assisted with jurisdictional delineation and vegetation mapping along the project alignment. (2022)

Mitigation Monitoring

Bonturi Ranch Kit Fox Surveys, Los Banos, California. Performed San Joaquin kit fox burrow survey transects and camera trap study support on a potential mitigation site within San Joaquin kit fox habitat. (2023)

Potrero Hills Landfill Mitigation Site California Tiger Salamander Survey, Confidential Client, North San Francisco Bay, California. Performed dip-net surveys for larval California tiger salamanders. Handled more than 400 larval individuals. (2022)

Permitting

San Joaquin Field Division Culvert Replacement Project, California Department of Water Resources (DWR), Bakersfield, California. Assisted with compiling permit applications for receipt by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), CDFW, and the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) for a culvert replacement project. (2023)

Alpine Rancho Palo Verde Project, Confidential Client, Chula Vista, California. Assisted with compiling permit applications for receipt by USACE, CDFW, and the RWQCB for a residential development. (2023)

Nirvana Project, Confidential Client, Chula Vista, California. Assisted with compiling permit applications for receipt by USACE, CDFW, and the San Diego RWQCB for a commercial development in Chula Vista. (2021)

Transportation

Highway 128 Emergency Repairs Monitoring, California Department of Transportation, Sonoma County, California. Provided biological monitoring within foothill yellow-legged frog (*Rana boylii*) and red-bellied newt (*Taricha rivularis*) habitat during emergency highway repairs. (2023)

Water Resources/Stormwater

Storm Drain Maintenance Program, City of Monterey, California. Performed biological pre-construction surveys for sensitive plants and wildlife including California red-legged frog in areas of impact associated with the City of Monterey's storm drain maintenance program. Delivered the project-specific WEAT and the city's annual WEAT training, covering all sensitive biological resources potentially occurring in the project region. (2023)

New Groundwater Well Project, Scotts Valley Water District, Scotts Valley, California. Surveyed for sensitive wildlife and plant species to inform project constraints at a water infrastructure site and drafted a technical memorandum. (2022)

Clifton Court Forebay Rodent Burrow Remediation Project Compliance, DWR, Tracy, California. Monitored rodent burrow remediation activities aimed at preventing damage to state water infrastructure along the slope of the forebay. Performed a preconstruction nesting bird survey identifying an active red-winged blackbird (*Agelaius phoeniceus*) nest. (2022–2023)

Sisk Dam Compliance and Biological Surveys, DWR, Los Banos, California. Performed fence checks for and preconstruction burrow excavations of burrows with potential for California red-legged frog and California tiger salamander. Monitored construction activities in potential habitat for American badger, kit fox, California red-legged frog and California tiger salamander, and sensitive raptors. Conducted Swainson's hawk, bald eagle, and golden eagle surveys (lead). Performed rare plant surveys under supervision of a lead botanist. The primary target plant in the area was spiny-sepaled button-celery (2022–2023)

Saratoga Creek Hazard Tree and Restoration Project Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) Inspections, Confidential Client, Saratoga, California. Performed pre-storm, during-storm, and post-storm SWPPP inspections of the active restoration site including water pH and turbidity sampling. Recommended best management practices where necessary. (2022–2023)

Moss Landing SWPPP Inspections, Confidential Client, Moss Landing, California. Performed pre-storm, duringstorm, and post-storm SWPPP inspections of the active battery energy storage system project site including water pH and turbidity sampling. Recommended best management practices where necessary. (2022–2023)

Intertie-1 Biological Reconnaissance Survey, Scotts Valley Water District, Scotts Valley/Santa Cruz, California. Surveyed for potential habitat to support sensitive wildlife, plants, and aquatic resources to inform project constraints and drafted a biological resources assessment in accordance with the City of Santa Cruz Water Rights Environmental Impact Report. Target rare plants in the project area included Anderson's manzanita (*Arctostaphylos andersonii*), Santa Cruz tarplant (*Holocarpha macradenia*), and Scott's Valley spineflower (*Chorizanthe robusta* var. *hartwegii*). Updated biological records searches and vegetation mapping to California Wildlife Habitat Relationships (CHWR) classifications for the client's new project alignment. (2023)

Buttonbush Solar Jurisdictional Delineation, Confidential Client, Bakersfield, California. Assisted experienced wetland delineators with a jurisdictional delineation of aquatic resources. Performed vegetation mapping of the survey area. (2023)

Mid-County Aquifer Storage and Recovery Project, City of Santa Cruz, California. Performed biological reconnaissance surveys at urban aquifer storage sites prior to infrastructure improvements. Composed a technical memorandum. (2022)

Graham Hill Water Treatment Plant Improvement Project Vegetation Mapping, City of Santa Cruz, California. Updated records searches for sensitive plants and wildlife for the addition of a new project area. Performed vegetation mapping to CDFW alliances. (2022)

Delta/San Joaquin Habitat Conservation Plans, DWR, Central Valley, California. Performed wildlife surveys for burrowing owl, desert kit fox (*Vulpes macrotis*), American badger, fairy shrimp (*Anostraca*), California red-legged frog, California tiger salamander, and western pond turtle (*Actinemys marmorata*) along the California aqueduct



and easements. Set up bioacoustics monitoring equipment for the detection of bat species. Assisted botanists with rare plant surveys and vegetation mapping in the San Joaquin Valley. Composed species profile accounts for the final Delta Habitat Conservation Plan report. (2021–2022)

Water Tank #9 Burrowing Owl Survey, Confidential Client, Rohnert Park, California. Performed two burrowing owl surveys of the work area and its surrounding habitat. Composed a technical memorandum. (2022)

Relevant Previous Experience

Disaster Recovery

Pipeline P00457 Incident, Industrial Economics, Huntington Beach, California. Performed human-use spot count data collection on beaches after an oil spill, for a total of approximately 350 survey hours. (2021)

CZU Fire Debris Cleanup, CalRecycle, Santa Cruz and Santa Clara Counties, California. Conducted Migratory Bird Treaty Act nesting bird surveys, for a total of approximately 1050 survey hours. Conducted sensitive species site assessments for California red-legged frog, Foothill yellow-legged frog (*Rana boylii*), California tiger salamander, California giant salamander (*Dicamptodon ensatus*), Santa Cruz black salamander (*Aneides flavopunctatus niger*), San Francisco garter snake (*Thamnophis sirtalis tetrataenia*), Coho salmon (*Thamnophis sirtalis tetrataenia*), steelhead trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss irideus*), and western pond turtle. Performed watercourse classifications and flagging to establish work buffer. Performed compliance monitoring for these target species of concern and water resources during hazard tree and fire debris removal, for a total of approximately 150 hours. (2021)

Federal

U.S. Forest Service Wildlife Site Occupancy Surveys, U.S. Forest Service, Groveland Ranger District, California. Served as lead technician. Surveyed and collected data on California spotted owl, great gray owl, and northern goshawk during callback surveys and nest searches following U.S. Forest Service (USFS) standardized protocols, for a total of approximately 500 survey hours. Performed Pacific fisher camera surveys following USFS Pacific Southwest Research Station survey protocol. Responsible for survey mapping using ArcMap and data entry using the USFS NRIS natural resources management database. (2021)

USFS Wildlife Site Occupancy Surveys, Great Basin Institute for USFS, Calaveras County, California. Surveyed and collected data on California spotted owl and Northern goshawk during callback surveys and nest searches following USFS standardized protocols, for a total of approximately 500 survey hours. Performed Pacific fisher camera surveys following USFS Pacific Southwest Research Station survey protocol. Responsible for survey mapping using ArcMap and data entry using the USFS NRIS natural resources management database. (2020)

Research

Grassland Breeding Bird Nesting Habitat and Predation Study, Centro de Ecologia Aplicada del Litoral, Corrientes, Argentina. Assisted PhD candidate, Melanie Brown, in nest searching and nest monitoring for sensitive Ibera grassland avian species to determine predation rates and nest habitat quality at a variety of levels of cattle grazing. Target species were strange-tailed Tyrant (*Alectrurus risora*), black-and-white monjita (*Heteroxolmis dominicana*), Ibera seedeater (*Sporophila iberaensis*), rufous-rumped seedeater (*Sporophila hypochroma*), and tawny-bellied Seedeater (*Sporophila hypoxantha*). Performed vegetation transects for grass, sedge, and forb frequency at nest sites. Banded strange-tailed tyrant chicks from nest. Misted netted target species for banding using playback. (2019)

Sierra Nevada Bioacoustics Monitoring for California Spotted Owl, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Plumas and Lassen National Forests, California. Responsible for deployment and retrieval of Cornell University's Swift automated recording devices for California spotted owl and barred owl (*Strix varia*) detections within the study grid, for a total of approximately 1000 field hours. Performed bioacoustics data analysis using Raven software, for a total of approximately 50 hours. (2019)

Cape Cod National Seashore Salt Marsh Restoration, Antioch University New England, Keene, New Hampshire. Served as research assistant in a benthic macroinvertebrate lab processing water samples for macroinvertebrate species' richness and abundance in a restoration study under Dr. Rachel Thiet. Separated organisms to finest level possible by eye, primarily by phylum, order, or sometimes family (including *Amphipoda, Arthropoda, Gastropoda, Nematoda, Annelida*), and sent to the U.S. National Park Service for speciation. (2019)

IPBio Bioacoustics Internship, Reserva Betary, Iporanga, Brazil. Assisted a non-governmental organization with analyzing one year of acoustic data for endemic frogs using Wildlife Acoustic's Kaleidoscope software. Assisted in chytridiomycosis lab monitoring progression of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in tadpoles. (2018)

Restoration

Restoration Project, American Conservation Experience for U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Kern and Pixley National Wildlife Refuges, California. Removed invasive salt cedar (*Tamarisk*) from upland habitat. Performed point count surveys for wintering Sandhill cranes (*Antigone canadensis*). (2021)

Specialized Training

- Identifying and Appreciating the Native and Naturalized Grasses of California, California Native Grasslands Association. Attended 1-day workshop at the University of California, Davis, Bodega Bay Marine Lab focused on keying and identifying California's grasses using the Jepson eFlora dichotomous key. Toured the coastal prairie and learned the identification of local coastal bluff species. Instructors: Andrea Williams, Emily Allen, Michele Hammond. May 2023.
- California Red-legged Frog Level II Workshop, The Wildlife Project. Attended five field trainings addressing all California red-legged frog life stages with positive identification of the species throughout Central California including El Dorado, Marin, Sonoma, Santa Clara and San Benito Counties. 2023.
- Rare Pond Species Workshop, Laguna de Santa Rosa Foundation. Attended a lecture, taught by Jeff Alvarez and Dave Cook, on the identification, habitat, life history, and conservation of California redlegged frog, California tiger salamander, and western pond turtle. Learned techniques for safe handling of the species. Performed eye-shine surveys for California red-legged frogs, including successful identification, catching, and handling of adult California red-legged frogs. 2022.
- California Tiger Salamander Workshop, Laguna de Santa Rosa Foundation. Attended a lecture, taught by Jeff Alvarez and Dave Cook, on the identification, habitat, life history, and conservation of California tiger salamander. Visited California tiger salamander tunnels in Sonoma County. Learned methods and assisted in the construction of drift fencing. Performed larval dip-net surveys and measured individual California tiger salamander larva at the Alton Lane mitigation site and study area. 2022.



Tara Johnson-Kelly

BIOLOGIST I

Tara Johnson-Kelly (she/her) is a biologist with 6 years' professional experience in field biology, conducting preconstruction and protocol-level surveys for special-status species, technical report writing, regulatory permitting, and compliance monitoring for projects throughout California.

Tara has extensive experience surveying and monitoring for California red-legged frog (*Rana draytonii*), California tiger salamander (*Ambystoma californiense*), foothill yellow-legged frog (*Rana boylii*), western pond turtle (northwestern pond turtle [*Actinemys marmorata*] and southwestern pond turtle [*Actinemys pallida*]), dusky-footed woodrat (San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat [*Neotoma fuscipes annectens*] and Monterey dusky-footed woodrat [*Neotoma fuscipes luciana*]), roosting bats, nesting birds, and others. She is proficient in special-status species identification, ecology, and habitat requirements, and is familiar with state and federal environmental laws, CEQA, and the Santa Clara Valley Habitat Plan.

Additionally, Ms. Johnson-Kelly possesses a U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Section 10(a)1(A) Native Endangered and Threatened Species Recovery

Education

University of California, Santa Cruz, BA, Environmental Studies, 2017

Cabrillo College, AA, Liberal Arts and Sciences, Interdisciplinary Studies, 2015

Permits

USFWS, Section 10(a)1(A) Native Endangered and Threatened Species Recovery Permit, No. PER0040489-0

California tiger salamander

CDFW, Specific Use Scientific Collecting Permit, No. SC-222890002-22298-001 (pending)

- California tiger salamander
- Foothill yellow-legged frog

Permit for California tiger salamander and an amendment for California red-legged frog and foothill yellow-legged frog is pending. She has also applied for a California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) Specific Use Scientific Collecting Permit for foothill yellow-legged frog and California tiger salamander.

	, 0			•
Species	Years of Experience	Monitoring Hours	Surveying Hours	Notes
Rare plants	5	0	100	Conducted rare plant surveys in vernal pools, annual grassland, oak savannah, and inland and coastal chaparral habitats. Positively identified species including spiny-sepaled button-celery, Lime Ridge navarretia, Hall's bush-mallow, Kern mallow, woodland woollythreads, and Anderson's manzanita.
California red- legged frog	6	1,000	500	Conducted pre-activity visual encounter-surveys, nocturnal eyeshine surveys, compliance monitoring, burrow excavation, relocation under Biological Opinion, and general habitat assessments. Attended the Rare Pond Species Workshop, Level I and Level II California Red-Legged Frog Field Workshops, and Baja California Reptile and Amphibian workshop where positive species detections were made and supervised handling occurred. Relocated five frogs on a project in Alameda County.
Foothill yellow- legged frog	3	16	100	Conducted pre-activity visual encounter surveys, nocturnal eyeshine surveys, and general habitat assessments. Attended Level I and Level II Foothill Yellow-Legged Frog Field workshops

Approximate Surveying and Monitoring Hours for Relevant Species and Resources

Approximate Surveying and Monitoring Hours for Relevant Species and Resources

Species	Years of Experience	Monitoring Hours	Surveying Hours	Notes
				where positive species detections were made and supervised handling occurred.
California giant salamander	3	16	100	Conducted preconstruction surveys in suitable habitat. Observed and handled larvae and adults during Advanced CRLF Workshop (2 adults, 7 larvae) and Level I Foothill Yellow-legged Frog workshop (2 larvae).
Pond turtle (northwestern/ southwestern)	5	300	100	Conducted pre-activity visual encounter surveys, compliance monitoring, relocation under Biological Opinion, and general habitat assessments. Attended the Rare Pond Species Workshop where positive species detections were made and supervised handling occurred. Relocated four turtles on a project in Santa Clara County.
Nesting birds	5	1,000	1,000	Conducted pre-activity surveys and monitoring for nesting birds and raptors. Positively identified numerous bird nests and established no-disturbance buffers around active nests.
San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat	6	0	200	Conducted pre-activity surveys for woodrat nests, mapped nest locations, established no-disturbance buffers around nests, and relocated sixteen nests on projects in Santa Cruz and Santa Clara Counties.
Roosting bats	5	500	200	Conducted pre-construction surveys and monitoring for roosting bats.

Relevant Project Experience

Delta Dams Rodent Burrow Remediation Project at Patterson Dam Monitoring and Maintenance Plan, Department of Water Resources, Alameda County, California. Served as a CDFW-approved monitor for California red-legged frog. Monitored ground disturbing activities and channel sediment clearing, relocated California red-legged frogs found during construction, inspected wildlife exclusion fencing and cover boards, and conducted Worker Environmental Awareness Training for project personnel. Relocated five adult frogs during channel sediment removal activities to suitable habitat outside the project site. (2024)

B.F. Sisk Dam Safety of Dams Modification Project, Department of Water Resources, Merced County, California.

Conducted protocol-level pedestrian surveys for rare plants and identified several populations of spiny-sepaled button-celery (*Eryngium spinosepalum*). Performed wildlife exclusion fence checks and construction monitoring as a Qualified Biologist for California red-legged frog. (2023-present)

Loma Chiquita Road—Mt Chual Spur Road Escape Route Project, Santa Clara County FireSafe Council, Santa Clara County, California. Conducted reconnaissance surveys for rare plants, San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat, California red-legged frog, foothill yellow-legged frog, western pond turtle, roosting bats and nesting birds within 30-feet of the road edge along Loma Chiquita Road and Mt. Chual Spur Road in Santa Clara County. Identified woodland woollythreads (*Monolopia gracilens*) within the treatment area during the surveys. Prepared a Biological Resources Analysis of sensitive resources that could be impacted by project activities. (2024)

Mt. Madonna–Summit Road Evacuation Route Project, Santa Clara County FireSafe Council, Santa Clara County, California. Conducted preconstruction surveys for rare plants, San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat, nesting birds,

roosting bats, and aquatic resources prior to wildfire fuel reduction activities with 30-feet of the road edge along 11 miles of Summit Road in Santa Clara County. Identified several populations of Anderson's manzanita (*Arctostaphylos andersonii*) and flagged them with avoidance buffers. (2023)

Gonzaga Ridge Wind Farm Repowering Project, Scout Clean Energy LLC, Merced and Santa Clara Counties, California. Conducted protocol-level pedestrian surveys for rare plants for a wind turbine replacement project. Positively identified populations of big-scale balsam root (*Balsamorhiza macrolepis*), spiny-sepaled button-celery, Hall's bush-mallow (*Malacothamnus hallii*), and Lime Ridge navarretia (*Navarretia gowenii*). (2023)

Arana Sewer Trunk Line Replacement Project, Santa Cruz County Sanitation District, Santa Cruz County, California. Served as Qualified Biologist for California red-legged frog for the replacement of an asbestos cement gravity sanitary sewer trunk line. Developed and presented a Worker Environmental Awareness Program and relocated 13 San Francsico dusky-footed woodrat nests to suitable habitat outside the project site using California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW)-approved methods. (2023)

Iris Canyon Sediment Removal Project, City of Monterey, Monterey County, California. Performed a preconstruction survey for California red-legged frog, Monterey dusky-footed woodrat, and southwestern pond turtle for the Iris Canyon Sediment Removal Project. Sensitive resources were mapped and flagged with appropriate buffers for avoidance during project implementation. (2023)

Highway 35 Roadside Fuel Management Project, Fire Safe San Mateo County, San Mateo County, California. Performed preconstruction surveys for San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat, nesting birds, and aquatic resources. Sensitive resources were flagged for avoidance during project implementation. (2023)

Bear Creek Redwoods Open Space Preserve Vegetation Treatment Project, Midpeninsula Regional Open Space District, Santa Cruz County, California. Conducted preconstruction surveys for California red-legged frog, foothill yellow-legged frog, western pond turtle, San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat, roosting bats, nesting birds, and other special-status species for a vegetation management project. San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat nests and potential habitats for California red-legged frog and western pond turtle were identified, mapped, and flagged for avoidance. (2022)

Meritage Dam Removal and Restoration Project, Meritage Home Owners Association, Gilroy, California. Monitored dewatering and earthwork activities for western pond turtle during the removal and restoration of a dam built illegally on mitigation land within the Santa Clara Valley Habitat Plan area. Observed eight western pond turtles and assisted with the relocation of four western pond turtles to suitable habitat off site. (2022)

Berkeley-Tuolumne Camp Reconstruction Project, City of Berkeley, Tuolumne County, California. Conducted preconstruction daytime visual encounter surveys and nocturnal eyeshine surveys for California red-legged frog and foothill yellow-legged frog along the South Fork Tuolumne River. Additionally, performed surveys for western pond turtle and nesting birds. The project was the reconstruction of Berkeley-Tuolumne Camp, which was largely destroyed by the 2013 Rim Fire. (2020)

Mowry Bridge Replacement Project, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Fresno County, California. Conducted pre-activity surveys and compliance monitoring for western pond turtle and nesting birds for a bridge replacement project over Fresno Slough in Mendota, California. Provided Worker Environmental Awareness Training to construction personnel. (2019–2021)

Palo Colorado Road Repair Project, Monterey County Public Works and Facilities, Big Sur, California. Conducted daily preconstruction surveys for California red-legged frog and Monterey dusky-footed woodrat for a culvert repair project. Also provided Worker Environmental Awareness Training to construction personnel. (2018)

Specialized Training

- Master (Level II) California Red-Legged Frog Field Workshop, The Wildlife Project, October 2022–August 2023. Attended a field-based workshop in which biologists meet in five locations within the range of the California red-legged frog over a 12-month period. The workshop covered techniques for identification of the species at all life stages and safe survey and handling techniques. It also covered California red-legged frog habitat, life cycle, and conservation. As part of this workshop, observed frog egg masses and performed dipnet surveys for larvae and daytime visual encounter and nocturnal eye-shine surveys for frogs, including successful identification, hand capture, and handling of juvenile and adult California red-legged frogs. Other species encountered during this workshop included big brown bats (*Eptesicus fuscus*) and Townsend's big-eared bats (*Plecotus townsendii*).
- Baja California Amphibian and Reptile Workshop, Fauna del Noroeste, April 2023. Attended a multi-day field workshop in Baja California focused on observation and handling of several species with special statuses in California: arroyo toad (*Anaxyrus californicus*), California red-legged frog, western spadefoot, southwestern pond turtle, coast horned lizard, orange-throated whiptail (*Aspidoscelis hyperythra beldingi*), southern California legless lizard (*Anniella stebbinsi*), Baja California coachwhip (*Coluber fuliginosus*), coast patch-nosed snake (*Salvadora hexalepis*), and red diamond rattlesnake (*Crotalus ruber*). During this workshop, handled 13 adult California red-legged frog and pit-tagged three of them with Dr. Anny Peralta-Garcia.
- Master (Level II) Foothill Yellow-legged Frog Field Workshop, The Wildlife Project, June 2022–April 2023. Attended a field-based workshop in which biologists meet in four locations within the range of the foothill yellow-legged frog over a 12-month period. The workshop covered techniques for identification of the species at all life stages and safe survey and handling techniques. It also covered foothill yellow-legged frog habitat, life cycle, and conservation. As part of this workshop, observed frog egg masses and performed dipnet surveys for larvae and daytime visual encounter surveys and nocturnal eye-shine surveys for frogs, including successful identification, hand capture, and handling of juvenile and adult foothill yellow-legged frogs. Other species encountered during this workshop include red-bellied newts (*Taricha rivularis*), rough-skinned newts (*Taricha granulosa*), California newts (*Taricha torosa*), and western terrestrial garter snakes (*Thamnophis elegans*).
- Level I Foothill Yellow-Legged Frog Ecology and Conservation Workshop, Laguna de Santa Rosa Foundation, Sonoma County, California, October 2019. Attended a lecture and field-based training covering the identification, ecology, and conservation of and survey techniques for foothill yellow-legged frog, including dip net surveys, hand capture, and daytime visual encounter surveys under direct supervision of permitted biologists. Captured and handled three metamorphosed frogs and two adult frogs.
- Rare Pond Species Workshop, Laguna de Santa Rosa Foundation, Sonoma County, California, March 2019. Attended a lecture and field-based training covering the identification, ecology, and conservation of and aquatic survey techniques for California red-legged frog, California tiger salamander, and western pond turtle. Performed dip net surveys and nocturnal eye-shine surveys under direct supervision of permitted biologists. Captured and handled three adult California red-legged frogs.



