DOCKETED		
Docket Number:	24-OPT-04	
Project Title:	Potentia-Viridi Battery Energy Storage System	
TN #:	260864	
Document Title:	DR Response 1 - Attachment 1, Revised Cultural Resources Inventory and Evaluation Report	
This revised cultural resource inventory and evaluation report documents efforts to identify and evaluate cultural (archaeolo and built environment) resources consistent with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act 		
Filer:	Ronelle Candia	
Organization:	Dudek	
Submitter Role:	: Applicant Consultant	
Submission Date:	12/30/2024 2:38:06 PM	
Docketed Date:	12/30/2024	

## **Attachment 1**

Revised Cultural Resources Inventory and Evaluation Report

# Cultural Resources Inventory and Evaluation Report

# Potentia Viridi BESS Project, Alameda County, California

**DECEMBER 2024** 

Prepared for:

#### LEVY ALAMEDA, LLC

c/o Capstone Infrastructure Corporation 155 Wellington Street West, Suite 2930 Toronto, Ontario M5V 3H1, Canada *Contact: Lauren McLeod* 

Prepared by:

Nicholas Hanten, MA, RPA; Adam Giacinto, MA, RPA Danielle Baza, BA; Patricia Ambacher, MA



1810 13th Street, Suite 110 Sacramento, California 95811

Printed on 30% post-consumer recycled material.

# National Archaeological Database (NADB) Information

Authors:	Nicholas Hanten, MA; Adam Giacinto, MA, RPA; Patricia Ambacher, MA; and Danielle Baza, BA	
Firm:	Dudek	
Project Proponent:	Levy Alameda, LLC	
Report Date:	December 2024	
Report Title:	Cultural Resources Inventory and Evaluation Report, Potentia Viridi BESS Project, Alameda County, California	
Type of Study:	Archaeological and Historic Built-Environment Inventory	
Resources:	P-01-010502, P-39-005337	
USGS Quads:	Sections 31 and 32 of Township 2 South, Range 4 East, Mount Diablo Base Meridian; Midway, California, 7.5-minute Quadrangle	
Acreage:	Approximately 70-acre project site and 32-acre gen-tie line study area	
Permit Numbers:	Not applicable	
Keywords:	Intensive Pedestrian Survey; Tesla Substation	

i

CULTURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY AND EVALUATION REPORT / POTENTIA VIRIDI BESS PROJECT,

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ii

# Table of Contents

#### SECTION

#### PAGE NO.

Nationa	I Archae	ological	Database (NADB) Information	i
Acronyn	ns and A	bbreviat	tions	. vii
Manage	ement Su	ummary		x
1	Introduc	ction		1
	1.1	Project	Description	1
	1.2	Project	Location	1
	1.3	Project	Objectives	4
	1.4	Project	Components	4
		1.4.1	Battery Energy Storage System	6
		1.4.2	Power Conversion System	6
		1.4.3	MV Collection System	7
		1.4.4	Project Substation	7
		1.4.5	Access Roads	7
		1.4.6	Laydown Yards	7
		1.4.7	Stormwater Facilities	8
		1.4.8	Site Security	8
		1.4.9	Operations and Maintenance Building	9
		1.4.10	Transmission and Interconnection Description, Design, and Operation	9
		1.4.11	500 kV Gen-Tie Line	11
		1.4.12	Transmission Structure Access Path	11
		1.4.13	Telecommunication Facilities	11
		1.4.14	Interconnection Facilities within Existing PG&E Tesla Substation Footprint	12
		1.4.15	Transmission System Impact Studies	12
	1.5	Constru	iction	12
		1.5.1	Site Preparation	
		1.5.2	Site Grading and Civil Work	13
		1.5.3	Foundations and Underground Equipment Installation	13
		1.5.4	BESS and Project Substation Equipment Installation	14
		1.5.5	Gen-Tie Structure Erection	14
		1.5.6	Gen-Tie Stringing and Pulling	15
		1.5.7	PG&E-Owned Gen-Tie Segment and Interconnection Facilities within Tesla Substation	
			Footprint	15
	1.6	Operati	ons and Maintenance	15
	1.7	Project	Site Selection	16



	1.8	Report Struc	cture and Key Personnel	16
	1.9	Regulatory C	Context	16
		1.9.1 Nati	ional Register of Historic Places	
		1.9.2 Cali	fornia Register of Historical Resources and CEQA	
		1.9.3 Cali	fornia Environmental Quality Act	19
		1.9.4 Cali	fornia Health and Safety Code	21
		1.9.5 Asse	embly Bill 205 and 20 California Code of Regulations Division 2 Chapter 5	21
		1.9.6 Alan	neda County Register	24
	1.10	Area of Pote	ntial Effects	24
2	Projec	t Context		
	2.1	Environmen	tal Context	
	2.2	Cultural Con	text	
		2.2.1 Pale	eoindian Period (11,550–8550 BC)	
			naic Period (8550 BC to AD 1100)	
			ergent Period (AD 1100 to 1750)	
			oric Context	
3	Resea	rch and Field I	Methods	
	3.1	California Hi	storical Resources Information System Records Search	
	3.2	Native Amer	ican Heritage Commission and Tribal Correspondence	
	3.3	Interested P	arty Correspondence	
	3.4	Archival Res	earch	
		3.4.1 Buil	t Environment Resource Directory	
		3.4.2 Calis	sphere	
		3.4.3 Onli	ne Archive of California	
		3.4.4 Parc	celQuest	
		3.4.5 Alan	neda County Historical Society	
		3.4.6 Live	rmore Heritage Guild	
		3.4.7 Hist	orical Aerials	
		3.4.8 Hist	orical Maps	
		3.4.9 Hist	orical Newspapers	
	3.5	Field Survey	S	46
4	Resul	s of Identificat	tion and Evaluation Efforts	47
	4.1	Records Sea	arch Results	
		4.1.1 Prev	viously Conducted Studies	
		4.1.2 Prev	viously Identified Cultural Resources	50
	4.2	Field Survey	Results	52
		4.2.1 Arch	naeology	52
		4.2.2 Buil	t Environment	