Paul Keating

BIOLOGIST

Paul Keating (PAHL KEE-ting; he/him) is a wildlife biologist with 10 years' experience conducting biological field studies throughout California. Mr. Keating is familiar with California's wildlife and associated vegetation communities on the ground through his experience with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) as a scientific aid in its Region 2 headquarters from 2013 to 2016. He has extensive training and experience conducting surveys and sampling for a wide array of special-status and common species, including California red-legged frog (Rana draytonii), foothill yellow-legged frog (Rana boylii), western pond turtle (Emys marmorata), California tiger salamander (Ambystoma californiense), giant gartersnake (Thamnophis gigas), Swainson's hawk (Buteo swainsoni), salt marsh harvest mouse (Reithrodontomys raviventris), and burrowing owl (Athene cunicularia). Additionally, he has assisted senior staff in training other biologists on proper techniques for habitat assessment, survey, and handling of these species. Mr. Keating has extensive experience with field GPS systems, including Trimble devices, Esri Collector, and others.

Project Experience

Wind Energy Project, Confidential Client, Santa Barbara County, California.

Received specific training and approval by CDFW to conduct focused surveys and mapping of Gaviota tarplant (*Deinandra increscens* ssp. villosa) and seacliff buckwheat (*Eriogonum parvifolium*), which is the host plant for the federally listed El Segundo blue butterfly (*Euphilotes battoides*). Searched for suitable pools present along multiple drainages and then conducted pre-



Education University of San Francisco BS, Biology American River College AS, Environmental Conservation

Certifications

USFWS Recovery Permit, No. PER0045233-0

- California red-legged Frog
- California tiger salamander
- Vernal pool branchiopods

construction to protocol-level surveys for California red-legged frog and larval sampling for western spade foot toad. Positive identification of California red-legged frog and western pond turtle. (2019–2020)

Wind Energy Project, Confidential Client, Merced County, California. Sampled eight pools and ponds for the presence of California tiger salamander and California red-legged frog larvae multiple times over multiple years. Spotlighted and handled California red-legged frog at four pools/ponds on site in support of expanding an existing wind energy site. Also conducted point count eagle surveys at designated locations. (2017–2023)

Fuel System Replacement Project, Confidential Client, Fairfield, California. Service approved biologist for construction monitoring services on site for California tiger salamander and vernal pool species. (2017–2018)

La Rue Bridge Redevelopment Project, Yolo County, California. Provided construction monitoring services for a bridge redevelopment project. Monitoring included active nesting birds and the netting and relocation of western pond turtles. (2020)

Delta Field Division Habitat Conservation Plan; California Department of Water Resources; Santa Clara, Alameda, Contra Costa, San Joaquin, Stanislaus, and Merced Counties; California. Conducted baseline biological surveys in support of the preparation of the Delta Habitat Conservation Plan, including camera studies for San Joaquin kit fox (*Vulpes macrotis mutica*) and movement corridors, a wetland delineation, protocol surveys for listed large branchiopods, and multispecies burrow mapping to identify suitable and/or active burrows for burrowing owl, San Joaquin kit fox, and American badger (*Taxidea taxus*). (2021–2022)

San Joaquin Field Division Habitat Conservation Plan, California Department of Water Resources, Kings and Kern Counties, California. Conducted blunt-nosed leopard lizard (*Gambelia sila*) and burrowing owl surveys in support of the preparation of the San Joaquin Habitat Conservation Plan. (2021)

Delta Dams Burrow Remediation Project, California Department of Water Resources, Alameda and Contra Costa Counties, California. Proposed project is a rodent burrow remediation project at the following three reservoirs within the Delta Field Division: Clifton Court Forebay, Dyer, and Patterson. Conducted a delineation of aquatic resources, construction monitoring, burrow mapping, and camera studies. (2020–2022)

State Water Project – Dams Modification, California Department of Water Resources, San Luis Reservoir, Merced County, California. Proposed project is the retrofitting of the B.F. Sisk Dam within 1,690 acres. Conducted rare plant surveys, protocol Swainson's hawk surveys, and multispecies burrow mapping for burrowing owl, San Joaquin kit fox, and American badger. (2020, 2023)

Raw Water Intake Bypass Flow Study, San Jose Water Company, California. Conducted extensive habitat assessments and protocol-level visual encounter surveys for California red-legged frog, foothill yellow-legged frog, western pond turtle, and California giant salamander (*Dicamptodon ensatus*) within nine creeks in the Saratoga Creek and Los Gatos watersheds. (2019–2021)

Newell Creek Dam Inlet Outlet Replacement Project, City of Santa Cruz Water District, Santa Cruz County, California. Conducted a stream reach assessment and habitat suitability evaluation for California red-legged frog, foothill yellow-legged frog, western pond turtle, and California giant salamander to support the regulatory permitting and mitigation phases at Loch Lomond Reservoir. New California Natural Diversity Database record for foothill yellow-legged frog made with Craig Seltenrich. (2019)

Caltrain Modernization Project, Balfour Beatty Infrastructure, City of Sacramento, California. As part of the Caltrain electrification project, provided construction monitoring for burrowing owl, California clapper rail (*Rallus longirostris obsoletus*), California red-legged frog, salt marsh harvest mouse, and San Francisco gartersnake (*Thamnophis sirtalis tetrataenia*). (2017)

Estero Public Trail Easement, Sonoma County Parks and Recreation, Sonoma County, California. In support of the preparation of a habitat assessment, performed surveys for both western pond turtle and California red-legged frog to ensure that trail corridors and associated staging areas are consistent with the conservation easement. Positive identification of both species was made in multiple drainages on site. (2017)

South Sacramento Light Rail Construction Biological Monitoring, Sacramento Regional Transit, PGH Wong Engineering Inc., City of Sacramento, California. Provided construction monitoring services for burrowing owl and giant gartersnake along with conducting Worker Environmental Awareness Program training. (2016)

Jenny Lind Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements Project, Calaveras County Water District, Calaveras County, California. Performed pre-construction surveys for California red-legged frog and foothill yellow-legged frog. (2017)



Gonzales Industrial Water Recycling Facility Project, City of Gonzales, Monterey County, California. Prepared a biological technical report and wetland delineation for the City of Gonzales' proposed upgrade to its wastewater treatment infrastructure. (2020)

Combie Reservoir Sediment Removal Project, Nevada Irrigation District, Nevada County, Placer County, California. Performed pre-construction surveys for California red-legged frog, foothill yellow-legged frog, and western pond turtle and provided Worker Environmental Awareness Program training. (2019)

Martis Valley, Truckee River Watershed Council, Nevada County, Placer County, California. Marked out environmentally sensitive areas, performed nesting bird surveys, and conducted Worker Environmental Awareness Program training for a multi-use trail alignment. E-fished to relocate fish during dewatering activities, which required identifying fish to the species level within the range of Lahontan cutthroat trout (*Oncorhynchus clarkii henshawi*). (2018–2022)

Solar Energy Project, Confidential Client, Sacramento County, California. In support of a proposed 700-acre solar site, conducted a wetland delineation and protocol-level surveys for Swainson's hawk, burrowing owl, and listed large branchiopods. Evaluated elderberry shrubs (*Sambucus* sp.) present within a riparian corridor for valley elderberry longhorn beetle (*Desmocerus californicus dimorphus*) suitability. (2020–2023)

Solar Energy Project, Confidential Client, Sacramento County, California. Conducted a wetland delineation and protocol-level surveys for Swainson's hawk and burrowing owl for a proposed 550-acre solar site. (2020–2023)

River Bluff Lower Terrace Project, City of Ceres, California. Provided construction monitoring services during a park restoration project that included monitoring elderberry shrubs, the host plant for valley elderberry longhorn beetle, present on site. (2018)

California State University, Chico Physical Sciences Building Renovation, Butte County, California. Performed emergent bat surveys and marked out an appropriate buffer for elderberry shrubs immediately adjacent to project activities in a riparian corridor. (2021–2023)

Solar Energy Project, Confidential Client, Sacramento County, California. Conducted burrow surveys and mapping for American badger, burrowing owl, and California tiger salamander. Additionally, provided construction monitoring during construction activities on the 550-acre solar project. Relocated several California tiger salamander and spadefoot toads during construction monitoring in compliance with the approved California tiger salamander and spadefoot mortality reduction and relocation plans. (2019–2020)

Potrero Hills Landfill Expansion Project – California Tiger Salamander Trapping and Relocation, Waste Connections, Solano County, California. As part of an ongoing 10-year monitoring program for rare plants, monitored federally listed large brachiopods and California tiger salamander. Served as an approved biologist for this multiyear trapping and relocation study within the proposed expansion area for the Potrero Hills Landfill. Handled more than 50 adult and juvenile California tiger salamander during the tenure of this project. Additionally, as part of the ongoing project, continued to monitor for California tiger salamander eggs, larvae, and listed large brachiopods. (2016–2023)

Altamont Landfill Comprehensive Management Plan Implementation, Waste Management, City of Livermore, California. Performed egg, larval, and adult surveys for California tiger salamander and California red-legged frog. Conducted pre-construction surveys and construction monitoring for the above listed species along with burrowing owl, American badger, and San Joaquin kit fox. Observed and identified several California red-legged frogs during night surveys conducted in both March and April, 2018 and 2020, respectively. Captured and handled an adult

California red-legged frog during the 2020 surveys. Additionally, conducted construction monitoring during work on a mitigation pond for California red-legged frog and California tiger salamander with active relocation of three California tiger salamanders and two California red-legged frogs during 2019. (2017–2020)

SR4 Wagon Trail Mitigation Project, Dokken Engineering and California Department of Transportation, Calaveras County, California. Conducted site assessments and assisted in the development of an existing conditions report for restoration/creation of a pond for California red-legged frogs on a 40-acre site as part of mitigation for the re-routing of State Route 4. Helped develop two alternate conceptual designs that focused on the following: (1) enhancing an existing pond through improvement of habitat characteristics including removal of American bullfrog (*Rana catesbeiana*), and (2) creating a new 0.5-acre pond on site that would be suitable for breeding for California red-legged frog. Option 2 was preferred by the landowners, so currently developing plans, specifications, and estimates for new pond establishment and riparian and oak woodland restoration. (2019)

Mclver Dairy Restoration Project, Truckee River Water Council, Nevada County, Placer County, California. Evaluated a stream reach and sampled for benthic macroinvertebrates. (2018)

Solar Energy Project, Confidential Client, Washoe County, Nevada. Completed a wetland delineation and botanical surveys for a 2,650-acre site in support of a photovoltaic project. Prepared an avian survey report following a year of bird surveys to provide baseline information for the preparation of a bird and bat conservation plan. (2019–2020)

Singh Property Conservation Bank, City of Le Grange, California. Assisted with protocol-level surveys for listed large branchiopods, including the mapping of 240 vernal pools and swales present. Additionally, assessed pools on site for presence of California tiger salamander and then sampled appropriate pools for the presence of California tiger salamander and then sampled appropriate pools for the presence of California tiger salamander and then sampled appropriate pools for the presence of California tiger salamander and then sampled appropriate pools for the presence of California tiger salamander larvae. (2017–2018)

Beyer Ranch, Confidential Client, City of Oakdale, California. Assisted with a California tiger salamander preliminary habitat assessment for a proposed conversion of grazing land to orchards on approximately 100 acres near the town of Oakdale. The site is located within the known historic range of this species. (2019)

Dami Property, Confidential Client, City of Oakdale, California. Assisted with a California tiger salamander preliminary habitat assessment for a proposed orchard on approximately 160 acres within the historic range of California tiger salamander. (2017–2020)

Elkus Ranch Master Plan Project, University of California Agriculture and Natural Resources Division, San Mateo County, California. Assisted in the preparation of a habitat assessment report for California red-legged frog along with conducting a sensitive resource and riparian habitat assessment, and wetland delineation for several creeks and one impoundment within the 126-acre project site. (2018)

Cloverdale High School Field Improvement, Cloverdale Unified School District, Sonoma County, California. Conducted a general biological survey, habitat assessment, and preliminary jurisdictional delineation of waters of the United States and waters of the state. (2019)

Solar Energy Project, Confidential Client, Stanislaus County, California. In conjunction with completing a jurisdictional delineation, conducted protocol-level surveys for rare plant species, Swainson's hawk, and burrowing owl. (2016–2020)

Daylight Legacy Solar, Daylight Solar, Kings County, California. Completed a habitat assessment and burrow survey for American badger, burrowing owl, and San Joaquin kit fox on a 2,000-acre site and along associated gen-tie lines. (2017)

Tres Amigos Solar Energy Project, Tres Amigos Solar LLC, Merced County, California. Completed a habitat assessment and burrow survey for American badger, burrowing owl, and San Joaquin kit fox on a 1,500-acre site. (2017)

Solar Energy Project, Confidential Client, Stanislaus County, California. Completed a habitat assessment and burrow survey for American badger, burrowing owl, and San Joaquin kit fox. Protocol-level surveys for Swainson's hawk and burrowing owl were carried out in coordination with several biologists. Also performed botanical surveys, which included mapping of all elderberry shrubs in support of a valley elderberry longhorn beetle habitat assessment. (2017–2018)

Solar Energy Project; Confidential Client; Kern, Tulare, and Fresno Counties; California. Performed protocol-level surveys for blunt-nosed leopard lizard at multiple sites throughout several counties. During the 2016 season, became qualified as a Level 2 blunt-nosed leopard lizard surveyor while working on the project. During surveys, recorded all other wildlife species observed, including burrowing owl, loggerhead shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*), LeConte's thrasher (*Toxostoma lecontei*), American badger, Nelson's antelope squirrel (*Ammospermophilus nelsoni*), and San Joaquin kit fox. (2016–2017)

Calaveras County Water District Ebbetts Pass Water System Reach 1 Pipeline, Calaveras County, California. Conducted pre-construction surveys for tree-roosting bats and nesting birds. Marked out previously delineated wetlands and plants. (2018–2019)

Flumes 38–40 Canal Conversion near Pollock Pines, El Dorado Irrigation District, El Dorado County, California. Conducted surveys for northern goshawk (*Accipiter gentilis*) and California spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis occidentalis*) in Eldorado National Forest in accordance with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service-approved survey methodology. Aurally and/or visually detected two adult spotted owls. (2019, 2021)

Saugstad Urban Rivers Grant Project, Placer County, California. Served as biologist on a City of Roseville Environmental Utilities Department bank stabilization and restoration/enhancement project on Dry Creek within Saugstad Park. Conducted a stream reach assessment in support of Section 7 consultation with the National Marine Fisheries Service and permit applications. (2021–2022)

Auburn Regional Park Project, Placer County, California. Conducted a pre-construction survey for nesting birds and western pond turtle. (2020)

Solar Energy Project, Confidential Client, Kern County, California. Performed protocol-level surveys for blunt-nosed leopard lizard for a proposed 14-acre solar facility. (2017)

Palo Alto Airport Improvements, City of Palo Alto, California. Provided pre-construction and construction monitoring services for burrowing owl, salt marsh harvest mouse, San Francisco gartersnake, and delineated wetlands. Conducted Worker Environmental Awareness Program training. (2017)

Newhall Ranch, Newhall Ranch LLC, Los Angeles County, California. Performed general botanical surveys and focused botanical surveys in support of ongoing monitoring and pollinator studies for San Fernando Valley spineflower (*Chorizanthe parryi var. fernandina*). (2018)

Solar Energy Project, Confidential Client, Kern County, California. Performed botanical surveys on a 2,000-acre site for the construction of a photovoltaic project. (2018)

Bodega Solar, Suniva Systems LLC, California. Completed botanical and general biological resources surveys of the proposed site for conversion to a solar farm. Drafted a biological resources constraints assessment and evaluation of habitat suitability for Swainson's hawk, American badger, burrowing owl, and California tiger salamander. (2017)

Berggruen Institute Project, City of Los Angeles, California. Identified species captured on camera traps for a habitat connectivity and wildlife corridor study.(2018)

Spectrum Charter Communications Alturas California Department of Transportation Project, Spectrum Charter Communications, Modoc County, California. Performed a wetland delineation and surveyed and assessed habitat for several focal species along a 42-mile-long fiber optic line within the California Department of Transportation right-of-way along Highway 395 from Alturas, California, north to the Oregon state border. (2018)

Relevant Previous Experience

CDFW Statewide Swainson's Hawk Survey, Sacramento, San Joaquin and Yolo Counties, California. Received internal training from Jim Estep to participate in the statewide survey of nesting Swainson's hawk pairs. Protocol surveys over multiple-square-mile blocks were conducted to document nesting pairs of Swainson's hawk. (2015, 2017)

Ecoregion Biodiversity Monitoring, CDFW, Sierra Nevada Mountain Range, California. Visited randomized locations throughout the Sierra Nevada requiring use of four-wheel drive vehicles, GPS units, maps, and hiking in inclement weather in all types of terrain. The Ecoregion Biodiversity Monitoring project is a broad survey of both vegetation and wildlife. Established plots with exact centers to be revisited in 10 years using GPS and triangulation methods. At plot center point, conducted surveys for birds and placed automated recorders. Collected and identified plants to species level using field guides and keys. Performed botanical surveys for specific species. Collected information such as habitat type, tree counts, tree condition, diameter at breast height, canopy cover, canopy height, slope and aspect, soil type, and fuel models. Camera traps with bait were used to capture as many species as possible. Received training and performed small mammal trapping. Data from the project were used to update species distribution in the California Natural Diversity Database, and for timber harvest plans regarding species such as marten and fishers. Performed quality control on both botanical field samples and camera trap identifications. (2013–2016)

Lands Management Program, CDFW, Various Counties, California. Performed a wide array of services depending on specific location and habitat need. Some more in-depth projects have included working with the California Native Plant Society on the Pine Hill Preserve to survey for protected plants. Utilized prior training and experience with giant gartersnake identification to use hand tools during vegetation removal at the Cosumnes River Preserve. Also performed habitat restoration in conjunction with the Nature Conservancy at the Cosumnes River Preserve and various other CDFW properties throughout Region 2. (2015–2016)

Northern Sierra Nevada Foothills Habitat Connectivity, CDFW, Shasta and Madera Counties, California. Conducted road surveys for 30 focal species to fit predicted geographic information system models. Used extensive track and scat identification training while performing surveys along roads. Identified habitat types and placed camera traps along with walking transects. Acted as sole quality control checker for all track and scat photos taken during the survey to compile data for analysis. (2014–2015)

Monitoring the Pacific Deer Herd, CDFW, Icehouse Reservoir, California. Established and walked transects to collect DNA as part of a pilot program to estimate deer populations. The survey was for mark-and-recapture analysis, which required detailed logging into GPS units and flagging to follow the same deer trails year to year. Also participated in trapping, hobbling, monitoring, sampling, and collaring of live deer. (2013–2014)



Pika Surveys, CDFW, Sierra Nevada Mountain Range, California. Conducted focused surveys for American pika (*Ochotona princeps*) at high-elevation talus slopes. (2014–2015)

Giant Gartersnake Habitat Evaluation and Survey, CDFW, Gray Lodge Wildlife Area, California. Trapped giant gartersnakes in canals, seasonal ponds, and permanent ponds following established protocols. Set trap lines and checked set traps daily. Upon capture, measured, DNA sampled, branded, and tagged with passive integrated transponder before release. Along with trapping, recorded vegetation and habitat type. (2013)

Assistant Planner, Pacific Municipal Consultants, Elk Grove, California. Worked as an assistant planner for the City of Elk Grove. Provided public assistance at the front counter, completed plan checks, and prepared California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) documents for housing and infrastructure projects. (2007–2009)

Specialized Training

- Basic Wetland Delineation 40 Hours of Basic Training, The Wetland Training Institute
- Plants of the Wetland Boundary, The Wetland Training Institute
- CEQA for Biologists, University of California Davis Extension
- CEQA: A Step-by-Step Approach, University of California Davis Extension
- Rare Pond Species Workshop, Laguna de Santa Rosa Foundation
- California Tiger Salamander Terrestrial Ecology Workshop, Laguna de Santa Rosa Foundation
- College of Bioassessment, Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP), California Department of Fish and Wildlife
- California Endangered Species Act Permit Academy, California Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Botany Basics: Morphology & Plant Families of California, The Jepson Herbarium
- California Anostraca and Notostraca Identification Class, Mary Schug Belk
- Identifying and Appreciating the Native and Naturalized Grasses of California, Pepperwood Preserve



Elliot Maldonado

BIOLOGIST

Elliot Maldonado (she/her) has 11 years' experience as a professional biologist. She has worked on numerous utility, renewable energy, development, and public transportation projects throughout California. Ms. Maldonado conducts habitat assessments and natural resource inventories. She prepares documents in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)/National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process, including biological technical reports; state, federal, and local permits; habitat conservation plan (HCP) applications; and proposals. She provides project management and conducts surveys and monitoring for projects within various ecosystems throughout California. Ms. Maldonado conducts surveys for special-status wildlife, bat mist netting, small mammal and amphibian trapping, and point count and acoustic surveys. She has professional training and volunteer experience with special-status species including, but not limited to, foothill yellow-legged frog (Rana boylii), Sierra Nevada yellow-legged frog (Rana sierrae), California red-legged frog (Rana draytonii), California tiger salamander (Ambystoma californiense), northwestern pond turtle (Actinemys marmorata), giant garter snake (Thamnophis gigas), blunt-nosed leopard lizard (Gambelia sila) (Level 2 Biologist), willow flycatcher (Empidonax traillii), burrowing owl (Athene cunicularia), golden eagle (Aquila chrysaetos), Swainson's hawk (Buteo swainsoni), and valley elderberry longhorn beetle (Desmocerus californicus dimorphus). Ms. Maldonado has served as a project manager and lead biologist under and with the Natomas Basin Conservancy, South Sacramento HCP, Kern County Valley Floor HCP, Placer County Conservancy, and Santa Clara Valley HCP, and is a certified biologist under the Yolo and East Contra Costa Counties HCP/natural community conservation plans (NCCPs). She is also an experienced wildlife rehabilitator and handler, and teaches local wildlife identification courses in El Dorado County.

Relevant Project Experience Development

Sonoma State University Pond Modernization, CSU, Sonoma County, California. Drafted biological resources assessment for California State University (CSU). Focus species include California red-legged frog, California tiger salamander, northwestern pond turtle, and monarch (*Danaus plexippus*). Future additional surveys and permitting tasks. (2024–Ongoing)



Education

University of California, Berkeley BS, Natural Resources and Conservation, Concentration: Wildlife Biology, 2016

Permits/Certifications

- CDFW Plant Voucher Collecting Permit, No. 2081(a)-22-074-V
- Certified/Qualified Biologist: Yolo County HCP/NCCP and East Contra Costa County HCP/NCCP

Professional Affiliations

Sierra Wildlife Rescue (Board Member, Bat Team Lead, Wildlife Rehabilitator, Public Education Assistant)

The Wildlife Society Sacramento-Shasta, California North Coast, San Francisco Bay Area, and San Joaquin Valley Chapter Committee Member



Stockton Campus Master Plan, CSU Stanislaus, San Joaquin County, California. Conducted baseline biological surveys (i.e., aquatic, plant, wildlife resources). Drafted biological resources assessment and environmental impact report (EIR) in accordance with CEQA. (2024–Ongoing)

Indian Wells Crossing, Private Developer, Riverside County, California. Drafted Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement mitigation status response memorandum to provide California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) staff with status of the project, ownership, and implementation of the Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement (1600-2015-0033-R6) mitigation. (2024–Ongoing)

Gateway Village Project, Private Developer, Placer County, California. Completed the Placer County Conservation Program/County Aquatic Resources Program application. (2024)

San Joaquin Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan On-Call Biological Services Contract, San Joaquin County, California. As the project manager and lead biologist, worked on over 50 SJMSCP covered projects. Implemented the Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan (Section 10/NCCP) via sensitive species and habitat surveys and reporting. Conducted San Joaquin kit fox (*Vulpes macrotis mutica*) detection surveys via camera traps, dusting of potential dens, and wildlife sign analysis. Performed habitat assessments including water resource and vegetation community mapping. Direct experience with burrowing owl, Swainson's hawk, giant garter snake, western pond turtle, and nesting birds. Prepared the San Joaquin Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan pre-construction and Incidental Take and Minimization Measures ITMMs forms. (2018–2023)

Triangle Point Development, MP Elk Grove LLC, Sacramento County, California. As the project manager and biologist, made an administrative appeal of a verified wetland delineation, obtained approval for off-site Swainson's hawk mitigation, coordinated with local regulatory agencies regarding the stormwater detention basin for potential habitation by special-status species, and obtained the Section 404 Nationwide Permit and Section 401 Water Quality Certification (WQC) for Triangle Point. Work was conducted in compliance with the South Sacramento HCP. (2021)

Cessna APN-170, Buzz Oates Construction, Solano County, California. Conducted a wetland delineation and biological survey on ±25 acres of proposed development. Prepared letter report documenting results. Assessed project impacts and recommended mitigation measures. (2021)

4286 and 4296 Business Drive, MW Construction, El Dorado County, California. As project manager and lead biologist/botanist, composed contract materials, conducted seasonally timed botanical survey for Pine Hill plants and other special-status species, and produced report. (2021)

Superior RV and Boat Storage, Superior Storage Group, Sacramento County, California. As project manager and lead biologist, conducted post-construction surveys, provided Notices of Completion in compliance with the Section 401 WQC, Section 404 Nationwide Permit, and Section 1602 Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement (LSAA) permits, and client coordination. (2021)

4370 Business Drive, El Dorado County, California. As project manager, composed contract materials, coordinated survey, composed botanical survey report for Pine Hill plants and other special-status species, and produced report. (2021)

APN 109-420-017 on Dividend Drive, El Dorado County, California. As project manager, composed contract materials, coordinated survey, composed botanical survey report for Pine Hill plants and other special-status species, and produced report. (2021)

Hyatt House and Place, The HR Group Architects, City of Sacramento, California. As project manager, composed contract materials, coordinated survey, and composed Natomas Basin HCP survey memorandum. (2021)

Barandas Park Phase 2, City of Sacramento, California. As project manager and lead biologist, under the South Sacramento HCP guidance, composed contract materials, conducted pre-construction survey for South Sacramento HCP listed species, and composed survey report. (2021)

North Natomas Regional Park Aquatics Complex, City of Sacramento, California. Conducted pre-construction surveys for Natomas Basin HCP covered species and prepared survey report. (2020)

Cook Riolo Pathway, Placer County, California. As a project manager and lead biologist, conducted water quality monitoring per the project's Section 401 WQC, coordinated with client and agency, and composed compliance reports. (2019–2020)

Eubanks and Chancellor Warehouse, City of Vacaville, California. As project manager and lead biologist, conducted water quality monitoring per the project's Section 401 WQC, coordinated with client and agency, and composed compliance reports. (2018–2019)

Pock Lane, Buzz Oates Construction, City of Stockton, California. As project manager and lead biologist, coordinated with client, conducted monthly burrowing surveys, and prepared reports prior to construction. (2019-2020)

8405 Washington Boulevard Development, City of Roseville, California. As project manager and lead biologist, provided contract materials, coordinated with client, conducted burrowing owl survey, and composed compliance report. (2020)

Simplot Lathrop Facility Improvement, City of Lathrop, California. As project manager and lead biologist, produced contract materials, coordinated with client, and conducted a burrowing owl survey. (2019–2020)

Zinfandel Residential Development, Amador County, California. As project manager and lead biologist, conducted pre-construction surveys for western burrowing owl and nesting birds. Submitted Notification of LSAA via the Environmental Permit Information Management System portal. Coordinated with CDFW for draft and final LSAA. (2020–2021)

Starbucks Retail Center at 7056 Sunrise Boulevard, City of Citrus Heights, California. As project manager and lead biologist, provided contract materials; coordinated with client, contractor, and the City; conducted pre-construction survey; and provided compliance reports. (2019–2020)

Sunworks, Inc. Reese Road Pepsi Solar, Sacramento California. In compliance with South Sacramento HCP, conducted pre-construction surveys for burrowing owl and provided compliance report. (2020)

Mace Ranch Innovation Center/ARC, City of Davis/Yolo County, California. As a Yolo HCP qualified biologist, conducted biological surveys for HCP listed species, including protocol-level burrowing owl surveys, and produced associated reports. Positive identification of multiple burrowing individuals and Swainson's hawk. (2019–2020)

Watt and Blackfoot Commercial Development, Antelope, California. Conducted pre-construction surveys for nesting raptors/birds. Prepared pre-construction survey report. (2019)



Zephyr Court Development, Buzz Oates Real Estate, San Joaquin County, California. Conducted burrowing owl monitoring/surveys, installed and removed exclusion devices, and prepared weekly monitoring reports in accordance with the project's burrowing owl exclusion plan. (2019)

Lambert Lane Tentative Map, El Dorado County, California. Conducted baseline biological communities, wetlands, and tree inventory surveys. Prepared the biological resource evaluation. (2019)

Mace Boulevard and Alhambra Drive Commercial Development, Yolo County, California. As project manager and a Yolo HCP qualified biologist, implemented project avoidance and minimization measures, conducted burrowing owl monitoring/surveys, installed and removed exclusion devices, prepared monitoring reports in accordance with the project's burrowing owl exclusion plan, and coordinated with the City of Davis. Conducted Worker Environmental Awareness Program (WEAP) training and biological monitoring. Positive identification of multiple burrowing individuals and Swainson's hawk. (2019–2020)

Green Valley Convenience Center, El Dorado County, California. As project manager and lead biologist, conducted annual wetland rehabilitation monitoring in accordance with the project's Streambed Alteration Agreement (SAA) requirements. Monitoring included tree condition assessment, vegetation community evaluation, and annual reporting of survey results. (2019–2020)

1690 Bell Avenue, Sacramento County, California. Assisted with dry season branchiopod surveys under Incidental Take Permit (ITP) No. 799564-4. Conducted pre-construction burrowing owl and nesting bird surveys. (2018-2020).

Amador Dispatch Acorns News Development, Jackson Rancheria, Amador County, California. Prepared final monitoring report in accordance with project permits for a development project including a sewer line upgrade. (2018–2019)

Familystyle Development, Amador County, California. Assisted with the preparation of a biological assessment and the aquatic resource delineation report. (2018)

Shadowfax Self Storage, El Dorado County, California. Assisted in drafting the biological resource evaluation. (2018)

Energy and Telecommunication

Confidential Agrivoltaic Project, Confidential Client, Sacramento County, California. Performed protocol-level and biological assessment surveys for western spadefoot (*Spea hammondii*), burrowing owl, Swainson's hawk, Crotch's bumble bee (*Bombus crotchii*), and botanical resources. Surveys included operation of utility terrain vehicle. (2024–Ongoing)

Elmore North Geothermal, California Energy Commission, Imperial County, California. Assisted with the biological resources analyses for the draft EIR. Major focuses included geofluid, brine ponds, and atmospheric flash systems. (2023)

Fountain Wind, California Energy Commission, Shasta County, California. Performed quality assurance/quality control of draft CEQA documents. Drafted wind turbine impact and mitigation resource summary. Conducted protocol-level fixed-point count avian surveys for listed raptors, including golden eagle and northern goshawk (*Accipiter gentilis*), in accordance with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Eagle Conservation Plan Guidance for land-based wind energy projects. Completed Anabat systems training for setup and use of hardware

and software for detecting bat species via vocalizations. State Clearinghouse No. 2019012029. (2016–2017; 2022–2023)

On-Call Biological Support Services, Western Area Power Administration, Sierra Nevada Region, California. Provided on-call biological support services via pre-construction surveys that included identification and flagging of sensitive biological resources such as riparian areas, ponds, seasonal wetlands, channels, and other aquatic features; elderberry shrubs to protect valley elderberry longhorn beetle; giant garter snake aquatic and upland habitat; and nesting Migratory Bird Treaty Act birds and birds of prey, including burrowing owl and burrowing owl habitat. Surveys supported Western Area Power Administration's environmental compliance prior to mastication, herbicide treatments, and other vegetation management activities; access road grading; and road improvements. NEPA Project No. 100153025. (2022–2023)

Bowers Backup Generating Facility, California Energy Commission, Santa Clara County, California. Drafted the biological resources analyses for the EIR. Major focuses included nitrogen deposition. Mitigation pulled from outside of Santa Clara Valley HCP boundary; appropriate mitigation applied where necessary. State Clearinghouse No. 2023020228. (2023)

Parker-Blythe #2 Full Line Maintenance, Western Area Power Administration, Desert Southwest Region, San Bernadino and Riverside Counties, California. Assisted the lead biologist in drafting the biological resources evaluation and preliminary jurisdictional waters/wetlands delineation report. Department of Energy Project No. DOE/EA-2168. (2022)

Sky River Energy Facility Repowering, Kern County, California. Assisted in preparation of the botanical inventory report. (2018)

Hell Hole Reservoir Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Relicensing, Placer County Water Agency, Placer County, California. In accordance with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) relicensing requirements for public utility facilities, conducted protocol-level surveys for Sierra Nevada yellow-legged frog. Recorded all amphibian species encountered. (2018)

Florence Lake FERC Relicensing, Southern California Edison, Fresno County, California. In accordance with FERC relicensing requirements for public utility facilities, conducted protocol-level surveys for willow flycatcher, great gray owl (*Strix nebulosa*), Yosemite toad (*Anaxyrus canorus*), and Sierra Nevada yellow-legged frog. Utilized bird call broadcast units to conduct the bird surveys and identified incidental species both visually and aurally. Special-status species observations included Yosemite toad (larvae and froglets). (2018)

Kaweah FERC Relicensing, Southern California Edison, Tulare County, California. In accordance with FERC relicensing requirements for public utility facilities, conducted wildlife camera installation and associated species identification and data collection to evaluate the use of wildlife bridges and escape ramps; special-status plants and invasive/noxious weeds surveys including identifying, mapping, and data collection; wildlife reconnaissance surveys identifying wildlife visually, through species-specific vocalizations; and diagnostic field signs such as scat, pellets, and tracks as well as mapped associated vegetation communities. (2018)

Community Pipeline Safety Initiative, Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E), Northern California. Conducted preconstruction surveys and monitoring for nesting birds (observed), raptors (observed), and giant garter snake. Conducted habitat assessments for giant garter snake. (2016–2018)

Pit 1 Northwestern Pond Turtle Monitoring Project, PG&E, Shasta County, California. Conducted reconnaissance/ planning-level surveys for northwestern pond turtle along segments of the Pit and Fall Rivers. Surveys were conducted in accordance with the northwestern pond turtle protection plan as stipulated by the project's FERC license. Observed more than 10 adult individuals.

King Flat Wind Energy, Terra-Gen, Yolo County, California. Conducted bird use count, eagle point, and raptor migration surveys through visual and species-specific vocalization identification. Collected data, prepared weekly reports in accordance with agency protocols, and maintained the project database. Observed numerous bird species, including burrowing owl, Swainson's hawk, and golden eagle. (2017–2018)

Oroville 230 kV Transmission Line, Lake Oroville, Butte County, California. Conducted nesting bird and birds of prey surveys, oversaw vegetation clearing, documented stormwater pollution prevention plan compliance, and monitored construction activities for a power line project associated with the Lake Oroville Spillway Emergency Repair Project. (2017)

PG&E Line 406/407, PG&E, Sacramento and Placer Counties, California. Acted as assistant project manager and lead biologist. In compliance with the Placer County HCP, supervised a group of biological monitors on a 12-mile-long pipeline installation. Conducted pre- and post-construction surveys for nesting birds and sensitive species, delineated sensitive habitats and other resources, captured and relocated at-risk wildlife within the project area, performed permit compliance monitoring, and provided WEAP training. Sensitive species that occur or had the potential to occur within the project area included giant garter snake, Swainson's hawk, white-tailed kite (*Elanus leucurus*), burrowing owl, valley elderberry longhorn beetle, western pond turtle, California tiger salamander, and vernal pool fairy shrimp (*Branchinecta lynchi*). Monitored giant garter snake activity, active Swainson's hawk nests, and work occurring around valley elderberry shrubs and vernal pools. LSA No. 1600-2015-0167-R2; SAA 1600-2008-0333. (2017)

SKIC 20 Solar, Algonquin Power, Kern County, California. Following Kern County Valley Floor HCP guidance, monitored active Swainson's hawk and burrowing owl nests to determine construction activity impacts on the bird species, set up a CDFW-approved exclusion area buffer around the nests, and provided observation reports. (2014)

Kettleman North Dome Exploration, Kings and Fresno Counties, California. Conducted protocol-level blunt-nosed leopard lizard surveys; pre-construction surveys for San Joaquin kit fox, giant kangaroo rat (*Dipodomys ingens*), San Joaquin antelope squirrel (*Ammospermophilus nelsoni*), burrowing owl, LeConte's thrasher (*Toxostoma lecontei*), and loggerhead shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*); and special-status plant and invasive/noxious weed surveys, reptile inventories, and habitat assessments on Bureau of Land Management land. (2013–2014)

Occidental Exploration, Kings and Kern Counties, California. As part of the Kern County Valley Floor HCP, monitored construction activities associated with the building of oil well pads in sensitive habitats. Duties included protocol-level surveys, pre-construction surveys, and monitoring for blunt-nosed leopard lizards, nesting birds, and birds of prey; capture and relocation of wildlife; CDFW ITP compliance enforcement; and environmental awareness training. The ITP covered species such as giant kangaroo rat, San Joaquin antelope squirrel, San Joaquin kit fox, and burrowing owl. (2012–2014)

Line 2000 Anomaly Repairs, Angeles National Forest, Los Angeles County, California. Performed pre-construction surveys for coast horned lizard (*Phrynosoma coronatum*), coastal rosy boa (*Lichanura orcutti*), slender mariposa-lily (*Calochortus clavatus var. gracilis*), nesting birds, and birds of prey on a pipeline. Duties included providing environmental awareness training to all on-site staff, monitoring all ground disturbance, monitoring for California condor (*Gymnogyps californianus*) and other sensitive species, delineating disturbance areas, monitoring active bird nests, and conducting post-construction botanical surveys to determine restoration success in compliance with the U.S. Forest Service (USFS) special use permit. (2013)

Municipal

Granite Esparto Mining and Reclamation, Granite Construction, Yolo County, California. Conducted bird use pointcount (audial and visual) surveys at on-site mitigation area near Capay open space. Observed more than 34 bird species. State Clearinghouse No. 2009022033. (2023)

Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD) Environmental Services On-Call Contract (Operations and Maintenance), Sacramento County, California. As project manager/lead biologist, worked on more than 150 SMUD projects/task orders. Prepared and conducted WEAP trainings, pre-construction surveys, construction monitoring, and restoration plans for California tiger salamander, giant garter snake, valley elderberry longhorn beetle, burrowing owl, vernal pool avoidance, Swainson's hawk, white-tailed kite, other nesting birds, and other special-status species and habitat. Worked on multiple pole and line replacement/relocation/removal projects as well as restoration projects throughout the greater Sacramento area, including the Natomas Basin Conservancy and Cosumnes River Preserve. Work conducted under South Sacramento HCP and Natomas Basin HCP. State Clearinghouse No. 2018092030. (2019–2023)