5	Sumr	mary of Findings and Management Considerations	76
	5.1	Archaeological Resources Findings	
	5.2	Archaeological Resources Management Recommendations	
		5.2.1 Unanticipated Discovery of Archaeological Resources	
		5.2.2 Unanticipated Discovery of Human Remains	77
	5.3	Built Environment Findings	77
	5.4	Built Environment Management Recommendations	77
6	Refer	rences	

#### FIGURES

Figure 1	Project Location	2
Figure 2	Project Site	.27
Figure 3	Cultural Resources Area of Potential Effects	

#### **EXHIBITS**

Exhibit 1. Tesla Substation and Tesla Substation Butler Building	54
Exhibit 2. Transmission line and towers, camera facing southwest	55
Exhibit 3. Wood-frame building on Haera-Brockman-Griffith Ranch, north and east elevations, camera facing southeast	57
Exhibit 4. Midway Road, looking north	58
Exhibit 5. Transmission line and towers, camera facing northwest.	60
Exhibit 6. Transmission line and towers (right), camera facing north.	61
Exhibit 7. Transmission line and towers (right), camera facing west.	63
Exhibit 8. Transmission line and towers, camera facing north	64
Exhibit 9. Midway Public School and shed addition, camera facing south	65
Exhibits 10, 11, 12. Midway Public School ca. 1930 (left), in 2018 (middle), and in 2024 (right)	67
Exhibit 13. Railroad and bridge, camera facing south	68
Exhibit 14. Telegraph line, camera facing east	69
Exhibit 15. Tesla-Salado-Manteca Transmission Line, camera facing south.	71
Exhibit 16. Tower and transmission line (center), camera facing north.	72
Exhibit 17. Mulqueeney Ranch residence (right), outbuildings (left), looking northwest	73
Exhibit 18. Outbuildings on Mulqueeney Ranch, looking south.	74

#### TABLES

Table 1. Preliminary Dimensions of Major BESS Facility Components	5
Table 2. Preliminary Footprint of BESS Facility	5
Table 3. Preliminary Dimensions of Major Transmission Components	9
Table 4. Approximate New Ground Disturbance Area Associated with Transmission and Interconnection Fa10	acilities
Table 5. LORS Applicable to Cultural Resources	17
Table 5. Previous Cultural Resource Studies	47
Table 6. Previously Recorded Cultural Resources	50
Table 7. Agency Contacts for Cultural Resources	77

#### **APPENDICES**

- A Records Search Maps and Information (Confidential)
- B NAHC and Tribal Coordination (Confidential)
- C DPR Forms
- D Key Personnel Resumes

# Acronyms and Abbreviations

Acronym/Abbreviation	Definition		
AB	Assembly Bill		
AC	alternating current		
APE	area of potential effects		
APN	Assessor's Parcel Number		
Applicant	Levy Alameda, LLC		
BESS	battery energy storage system		
BMP	best management practice		
ca.	circa		
CAISO	California Independent System Operator		
CCR	California Code of Regulations		
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act		
CHRIS	California Historical Resources Information System		
County	Alameda County		
CRHR	California Register of Historical Resources		
DC	direct current		
DPR	Department of Parks and Recreation		
EDS	Evans & De Shazo, Inc.		
gen-tie	intertie transmission		
HVAC	heating, ventilation, and air conditioning		
kV	kilovolt(s)		
LFP	lithium iron phosphate		
MLD	most likely descendant		
MPT	main power transformers		
MV	medium voltage		
MW	megawatt		
NAHC	Native American Heritage Commission		
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act		
NPS	National Park Service		
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places		
0&M	operations and maintenance		
ОНР	California Office of Historic Preservation		
PCS	power conversion system		
PG&E	Pacific Gas and Electric Company		
POCO	Point of Change of Ownership		
POI	Point of Interconnection		
PRC	Public Resources Code		
Project	Potentia Viridi BESS Project		
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey		

DUDEK

DUDEK

#### INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## Management Summary

The proposed Potentia Viridi Battery Energy Storage System Project (Project) is near the eastern boundary of Alameda, California, 2.5 miles west of the City of Tracy, 2 miles south of the interchange of Interstates 580 and 205, and adjacent to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) Tesla Substation. The Project site is surrounded by vacant, open space, rural roads, and the PG&E Tesla Substation. The Project site is located within Sections 31 and 32, Township 2 South, Range 4 East of the Midway, California, 7.5-minute U.S. Geological Survey Quadrangle map (Figure 1, Project Location). The Project proposes to construct and operate a battery energy storage system composed of lithium-ion batteries, inverters, medium-voltage transformers, a collector substation, and other associated equipment to interconnect into the PG&E Tesla Substation.

This cultural resource inventory and evaluation report documents Dudek's efforts to identify and evaluate cultural (archaeology and built environment) resources consistent with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and Title 20 of the California Code of Regulations Division 2, Chapter 5, Appendix B Information Requirements for Application for Certification or Small Power Plant Exemption (20 California Code of Regulations Division 2 Chapter 5 Appendix B(g)(2). The Project's lead agency under CEQA is the California Energy Commission. The Project's lead agency under Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) is the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers. Dudek's efforts included a records search of the California Historical Resources Information System, the development of a study area, an area of potential effects (APE), correspondence with the Native American Heritage Commission, an intensive level survey of the APE for cultural resources, background and archival research, development of a cultural and historic context, and the recordation and evaluation of historic-era resources located in the APE using the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP), California Register of Historical Resources (CRHR), and Alameda County Register evaluation criteria.

Dudek archaeologists conducted an intensive pedestrian survey of the Project area using standard archaeological procedures and techniques that meet the Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for cultural resources inventory. Surface visibility was low (less than 10%) throughout the Project site due to dense non-native grasses. A Dudek architectural historian conducted an intensive level survey of the study area documenting buildings and structures built in or before 1979 (45 years of age or older). One previously recorded resource, the Tesla Substation and Tesla Substation Butler Building (P-01-010502), was identified in the Project APE. The resource was previously evaluated using the NRHP and CRHR criteria and was recommended as not eligible. Dudek concurs with those previous findings.

This report concludes that there are no cultural resources in the APE for the Project.

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Project Description

#### Facility Description, Design, and Operation

Levy Alameda, LLC (Applicant), a wholly owned subsidiary of Obra Maestra Renewables, LLC, proposes to construct, operate, and eventually repower or decommission the 400-megawatt (MW) Potentia-Viridi Battery Energy Storage System Project (Project) on approximately 85 acres in eastern Alameda County. The primary components of the Project include an up to 3,200-megawatt-hour battery energy storage system (BESS) facility, an operations and maintenance (O&M) building, a Project substation, a 500-kilovolt (kV) overhead intertie transmission (gen-tie) line, and interconnection facilities within the Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) owned and operated Tesla Substation.

The Project would draw electricity from the power grid to charge and store electrical energy and discharge back to the power grid when the stored energy is needed. The Project would provide several benefits to the power grid, including reducing the need to operate natural gas power plants to balance intermittent renewable generation and serving as an additional capacity resource that would enhance grid reliability.

The Project would be remotely operated and monitored year-round and be available to receive or deliver energy 24 hours a day and 365 days a year. During the operational life of the Project, qualified technicians would routinely inspect the Project facilities and conduct necessary maintenance to ensure reliable and safe operational readiness.

### 1.2 Project Location

The proposed Project is located near the eastern boundary of Alameda County approximately 2.5 miles west of the City of Tracy and 2 miles south of the interchange of Interstates 580 and 205. The Project site is surrounded by vacant, open space, rural roads, and the PG&E Tesla Substation. The Project site located within Sections 31 and 32, Township 2 South, Range 4 East of the Midway, California, 7.5-minute U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) Quadrangle map. The Project location is shown on Figure 1.

Development of the BESS facility would occur on about 70 acres of Assessor's Parcel Number (APN) 99B-7890-002-04, which is currently composed of fallowed annual grasslands suitable for grazing. The gen-tie line would extend southeast from the Project substation, crossing Patterson Pass Road, and then proceed east to the Point of Interconnection (POI) at the Tesla Substation. The Project's gen-tie line would be sited on APNs 99B-7890-2-4, 99B-7890-2-6, and 99B-7885-12. Land uses in the immediate vicinity of the Project include undeveloped rural agricultural lands, multiple high-voltage transmission lines and electrical substations, rural roads, and railroad lines. The nearest municipality to the Project site is the City of Tracy approximately 2.5 miles to the northeast. There are a few single-family residences near the Tesla Substation's southern and eastern boundaries. The nearest residence is about 1,500 feet southeast of the Project site and 560 feet south of the proposed gen-tie line; it is owned by the same landowner leasing the lands for the Project.



1



SOURCE: USGS 7.5 Minute Quadrangle Series Midway Quadrangle - Township 2S Range 4E Section 31, 32

500 1,000

0



FIGURE 1 Project Location Potentia-Viridi BESS Project

#### CULTURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY AND EVALUATION REPORT / POTENTIA VIRIDI BESS PROJECT, ALAMEDA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

The Project location was selected due to it being large enough to support development of the Project, its close proximity to existing electrical infrastructure and the Tesla Substation, thereby minimizing length of the proposed gen-tie line to the POI, and because it is located immediately adjacent to existing roadways for construction and O&M access.

### 1.3 Project Objectives

The primary purpose of the Project is to assist the State of California in meeting its goal of reducing statewide annual greenhouse gas emissions from the electric sector to 25 million metric tons by 2035. The Project will help balance electricity generation from renewable sources, such as wind and solar, with electricity demand by storing excess generation from emissions-free power sources and delivering it back to the grid when demand exceeds real-time generation supply. The Project displaces the need for additional fossil fuel-based generating stations needed to serve peak demand periods when renewable sources may be inadequate or unavailable.

The Project objectives are:

- Construct and operate an economically viable, and commercially financeable, 400 MW battery energy storage facility in Alameda County with an interconnection at the Tesla Substation.
- Assist California electric utilities in meeting obligations under California's Renewable Portfolio Standard Program and Senate Bills 100 and 1020, which require renewable energy sources and zero-carbon resources to supply 60% of all retail sales of electricity to California end-use customers by December 31, 2030; 90% of all retail sales of electricity to California end-use customers by December 31, 2035; 95% of all retail sales of electricity to California end-use customers by December 31, 2035; 95% of all retail sales of electricity to California end-use customers by December 31, 2040; and 100% of all retail sales of electricity to California end-use customers by December 31, 2045.
- Assist California utilities in meeting obligations under the California Public Utility Commission's Mid-Term Reliability Procurement Requirements.
- Develop an electricity storage facility in close proximity to a utility grid-connected substation with existing capacity available for interconnection to minimize environmental impacts.
- Relieve grid congestion, and enhance electricity reliability, without requiring the construction of new regional transmission infrastructure or substantial network upgrades.
- Construct and operate a battery energy storage facility in Alameda County, resulting in economic benefits to the County, creating prevailing wage construction jobs, and facilitating local community benefits.

### 1.4 Project Components

The Project would include construction, O&M, and eventual decommissioning of a 400 MW BESS with an energy storage capacity up to 3,200 megawatt hours. The Project site is illustrated on Figure 2, Project Site. Charging from or discharging to the electrical grid would be a 500 kV gen-tie connecting the Project substation to the POI within the existing PG&E Tesla Substation. The BESS facility would include the following components:

- BESS enclosures
- Power conversion systems (PCSs)

4

- Medium voltage (MV) collection system
- Project substation, control building, and telecommunications facilities
- Access roads
- Laydown yards
- Stormwater facilities and outfall
- Site security and fencing, including fire detection system
- O&M building

Project components are described in the following subsections. Table 1 summarizes the preliminary dimensions of major BESS facility components, and Table 2 summarizes the preliminary footprint/disturbance acreage associated with the BESS facility.