Bee Canyon Sag Pipe Rehabilitation, Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, Los Angeles, California. Assisted in drafting a biological assessment, biological resources technical report, and biological evaluation for a proposed maintenance project along the Los Angeles Aqueduct. State Clearinghouse No. 2021050347. (2021)

Rancho Seco Solar II, SMUD, Herald, California. As a CDFW designated biologist and the project manager, conducted pre-construction surveys and biological monitoring for California tiger salamander, western pond turtle, and other special-status species. Prepared daily and monthly monitoring reports. Conducted WEAP training and training for designated biological monitors. Moved wildlife species to safe off-site locations. Assisted a California tiger salamander permitted handling biologist in the relocation of California tiger salamander found on site. Special-status species observed included California tiger salamander, Swainson's hawk, and nesting birds. State Clearinghouse No. 2017092042; SAA No. 1600-2018-0241-R2; ITP No. 2081-2018-057-02. (2019–2020)

Rio Cosumnes Correctional Center Substation, Sacramento County, California. Conducted pre-construction surveys for special-status species, including Swainson's hawk, nesting birds, western pond turtle, and giant garter snake, and several special-status plant species. Conducted monitoring of active nests and provided compliance reports. Special-status species observations included Swainson's hawks and other nesting birds. State Clearinghouse No. 2018082017. (2019–2020)

Solano Wind Road Erosion Repair, SMUD, Solano County, California. Conducted WEAP training and construction monitoring. Monitored for burrowing owl, Swainson's hawk, American peregrine falcon (*Falco peregrinus anatum*), nesting birds, and in-channel work for water resource protection. Special-status species observations included burrowing owl and Swainson's hawk. State Clearinghouse No. 2017058393. (2018)



Resource Management

Delta Dams, Department of Water Resources, Northern/Central California. Qualified biologist to handle California tiger salamander and California red-legged frog for monitoring of infrastructure maintenance. (2024–Ongoing)

Oroville Pumpback Storage, Department of Water Resources, Oroville, California. Conducted baseline biological surveys (i.e., aquatic resources, plants, wildlife). Prepared special-status species potential to occur evaluation table. (2024–Ongoing)

Forest Resiliency Project, Confidential Client, California. Drafting EIR in contribution to CEQA analyses. (2024-Ongoing)

Arboretum Waterway Improvements, University of California Davis, Yolo County, California. Performed fish relocation of hundreds of common fish species, including Sacramento blackfish (*Orthodon microlepidotus*), as well as western pond turtle per the Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreement EPIMS Notification No. YOL-32039-R2. (2024)

Los Padres National Forest Ecological Restoration, DJ&A, Los Padres National Forest, California. Task manager/coordinator for the wildlife survey effort. Performed desktop habitat assessment and coordinated protocol-level surveys for California spotted owl (*Strix occidentalis occidentalis*), blunt-nosed leopard lizard, California-red legged frog, southwestern pond turtle (*Actinemys pallida*), San Emigdio blue butterfly (*Plebejus emigdionis*), two-striped garter snake (*Thamnophis hammondii*), and vernal pool fairy shrimp. USFS is proposing the Ecological Restoration Project within the Los Padres National Forest. The project would involve analyzing potential fuel treatments along roads, off-highway vehicle trails, property lines, areas identified by the Los Padres Strategic Fuelbreak Assessment, and in other general forested areas in need of forest health thinning. The project occurs in Monterey, Mt. Pinos, Santa Lucia, and Santa Barbara Ranger Districts. The Los Padres National Forest Ecological Restoration Project requires analysis under NEPA including an environmental assessment, long-term operation and maintenance plans, and permit applications with the Regional Water Quality Control Board, CDFW, USFWS, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and others.(2022–2023)

Thousand Palms Flood Control, Coachella Valley Water District, Riverside County, California. Drafted decision documents for the Final EIR/environmental impact statement. State Clearinghouse No. 2016111053. (2022)

Blue Mountain Minerals Revegetation Services, Tuolumne County, California. Conducted biannual weed and revegetation monitoring for a 4.5-acre restoration test plot program and planting plan. Performed seed viability testing and data entry. (2019–2020)

South Fork Preserve Improvements, Yolo County, California. Conducted reconnaissance-level valley elderberry long-horned beetle/elderberry shrub surveys. (2019)

Sherman Island Levee PL 84-99 Repair Areas, Sacramento County, California. Conducted pre-construction surveys and prepared associated reports for giant garter snake, western pond turtle, Swainson's hawk, burrowing owl, and nesting birds (observed). Observed one hybrid tricolored blackbird (*Agelaius tricolor*)/red-winged blackbird (*Agelaius phoeniceus*) individual outside of the project area. State Clearinghouse No. 2012092029. (2018)



Greenhorn Creek Aggregate Mining Expansion Project, Hansen Brothers, Placer County, California. Conducted a habitat assessment, delineation of environmentally sensitive areas, and visual encounter surveys for foothill yellow-legged frog. Performed biological monitoring and aquatic species rescue including the successful relocation of more than 1,000 foothill yellow-legged frog individuals (froglets and larvae), and other aquatic fish and amphibian species in accordance with CDFW SAA No. 1600-2017-0074-R2 and ITP No. 2081-2017-050-02 A1. (2017)

Transportation

U.S. Highway 50 Camino Safety, California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), El Dorado County, California. Performed a baseline biological field assessment and drafted a biological technical memorandum to update the initial study/mitigated negative declaration. (2020–2021)

Gold Country Home Owners Association Indian Creek Horse/Foot Bridge Repair, R.E.Y. Engineers, Inc., Confidential Client, El Dorado County, California. As project manager and lead biologist, conducted biological resource surveys for foothill yellow-legged frog, western pond turtle, and other special-status species. Composed contract materials, coordinated with client and CDFW, and resubmitted a completed Notification of LSAA. (2020-2021)

Gold Country Home Owners Association 2020 Culvert Maintenance, R.E.Y. Engineers, Inc., Confidential Client, El Dorado County, California. As project manager and lead biologist, provided coordination between client and CDFW, conducted baseline biological and pre-construction surveys for foothill yellow-legged frog and other specialstatus species, and prepared compliance reports and SAA verification request forms. (2020–2021)

Penryn Road at Secret Ravine Repair, Placer County Department of Public Works and Facilities, Placer County, California. Served as biologist for a storm-damaged culvert replacement project. Coordinated with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers regarding Section 7 consultation for salmon and steelhead. Conducted post-construction and annual monitoring surveys for western pond turtle and salmonids. (2018–2019)

CR40 LWB Replacement Over Cache Creek, MGE Engineering, Inc., Yolo County, California. As project manager and lead biologist, performed a biological field assessment, wetland delineation, and pre-construction surveys for birds, bats, foothill yellow-legged frog, western pond turtle, and valley elderberry longhorn beetle. Prepared the preliminary environmental study, the natural environmental study, and the aquatic resource delineation report. Incorporated mitigation from Yolo County HCP. (2018–2021)

Crosby Herold Road Bridge Replacement at Doty Creek Ravine, Placer County Department of Public Works and Facilities, Placer County, California. Managed Environmental Commitments Record (ECR) and contract materials for the Crosby Herold Road bridge replacement. Implemented compliance with CEQA/NEPA measures via work notifications. Conducted pre-construction surveys for California red-legged frog, western pond turtle, nesting birds, and other special-status species. Conducted WEAP training and monitoring of in-water work. Mitigation met Placer County HCP standards. LSA No. 1600-2020-0128-R2; USFWS Biological Opinion No. 08ESMF00-2015-F-0296-1. (2020–2021)

Diamond Springs Parkway Phase 1A, Caltrans, El Dorado County, California. As project manager and USFWS qualified biologist, conducted pre-construction surveys for California red-legged frog, bats, and nesting birds. Conducted WEAP training and biological monitoring within wetlands. Coordinated with County and contractor for permit compliance. LSA No. 1600-2016-0217-R2; USFWS Letter of Concurrence No. 08ESMF00-2016-I-1769. (2019–2021)

Tuolumne County On-Call Environmental Services Contract, Tuolumne County, California. As project manager and lead biologist, conducted pre-construction bat (observed), nesting avian (observed), revegetation, and biannual weed surveys for Tuolumne County and composed associated compliance reports. (2020)

Butte Fire Road Recovery Culvert Replacement, Calaveras County, California. As project manager and lead biologist, coordinated with client and CDFW for the SAA; conducted pre- and post-construction surveys for California red-legged frog, nesting birds, and other special-status species; and composed compliance reports. LSA No. 1600-2020-0076-R2. (2020)

Interim Indian Gulch Bridge, Mariposa County, California. As project manager and lead biologist, composed contract and WEAP training materials; coordinated with client; conducted pre-construction surveys for California red-legged frog (observed five individuals), nesting birds, and other special-status species; and provided compliance reports. (2020)

Jacksonville Road Crossing Tuolumne River Bridge Preventive Maintenance Program, Tuolumne County, California. As lead biologist, conducted emergence and pre-construction surveys for roosting bats and nesting birds, positively detected a roosting bat colony. Composed survey reports. LSA No. 1600-2016-0156-R4. (2019–2020)

Highspeed Rail (Merced to Fresno), Madera County, California. Conducted data analysis and assisted in the preparations of amendments for Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA), Section 401 WQC, Section 1602 SAA, Section 2081 ITP, and Section 7 biological opinion. (2019)

Storm Damage Repair Projects, El Dorado County Department of Transportation, El Dorado County, California. Served as assistant project manager and biologist for multiple emergency road and bridge repairs throughout El Dorado County. Conducted compliance surveys (pre- and post-construction) and/or protocol surveys for specialstatus species, including nesting birds, California spotted owl, California red-legged frog, Sierra Nevada yellowlegged frog, and foothill yellow-legged frog. Managed the biological field staff, coordinated with USFS, and attended County/contractor kickoff meetings. Prepared the biological technical reports, environmental trainings, resource permit applications, and ECR. Projects are listed below. (2018–2021)

- Union Mine Culvert Repair, Caltrans, El Dorado County, California. As project manager and lead biologist, provided a biological desktop analysis for initial biological assessment at the request of the County and in compliance with the Notification of LSA Application. (2020–2021)
- Clear Creek Road Bridge Replacement (0.25 and 1.82), Caltrans, El Dorado County, California. As project manager and lead biologist, coordinated with client and permitting agencies, created survey protocol for foothill-yellow legged frog, conducted pre- and post-construction surveys and bat emergence surveys, provided WEAP training, composed and implemented the fish and aquatic species relocation plan, and provided compliance reports. Successfully relocated brown trout (Salmo trutta) and other aquatic species. LSA Nos. 1600-2019-0101-R2 and 1600-2019-0101-R2. (2020–2021)
- Greenstone Road at Slate Creek Bridge Replacement, Caltrans, El Dorado County, California. As project manager and CDFW qualified biologist, created survey protocol and conducted pre-construction surveys for foothill yellow-legged frog, western pond turtle, nesting birds, and special-status plants. Conducted WEAP training and post-construction survey and prepared survey reports. LSA No. 1600-2018-0093-R2. (2019–2020)



- Bucks Bar Road Sinkhole Repair, Caltrans, El Dorado County, California. Assisted with project completion memoranda in accordance with California Fish and Game Code Section 1610 Emergency Notification, CWA Section 404 Regional General Permit #8, and CWA Section 401 Notice of Intent. Conducted abbreviated biological assessment for California red-legged frog and prepared ECR package. (2019-2020)
- Newtown Road Slide Repair, Caltrans, El Dorado County, California. Assisted with project completion memoranda in accordance with California Fish and Game Code Section 1602 SAA Notification, CWA Section 404 Regional General Permit No. 8, and CWA Section 401 Notice of Intent. Prepared ECR package. (2018)
- White Meadow Road Repair, Caltrans, El Dorado County, California. Conducted USFS protocol surveys for California spotted owl. (2018–2019)
- Pony Express Road Slip Repair, Caltrans, El Dorado County, California. Assisted with project completion memoranda in accordance with California Fish and Game Code Section 1602 SAA Notification, CWA Section 404 Regional General Permit No. 8, and CWA Section 401 Notice of Intent. Prepared ECR package. (2018)

East Zayante Road Bridge (36C-142) at Zayante Creek Maintenance, Caltrans, Santa Cruz County, California. Prepared a construction-related noise technical memorandum. (2018)

Bucks Bar Road Bridge (25C-0003) at North Fork Cosumnes River, Caltrans, El Dorado County, California. Conducted a historical aerial analysis for the EIR and natural environmental study. (2018)

Crabtree Road over Dry Creek Bridge Replacement, Stanislaus County, California. Prepared farmland memorandum and associated attachments. (2018)

Water/Wastewater

Murphys Sanitary District Wastewater Treatment Plant: Maintenance and Improvement, Town of Murphys, California. Conducted pre-construction surveys for western pond turtle, California red-legged frog, nesting birds, and other special-status species. Composed compliance reports. (2020–2021)

Sloan Dam and Reservoir, Confidential Client, El Dorado County, California. As project manager and lead biologist, produced contract materials, coordinated with client, conducted biological resources survey, and composed report in accordance with County applications. (2020)

Union Public Utilities District Water Storage Tank, Calaveras County, California. Prepared the aquatic resource delineation report and assisted in preparation of the biological assessment. (2018)

Snowshoe Waterline Replacement, Calaveras County Water District, Calaveras County, California. Assisted with preparation of the biological resource evaluation. (2018)

City of Ukiah Recycled Water, Mendocino County, California. Conducted pre-construction surveys for foothill yellow-legged frog, western pond turtle, nesting birds, and birds of prey, as well as and presence/absence surveys and identification of fish, amphibian, and turtle species in accordance with the SAA. Provided biological monitoring and daily reports for the installation of a water pipeline near a creek. (2018)
Specialized Training and Education

- Crotch's Bumble Bee Training. Identification, life history, handling, etc. (16 hours total/8 field). Capture and ID of 5+ bumble bee species. Conducted under handling biologist Callie Amoaku.
- California Red-Legged Frog Master Workshop (inc. western pond turtle, California tiger salamander, and foothill yellow-legged frog), Petaluma, California. February 2024-Ongoing.
- Identification and Rehabilitation of Local Bats, Tri County Wildlife Care/NorCal Bats. December 2023.
- California Red-Legged Frog Level I Workshop, Petaluma, California. July 2023.
- Western Pond Turtle Nesting Workshop, Martinez, California. June 2023.
- Rare Pond Species Survey Techniques Workshop (western pond turtle, California tiger salamander, California red-legged frog, and foothill yellow-legged frog), Santa Rosa, California. March 2023.
- California Tiger Salamander (Sonoma Distinct Population Segment) Terrestrial Ecology Workshop, Santa Rosa, California. March 2023.
- Bat Rehabilitation: Common Injuries in Insectivorous Bats. September 2023.
- Introduction to Bat Rehabilitation. August 2023.
- Using Wildlife Audio Recorders, The Wildlife Society Riverside. Hands-on workshop. Set up Song Meter Micro, Mini, Mini Bat recorders and application for audial wildlife monitoring. February 2023.
- Bat Rehabilitation: Caring for Mothers and Pups, Introduction to Bat Rehabilitation. May 2023.
- Baby Songbird Rehabilitation Training, Sierra Wildlife. 2022–2023.
- Western Section Wildlife Society 70th Annual Conference, Riverside, California. February 2023.
- CEQA Basic Workshop (SMUD and AECOM), Association of Environmental Professionals. 2023.
- Wildlife Rehabilitation Intro Courses: Raptors; Adult Songbirds; Corvids; Foxes; Coyotes, Bobcats, and Skunks; Rabbits; and Opossums. Spring 2022 and 2012.
- Yosemite Toad Field Identification. USFS, 2018.
- University of California (UC), Davis Extension Courses: Streambank Assessment and Restoration Wetlands Regulation and Mitigation; Writing for Planners, Engineers, and Policymakers. 2016–2017.
- Bird Mist Netting, Ornithology UC Berkeley, Blue Oak Ranch Reserve, San Jose, California. Net setup, handling, biometrics, and identification. March 2015.
- Field Entomology, UC Berkeley, Ants Pepperwood Preserve, Santa Rosa, California; Spiders Angelo Coast Range Reserve, Mendocino Co., California. Identification, collection, and preservations methods. 2014–2016.
- Bat Mist Netting, Mammalogy UC Berkeley, Sagehen Creek Field Station and Chilcoot, California. Net setup, handling, biometrics, and identification. September and October 2014.
- Small Mammal Trapping, Mammalogy UC Berkeley, Sagehen Creek Field Station and Hastings Natural History Reservation. Trap setup and checks, handling, biometrics, identification, museum specimen preparation. September and October 2014.
- Level 2 Blunt-Nosed Leopard Lizard Biologist Protocol Level Surveys. 2012–2014.

Volunteer Experience

• Tiger Salamander Migration Management, Travis Airforce Base. California Tiger Salamander Relocation Volunteer, Solano County, California. Relocation of California tiger salamander to safe corridors for migration to and from upland and aquatic habitat. Includes pitfall trap and fencing maintenance.



Incidental observations and relocation of other non-listed amphibians, reptiles, and small mammals. California tiger salamander life stages: Breeding and non-breeding adults, and metamorphs. Observed 200+ individuals and handled 40+ individuals.

- Wildlife Rehabilitation Training and Lead. Volunteer and board member for a non-profit organization that rehabilitates injured and orphaned wildlife. Handles, feeds, and medically treats a range of wildlife daily, in home and on site, including bats, birds and raptors, and mammals. Ensures compliance with facility permits/agreements from USFWS, U.S. Department of Agriculture, and CDFW. 2023–Present.
 - Sierra Wildlife Rescue, Diamond Springs, California. In-home Wildlife Rehabilitator for bats (Team Lead), raptors, corvids, opossums, skunks, fox, coyote, and bobcat; Education/Public Outreach Team, Wildlife Transportation Coordinator, and Board Member. 2022–Present.
 - California Living Museum, Bakersfield, Kern County, California. Wildlife Rehabilitator. 2012–2013.
- CDFW Bat Fest. Sacramento, California. Volunteered on the CDFW bat identification team for deceased bats submitted by Animal Services throughout the state. Handled 60+ bats, approximately 10+ species. 4+ hours. February 2024
- Yolo Causeway Bat Count, Yolo Causeway, Yolo County, California. Participated in bat count training, conducted bat inventory surveys via visual encounters using high power flashlights and binoculars, and estimated guano coverage along transects. Observed and documented approximately 3,300 adult and juvenile Mexican free-tailed bats (*Tadarida brasiliensis*) during counts in June and August. 2021.

Awards

- Biology Department Award, 2014.
- Chemistry Top-of-Class Award, 2011 and 2012.
- A+Stem Scholarship, 2013–2014.
- Norman Levan Scholarship, 2014.
- KC Mineral Society Scholarship, 2014.
- Rotary Club Scholarship, 2014.
- Forestry Department Scholarships, 2014.
- UC Berkeley Scholarships, 2014–2016.

Surveying and Monitoring Hours for Relevant Species*

Species	Surveying Hours	Monitoring Hours	Handling Hours	Notes
Bat species	40	200	150	Bat emergence surveys and monitoring of active roosts.
				Monitoring included WEAP.
				Sierra Wildlife Rescue Bat Rehabilitation Lead 2022–Present.
				Yolo Causeway Bat Count, Yolo Causeway, 2021.
				Bat Mist Netting, UC Berkeley NorCal Field Sites Mammalogy, 2014.
Burrowing owl	500	1,500	2	Habitat assessments, protocol surveys, exclusion, and construction monitoring.

Surveying and Monitoring Hours for Relevant Species*

Species	Surveying Hours	Monitoring Hours	Handling Hours	Notes
				Positive identification of active burrows and owls, including fledglings.
				Monitoring included WEAP.
				Triaged/handled one adult individual through Sierra Wildlife Rescue, summer 2022.
California red- legged frog	60	80	20	Adult eye-shine surveys, pre-construction surveys, protocol site assessments, and construction monitoring. Positive identification and/or handling of adults.
				Monitoring included WEAP.
California tiger salamander	500	1,000	30	Larval surveys, pre-construction surveys, and construction monitoring. Observed 900+ individuals. Handled 100+ larvae, 100+ metamorphs/juveniles, and 10+ adults under the supervision of permitted biologists.
				Monitoring includes WEAP.
Desert tortoise	0	0	100	Awaiting Desert Tortoise Keeper Permit from CDFW. Handled one hatchling/juvenile individual.
Foothill (and Sierra Nevada) yellow-legged frog	80	32	16	Visual encounter surveys, pre-construction surveys, and habitat assessments. Positive identification and handling/relocation of more than 1,000 froglets and larvae.
				Monitoring includes WEAP.
Giant garter snake	400	400	0	Pre-construction surveys, habitat assessments, and monitoring. Observed three individuals in Sacramento County (Natomas Basin and Baseline/W Riego Road).
Great gray owl	40	0	0	Protocol nighttime point call surveys
Nesting birds and raptors	3,000+	500+	750+	Pre-construction surveys and nest monitoring prior to and during construction.
				Raptor and bird rehabilitator, Sierra Wildlife Rescue, 150+ individuals.
				Bird Mist Netting, UC Berkeley NorCal Field Sites Ornithology, 2015.
Salmonids	40	40	8	Pre-construction surveys and habitat assessments. Relocation of brown trout in Clear Creek. Steelhead observed in Secret Ravine.
San Joaquin kit fox	1,300+	100+	0	Experience in den identification and mapping, track dusting, and camera trapping.

Surveying and Monitoring Hours for Relevant Species*

Species	Surveying Hours	Monitoring Hours	Handling Hours	Notes
				Surveys conducted in accordance with Standardized Recommendations for Protection of the San Joaquin Kit Fox Prior to or During Ground Disturbance USFWS, 2011, and San Joaquin Multi-Species Habitat Conservation and Open Space Plan, November 2000.
				Enclosure maintenance at California Living Museum. Observed 2 adults and 2 pups.
Spotted owl	16	0	40	Protocol nighttime point call surveys.
				Ongoing handling of Sierra Wildlife Rescue California spotted owl education ambassador (Whisper).
Swainson's hawk	750	750	0	Protocol surveys, pre-construction surveys, and nest monitoring prior to and during construction. Positive detection of multiple active nests and more than 150 hawks, including fledglings (light and dark morphs).
Valley elderberry longhorn beetle	500	500	0	Pre-construction surveys. Positive identification of shrubs with suitable exit holes.
Vernal pool branchiopods	100	200	*0	*Dry season surveys.
Western pond turtle	400	500	10	Pre-construction surveys, habitat assessments, aquatic and upland nest surveys, and construction monitoring. Positive detection of more than 40 individuals and 2 nests/eggs. Handled 12 adults and 5 hatchlings/juveniles.
Willow flycatcher	30	0	0	Protocol-level surveys.
Yosemite toad	30	0	2	Presence/absence surveys; USFS on-site training. Observed 1,000+ larvae and handled 15 froglet individuals.

Notes:

* Hours are approximate.

Emily Scricca

BIOLOGIST

Emily Scricca is a wildlife biologist with 8 years' field experience providing biological monitoring and conducting surveys for numerous species, including burrowing owl (*Athene cunicularia*), Swainson's hawk (*Buteo swainsonii*), least Bell's vireo (*Vireo bellii pusillus*), tricolored blackbird (*Agelaius tricolor*), western snowy plover (*Charadrius alexandrines*), California tiger salamander (*Ambystoma californiense*), California red-legged frog (*Rana draytonii*), western pond turtle (*Actinemys marmorata*), San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat (*Neotoma fuscipes annectens*), and San Joaquin kit fox (*Vulpes macrotis*). Ms. Scricca possesses a federal 10(a)1(A) recovery permit for California tiger salamander. From her various positions in California, Ms. Scricca has strong knowledge of California flora and fauna and is well versed in environmental survey and sampling techniques. She has extensive experience conducting nesting bird surveys and a demonstrated ability to identify birds by sight and sound.

Ms. Scricca has a working knowledge of regulatory permitting processes including; National Environmental Policy Act, California Environmental Quality Act, federal Endangered Species Act, California Fish and Game Code, Lake and Streambed Alteration Agreements, Migratory Bird Treaty Act, and Clean Water Act. Additionally, she is a detail-oriented, effective communicator, and has prepared numerous technical reports in support of the environmental review and permitting processes for dozens of projects.

Project Experience Development

Riparian Setback Analysis Project, San Jose, California. Conducted a riparian habitat and setback analysis for a small commercial development site adjacent to Ross Creek in San Jose, California. Prepared an extensive Riparian Setback Analysis Report and Alternatives Analysis specific to the City of San Jose's Riparian Corridor Policy Study and Condition 11 in chapter 6 of the Santa Clara Valley Habitat Plan. (January 2018–February 2019)

Virginia Smith Trust, Campus Community North Phase 6, and 2020 Projects, University of California, Merced, Merced County, California. The UC Merced Virginia Smith Trust, Campus and Community North Projects are the development of an 810-acre campus and associated 835-acre university community neighborhood, combined with preservation of over 26,000 acres of mitigation lands in the project vicinity. Conducted pre-construction surveys for



Education San Jose State University MS, Environmental Studies, 2017

University of Vermont BS, Animal Sciences, 2010

Certifications

USFWS, Section 10(a)(1)(A) Native Endangered and Threatened Species Recovery Permit, No. TE45251C-0

 California tiger salamander

CDFW, Memorandum of Understanding, Scientific Collecting Permit, No. SC-013755

California Tiger
 Salamander

Professional Affiliations

National Wildlife Federation

San Francisco Bay Bird Observatory The Wildlife Society Western Bird Banding Association

California tiger salamander, San Joaquin kit fox, burrowing owl, and succulent owl's clover (*Castilleja campestris* ssp. *succulenta*) on the project site lands. Also conducted protocol-level surveys for Swainson's hawk, and monitored active Swainson's hawk nests onsite. Served as a designated biologist (CDFW) and an approved

biologist (USFWS) for the construction of the campus and monitored construction activities to ensure compliance with the project's environmental documents and permits (USFWS Biological Opinion, CDFW Incidental Take Permit, EIR, 401 and 404, etc.). In addition to pre-construction surveys and biological monitoring, provided daily environmental awareness trainings to construction personnel, and supervised the installation of one-way CTS exclusion fencing. (June 2017–January 2019)

East Garrison Housing Development, Monterey, California. The project is the development of single-family residences, parks, and other community areas that will be constructed in several phases over a 10-year period on an approximately 240-acre site within East Garrison, formerly Fort Ord. Serves as a designated biologist for this this project, which is regulated underneath a CDFW 2081 ITP. Conducted onsite preconstruction surveys, construction monitoring, and training targeting the protection of CTS, nesting birds, and roosting bats. Provided project personnel environmental awareness trainings for all project staff, conducted onsite compliance monitoring, species entrapment inspections, species relocations, passive bat exclusions, nest buffer establishment, and agency reporting on an annual, quarterly, and species-observation basis. (December 2016–January 2019)

McCarthy Ranch Sprig Center and McCarthy Creekside Phase II, Milpitas, California. Conducted protocol-level burrowing owl surveys for the McCarthy Ranch projects under the 2012 CDFW guidelines. Additionally, provided biological training to construction personnel and distributed results of the surveys to construction managers in written reports. (June 2018–October 2018)

VTA Highway 237 Express Lanes Phases I and II, San Jose, California. Conducted protocol-level burrowing owl surveys for the Highway 237 Express Lane project under the 2012 CDFW guidelines as well as the Santa Clara Valley Habitat Conservation Plan protocol. Additionally, provided biological training to construction personnel and monitored installation of Environmentally Sensitive Area fencing. (March–June 2018)

Communications Hill Housing Development Phase II, San Jose, California. Conducted protocol-level burrowing owl surveys for the Communications Hill Phase II development project under the 2012 CDFW guidelines as well as the Santa Clara Valley Habitat Conservation Plan protocol. Established exclusion barriers around active burrowing owl burrows, and monitored burrows during construction activities. Additionally, provided biological training to construction personnel. (August 2017–January 2018)

Sellers Avenue Subdivision Map Project, Brentwood, California. Conducted protocol-level burrowing owl and Swainson's hawk surveys for the Sellers Avenue Subdivision Map project under the 2012 CDFW guidelines as well as the East Contra Costa County Habitat Conservation Plan and Natural Community Conservation Plan. Additionally, established construction-free buffers around active bird nests, and distributed results of the surveys to construction managers in written reports. (August 2017)

Arcadia Evergreen Subdivision Project, San Jose, California. Prepared a private application package for the 64acre housing subdivision site for Santa Clara Valley Habitat Plan coverage. Calculated land cover and burrowing owl fees, for the project applicant. Conducted protocol-level burrowing owl surveys for the Arcadia Evergreen Subdivision project under the 2012 CDFW guidelines as well as the Santa Clara Valley Habitat Conservation Plan protocol. (May–November 2017)

Education

Pleasant Hill Library Project, Contra Costa County, California. Conducted a biological constraints analysis for the 15-acre Pleasant Hill Library site. Potential constraints identified for future buildout of the site included potential impacts to nesting birds and raptors, identification of the East Fork of Grayson Creek as a potential water of the United States, and City of Pleasant Hill creek setback ordinances. (January–February 2018)

Energy

Maricopa Sun, LLC, Habitat Conservation Plan, Kern County, California. Conducted protocol-level blunt-nosed leopard lizard (*Gambelia sila*) and Nelson's antelope squirrel (*Ammospermophilus nelson*) surveys at various HCP sites for the Maricopa Sun LLC solar project. Also provided biological monitoring under the direct supervision of an authorized USFWS designated biologist for blunt-nosed leopard lizard, western spadefoot toad (*Spea hammondii*), San Joaquin coachwhip (*Coluber flagellum ruddocki*), San Joaquin antelope squirrel, Tipton's kangaroo rat (*Dipodomys nitratoides nitratoides*), burrowing owl, and other covered species for trash removal at the 10-C Maricopa West project site. (June 2017 and May 2018)

Federal

Closure of Former Defense Fuel Supply Point Fuel Facility Project, Moffett Federal Airfield, Mountain View, California. Served as USFWS-Approved Biological Monitor for this project. Provided biological monitoring for burrowing owl, California least tern (*Sternula antillarum browni*), Ridgeway's rail (*Rallus obsoletus*), and salt marsh harvest mouse (*Reithrodontomys raviventris*) at the 7-acre site. This effort involved conducting protocol-level preconstruction surveys, installing one-way doors into burrows for passive relocation, installing artificial burrows for owl recruitment, implementing owl exclusion measures and monitoring of construction activities. Conducted environmental awareness trainings for field personnel, and coordinated construction activities with multiple agencies at Moffett Field. Daily observations of wildlife were collected as points, polygons, lines, and track logs on ArcGIS Collector and summarized in written reports. (February 2016–February 2017)

Municipal

Newby Island Landfill Bank Stabilization Project, San Jose, California. Assisted in the preparation of a biological evaluation report for the Newby Island Bank Stabilization project site. Conducted field evaluations in which the biotic resources of the site were determined, and evaluated the potential for green sturgeon (*Acipenser medirostris*), central California coast steelhead (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), California Ridgeway's rail (*Rallus obsoletus obsoletus*), and salt marsh harvest mouse (*Reithrodontomys raviventris*) to be impacted by planned project activities. The report also included an Essential Fish Habitat Assessment. (October 2018–December 2018)

Resource Management

Frantoio Grove California Tiger Salamander and California Red-Legged Frog Habitat Assessment, San Martin, California. Assisted in the preparation of a CTS and CRLF habitat assessment for the 95-acre Frantoio Grove property in San Martin, California. Evaluated the existing conditions and habitat assessment of the site, distribution of CTS and CRLF in the region, surrounding aquatic habitats, and likelihood of CTS and CRLF occurring on the site. The purpose of this assessment was to provide the USFWS with sufficient information so that the agency may conclude that CTS and CRLF are not present on the site. (October 2017–February 2018)

Sargent Ranch Quarry, Gilroy, California. Performed aquatic seine-net surveys for California tiger salamander and California red-legged frog in multiple stock ponds of the mitigation areas of the Sargent Ranch site. Data collected was compiled and summarized in a written report for project client. (May 2017)



Water/Wastewater

In-House Water Utility Maintenance Projects, Santa Clara Valley Water District, Santa Clara County, California. Projects included, but were not limited to annual maintenance on reservoirs, vegetation management and abatement, treatment plant and various facility upgrades, pipeline maintenance, and tree removal. Provided biological monitoring for California tiger salamander, California red-legged frog, western pond turtle, burrowing owl, Least Bell's vireo, tricolored blackbird, San Francisco dusky-footed woodrat and San Joaquin kit fox at various project sites. These efforts involved conducting pre-construction biological surveys, grassland and wetland bird surveys, permit review, environmental impact assessment, and writing technical reports. Additionally, used GIS to ground-truth construction footprints and calculated land cover fees in compliance with the Santa Clara Valley Habitat Conservation Plan. (September 2015–February 2017)

Specialized Training

- Fifty Plant Families in the Field: San Francisco Bay Area, Jepson Herbarium, March 2018. Attended a four day workshop introducing the flora of the San Francisco Bay area and the techniques used to identify plants of California. Emphasis was on learning to recognize characteristics of the Bay Area's plant families. The workshop included practice keying plants in the field using the third edition of the book Plants of the San Francisco Bay Region: Mendocino to Monterey.
- Rare Pond Species Survey Techniques Workshop, Laguna de Santa Rosa Foundation, March 2017. Workshop covered species biology and survey technique for California red-legged frog, California tiger salamander, and western pond turtle. Field trips provided hands-on experience with all three species, including dip net sampling, spotlight surveys, and visual encounter and trapping.
- Amphibians of the San Francisco Bay Area Workshop, Laguna de Santa Rosa Foundation, November 2016. Workshop provided an overview of the life history, ecology and conservation, identification features, range and distribution, habitat requirements, and behavior of frogs, toads, and salamanders that occur in the San Francisco Bay Area. Workshop also included a field trip to Fairfield Osborn Preserve on Sonoma Mountain for hands-on experience.
- CEQA Essentials Workshop, Association of Environmental Professionals, November 2016. Workshop
 provided a basic understanding of the fundamentals of the California Environmental Quality Act and the
 State Guidelines, and included intermediate-level practice pointers and case studies.
- First Aid Wilderness and CPR Certification Training, Santa Clara Valley Water District, July 2016.
- California Tiger Salamander Terrestrial Ecology Workshop, Laguna de Santa Rosa Foundation, March 2016. Workshop covered terrestrial ecology, land use management, and regulations of the California tiger salamander (CTS). Reviewed the biology, upland habitat use, and migration patterns of CTS, the theory and design of roadway tunnels to facilitate safe passage of migrating salamanders; design of pitfall trap arrays; survey protocols; and implementing and monitoring land use management practices. Field trips provided pitfall trap and fence array construction training and hands-on experience.

Publications

Moffitt, Emily. 2017. Using Stable Isotope Analysis to Infer Breeding Latitude and Migratory Timing of Juvenile Pacific-slope Flycatchers (Empidonax difficilis). M.S. Thesis, San Jose State University.

Presentations

"Preparing Feather Samples for Stable Isotope Analysis: Basic Principles and Techniques", 2016. Presented at the Western Bird Banding Association Annual Meeting. Point Reyes Station, California.



Awards

Outstanding Thesis of the Year Award, San Jose State University, 2017–2018, for superior quality of research and presentation.

Appendix D Plant and Wildlife Species Compendium

Plant Species

Vascular Species

Eudicots

AMARANTHACEAE – AMARANTH FAMILY

* Amaranthus albus – prostrate pigweed

APOCYNACEAE - DOGBANE FAMILY

Asclepias fascicularis - Mexican whorled milkweed

ASTERACEAE - SUNFLOWER FAMILY

Blepharizonia plumosa – big tarplant

- * Carduus pycnocephalus Italian plumeless thistle
- * Centaurea calcitrapa red star-thistle
- Centaurea solstitialis yellow star-thistle
- Cynara cardunculus cardoon
- Grindelia squarrosa curlycup gumweed
 Holocarpha virgata yellowflower tarweed
 Isocoma acradenia alkali goldenbush
- *Lactuca serriola prickly lettuce Lasthenia sp. unidentified goldfield species*
- Silybum marianum blessed milkthistle
 Xanthium spinosum spiny cocklebur

BORAGINACEAE - BORAGE FAMILY

Amsinckia intermedia – common fiddleneck Heliotropium curassavicum – salt heliotrope Plagiobothrys canescens – valley popcornflower

BRASSICACEAE – MUSTARD FAMILY

* Brassica nigra – black mustard

CHENOPODIACEAE - GOOSEFOOT FAMILY

- Atriplex prostrata fat hen
 Atriplex sp. unidentified Atriplex species
- Salsola tragus prickly Russian thistle

CONVOLVULACEAE - MORNING-GLORY FAMILY

* Convolvulus arvensis – field bindweed



EUPHORBIACEAE – SPURGE FAMILY

Croton setiger - dove weed

FABACEAE - LEGUME FAMILY

Lupinus microcarpus – valley lupine Lupinus sp. – unidentified lupine species Trifolium sp. – unidentified clover species

GERANIACEAE – GERANIUM FAMILY

Erodium botrys – longbeak stork's bill
 Erodium cicutarium – redstem stork's bill

LAMIACEAE - MINT FAMILY

Marrubium vulgare – horehound

MALVACEAE - MALLOW FAMILY

Malvella leprosa - alkali mallow

OROBANCHACEAE – BROOM-RAPE FAMILY

Castilleja exserta - exserted Indian paintbrush

POLYGONACEAE – BUCKWHEAT FAMILY

- Rumex crispus curly dock
- SALICACEAE WILLOW FAMILY

Populus fremontii – Fremont cottonwood

VERBENACEAE - VERVAIN FAMILY

Verbena lasiostachys - western vervain

Monocots

CYPERACEAE - SEDGE FAMILY

Bolboschoenus maritimus – salt marsh bulrush Eleocharis sp. – unidentified spikerush species

POACEAE - GRASS FAMILY

- * Avena fatua wild oat
- Bromus diandrus ripgut brome
- Bromus rubens red brome
 Distichlis spicata salt grass
- Festuca perennis perennial rye grass
 Festuca sp. unidentified fescue species



- Hordeum murinum mouse barley
- Poa bulbosa bulbous bluegrass
- Polypogon monspeliensis annual rabbitsfoot grass

THEMIDACEAE - BRODIAEA FAMILY

Brodiaea elegans – harvest brodiaea Dipterostemon capitatus – bluedicks Triteleia laxa – Ithuriel's spear

Wildlife Species

Amphibians

Frogs

HYLIDAE – TREEFROGS Pseudacris sierra – Sierran treefrog

Birds

Blackbirds, Orioles and Allies

ICTERIDAE – BLACKBIRDS

Agelaius phoeniceus – red-winged blackbird Agelaius tricolor – tricolored blackbird Sturnella neglecta – western meadowlark

Falcons

FALCONIDAE - CARACARAS AND FALCONS

Falco sparverius – American kestrel

Finches

FRINGILLIDAE – FRINGILLINE AND CARDUELINE FINCHES AND ALLIES Haemorhous mexicanus – house finch

Flycatchers

TYRANNIDAE – TYRANT FLYCATCHERS Tyrannus verticalis – western kingbird

Hawks

ACCIPITRIDAE – HAWKS, KITES, EAGLES, AND ALLIES Buteo jamaicensis – red-tailed hawk

Jays, Magpies and Crows

CORVIDAE – CROWS AND JAYS Corvus corax – common raven



Larks

ALAUDIDAE – LARKS Eremophila alpestris – horned lark

New World Vultures

CATHARTIDAE – NEW WORLD VULTURES Cathartes aura –turkey vulture

Pigeons and Doves

COLUMBIDAE – PIGEONS AND DOVES Zenaida macroura – mourning dove

Shorebirds

CHARADRIIDAE – LAPWINGS AND PLOVERS Charadrius vociferus – killdeer

Starlings and Allies

STURNIDAE - STARLINGS

* Sturnus vulgaris – European starling

Waterfowl

ANATIDAE – DUCKS, GEESE, AND SWANS Anas platyrhynchos – mallard Lophodytes cucullatus – hooded merganser

Wood Warblers and Allies

PARULIDAE – WOOD-WARBLERS Setophaga coronata – yellow-rumped warbler

New World Sparrows

PASSERELLIDAE – NEW WORLD SPARROWS Passerculus sandwichensis – savannah sparrow

Mammals

Canids

DUDEK

CANIDAE - WOLVES AND FOXES

Canis latrans - coyote

Squirrels

SCIURIDAE – SQUIRRELS Otospermophilus beecheyi – California ground squirrel

Reptiles

Lizards

PHRYNOSOMATIDAE – IGUANID LIZARDS

Sceloporus occidentalis - western fence lizard

* signifies introduced (non-native) species





Photo 1. Non-native annual grasslands, March 2023.



Photo 3. Exposed bedrock within non-native annual grasslands, March 2023.



Photo 2. Non-native annual grasslands and site topography, March 2023.



Photo 4. Rocky outcrops that may provide nesting habitat for native bumble bees, March 2023.





Photo 5. Stock pond (Pond 1) west of the Project site, March 2023.



Photo 7. Pond 1 at the start of August 2023, with very little water remaining.



Photo 6. Stock pond (Pond 2) west of the Project site, March 2023.



Photo 8. Pond 2 at the start of August 2023, with considerable water remaining.



Photo 9. Patterson Run (EPH-01) with moderate flow in March 2023.



Photo 11. Patterson Run (EPH-01) with moderate flow in January 2024.



Photo 10. Patterson Run (EPH-01) with dry streambed at the start of August 2023.