#### **Table 1. Preliminary Dimensions of Major BESS Facility Components**

Component	Quantity	Approximate Dimensions
BESS Enclosures	1,000*	20 ft x 8 ft x 10 ft (L x W x H)
PCS	140*	22 ft x 7 ft x 8 ft (L x W x H)
MV Collection System		Buried in trenches up to 5 ft x 10 ft (W x D)
Project Substation Area	1	500 ft x 450 ft; (5) 120 ft (H) (lightning masts)
Control Building	1	52 ft x 20 ft x 15 ft (L x W x H)
Wireless Communication Tower	1	18 ft x 18 ft x 199 ft (L x W x H)
Access Roads		20 ft (W) internal radii 25 ft minimum
Laydown Yards	4	Variable
Stormwater Detention Facilities	5	Variable
Stormwater Outfall	1	500 ft x 5 ft x 10 ft (L x W x D)
Security Fencing	1	9 ft (H) 8 ft tall fence topped with 1 ft of barbed/razor wire
Operations and Maintenance Building	1	100 ft x 50 ft x 30 ft (L x W x H)

**Notes:** BESS = battery energy storage system; ft = feet; L = length; W = width; H = height; PCS = power conversion system; MV = medium voltage; D = depth.

\* The number of BESS enclosures and PCS units would depend on the manufacturer selected. The total number of BESS enclosures and PCS units may increase or decrease in the final design. It is also possible that the BESS units ultimately procured may incorporate the PCS units within the BESS enclosures.

#### **Table 2. Preliminary Footprint of BESS Facility**

Component	Permanent Disturbance (acres)
BESS Yards	13.3
Project Substation	5.5
Access Roads	6.6
Laydown Yards	15.2
Stormwater Detention Areas	9.3
Stormwater Outfall	0.6
Other*	7.2
Total+	57.7

#### Notes: BESS = battery energy storage system.

\* Other areas include maximum grading limits. The analyses assume that all areas used for the BESS facility are permanently disturbed and kept free of vegetation to comply with fire requirements.

+The total permanent disturbance acreage is a conservative estimate, and final designs may require fewer acres. Underground components within the BESS facility would be located within the footprint of aboveground disturbance areas.

### 1.4.1 Battery Energy Storage System

The energy storage facility would utilize a modular and containerized BESS. There are several battery cell technologies commercially available, with one of the most common at present being lithium iron phosphate (LFP) cells (often colloquially referred to as "lithium-ion"). LFP technology is considered one of the safest, most efficient, and commercially financeable energy storage technologies available on the market. The initial Project concept has been developed assuming an LFP technology. By the time the Project reaches the procurement stage, it is possible for other battery cell technology with proven safety and performance records to be suitable for the Project. Although the number and dimensions of the containers may change (as it does between LFP technology providers), the technology ultimately procured would result in potential environmental impacts substantially similar to, or less than, those analyzed based on this Project description.

The BESS enclosures would be prefabricated off site and arrive at the site ready to be installed and commissioned. Each modular BESS enclosure would include battery packs on racks, a battery management system, fire protection, and ancillary power electronics within a specialized steel-framed, non-occupiable container. The BESS enclosures would not exceed approximately 15 feet in height. The BESS enclosures may also have a heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) system for optimal performance and safety. Power for the HVAC system, lighting, and other electrical systems would be provided through separate auxiliary power connection to the on-site Project substation with connection lines installed above and/or below ground.

#### 1.4.2 Power Conversion System

A PCS is a packaged and integrated system consisting of a bi-directional inverter, MV transformers, protection equipment, direct current (DC) and alternating current (AC) circuit breakers, harmonic filters, equipment terminals, and a connection cabling system. A PCS functions to both convert between DC/AC and change the voltage level from the MV collection voltage to the voltage output of the BESS enclosures.

The PCS would convert electric energy from AC to DC when the energy is transferred from the grid to the battery, and from DC to AC when the energy is transferred from the battery to the grid. Each PCS would also include transformers that convert the AC side output of the inverter between low and medium AC voltage to increase the overall efficiency of the BESS. Inverters within the PCS units would be unattended systems designed to operate in all conditions. The inverters would be monitored and controlled remotely, and there would be on-site disconnects for use in case of an emergency or a situation requiring unscheduled maintenance.

PCS units would be installed on concrete foundations and connected to multiple BESS enclosures with wiring and cables installed underground. All outside electrical equipment would be housed in the appropriate National Electrical Manufacturers Association rated enclosures.

### 1.4.3 MV Collection System

The MV collection system would include multiple components that connect the PCS units to the Project substation including underground conductor circuits, switchboards, switchgear, and panels at 34.5 kV voltage. The conductors for the MV collection system would be installed underground during construction using trenching.

#### 1.4.4 Project Substation

The Project substation would include three main power transformers (MPTs): two active and a live spare. When the BESS facility is charging, power from the regional electric transmission grid would be stepped down from 500 kV to 34.5 kV and sent from the Project substation through the MV collection system and PCS units into the battery packs within the BESS enclosures. When the BESS facility is discharging, power from the battery packs within the BESS enclosures would be sent to the PCS units, stepped up to 34.5 kV, and transported to the Project substation through the MV collection system before being stepped up to 500 kV at the MPTs and delivered back to the regional electric transmission grid. A prefabricated control building would be installed within the Project substation area and contain an energy management system, metering, and telecommunication equipment for communication with PG&E/California Independent System Operator (CAISO) facilities and to support remote Project operations monitoring. The Project substation area would also include five static masts for lightning protection and a wireless communication tower mounted with an antenna up to 15 feet in diameter for external telecommunications.