Photo 12. Patterson Run (EPH-01), deep channel near Patterson Pass Road in January 2024.





Photo 13. Non-native annual grassland habitat on the generation-tie alignment, August 2023.

Photo 14. Example of a small mammal burrow with large soil tailing present on the Project site, August 2023.



Photo 15. Sample of big tarplant, *Blepharizonia plumosa*, blooming in August 2023.



Photo 16. Flower of big tarplant, *Blepharizonia plumosa*, August 2023.





Photo 17. Grassland wash/swale microhabitat surrounding the big tarplant, near the southwest corner of the PG&E substation.

Photo 18. Similar grassland wash/swale microhabitat surrounding two additional big tarplants found on the Project site.

Appendix F

Special-Status Species Potential to Occur within the Project Study Area

Scientific Name	Common Name	Status (Federal/State/CRPR/ EACCS Coverage)	Primary Habitat Associations/ Life Form/ Blooming Period/ Elevation Range (feet)	Potential to Occur
Plants				
Allium sharsmithiae	Sharsmith's onion	None/None/1B.3/No	Chaparral, Cismontane woodland; Rocky, Serpentinite/perennial bulbiferous herb/Mar-May/1,310-3,935	Not expected to occur. 1
Amsinckia grandiflora	large-flowered fiddleneck	FE/SE/1B.1/No	Cismontane woodland, Valley and foothill grassland/annual herb/(Mar)Apr-May/885-1,800	Not expected to occur.
Arctostaphylos manzanita ssp. Iaevigata	Contra Costa manzanita	None/None/1B.2/No	Chaparral (rocky)/perennial evergreen shrub/Jan-Mar (Apr)/ 1,410-3,605	Not expected to occur. 1
Astragalus tener var. tener	alkali milk-vetch	None/None/1B.2/No	Playas, Valley and foothill grassland (adobe clay), Vernal pools; Alkaline/annual herb/Mar-June/5-195	Not expected to occur. 7
Atriplex cordulata var. cordulata	heartscale	None/None/1B.2/No	Chenopod scrub, Meadows and seeps, Valley and foothill grassland (sandy); Alkaline (sometimes)/annual herb/Apr-Oct/0-1,835	Low potential to occur. V lacking sandy soils. No o Study Area (PSA) (CDFW
Atriplex depressa	brittlescale	None/None/1B.2/No	Chenopod scrub, Meadows and seeps, Playas, Valley and foothill grassland, Vernal pools; Alkaline, Clay/annual herb/Apr-Oct/5-1,045	Moderate potential to or clay soils. The nearest d northwest of the PSA fro
Atriplex minuscula	lesser saltscale	None/None/1B.1/No	Chenopod scrub, Playas, Valley and foothill grassland; Alkaline, Sandy/annual herb/May–Oct/50–655	Low potential to occur. V lacking sandy soils. No o 2024).
Balsamorhiza macrolepis	big-scale balsamroot	None/None/1B.2/No	Chaparral, Cismontane woodland, Valley and foothill grassland; Serpentinite (sometimes)/perennial herb/Mar-June/150-5,100	Low potential to occur. V soils preferred by this sp PSA (CDFW 2024).
Blepharizonia plumosa	big tarplant	None/None/1B.1/Yes	Valley and foothill grassland; Clay (usually)/annual herb/July–Oct/ 100–1,655	Known to occur. Three is corner of the PG&E subs Suitable valley and footl documented occurrence (Occ. No. 15; CDFW 202
Calochortus pulchellus	Mt. Diablo fairy-lantern	None/None/1B.2/No	Chaparral, Cismontane woodland, Riparian woodland, Valley and foothill grassland/perennial bulbiferous herb/Apr–June/100–2,755	Low potential to occur. V and brushy slope microl occurrences within 5 mi
Caulanthus lemmonii	Lemmon's jewelflower	None/None/1B.2/No	Pinyon and juniper woodland, Valley and foothill grassland/annual herb/Feb-May/260-5,180	Moderate potential to or nearest documented oc historical record from 19
Centromadia parryi ssp. congdonii	Congdon's tarplant	None/None/1B.1/Yes	Valley and foothill grassland (alkaline)/annual herb/May-Oct(Nov)/ 0-755	Moderate potential to or clay soils. There are no of 2024).
Chlorogalum pomeridianum var. minus	dwarf soaproot	None/None/1B.2/No	Chaparral (serpentinite)/perennial bulbiferous herb/May–Aug/ 1,000–3,280	Not expected to occur. 1
Chloropyron molle ssp. hispidum	hispid salty bird's-beak	None/None/1B.1/No	Meadows and seeps, Playas, Valley and foothill grassland; Alkaline/annual herb (hemiparasitic)/June-Sep/5-510	Not expected to occur. T species. There are no do 2024).
Chloropyron palmatum	palmate-bracted bird's-beak	FE/SE/1B.1/Yes	Chenopod scrub, Valley and foothill grassland; Alkaline/annual herb (hemiparasitic)/May-Oct/15-510	Low potential to occur. V soils preferred by this sp PSA. There are no docur 2024).

DUDEK

r. The site is outside of the species' known elevation range.

r. The site is outside of the species' known elevation range.

r. The site is outside of the species' known elevation range.

r. The site is outside of the species' known elevation range.

r. Valley and foothill grassland is present but generally o documented occurrences within 5 miles of the Project FW 2024).

occur. Suitable valley and foothill grassland present with t documented occurrence is approximately 5 miles from 2003 (Occ. No. 28; CDFW 2024).

r. Valley and foothill grassland is present but generally o documented occurrences within 5 miles of the PSA (CDFW

r. Valley and foothill grassland present but lacks serpentine species. No documented occurrences within 5 miles of the

e individuals were found on the PSA near the southwest ubstation during the rare plant survey on August 8, 2023. othill grassland with clay loam soils present. The nearest nee is approximately 0.25 mile east of the PSA from 2003 024).

r. Valley and foothill grassland present but lacks wooded rohabitat preferred by this species. No documented miles of the PSA (CDFW 2024).

occur. Suitable valley and foothill grassland present. The occurrence is approximately 3.5 miles south of the PSA, a 1938 (Occ. No. 35; CDFW 2024).

occur. Suitable valley and foothill grassland present with o documented occurrences within 5 miles of the PSA (CDFW

r. The site is outside of the species' known elevation range.

r. The site lacks damp alkaline soils preferred by this documented occurrences within 5 miles of the PSA (CDFW

r. Valley and foothill grassland is present but the Pescadero species are only a minor component of the soils on the cumented occurrences within 5 miles of the PSA (CDFW

Scientific Name	Common Name	Status (Federal/State/CRPR/ EACCS Coverage)	Primary Habitat Associations/ Life Form/ Blooming Period/ Elevation Range (feet)	Potential to Occur
Cirsium fontinale var. campylon	Mt. Hamilton thistle	None/None/1B.2/No	Chaparral, Cismontane woodland, Valley and foothill grassland; Seeps, Serpentinite/perennial herb/(Feb)Apr-Oct/330-2,915	Not expected to occur. T There are no documente
Deinandra bacigalupii	Livermore tarplant	None/SE/1B.1/Yes	Meadows and seeps (alkaline)/annual herb/June-Oct/490-605	Not expected to occur. T this species. There are n (CDFW 2024).
Delphinium californicum ssp. interius	Hospital Canyon larkspur	None/None/1B.2/No	Chaparral (openings), Cismontane woodland (mesic), Coastal scrub/perennial herb/Apr–June/640–3,590	Not expected to occur. T habitat for this species. the PSA (CDFW 2024).
Delphinium recurvatum	recurved larkspur	None/None/1B.2/Yes	Chenopod scrub, Cismontane woodland, Valley and foothill grassland; Alkaline/perennial herb/Mar-June/10-2,590	Moderate potential to or alkaline soils present. Th the PSA (CDFW 2024).
Eryngium spinosepalum	spiny-sepaled button-celery	None/None/1B.2/No	Valley and foothill grassland, Vernal pools/annual/perennial herb/ Apr-June/260-3,195	Moderate potential to or present. There are no do 2024).
Eschscholzia rhombipetala	diamond-petaled California poppy	None/None/1B.1/No	Valley and foothill grassland (alkaline, clay)/annual herb/Mar–Apr/ 0–3,195	Moderate potential to or alkaline clay soils preser 3.4 miles south of the P
Extriplex joaquinana	San Joaquin spearscale	None/None/1B.2/Yes	Chenopod scrub, Meadows and seeps, Playas, Valley and foothill grassland; Alkaline/annual herb/Apr-Oct/5-2,735	Moderate potential to or alkaline soils present. Th miles northwest of the P
Fritillaria falcata	talus fritillary	None/None/1B.2/No	Chaparral, Cismontane woodland, Lower montane coniferous forest; Serpentinite, Talus (often)/perennial bulbiferous herb/Mar–May/ 985–5,000	Not expected to occur. T
Helianthella castanea	Diablo helianthella	None/None/1B.2/No	Broadleafed upland forest, Chaparral, Cismontane woodland, Coastal scrub, Riparian woodland, Valley and foothill grassland; Rocky (usually)/perennial herb/Mar–June/195–4,265	Not expected to occur. N present, and only a sing documented occurrence
Hesperolinon breweri	Brewer's western flax	None/None/1B.2/No	Chaparral, Cismontane woodland, Valley and foothill grassland; Serpentinite (usually)/annual herb/May–July/100–3,100	Not expected to occur. N serpentine soils. There a (CDFW 2024).
Hibiscus lasiocarpos var. occidentalis	woolly rose-mallow	None/None/1B.2/No	Marshes and swamps (freshwater)/perennial rhizomatous herb (emergent)/June-Sep/0-395	Not expected to occur. N There are no documente
Hoita strobilina	Loma Prieta hoita	None/None/1B.1/No	Chaparral, Cismontane woodland, Riparian woodland; Mesic, Serpentinite (usually)/perennial herb/May–July (Aug–Oct)/100–2,820	Not expected to occur. N serpentine soils. There a (CDFW 2024).
Legenere limosa	legenere	None/None/1B.1/No	Vernal pools/annual herb/Apr-June/5-2,885	Not expected to occur. V occurrences within 5 mil
Leptosyne hamiltonii	Mt. Hamilton coreopsis	None/None/1B.2/No	Cismontane woodland (rocky)/annual herb/Mar-May/1,800-4,265	Not expected to occur. T
Lilaeopsis masonii	Mason's lilaeopsis	None/SR/1B.1/No	Marshes and swamps (brackish, freshwater), Riparian scrub/perennial rhizomatous herb/Apr-Nov/0-35	Not expected to occur. T
Limosella australis	Delta mudwort	None/None/2B.1/No	Marshes and swamps (brackish, freshwater), Riparian scrub; Streambanks (usually)/perennial stoloniferous herb/May-Aug/0-10	Not expected to occur. T
Madia radiata	showy golden madia	None/None/1B.1/No	Cismontane woodland, Valley and foothill grassland/annual herb/ Mar-May/80-3,985	Moderate potential to oc clay soils. There are no c 2024).

The site lacks serpentine soils preferred by this species. need occurrences within 5 miles of the PSA (CDFW 2024).

The site lacks suitable meadow seep habitats preferred by no documented occurrences within 5 miles of the PSA

The site lacks suitable chaparral, woodland, or scrub . There are no documented occurences within 5 miles of .

occur. There is suitable valley and foothill grassland with There are no documented occurrences within 5 miles of

occur. There is suitable valley and foothill grassland documented occurrences within 5 miles of the PSA (CDFW

occur. There is suitable valley and foothill grassland with sent. The nearest documented occurrence is approximately PSA from 2012 (Occ. No. 9; CDFW 2024).

occur. There is suitable valley and foothill grassland with The nearest documented occurrence is approximately 3.8 e PSA from 2015 (Occ. No. 117; CDFW 2024).

The site is outside of the species' known elevation range.

No suitable forest, woodland, or chaparral habitats agle small rocky outcrop area within the PSA. There are no ces within 5 miles of the PSA (CDFW 2024).

No suitable chaparral or woodland habitat present, and no are no documented occurrences within 5 miles of the PSA

No suitable freshwater marsh or swamp habitat present. Noted occurrences within 5 miles of the PSA (CDFW 2024).

No suitable chaparral or woodland habitat present, and no e are no documented occurrences within 5 miles of the PSA

Vernal pools absent. There are no documented niles of the PSA (CDFW 2024).

The site is outside of the species' known elevation range.

. The site is outside of the species' known elevation range.

. The site is outside of the species' known elevation range.

occur. Suitable valley and foothill grassland present with o documented occurrences within 5 miles of the PSA (CDFW

Scientific Name	Common Name	Status (Federal/State/CRPR/ EACCS Coverage)	Primary Habitat Associations/ Life Form/ Blooming Period/ Elevation Range (feet)	Potential to Occur
Malacothamnus hallii	Hall's bush-mallow	None/None/1B.2/No	Chaparral, Coastal scrub/perennial deciduous shrub/ (Apr)May–Sep(Oct)/35–2,490	Not expected to occur. Not occure
Navarretia nigelliformis ssp. radians	shining navarretia	None/None/1B.2/No	Cismontane woodland, Valley and foothill grassland, Vernal pools; Clay (sometimes)/annual herb/(Mar)Apr–July/215–3,280	Moderate potential to or clay soils. The nearest d of the PSA, a historical r
Phacelia phacelioides	Mt. Diablo phacelia	None/None/1B.2/No	Chaparral, Cismontane woodland; Rocky/annual herb/Apr–May/ 1,640–4,490	Not expected to occur. T
Plagiobothrys glaber	hairless popcornflower	None/None/1A/No	Marshes and swamps (coastal salt), Meadows and seeps (alkaline)/annual herb/Mar-May/50-590	Not expected to occur. N present. There are no do 2024).
Puccinellia simplex	California alkali grass	None/None/1B.2/No	Chenopod scrub, Meadows and seeps, Valley and foothill grassland, Vernal pools; Alkaline, Flats, Lake Margins, Vernally Mesic/annual herb/Mar–May/5–3,050	Low potential to occur. We mesic areas. The neares northwest of the PSA, a
Ravenella exigua	chaparral harebell	None/None/1B.2/No	Chaparral (rocky, usually serpentinite)/annual herb/May–June/ 900–4,100	Not expected to occur. T
Senecio aphanactis	chaparral ragwort	None/None/2B.2/No	Chaparral, Cismontane woodland, Coastal scrub; Alkaline (sometimes)/annual herb/Jan-Apr (May)/50-2,620	Not expected to occur. N present. There are no do 2024).
Spergularia macrotheca var. longistyla	long-styled sand-spurrey	None/None/1B.2/No	Marshes and swamps, Meadows and seeps; Alkaline/perennial herb/Feb-May/0-835	Not expected to occur. Not expected to occur. Not expected to occurrented occurrented occurrent of the PSA, both h
Trifolium hydrophilum	saline clover	None/None/1B.2/No	Marshes and swamps, Valley and foothill grassland (mesic, alkaline), Vernal pools/annual herb/Apr–June/0–985	Low potential to occur. We mesic areas. There are r (CDFW 2024).
Tropidocarpum capparideum	caper-fruited tropidocarpum	None/None/1B.1/No	Valley and foothill grassland (alkaline hills)/annual herb/Mar-Apr/ 5-1,490	High potential to occur. present. The nearest do record from 1933 (Occ. miles of the PSA (Occ. N approximately 3.0 miles 2024).
Wildlife				
Invertebrates				
Bombus crotchii	Crotch bumble bee	None/SCE/—/No	Open grassland and scrub communities supporting suitable floral resources.	Moderate potential to or nesting substrates (bare areas). There are no doo 2024).
Bombus occidentalis	western bumble bee	None/SCE/-/No	Once common and widespread, species has declined precipitously from central California to southern British Columbia, perhaps from disease	Not expected to occur. T species (CDFW 2023e), 4 miles south of the PSA
Branchinecta longiantenna	longhorn fairy shrimp	FE/None/-/Yes	Sandstone outcrop pools, alkaline grassland vernal pools, and pools within alkali sink and alkali scrub communities	Not expected to occur. W recorded in the Byron He of the PSA, but specific I
Branchinecta lynchi	vernal pool fairy shrimp	FT/None/-/Yes	Vernal pools, seasonally ponded areas within vernal swales, and ephemeral freshwater habitats	Not expected to occur. V occurrences within 5 mi



No chaparral or coastal scrub habitat present. There are rences within 5 miles of the PSA (CDFW 2024).

occur. Suitable valley and foothill grassland present with documented occurrence is approximately 2.1 miles south I record from 1997 (Occ. No. 61; CDFW 2024).

The site is outside of the species' known elevation range.

. No suitable coastal salt or alkaline meadow habitat documented occurrences within 5 miles of the PSA (CDFW

r. Valley and foothill grassland is present, but with limited rest documented occurrence is approximately 4.4 miles a historical record from 1958 (Occ. No. 41; CDFW 2024).

The site is outside of the species' known elevation range.

. No suitable chaparral, woodland, or coastal scrub habitat documented occurrences within 5 miles of the PSA (CDFW

r. No suitable marsh or meadow habitats present. The occurrences are approximately 3.2 miles northwest and historical records (Occ. Nos. 5 and 6; CDFW 2024).

r. Valley and foothill grassland is present, but with limited re no documented occurences within 5 miles of the PSA

Ir. Suitable valley and foothill grassland with alkaline soils documented occurrence is 0.3 mile northeast, a historical cc. No. 3). Three additional historical records are within 5. Nos. 1, 4, 11). The nearest recent occurrence is les northwest of the PSA from 2019 (Occ. No. 27; CDFW

occur. Grassland contains scattered floral resources and are/cracked ground, small rodent burrows, small rocky documented occurrences within 5 miles of the PSA (CDFW

r. The PSA is outside of the currently known range for this e), and the nearest documented occurrence, approximately PSA, is from 1951 (Occ. No. 232; CDFW 2024).

r. Vernal pools absent. Documented occurrences are Hot Springs and Altamont quads to the northeast and east ic locations are not available (CDFW 2024).

Not expected to occur. Vernal pools absent. There are no documented occurrences within 5 miles of the PSA (CDFW 2024).

Scientific Name	Common Name	Status (Federal/State/CRPR/ EACCS Coverage)	Primary Habitat Associations/ Life Form/ Blooming Period/ Elevation Range (feet)	Potential to Occur
Desmocerus californicus dimorphus	valley elderberry longhorn beetle	FT/None/-/No	Occurs only in the Central Valley of California, in association with blue elderberry (Sambucus nigra ssp. caerulea)	Not expected to occur. N
Lepidurus packardi	vernal pool tadpole shrimp	FE/None/-/No	Ephemeral freshwater habitats including alkaline pools, clay flats, vernal lakes, vernal pools, and vernal swales	Not expected to occur. V occurrences within 5 mi
Danaus plexippus plexippus pop. 1	monarch - California overwintering population	FC/None/-/No	Wind-protected tree groves with nectar sources and nearby water sources	Not expected to occur. N There are no documente and there are no known
Fishes				
Acipenser medirostris pop. 1	green sturgeon - southern DPS	FT/None/-/No	Spawns in deep pools in large, turbulent, freshwater rivers; adults live in oceanic waters, bays, and estuaries	Not expected to occur. N
Hypomesus transpacificus	Delta smelt	FT/SE/-/No	Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta; seasonally in Suisun Bay, Carquinez Strait, and San Pablo Bay	Not expected to occur. T
Oncorhynchus mykiss irideus pop. 11	steelhead - Central Valley DPS	FT/None//Yes	Coastal basins from Redwood Creek south to the Gualala River, inclusive; does not include summer-run steelhead	Not expected to occur. N
Spirinchus thaleichthys	longfin smelt	FC/ST/-/No	Aquatic, estuary	Not expected to occur. T
Thaleichthys pacificus	eulachon	FT/None//No	Found in Klamath River, Mad River, and Redwood Creek and in small numbers in Smith River and Humboldt Bay tributaries	Not expected to occur. N
Amphibians				
Ambystoma californiense pop. 1	California tiger salamander - central California DPS	FT/ST, WL/-/Yes	Annual grassland, valley–foothill hardwood, and valley–foothill riparian habitats; vernal pools, other ephemeral pools, and (uncommonly) along stream courses and man-made pools if predatory fishes are absent	High potential to occur. burrows present on the dispersal distance. The miles southwest of the F records within 5 miles or
Rana boylii pop. 4	foothill yellow-legged frog - central coast DPS	FPT/SE/-/Yes	Rocky streams and rivers with open banks in forest, chaparral, and woodland	Not expected to occur. N documented occurrence
Rana draytonii	California red-legged frog	FT/SSC/-/Yes	Lowland streams, wetlands, riparian woodlands, livestock ponds; dense, shrubby or emergent vegetation associated with deep, still or slow- moving water; uses adjacent uplands	High potential to occur. A burrows present on the dispersal distance. The miles east, south, and w 2008, 1759 from 2012, within 5 miles of the PS/
Spea hammondii	western spadefoot	None/SSC/-/No	Primarily grassland and vernal pools, but also in ephemeral wetlands that persist at least 3 weeks in chaparral, coastal scrub, valley-foothill woodlands, pastures, and other agriculture	Low potential to occur. A are no vernal pools or ot documented occurrence 2017 (Occ. No. 630; CD
Reptiles				
Anniella pulchra	northern California legless lizard	None/SSC/-/No	Coastal dunes, stabilized dunes, beaches, dry washes, valley-foothill, chaparral, and scrubs; pine, oak, and riparian woodlands; associated with sparse vegetation and sandy or loose, loamy soils	Not expected to occur. V sandy soils for burrowing approximately 4.2 miles 128; CDFW 2024).
Arizona elegans occidentalis	California glossy snake	None/SSC/-/No	Arid scrub, rocky washes, grasslands, chaparral, open areas with loose soil	Low potential to occur. A soils available. The near 1984 that overlaps with

DUDEK

No blue elderberry host plants present in the PSA.