#### 1.4.5 Access Roads

The Project's roadway system would include two new facility access roads and driveways, a perimeter road, and internal access roads. One of the new site access roads and driveways would be constructed from an existing private road near the northeastern portion of the site, and the other would be constructed from Patterson Pass Road near the southwestern portion of the site. A Project substation access road would be constructed outside of the perimeter fence, connecting the northeast and southwest driveways, to facilitate substation access by third parties during operations. All new access roads, driveways, and internal and perimeter roads would be bladed, compacted, and surfaced with aggregate. All internal roadways and private driveways would be constructed to meet access requirements for construction, O&M, and emergency response requirements.

#### 1.4.6 Laydown Yards

The Project would include up to four laydown yards for equipment and material staging and storage during construction. These areas would also be used for worker parking during construction. The primary laydown yard would be located directly adjacent to the Project substation area. The primary laydown yard would be bladed, compacted, and surfaced with aggregate, while additional laydown yards would be cleared of vegetation and surfaced with aggregate or other soil-stabilizing materials. Portions of additional laydown yards may also be graded, if necessary. Landscape fabric may also be installed under the surface of all laydown yards to prevent vegetation growth, if required to comply with fire prevention standards. The O&M building and required number of parking spaces for O&M staff would be constructed within the primary laydown following construction of the BESS facility components.



If the BESS technology ultimately procured prior to construction requires larger BESS yards to accommodate BESS enclosures with larger dimensions, a greater number of BESS enclosures, or greater spacing requirements to comply with regulations, portions of the additional laydown yards may be used to accommodate larger BESS yards than those currently proposed. The proposed Project's preliminary layout, earthwork volumes, and Project component dimensions assumed for environmental analyses in subsequent chapters are conservatively large to allow for design flexibility and Project schedule preservation.

#### 1.4.7 Stormwater Facilities

The proposed BESS facility site currently consists of annual grassland with rolling topography. Regulatory standards require that volumes and flow rates of stormwater discharge after construction not exceed pre-development conditions. Stormwater generated on site would flow to stormwater detention basins located along the periphery of the BESS facility site. Stormwater treatment and storage sizing would be designed to hold the anticipated runoff from a 100-year, 24-hour storm event in compliance with applicable regulations. In the event stormwater basins reach capacity, stormwater would be discharged from the detention basins via storm drainpipes and sheet flow at rates no greater than pre-development conditions following natural drainage patterns.

A stormwater drainage outfall utilizing a new 36-inch corrugated metal pipe or bioswale/ditch would be constructed from one or more of the detention basins located in the southwest portion of the site to the inlet of a new or existing culvert on the north side of Patterson Pass Road. Approximately 10 cubic yards of clean riprap would be placed as an energy dissipator at the outfall to discharge clean stormwater at or below current rates at the elevation of the ordinary high water mark of the existing drainage on the south side of Patterson Pass Road.

### 1.4.8 Site Security

The BESS facility site would be enclosed with an 8-foot-tall chain-link fence topped with 1 foot of three-strand barbed wire or razor wire. The fence would be installed on the outside of the perimeter road. An additional fence with the same specifications would be installed around the Project substation area. The fences would be required to prevent unauthorized access and to comply with human health and safety regulations. Gates would be installed at various access points along the fence lines and equipped with lock boxes to allow for authorized personnel (e.g., transmission service provider, O&M staff, emergency response) to access appropriate portions of the BESS facility site.

Lighting would only be in areas where it is required for safety, security, or operations. Low elevation (less than 14 feet) controlled security lighting would be installed at the Project substation and around the BESS yards, in accordance with applicable requirements and regulations. Permanent motion-sensitive, directional security lights would be installed to provide adequate illumination around the substation area and points of ingress/egress. All lighting would be shielded and directed downward to minimize the potential for glare or spillover onto adjacent properties, compliant with applicable codes and regulations. Security cameras would be placed on site and monitored 24 hours a day, 7 days a week.



### 1.4.9 Operations and Maintenance Building

Following construction of the BESS facility, an O&M building would be constructed within the primary laydown yard for the Project's anticipated three full-time operations staff. The O&M building would include parking, outside equipment and laydown areas, basic offices, meeting rooms, washroom facilities, and climate-controlled storage for certain equipment and materials. A potable water storage tank would provide water for washroom and sanitary facilities, and sewage/wastewater would be collected in a separate tank. Potable water would be trucked to the water storage tank periodically during O&M, and sewage/wastewater would be pumped from the storage tank, transported off site via truck, and disposed of at a sanitary dump station, as needed, during operations. The O&M building would be powered via a distribution line from the Project substation.

# 1.4.10 Transmission and Interconnection Description, Design, and Operation

The Project would be interconnected to the regional electrical transmission grid via an approximately 2,884-footlong new single-circuit 500 kV gen-tie line within a 200-foot-wide corridor between the Project substation and the PG&E Tesla Substation. The Applicant would construct and own the portion of the gen-tie line between the Project substation and the Point of Change of Ownership (POCO) transmission structure, and PG&E would construct and own the remaining portion of the gen-tie from the POCO to the POI within the Tesla Substation. The Project's transmission and interconnection facilities would include the following components:

- 500 kV gen-tie line including transmission structures and conductors
- Fiber optic telecommunications utility poles and fiber optic lines
- Access paths
- Temporary work areas
- Interconnection facilities within existing PG&E Tesla Substation footprint (PG&E constructed and owned)

The proposed route location was selected to minimize the number of existing utility crossings, cross existing utilities at the optimum locations, minimize the total gen-tie line length and number of transmission structures required, minimize the number of turning structures required, and enter the Telsa Substation as close as possible to the POI. The proposed transmission structures were sited to avoid potential impacts to environmental resources. Project components associated with transmission and interconnection facilities are described in the following subsections. No parks, recreational areas, or scenic areas are located within 1 mile of the proposed gen-tie route. Table 3 summarizes the preliminary dimensions of major transmission components, and Table 4 summarizes the preliminary new ground disturbance area associated with construction of the transmission and interconnection facilities.