Vernal pools absent. There are no documented niles of the PSA (CDFW 2024).

r. No tree groves present on the PSA to provide shelter. nted occurrences within 5 miles of the PSA (CDFW 2024), wn overwintering sites in the vicinity (Xerces 2016).

No suitable aquatic habitat present.

The PSA is outside of the known range for this species.

No suitable aquatic habitat present.

The PSA is outside of the known range for this species.

. No suitable aquatic habitat present.

Ir. Abundant suitable grassland habitat with small mammal ne PSA with aquatic breeding habitat available within ne nearest documented occurrence is approximately 1.6 e PSA from 2012 (Occ. No. 1003); there are numerous other s of the PSA (CDFW 2024).

r. No suitable rocky stream habitat present. There are no nees within 5 miles of the PSA (CDFW 2024).

Ir. Abundant suitable grassland habitat with small mammal ne PSA with aquatic breeding habitat available within the nearest documented occurrences are approximately 1.5 d west of the PSA (Occ. Nos. 822 from 2001, 1079 from 12, and 44 from 1993); there are numerous other records PSA (CDFW 2024).

r. Abundant suitable grassland habitat present, but there other ephemeral pools on the site. The nearest nee is approximately 3.6 miles southwest of the PSA from CDFW 2024).

•. Valley-foothill grassland is abundant but PSA lacks moist ring. The nearest documented occurrences are es south of the PSA from 2004 and 2000 (Occ. Nos. 11 and

r. Abundant grassland habitat present but with limited loose earest documented occurrence is a historical record from ith the PSA (Occ. No. 6; CDFW 2024).

Scientific Name	Common Name	Status (Federal/State/CRPR/ EACCS Coverage)	Primary Habitat Associations/ Life Form/ Blooming Period/ Elevation Range (feet)	Potential to Occur
Emys marmorata	western pond turtle	None/SSC/-/No	Slow-moving permanent or intermittent streams, ponds, small lakes, and reservoirs with emergent basking sites; adjacent uplands used for nesting and during winter	Low potential to occur. If stock ponds that may pr mile from the PSA. Suita nearest documented oc historical record from 19
Masticophis flagellum ruddocki	San Joaquin whipsnake	None/SSC/-/No	Open, dry, treeless areas including grassland and saltbush scrub	Low potential to occur. A for refuge, but limited of occurrence is approxima from 1996 (Occ. No. 61
Masticophis lateralis euryxanthus	Alameda whipsnake	FT/ST/-/Yes	Open areas in chaparral and scrub habitat; also adjacent grassland, oak savanna, and woodland	Not expected to occur. Not expected to occur. Not expected to occur and the occur and
Phrynosoma blainvillii	coast horned lizard	None/SSC/-/No	Open areas of sandy soil in valleys, foothills, and semi-arid mountains including coastal scrub, chaparral, valley-foothill hardwood, conifer, riparian, pine-cypress, juniper, and annual grassland habitats	Low potential to occur. (for sunning and limited is approximately 1.3 mil (Occ. No. 575; CDFW 20
Birds				
Agelaius tricolor (nesting colony)	tricolored blackbird	BCC/SSC, ST/—/Yes	Nests near freshwater, emergent wetland with cattails or tules, but also in Himalayan blackberry; forages in grasslands, woodland, and agriculture	Not expected to nest, kr survey in January 2024. the PSA. There is low-qu mile west. Abundant gra documented occurrence 1998 (Occ. No. 418). Se the PSA, as recent as 20
Ammodramus savannarum (nesting)	grasshopper sparrow	None/SSC/-/No	Nests and forages in moderately open grassland with tall forbs or scattered shrubs used for perches	Low potential to nest or shrubs for perching. The PSA (CDFW 2024).
Aquila chrysaetos (nesting and wintering)	golden eagle	None/FP, WL/—/Yes	Nests and winters in hilly, open/semi-open areas, including shrublands, grasslands, pastures, riparian areas, mountainous canyon land, open desert rimrock terrain; nests in large trees and on cliffs in open areas and forages in open habitats	Low potential to nest, m adjacent to the site prov foraging habitat present 4.9 miles south of the P 323; CDFW 2024).
Asio flammeus (nesting)	short-eared owl	BCC/SSC/-/No	Grassland, prairies, dunes, meadows, irrigated lands, and saline and freshwater emergent wetlands	Low potential to nest or foraging, but at the edge nearest documented oc historical record from 19
Athene cunicularia (burrow sites and some wintering sites)	burrowing owl	BCC/SSC/-/Yes	Nests and forages in grassland, open scrub, and agriculture, particularly with ground squirrel burrows	Moderate potential to no limited ground squirrel b are 3 documented occu 2002, and 2006 (Occ. No occurrences are within 5 CDFW 2024).
Buteo swainsoni (nesting)	Swainson's hawk	None/ST/-/No	Nests in open woodland and savanna, riparian, and in isolated large trees; forages in nearby grasslands and agricultural areas such as wheat and alfalfa fields and pasture	Low potential to nest or foraging and nesting, bu

DUDEK

r. Patterson Run provides low-quality habitat. There are two provide suitable aquatic habitat but are approximately 0.3 itable upland habitat present throughout the PSA. The occurrence is approximately 3.2 miles north of the PSA, a 1989 (Occ. No. 128; CDFW 2024).

r. Abundant grassland present with small mammal burrows open ground for hunting. The nearest documented mately 0.4 mile northeast of the PSA, a historical record 61; CDFW 2024).

r. No suitable chaparral or scrub habitat present. The occurrence is approximately 4 miles south of the PSA, a known age (Occ. No. 119; CDFW 2024).

r. Grassland habitat is abundant but has limited open areas ad loose soils available. The nearest documented occurrence miles southeast of the PSA, a historical record from 1992 2024).

known to forage. This species was observed during the field 24. However, there is no suitable nesting habitat present on quality nesting habitat at a stock pond approximately 0.5 grassland habitat for foraging present. The nearest nee is 1.8 miles east of the PSA, a historical record from Several other occurrences are recorded within 5 miles of 2015 (CDFW 2024).

or forage. Open grassland is present but lacks suitable There are no documented occurrences within 5 miles of the

moderate potential to winter/forage. Transmission towers rovide low-quality nesting habitat. Abundant grassland ent. The nearest documented occurrence is approximately e PSA from 2014, a record of a nest in a tower (Occ. No.

or forage. Suitable grassland habitat present for nesting and dge of known current breeding range in California. The occurrence is approximately 2.6 miles south of the PSA, a 1995 (Occ. No. 15; CDFW 2024).

nest or forage. Grassland habitat is abundant but has
burrows and short grazed vegetation within the PSA. There currences adjacent or overlapping with the PSA, from 1982,
Nos. 48, 468, and 1229). Multiple other documented
n 5 miles of the PSA, most recently from 2015 (Occ. No. 47;

or forage. Open grassland with isolated trees available for but the PSA is at the edge of the nesting range of the

Scientific Name	Common Name	Status (Federal/State/CRPR/ EACCS Coverage)	Primary Habitat Associations/ Life Form/ Blooming Period/ Elevation Range (feet)	Potential to Occur
				species. The nearest do northeast of the PSA fro
Circus hudsonius (nesting)	northern harrier	BCC/SSC/-/No	Nests in open wetlands (marshy meadows, wet lightly grazed pastures, old fields, freshwater and brackish marshes); also in drier habitats (grassland and grain fields); forages in grassland, scrubs, rangelands, emergent wetlands, and other open habitats	Moderate potential to no The nearest documente PSA from 2001 (Occ. No
Elanus leucurus (nesting)	white-tailed kite	None/FP/-/No	Nests in woodland, riparian, and individual trees near open lands; forages opportunistically in grassland, meadows, scrubs, agriculture, emergent wetland, savanna, and disturbed lands	Moderate potential to ne with limited individual tr approximately 3.7 miles 152; CDFW 2024).
Gymnogyps californianus	California condor	FE/FP, SE/—/No	Nests in rock formations, deep caves, and occasionally in cavities in giant sequoia trees (Sequoiadendron giganteus); forages in relatively open habitats where large animal carcasses can be detected	Not expected to nest or species.
Haliaeetus leucocephalus (nesting and wintering)	bald eagle	FPD/FP, SE/—/No	Nests in forested areas adjacent to large bodies of water, including seacoasts, rivers, swamps, large lakes; winters near large bodies of water in lowlands and mountains	Not expected to nest or PSA or vicinity. There are (CDFW 2024).
Lanius Iudovicianus (nesting)	loggerhead shrike	None/SSC//No	Nests and forages in open habitats with scattered shrubs, trees, or other perches	Low potential to nest or but PSA has limited perc nearest documented oc 2015 (Occ. No. 113; CD
<i>Melospiza melodia</i> ("Modesto" population)	song sparrow ("Modesto" population)	None/SSC/-/No	Nests and forages in emergent freshwater marsh, riparian forest, vegetated irrigation canals and levees, and newly planted valley oak (Quercus lobata) restoration sites	Not expected to nest or present. There are no do 2024).
Vireo bellii pusillus (nesting)	least Bell's vireo	FE/SE/—/No	Nests and forages in low, dense riparian thickets along water or along dry parts of intermittent streams; forages in riparian and adjacent shrubland late in nesting season	Not expected to nest or PSA. There are no docur 2024).
Mammals				
Antrozous pallidus	pallid bat	None/SSC/-/No	Grasslands, shrublands, woodlands, forests; most common in open, dry habitats with rocky outcrops for roosting, but also roosts in man-made structures and trees	Low potential to occur. A has limited trees and ne occurrences within 5 mi
Corynorhinus townsendii	Townsend's big-eared bat	None/SSC/-/No	Mesic habitats characterized by coniferous and deciduous forests and riparian habitat, but also xeric areas; roosts in limestone caves and lava tubes, man-made structures, and tunnels	Not expected to occur. N suitable structures or ca occurrences within 5 mi
Eumops perotis californicus	western mastiff bat	None/SSC/-/No	Chaparral, coastal and desert scrub, coniferous and deciduous forest and woodland; roosts in crevices in rocky canyons and cliffs where the canyon or cliff is vertical or nearly vertical, trees, and tunnels	Not expected to occur. N and no suitable cliffs for within 5 miles of the PS/
Sylvilagus bachmani riparius	riparian brush rabbit	FE/SE/—/No	Dense thickets of wild rose, willows, and blackberries growing along the banks of San Joaquin and Stanislaus Rivers	Not expected to occur. T
Taxidea taxus	American badger	None/SSC//Yes	Dry, open, treeless areas; grasslands, coastal scrub, agriculture, and pastures, especially with friable soils	High potential to occur. friable soils and burrowi documented occurrence 2014) and south (Occ. N Multiple other records a (CDFW 2024).

DUDEK

documented occurrence is approximately 4.8 miles from 2003 (Occ. No. 1228; CDFW 2024).

nest or forage. Suitable open grassland habitat present. ted occurrence is approximately 2.2 miles northeast of the No. 49; CDFW 2024).

nest or forage. Suitable open grassland habitat present I trees nearby. The nearest documented occurrence is es south of the PSA, a historical record from 1996 (Occ. No.

or forage. The PSA is outside of the known range for this

or forage. No forested habitat or large water bodies in the are no documented occurrences within 5 miles of the PSA

or forage. Open grassland habitat is present for foraging, erches and lacks scattered shrubs or brush for nesting. The occurrence is approximately 3.9 miles west of the PSA from CDFW 2024).

or forage. No suitable riparian, marsh, or other wet habitats documented occurrences within 5 miles of the PSA (CDFW

or forage. No high-quality riparian vegetation present on the cumented occurrences within 5 miles of the PSA (CDFW

r. Abundant grassland habitat present for foraging, but PSA nearby structures for roosting. There are no documented miles of the PSA (CDFW 2024).

No suitable forest or riparian habitat for foraging, and no caves for roosting present. There are no documented miles of the PSA (CDFW 2024).

r. No suitable chaparral, scrub, or forest habitat for foraging, for roosting present. There are no documented occurrences PSA (CDFW 2024).

The PSA is outside of the known range for this species.

Ir. Suitable dry open grassland present with evidence of owing activity near Patterson Pass Road. The nearest nces are approximately 0.2 mile north (Occ. No. 520 from c. No. 250, unknown date prior to 2004; CDFW 2024). Is are within 5 miles of the PSA, the most recent from 2015

Scientific Name	Common Name	Status (Federal/State/CRPR/ EACCS Coverage)	Primary Habitat Associations/ Life Form/ Blooming Period/ Elevation Range (feet)	Potential to Occur
Vulpes macrotis mutica	San Joaquin kit fox	FE/ST//Yes	Grasslands and scrublands, including those that have been modified; oak woodland, alkali sink scrubland, vernal pool, and alkali meadow	Moderate potential to or friable soils and burrowin documented occurrence historical record from 19 records are within 5 mile

Sources: CDFW 2024, 2023e; Xerces 2016.

Notes:

Federal Status

FC: Federally listed as a candidate species.

FE: Federally listed as endangered.

FT: Federally listed as threatened.

FPD: Federally listed as protected designation. None: No federal status.

State Status

FP: State listed as fully protected.

SE: State listed as endangered.

SR: State listed as rare.

SSC: State species of special concern.

ST: State listed as threatened.

None: No state status

California Rare Plant Rank (CRPR) Status

1B: plants rare, threatened, or endangered in California and elsewhere.

2B: plants rare, threatened, or endangered in California but more common elsewhere.

Threat Rank

0.1: Seriously threatened in California (over 80% of occurrences threatened/high degree and immediacy of threat).

0.2: Moderately threatened in California (20%-80% occurrences threatened/moderate degree and immediacy of threat).

0.3: Not very threatened in California (less than 20% of occurrences threatened/low degree and immediacy of threat or no current threats known).

None: No conservation status.

East Alameda County Conservation Strategy (EACCS)

No: Not covered

Yes: Covered

Potential for Occurrence Ranks

Known to Occur: Known occurrences recorded within the PSA.

High Potential to Occur: The species has not been documented in the PSA but is known to occur in the vicinity and species habitat is present.

Moderate Potential to Occur: The species has not been documented in the vicinity, but the PSA is within the known range of the species, and habitat for the species is present.

Low Potential to Occur: The species has not been documented in the vicinity and the PSA is within the known range of the species, but habitat for the species is of low quality. Not Expected to Occur: The PSA is outside the known range of the species, and habitat for the species is either absent or of low quality.

DUDEK

occur. Suitable open grassland present with evidence of wing activity near Patterson Pass Road. The nearest ce is approximately 0.3 mile southwest of the PSA, a 1984 (Occ. No. 6; CDFW 2024). Multiple other historical hiles of the PSA, all prior to 1992 (CDFW 2024).
INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

DUDEK

References

Xerces (Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation). 2016. State of the Monarch Butterfly Overwintering Sites in California. Report prepared for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Portland, Oregon: Xerces Society for Invertebrate Conservation. June 2016.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



Appendix G CRLF Habitat Assessment Datasheets

	(FWS Field Office)	(date)	(biologist)	
Date of Site Assessment: 08	3/02/2023 (mm/dd/yyyy)			
Site Assessment Biologists:		Erin	Higney	Kelsey
8	(Last name)	(first name)	(Last name)	(first name)
		(first name)	(Last name)	(first name)

(County, General location name, UTM Coordinates or Lat./Long. or T-R-S).

ATTACH A MAP (include habitat types, important features, and species locations)

-

- 1) Is this site within the current or historic range of the CRF (circle one)? YES ☑ NO □
- 2) Are there known records of CRF within 1.6 km (1 mi) of the site (circle one)? YES□ NO⊡ If yes, attach a list of all known CRF records with a map showing all locations.

GENERAL AQUATIC HABITAT CHARACTERIZATION

(if multiple ponds or streams are within the proposed action area, fill out one data sheet for each)

DOND	
PAND	٠
IUND	•

Size: N/A

Maximum depth: N/A

Vegetation: emergent, overhanging, dominant species: <u>N/A</u>

Substrate: N/A

Perennial or Ephemeral (circle one). If ephemeral, date it goes dry: <u>N/A</u>

22

STREAM:

Bank full width: 20 ft Depth at bank full: 2 ft Stream gradient: low

Are there pools (circle one)? YES □ NO ☑ If yes,

Size of stream pools: _____ Maximum depth of stream pools: _____

Little slope present. Wide, relatively slow flows when filled.

Vegetation: emergent, overhanging, dominant species: _____ Populus fremontii, Salix sp., Avena sp. upland grassland

Substrate: silt/clay

Bank description: <u>Completely covered in grass (Avena fatua, Bromus sp.)</u>; 30-45 degree slope from OHWM. Some banks deeply incised to streambed.

Perennial or Ephemeral (circle one). If ephemeral, date it goes dry: between March and May (variable)

Other aquatic habitat characteristics, species obse	ervations, drawings, or comments:
E Tra	TTONWOOD
Loore att	2 FT WIDE, 2 FT DEEP
Julipert LI CATTLE IN NOTE SHADE -	WIDE + NARROW SECTIONS
	 Cattle grazed on site and use shaded streambed to rest. Dry in May, but was flowing in March.
Labeled as "Patterson Run"	-Dry in May, but was nowing in March.

Necessary Attachments:

- 1. All field notes and other supporting documents See BTR.
- 2. Site photographs See BTR Attachment E.
- 3. Maps with important habitat features and species location See BTR Attachment 1, Figure 3.

	(FWS Field Office)	(date)	(biologist)	
Date of Site Assessment: 08	3/02/2023			
	(mm/dd/yyyy)			
Site Assessment Biologists:	Fisher-Colton	Erin	Higney	Kelsey
	(Last name)	(first name)	(Last name)	(first name)
	(Last name)	(first name)	(Last name)	(first name)

(County, General location name, UTM Coordinates or Lat./Long. or T-R-S).

ATTACH A MAP (include habitat types, important features, and species locations)

Proposed project name: <u>Potentia-Viridi BESS</u> Brief description of proposed action:
Battery energy storage system and generation tie

- 1) Is this site within the current or historic range of the CRF (circle one)? YES ☑ NO □
- 2) Are there known records of CRF within 1.6 km (1 mi) of the site (circle one)? YES□ NO⊡ If yes, attach a list of all known CRF records with a map showing all locations.

GENERAL AQUATIC HABITAT CHARACTERIZATION

(if multiple ponds or streams are within the proposed action area, fill out one data sheet for each)

POND:

Size: 55 meters x 29 meters

Maximum depth: <u>~1 meter</u>

Vegetation: emergent, overhanging, dominant species: ______ Amaranthus albus, Distichlis spicata, Elymus sp., Bromus rubra, Festuca sp., Polypogon monspeliensis

Substrate: mud/silt

Perennial or Ephemeral (circle one). If ephemeral, date it goes dry: <u>contained limited water on 8/2/23</u>

STREAM:

Bank full width: N/A Depth at bank full: N/A Stream gradient: N/A

Are there pools (circle one)? YES□NO□ If yes, Size of stream pools: _____

Maximum depth of stream pools:

Characterize non-pool habitat: run, riffle, glide, other: N/A

Vegetation: emergent, overhanging, dominant species: N/A

Substrate: N/A

Bank description: N/A

Perennial or Ephemeral (circle one). If ephemeral, date it goes dry: N/A



Necessary Attachments:

- 1. All field notes and other supporting documents See BTR.
- 2. Site photographs See BTR Attachment E.
- 3. Maps with important habitat features and species location See BTR Attachment 1, Figure 3.

Site Assessment reviewed by	(FWS Field Office)	(date)	(biologist)	
	(FWS Field Office)	(uate)	(biologist)	
Date of Site Assessment: 08	/02/2023			
	(mm/dd/yyyy)			
Site Assessment Biologists:	Fisher-Colton	Erin	Higney	Kelsey
	(Last name)	(first name)	(Last name)	(first name)
	(Last name)	(first name)	(Last name)	(first name)
	(Euse nume)	(mst hunc)	(Lust hunte)	(mst nume)
Site Location: Mulqueeney F		ounty, CA; 37.711		

(County, General location name, UTM Coordinates or Lat./Long. or T-R-S).