#### **Table 3. Preliminary Dimensions of Major Transmission Components**

Component	Quantity	Approximate Dimensions
500 kV Gen-Tie Line	1	Applicant Owned: 1,557 ft long
	L	PG&E Owned: 1,327 ft long

Table 3. Preliminary	y Dimensions of <b>I</b>	<b>Major Transmission</b>	Components
----------------------	--------------------------	---------------------------	------------

Component	Quantity	Approximate Dimensions
Substation Bay Dead-End Transmission Structure	2	<ul> <li>Applicant Owned: 1 structure; up to 110 ft above ground level; two 7-ft-diameter foundations, installed up to 30 ft deep; constructed within Project substation area footprint.</li> <li>PG&amp;E Owned: 1 structure; up to 110 ft above ground level; two 7-ft-diameter foundations, installed up to 30 ft deep; constructed within Tesla Substation footprint.</li> </ul>
Angled Dead-End Transmission Structure	3	Applicant Owned: 2 structures; Up to 199 ft above ground level; three 9-ft-diameter foundations, installed up to 40 ft deep, per structure.
		PG&E Owned: 1 structure; Up to 199 ft above ground level; three 9-ft-diameter foundations, installed up to 40 ft deep.
H-Frame Tangent Transmission Structure	1	Applicant Owned: Up to 199 ft above ground level; two 6-ft-diameter foundations, installed up to 30 ft deep.
Conductors	6	Two 2,300 kcmil 61W AAC "Pigweed" per phase. 30 ft minimum ground clearance.
Overhead Shield Wire	2	Two 3/8-inch extra high strength 7-strand steel
Fiber Optic Utility Poles	16	Up to 40 ft above ground level; up to 20-inch-diameter wood poles direct embedded up to 8 ft deep.
Fiber Optic Cables	2	All dielectric self-supporting fiber optic cable. Two redundant and diverse routes. Installed aboveground on utility poles by Applicant from Project substation to POCO. Installed by PG&E underground in trenches up to 2 ft wide and 4 ft deep between POCO and Tesla Substation.
Transmission Structure Access Path	1	Applicant Owned: 20 ft wide; up to 1,750 ft long PG&E Owned: 20 ft wide; up to 950 ft long
Transmission Line Corridor	1	200 ft wide
	<b>–</b>	

**Notes**: ft = foot/feet; PG&E = Pacific Gas and Electric Company; POCO = Point of Change of Ownership.

## Table 4. Approximate New Ground Disturbance Area Associated with Transmission and Interconnection Facilities

Component	Permanent Disturbance (acres)	Temporary Disturbance (acres)				
Applicant Portion						
Transmission Structure Pads	0.4	-				
Transmission Structure Access Path	0.7	-				
Fiber Optic Utility Poles	0.1	-				
Tension and Pulling Site	-	3.6				
Applicant Total	~1.2	~3.6				
PG&E Portion						
Transmission Structure Pad	0.2	-				
Transmission Structure Access Path	0.5	-				
Tension and Pulling Site	-	3.1				

# Table 4. Approximate New Ground Disturbance Area Associated with Transmission and Interconnection Facilities

Component	Permanent Disturbance (acres)	Temporary Disturbance (acres)
PG&E Total	~0.7	~3.1

Note: PG&E = Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

#### 1.4.11 500 kV Gen-Tie Line

The 500 kV gen-tie line would originate at the Project substation within the BESS facility site and extend southeast, crossing Patterson Pass Road overhead until reaching the POCO structure. After reaching the POCO structure, the route would proceed east to an angled dead-end structure outside of the Tesla Substation fence line before extending north to a new substation dead-end structure at the POI bay within the Tesla Substation footprint. The 200-foot-wide transmission corridor would be within the BESS facility lease area on APN 99B-7890-2-4 and within an easement on APN 99B-7890-2-6 until reaching the parcel's eastern boundary about 255 feet east of the POCO structure. Both parcels comprising the BESS facility lease area and transmission corridor easement are private lands owned by the same landowner. After crossing the eastern boundary of APN 99B-7890-2-6, the remaining portion of the gen-tie would be on the same PG&E-owned parcel that includes the 500 kV Tesla Substation and POI. Table 3 includes the approximate number and dimensions of the three different types of transmission structures that would be used. The gen-tie would be designed consistent with the Suggested Practices for Avian Protection on Power Lines: The State of the Art in 2006 (APLIC 2006), where feasible.

#### 1.4.12 Transmission Structure Access Path

A transmission structure access path would be located within portions of the transmission corridor outside of the BESS facility and Tesla Substation footprints and generally follow the centerline of the gen-tie. The portion of the transmission structure access path between Patterson Pass Road and the POCO structure would include a dry crossing of Patterson Run and require clean fill material (e.g., large cobbles, clean, native gravel, prefabricated mats) to be placed beneath the ordinary high water mark elevation for stabilization and erosion and sedimentation control.

### 1.4.13 Telecommunication Facilities

Telecommunications equipment would be installed between the control building at the Project substation and the Tesla Substation to facilitate communication with PG&E/CAISO facilities. PG&E interconnection policies require two redundant fiber optic cables to be installed on diverse paths without a single point of failure (i.e., both fiber optic lines cannot be installed on a single set of structures). Between the control building within the Project substation area and the POCO structure, the Applicant would install the two fiber optic lines aboveground on separate utility structures within the transmission corridor. One route would be installed near the northern boundary of the transmission corridor and the other would be installed near the southern boundary of the transmission corridor. The fiber optic utility poles would be accessed via overland travel from the transmission structure pads or the transmission structure access path. At the POCO structure, each of the fiber optic cables would be brought down to an underground pullbox. PG&E would install the fiber optic cables underground from the pull boxes to the PG&E control building at the Tesla Substation. A microwave antenna installed on a communications tower within the



Project substation area, an optical ground wire installed on the 500 kV structures, or placed underground within the transmission structure access path, between the Project substation and POCO may be used in lieu of a second set of utility poles, if feasible.

#### 1.4.14 Interconnection Facilities within Existing PG&E Tesla Substation Footprint

To facilitate interconnection of the BESS facility to the electric transmission grid, PG&E would need to install a substation bay dead-end transmission structure and expand the POI's 500 kV breaker-and-a-half bay with a new circuit breaker.

#### 1.4.15 Transmission System Impact Studies

The Applicant filed an Interconnection Request with CAISO in the Cluster 13 Interconnection Request window. CAISO, in cooperation with PG&E, prepared the Phase I Interconnection Study (February 12, 2021), and Phase II Interconnection Study (November 22, 2021). The Applicant entered into a Large Generator Interconnection Agreement with CAISO and PG&E on October 31, 2022. No affected systems controlled by CAISO or PG&E were identified during the interconnection study process. Non-CAISO systems potentially affected by the Project and other Cluster 13 projects are the Western Area Power Administration and Modesto Irrigation District. The Applicant is working with both system operators to identify specific impacts and will take all reasonable steps to address potential reliability system impacts prior to the initial synchronization of the Project.

## 1.5 Construction

The following sections detail the approximate construction schedule and workforce, construction activities, estimated water use, and materials handling proposed by the Project.

### 1.5.1 Site Preparation

Environmental clearance surveys would be performed at the Project site prior to commencement of construction activities. The limits of construction disturbance areas delineated in the final approved engineering design packages would be surveyed and staked. Initial ground-disturbing activities in preparation for construction would include installation of erosion and sediment control measures prior to start of major earthworks activities. Rough grading and grubbing/vegetation removal would be performed where required to accommodate site drainage and allow construction equipment to access the site. Detention basins and stormwater facilities would be created for hydrologic control. The construction contractor would be required to incorporate applicable best management practices (BMPs) including the guidelines provided in the California Stormwater Quality Association's Construction BMP Handbook, as well as a soil erosion and sedimentation control plan to reduce potential impacts related to construction of the proposed Project. Stabilized construction entrances and exits would be installed at driveways to reduce tracking of sediment onto adjacent public roadways.

Site preparation would be consistent with applicable BMPs and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District's Fugitive Dust Rules. Site preparation would involve the removal and proper disposal of existing debris that would



unduly interfere with Project construction or the health and safety of on-site personnel. Dust-minimizing techniques would be employed, such as placement of wind control fencing, application of water, and application of dust suppressants. All applicable governmental requirements and BMPs would be incorporated into the construction activities for the Project site.

Vegetation on the site would be removed where necessary to ensure the BESS facility is free from combustible vegetation to allow for fire protection and defensible space. Where feasible, in compliance with fire protection requirements, vegetation root mass within appropriate portions of the BESS facility lease area on the outside of the perimeter and substation access roads would be left in place for soil stabilization. However, the environmental analyses in subsequent sections conservatively assume that all areas within the maximum anticipated grading limits of the BESS facility would be permanently disturbed.

#### 1.5.2 Site Grading and Civil Work

Following site preparation activities, grading and civil work would commence. Construction activities during this phase would include excavation and grading of the Project site. Earthwork on the site is ultimately anticipated to result in nearly balanced cut and fill volumes, but the preliminary designs conservatively assume that grading would include up to approximately 588,018 cubic yards of cut and up to approximately 344,900 cubic yards of fill, resulting in up to approximately 243,118 cubic yards of export material. As appropriate, all, or a portion of, the Project's excess material resulting from earthwork may be used beneficially used on site for the construction of berms or other on-site needs. Where appropriate, excess material would be processed in one or more different types of rock-crushing equipment depending on the requirements of the various potential beneficial uses on site. Blasting may be required if large boulders are encountered during excavation and grading.

Conventional grading would be performed throughout the Project site but minimized to the maximum extent feasible to reduce unnecessary soil movement that may result in dust. Land-leveling equipment, such as a smooth steel drum roller, would be used to even the ground surface and compact the upper layer of soil to a value recommended by a geotechnical engineer for structural support. Following major civil work within the BESS facility site, site access roads and driveways, the perimeter and substation access roads, and interior roadways to access the laydown areas and BESS yards would be graded, compacted, and surfaced with gravel or aggregate. Class II road base would be imported to create necessary compaction under the equipment, as determined by geotechnical testing and Project specifications. Once the roadways have been constructed, the Project perimeter fence and access gates would be constructed.

### 1.5.3 Foundations and Underground Equipment Installation

Following completion of major site grading and civil work, equipment foundations and below-grade equipment would be installed. A grounding grid and underground conduit would be installed below grade beneath the Project substation area and BESS components. Typical ground grids consist of direct-buried copper conductors with copperclad ground rods arranged in a grid pattern. After installation of the grounding grid, the area would be backfilled, compacted, and leveled followed by application of an aggregate rock base. A containment area within the MPT foundations would be sized to hold the full volume of oil within the MPTs. The MPT foundations within the substation area are anticipated to be concrete slab foundations poured into excavations up to 10 feet deep. Foundations for the control building, static masts, other aboveground substation equipment, O&M building, BESS enclosures,



PCS units, AC/DC converters, and BESS auxiliary transformers and panels are anticipated to be pile foundations embedded up to 40 feet below ground level. Depending on soil conditions, the piles may be drilled or driven and set with a slurry. However, some of these Project components may be installed on concrete slab foundations depending on the geotechnical conditions at the final locations.

Additional underground work would include trenching for the placement of underground electrical and communications lines, including the MV collection system, AC and DC cables, and fire alarm cable. The wires would either be installed in conduit, cable-trays, or direct-buried, depending upon final design and application.