ATTACH A MAP (include habitat types, important features, and species locations)

Proposed project name: <u>Potentia-Viridi BESS</u> Brief description of proposed action: Battery energy storage system and generation tie

1) Is this site within the current or historic range of the CRF (circle one)? YES ☑ NO □

2) Are there known records of CRF within 1.6 km (1 mi) of the site (circle one)? YES□ NO⊡ If yes, attach a list of all known CRF records with a map showing all locations.

GENERAL AQUATIC HABITAT CHARACTERIZATION

(if multiple ponds or streams are within the proposed action area, fill out one data sheet for each)

POND:

Size: <u>32 meters x 29 meters</u>

Maximum depth: ~2 meter

Vegetation: emergent, overhanging, dominant species:

Bulrush sp., closer to bank is Polypogon monspeliensis, Atriplex prostrata, Rumex crispus, Heliotrope curassavicum, Bolboschoenus maritimus

Substrate: mud/silt

Perennial or Ephemeral (circle one). If ephemeral, date it goes dry: well-filled in August 2023

STREAM:

Bank full width: N/A Depth at bank full: N/A Stream gradient: N/A

Are there pools (circle one)? YES \[NO \[If yes, Size of stream pools: _____

Maximum depth of stream pools:

Characterize non-pool habitat: run, riffle, glide, other: N/A

Vegetation: emergent, overhanging, dominant species: N/A

Substrate: N/A

Bank description: N/A

Perennial or Ephemeral (circle one). If ephemeral, date it goes dry: <u>N/A</u>

Other aquatic habitat characteristics, species observations, drawings, or comments:
Runex Polyeogon (Ainvert All Aquate vegs) Water 312 Runex Polyeogon (Ainvert All Schmust Garses 7
Stock pond slightly west-southwest of Project site This feature was full to OHWM in Mar 2023

Necessary Attachments:

- 1. All field notes and other supporting documents See BTR.
- 2. Site photographs See BTR Attachment E.
- 3. Maps with important habitat features and species location See BTR Attachment 1, Figure 3.

Appendix H EACCS Mitigation Score Sheets

Big tarplant	5	4	3	2	1	0	Score
Conservation Zones	Inside CZ6 or CZ10	Inside CZ5 or CZ9				Other CZ	5
Elevation	Below 2,000 feet					Above 2,000 feet	5
Land covers impacted/ mitigated	Annual grassland, native grassland					All others	5
Soils present in impact area	Clay, Clay- loam					others	5
Within EBCNPS Priority Plant Protection Area	Yes		No				5
On parcels with an approved management plan for this species.	Yes				No		1
Total Score							26
Note: The ratio of mitigation to impa shown in Table 3-12. Habitat quality						would be determine	ed using the ratios

Table G-1 Impact/Mitigation Scoring for big tarplant in the EACCS study area.

California red-legged frog	5	4	3	2	1	0	Score
Closest suitable breeding habitat to site	On-site	< 1-mile	>1-mile but < 2-miles			Greater than 2- miles	3
Is there occupied habitat within 2- miles of site?	Yes			No			3
Aquatic land covers impacted/ mitigated	Wetland, Ponds, Stream/River					All others; none	5
Upland land covers impacted/ mitigated	Riparian, Grassland, Oak woodland, Rural residential	Chaparral/ Scrub	Conifer woodland	Cultivated ag, ruderal		All others; none	5
Elevation	Below 3,500 feet					Above 3,500 feet	5
Presence of ground squirrels or other burrowing mammals	On site	< 0.25-mile of site	> 0.25 but \leq 0.5 miles	> 0.5 but \le 1.0 miles	$> 1.0 \text{ but} \le 1.5$ miles	> 1.5 miles	5
Presence of bullfrogs or non-native fish in aquatic resources on site	No		Low numbers and not all aquatic habitats are occupied		Yes, occurring in high numbers		0
Create a new barrier between breeding and upland habitat	Documented breeding location		Potential breeding location			No	3
Protect linkage between breeding and upland habitat	Documented breeding location		Potential breeding location			No	0
Inside East San Francisco Bay core recovery area	Yes					No	0
nside designated Critical Habitat	Yes					No	5
On parcels with an approved nanagement plan for this species.	Yes				No		0
Total Score							34

Table G-2. Impact/Mitigation Scoring for California red-legged frog in the EACCS study area.

Table G-3. Impact/Mitigation Scoring for California tiger salamander in the EACCS study area.

California tiger salamander	5	4	3	2	1	0	Score
Closest suitable breeding habitat to site	On-site	Within 500 feet	Between 501 – 1,600 feet	Between 1,601 -2,050 feet	Between 2051–6,900 feet	Greater than 6,900 feet	3
Is there occupied habitat within 6,900 feet of site?	Yes			No			2
Aquatic land covers impacted/ mitigated	Wetland, Ponds		Stream/River			All others; none	0
Upland land covers impacted/ mitigated	Grassland, Oak woodland, Rural residential	Chaparral/ Scrub	Riparian	Conifer woodland	ruderal without refugia habitat	All others; none	5
Elevation	Below 3,700 feet					Above 3,700 feet	5
Presence of ground squirrels/pocket gophers	On site	Within 1,350 feet of site	Between >1,351 but <2,650 feet	Between >2,651 bu <5,300 feet	Between >5,301 but <7,900 feet	> 7,901 feet from site	5
Presence of bullfrogs or non-native fish in aquatic resources on site	No		Low number; not all aquatic habitats occupied		Yes, occurring in high numbers		0
Create a new barrier between breeding and upland habitat	Documented breeding location		Potential breeding location			No	3
Protect linkage between breeding and upland habitat	Documented breeding location		Potential breeding location			No	0
Inside designated Critical Habitat	Yes					No	0
On parcels with an approved management plan for this species.	Yes				No		1
Total Score							24
Note: The ratio of mitigation to impa shown in Table 3-8. Habitat quality of						buld be determine	d using the ratios

Golden eagle	5	4	3	2	1	0	Score
Presence of golden eagle nest within 1.0-mile of site	Yes					No	0
Land covers impacted/ Mitigated	Grassland, Oak woodland	Chaparral and scrub, ruderal	Cultivated ag	Rural residential, Conifer woodland		All others	5
Presence of ground squirrels	On site	Within 0.25- mile of site	> 0.25 but ≤ 1.0 mile	≥ 1 mile			5
Wind turbines within 0.5-mile of site	No				Yes	On-site	0
On parcels with an approved management plan for this species.	Yes				No		1
Total Score							11
Note: The ratio of mitigation to impasson in Table 3-10. Habitat quality						ould be determine	d using the ratios

Table G-4. Impact/Mitigation Scoring for golden eagle in the EACCS study area.

San Joaquin kit fox/American badger	5	4	3	2	1	0	Score
Impact/ Mitigation occurs in:	CZ5CZ6/CZ7/ CZ9/CZ10		—CZ4 or CZ13		—CZ2, CZ3, CZ11, CZ12		5
Land covers impacted/ mitigated	Grassland, Rural residential	Chaparral/ Scrub	Oak woodland, Cultivated Ag	Seasonal wetlands, Orchard	, ruderal	All others	5
Average Slope	0-5%	> 5 but < 10%	≥ 10 but < 25%	≥25%		All others	4
Presence of ground squirrels	On site	Within 0.25- mile of site	Within 0.5- mile of site			Further away	5
Linkages and movement	Creation or removal of potential linkage across barrier (e.g. culvert under freeway)	Land adjacent to potential linkage on both sides of barrier (e.g., culvert under freeway)	Land adjacent to potential linkage on one side of barrier (e.g., culvert under freeway)	Land not adjacent to key linkage for species.			2
On parcels with an approved management plan for this species.	Yes				No		1
Total Score							22
Note: The ratio of mitigation to impact depends on the location of the mitigation. The acres of mitigation for a given project would be determined using the ratios shown in Table 3-11. Habitat quality of the impact site and the mitigation site would be scored using this table.							

Table G-5. Impact/Mitigation Scoring for San Joaquin kit fox and America badger in the EACCS study area.

Tricolored blackbird	5	4	3	2	1	0	Score
Documented tricolored blackbird nest colony within 0.5-mile of site during previous 3-years.	Yes					No	1
Acres of emergent vegetation that could support nesting TRBL	>5	3-5	1-3	0.25 – 1	<0.25	0	0
Acres of foraging habitat within 2- miles colony site	>1000	501-1000	251-500	100-250	<100	0	1
On parcels with an approved management plan for this species.	Yes				No		0
Total Score							2
Note: The ratio of mitigation to impact depends on the location of the mitigation. The acres of mitigation for a given project would be determined using the ratios shown in Table 3-10. Habitat quality of the impact site and the mitigation site would be scored using this table.							

Table G-6. Impact/Mitigation Scoring for tricolored blackbird in the EACCS study ar ea.

Appendix I Wetland Delineation Forms

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Arid West Region

Project/Site: Potentia-Viridi BESS Facility Project	City/Co	ounty: Tracy/Alameda Cou	inty	Sampling Date:	01/18/24
Applicant/Owner: Levy Alameda LLC		State	: <u>CA</u>	Sampling Point:	SP-01-UPL
Investigator(s): Mikaela Bissell & Erin-Colton Fisher	Sectio	n, Township, Range: <u>Sectio</u>	n 31; Town	ship 2S; Range 4I	Ξ
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Plains	Local	relief (concave, convex, non	e): <u>None</u>	Slop	e (%): <u>0%</u>
Subregion (LRR): MLRA 17 Subregion C Lat	: <u>37.70865</u>	3° Long: -12	1.566808°	Datur	n: WGS84
Soil Map Unit Name: Linee clay loam, 3 to 15, percent slope	es		NWI classifi	cation: <u>PEM1C</u>	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes 🗹 No _				No	
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology natural	lly problema	tic? (If needed, expla	in any answe	ers in Remarks.)	
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.					
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No _	/	Is the Sampled Area			
Hydric Soil Present? Yes No		within a Wetland?	Yes	No 🖌	
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No _	/				

Remarks:

Sampling testing did not hit two-parameter criteria

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute		t Indicator	Dominance Test workshe	et:		
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	<u>% Cover</u>			Number of Dominant Specie That Are OBL, FACW, or F			(A)
1				That Ale OBL, I AGW, OF I	AC		(ম)
2				Total Number of Dominant			
3				Species Across All Strata:			(B)
4		= Total Co		Percent of Dominant Specie			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	0//6		over	That Are OBL, FACW, or F	AC:		(A/B)
1				Prevalence Index worksho	eet:		
2				Total % Cover of:	Multir	oly by:	_
3				OBL species	x 1 =		_
4				FACW species			
5				FAC species			
		= Total C	over	FACU species 15			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 1^m)				UPL species 25			
1. Marrubium vulgare	15%	Y	FACU	Column Totals: 40			(B)
2. Croton setiger	25%	Y	UPL		,		,
3. <u>Grindelia sp.</u>	5%	N	FACW	Prevalence Index = B	3/A =	4,63	_
4. <u>Unknown #1 (1) - Remarks</u>	5%	N		Hydrophytic Vegetation Ir	ndicators:		
5. Unknown #2 (2) - Remarks	1%	N	<u> </u>	Dominance Test is >50)%		
6	<u> </u>			Prevalence Index is ≤3			
7				Morphological Adaptati	ons ¹ (Provid	e support	ing
8				data in Remarks or		,	
		= Total C	over	Problematic Hydrophyt	ic Vegetation	n' (Explair	n)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)		_					
1				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and be present, unless disturbed			nust
2	0%						
		= Total C	over	Hydrophytic			
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>49%</u> % Cover	r of Biotic C	rust <u>C</u>)%	Vegetation Present? Yes	No	~	
Remarks:				·			
(1) Unable to key species during the time c	of survey	, specie	s was dea	ad			
(2) Senescent grasses							

SOIL

Depth <u>Matrix</u> (inches) Color (moist) %	<u> Redox Features Color (moist) % Type¹ Loc² </u>	Texture Remarks
	Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grai	
dric Soil Indicators: (Applicable to all L	.RRs, unless otherwise noted.)	Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Redox (S5)	1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
_ Histic Epipedon (A2)	Stripped Matrix (S6)	2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
Black Histic (A3)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)	Reduced Vertic (F18)
_ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	Red Parent Material (TF2)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	Other (Explain in Remarks)
_ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	
_ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	3
_ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Redox Depressions (F8)	³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and
_ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Vernal Pools (F9)	wetland hydrology must be present,
_ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)		unless disturbed or problematic.
estrictive Layer (if present):		
Type: Depth (inches):	—	Hydric Soil Present? Yes No
	<u> </u>	Hydric Soil Present? Yes No
emarks:		
o redox was observed when dig	ging pits. Saturated soils	
DROLOGY		
/etland Hydrology Indicators:		
rimary Indicators (minimum of one required)	check all that apply)	Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)	Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
_ High Water Table (A2)	Biotic Crust (B12)	Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
Saturation (A3)		
_ Saturation (A3)	Lludrogon Sulfido Odor (C1)	
Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine) Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots	(C3) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine) Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine) Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	 Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) 	(C3) Dry-Season Water Table (C2) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine) Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriverine)	 Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) 	(C3) Dry-Season Water Table (C2)

					5110 (00)			lugery (00)
Inundation Visible on Aer	ial Imagery (B7)		Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Shallow Aquit	ard (D3)	
Water-Stained Leaves (B	9)			Other (Explain in Remarks)		FAC-Neutral	Test (D5)	
Field Observations:								
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No_	~	Depth (inches):				
Water Table Present?	Yes	No_	~	Depth (inches):				
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes	<u>No</u>	~	Depth (inches):	Wetland H	Hydrology Present?	Yes	No 🖌
Describe Recorded Data (stre	am gauge, n	nonitor	ing v	vell, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if ava	ailable:		
C9: Aerial imagery does not display 5 or more years of saturation.								
Remarks:								

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Arid West Region

Project/Site: Potentia-Viridi BESS Facility Project	City/County: Tr	acy/Alameda County	Sampling Date: 01/18/24		
Applicant/Owner: Levy Alameda LLC		State: CA	_ Sampling Point: <u>SP-02-UPL</u>		
Investigator(s): Mikaela Bissell & Erin-Colton Fisher	Section, Towns	ship, Range: Section 31; Tow	nship 2S; Range 4E		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Plains	Local relief (co	ncave, convex, none): <u>None</u>	Slope (%): 0%		
Subregion (LRR): MLRA 17 Subregion C	at: <u>37.708809°</u>	Long: <u>-121.566562</u> °	Datum: WGS84		
Soil Map Unit Name: Linne clay loam, 3 to 15 percent slope	es	NWI classi	fication: PEM1A		
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes No (If no, explain in Remarks.)					
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology signi	ficantly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circumstances"	" present? Yes 🖌 No		
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology natur	ally problematic?	(If needed, explain any answ	vers in Remarks.)		
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.					
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No	✓ Is the S	ampled Area			
Hydric Soil Present? Yes No		•	No 🖌		
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No		····			

Remarks:

Sampling testing did not hit two-parameter criteria

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

	Absolute		Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)		Species? Status	Number of Dominant Species
1			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2			Total Number of Dominant
3		·	Species Across All Strata: (B)
4			Percent of Dominant Species
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	0%	_ = Total Cover	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
1			Prevalence Index worksheet:
2			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
3			OBL species x 1 =
4			FACW species <u>20%</u> x 2 = <u>40</u>
5			FAC species x 3 =
		_ = Total Cover	FACU species x 4 =
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>1^m</u>)			UPL species <u>15%</u> x 5 = <u>75</u>
1. Grindelia sp.	-	Y FACW	Column Totals: <u>35</u> (A) <u>115</u> (B)
2. <u>Croton setiger</u>	15%	Y UPL	
3. <u>Holocarpha virgata</u>	5%	N UPL	Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.29
4		·	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
5			Dominance Test is >50%
6			Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹
7		·	Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
8		- Total Causa	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	40%	_ = Total Cover	
1			¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
2		·	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
		= Total Cover	Hydrophytic
% Bare Ground in Herb Stratum <u>60%</u> % Cover	r of Biotic C	crust 0%	Vegetation Present? Yes No V
Remarks:			1

SOIL

Depth <u>Matrix</u> (inches) Color (moist)	Redox Features % Color (moist) % Type	e ¹ Loc ² T	exture Remarks
· ·		· ·	
			21 M-
	on, RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Co e to all LRRs, unless otherwise noted.)		² Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix. indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol (A1)	Sandy Redox (S5)		_ 1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR C)
Histic Epipedon (A2)	Stripped Matrix (S6)	_	2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR B)
Black Histic (A3)	Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1)		_ Reduced Vertic (F18)
_ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	_	_ Red Parent Material (TF2)
Stratified Layers (A5) (LRR C)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	_	_ Other (Explain in Remarks)
1 cm Muck (A9) (LRR D)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)		
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A			
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Redox Depressions (F8)	³ I	ndicators of hydrophytic vegetation and
Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)	Vernal Pools (F9)		wetland hydrology must be present,
_ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)			unless disturbed or problematic.
estrictive Layer (if present):			
Туре:			
Depth (inches):		Ну	rdric Soil Present? Yes No
emarks:			
o redox was observed wh	nen digging pits. Saturated soils		
DROLOGY			
etland Hydrology Indicators:			
imary Indicators (minimum of one	required; check all that apply)		Secondary Indicators (2 or more required)
Surface Water (A1)	Salt Crust (B11)		Water Marks (B1) (Riverine)
_ High Water Table (A2)	Biotic Crust (B12)		Sediment Deposits (B2) (Riverine)
Saturation (A3)	Aquatic Invertebrates (B13)	Drift Deposits (B3) (Riverine)
Water Marks (B1) (Nonriverine			✓ Drainage Patterns (B10)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriv			
Sediment Deposits (B2) (Nonriv Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonrivering	· ·	• •	
_ Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine	Presence of Reduced Iron	(C4)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
	Presence of Reduced Iron Recent Iron Reduction in T	(C4)	

Drift Deposits (B3) (Nonriverine)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Sc	bils (C6) Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes No	✓ Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes No	✓ Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes No (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitor	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes No
C9: Aerial imagery does not display !		
		1.
Remarks:		

Potentia Viridi	OHWM DATA SHEET
Project: BESS Facility Date: OL	/18/24 Feature ID: EPH - 01
Investigator(s): MRB & ECF	Transect ID: T-01
F	
Alameda County	, north west portion of site
Stream Flow: 🖾 Ephemeral 🗖 Intermitten	nt Perennial Controlled/Other
Transect (cross-section) drawing(s):	View Facing:
TIAC	Sect = 30F1 View Facing: Dupstream D downstream
C Transect length C OHWM width D TOB width Channel depth	WM: 8++ TOB=OHWM depth 5F+ depth 2-5 inches icators indicated with *)
Natural line impressed on the b	Dank 🔲 Sediment sorting

- D Shelving
- □ Changes in the character of soil (texture)*
- Destruction of terrestrial vegetation
- Presence of litter and debris
- □ Wracking Vegetation matted down, bent, or absent
- Leaf litter disturbed or washed away
- □ Scour
- □ Deposition
- Bed and banks
- □ Water staining
- ☑ Change in plant community and/or cover*
- □ Break in Slope at OHWM*: 🗷 Sharp (>60°) □ Moderate (30-60°) □ Gentle (<30°)

Soil Texture

	Clay/Silt (%)	Sand (%)	Gravel (%)	Cobbles (%)	Boulders (%)
Above OHWM	30	30	10	5	25
Below OHWM	70	20	(0)	-	-

Vegetation Cover

	Tree (%)	Shrub (%)	Herb (%)	Bare (%)
Above OHWM	Ø	151	51	801
Below OHWM	Ø	Ø	51	951

Veg Stage: Early (herbs & seedlings) V Mid (herbs, shrubs, saplings) Late (herbs, shrubs, mature trees)

Upland Species: Siybum man anum	Bank Species: Senescent grasses	Emergent Species:	
centaurea sol stitialis centaurea calcitrapa	0.0	None	
Senescent grasses Brassica sp.			
Erodium Cicutanium			

OHWM DATA SHEET

Condition/Disturbances/Anthropogenic Influences (e.g., erosion, grazing, culverts, etc.):

culvert & Roadside

Hydrology:		Riparian:
Flowing water	Min. depth: 2inches	S No
Standing water	Max. depth: 510ches	Yes Continuous Intermittent
Saturated	Avg. depth:	
□ Dry		

Checklist of resources used to evaluate OHWM:

Aerial photography	X Vegetation maps	Other:	
🖾 GPS unit	区 Geologic/soil maps		
🗆 Rainfall data	Gage data		
Topographic maps	🗆 LIDAR		

Other drawings (aerial view):		
None		

Connectivity notes:

None

Other forms related to this feature: Yes XNo

- Terrace, fringe, or floodplain wetland (wetland datasheet)
- Low flow channel or other representative section (OHWM datasheet)