#### 1.5.4 BESS and Project Substation Equipment Installation

Where possible, major equipment would be delivered directly to its permanent location and offloaded directly into place with a crane or heavy equipment. Where staging or sequencing does not allow, equipment would be stored at one of the laydown areas near its permanent location and installed at a later date. Major aboveground equipment would be the MPTs and other Project substation components, control building, BESS enclosures, PCS units, AC/DC converters, BESS auxiliary transformers and panels, and O&M building.

Electrical work would include installing cables, terminations, and splices. Electrical wiring would be installed underground, at-grade, and aboveground, depending on the application and location. The wires would either be installed in conduit, cable-trays, or direct-buried, depending upon final design and application.

### 1.5.5 Gen-Tie Structure Erection

Environmental clearance surveys would be performed within the gen-tie corridor prior to commencement of construction activities. The gen-tie corridor boundaries, gen-tie centerline, telecommunications route centerlines, and transmission structure access path would be surveyed and flagged. Initial activities would include the installation of erosion and sediment control measures and materials to facilitate the dry crossing of Patterson Run, and preparation of the transmission structure and fiber optic utility pole work areas. The transmission structure access path may be bladed, compacted, and surfaced with gravel where necessary to facilitate transmission structure deliveries and construction equipment access. The surface of the access path would be at-grade to allow water to sheet flow across the gen-tie corridor, as it currently does. Access to the fiber optic utility pole locations would be via overland travel from the transmission structure pads or access path. Overland travel and temporary construction activities associated with the gen-tie and telecommunications facilities may occur anywhere within the 200-foot-wide transmission corridor and 50 feet on either side of the transmission corridor boundary. Vegetation at the transmission and fiber optic utility pole work areas would be trimmed, mowed, or removed. At locations where gen-tie line structures and fiber optic utility poles would be installed.

Cast-in-place concrete foundations would be installed by placing reinforcing steel and a structure stub or anchor bolt cage into the foundation hole, positioning the stub, and encasing it in concrete. Each transmission structure foundation would be set on anchor bolts on top of the foundation with cranes. Fiber optic utility poles would be direct embedded in holes up to 8 feet deep. Holes would be excavated using a truck-mounted drill rig or standalone auger rig. Poles would be delivered on a flat-bed trailer and hoisted into place with a crane. The annular space



between the poles and holes would be backfilled with concrete or soil. Excavated spoil material not used for backfilling would be spread around the structure work areas.

### 1.5.6 Gen-Tie Stringing and Pulling

Conductors would be strung between transmission structures with heavy duty trucks and a telescoping boom lift. Cables would be pulled through one segment of the transmission line at a time. To pull cables, truck-mounted cable-pulling equipment is placed alongside the first and last towers or poles in a segment. Power pulling equipment is used at the front end of the segment, while power braking or tensioning equipment is used at the back end. The conductors are then pulled through the segment and attached to the insulators. Equipment is then moved to the next segment; the front end pull site previously used becomes the back end pull site for the next segment. After conductors have been pulled into place in a section, the conductor tension is increased to achieve a ground clearance of at least 30 feet prior to moving to the next section.

Three tension and pulling sites are anticipated to facilitate construction of the gen-tie: one within the BESS facility footprint near the first angled dead-end structure, one at the POCO structure, and another at the PG&E-constructed angled dead-end structure near the Tesla Substation fence line.

#### 1.5.7 PG&E-Owned Gen-Tie Segment and Interconnection Facilities within Tesla Substation Footprint

PG&E would construct the segment of the gen-tie between the POCO and the POI within the Tesla Substation, and the fiber optic routes between the POCO and the PG&E control building within the Tesla Substation footprint. The Applicant would bring the fiber optic cables to underground pull boxes at the POCO structure, and PG&E would install the segment of the fiber optic cables between the POCO and control building in conduit placed in underground trenches. The trenches are anticipated to be up to 3 feet wide, and the trenches for the redundant routes would need to be at least 10 feet apart to meet PG&E's diverse path requirements. It is anticipated that PG&E would install the trenches within the access road to the angled dead-end structure outside the Tesla Substation fence line. However, PG&E may install the cables within existing roadways or other pre-disturbed areas along the perimeter of the substation fence depending on final design and routing.

PG&E would also construct the interconnection upgrades within the Tesla Substation footprint at the POI. These upgrades would include erection of a new substation bay dead-end transmission structure and expanding the POI's existing 500 kV substation bay-and-a-half bay with a new circuit breaker. Other activities within the Tesla Substation footprint and/or property boundary may include relocation or modification of existing PG&E infrastructure. Additional potential disturbance acreage associated with PG&E's work to facilitate interconnection of the Project to the grid are not anticipated to exceed 5 additional acres of disturbance beyond the estimates in Table 2.

### 1.6 Operations and Maintenance

Once constructed, the Project would operate 7 days per week, 365 days per year. The facility would be remotely monitored by the original equipment manufacturer or an affiliated company. Project operations would be monitored remotely through the Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition system and by the Project's anticipated three full-time operations staff members.



Batteries within utility-scale BESS facilities degrade with use over time, leading to a loss of capacity. To maintain the Project's capacity in compliance with interconnection requirements and commercial contracts, periodic augmentation by installing new batteries and related equipment within the Project site would occur to maintain the capacity over an approximate 35-year life. Augmentation would include constructing new foundations, installing BESS equipment on the foundations, and completing electrical work within the existing Project footprint. The construction sequencing and equipment usage assumptions in Tables 3 and 4 above, and environmental analyses in subsequent chapters, conservatively assume that all initial BESS equipment and augmentation BESS equipment are constructed at the same time.

## 1.7 Project Site Selection

The Project site and related facilities were selected taking into consideration engineering constraints, site geology, environmental impacts, water, waste and fuel constraints, and electric transmission constraints, among other factors. The Project site was selected in furtherance of the Project objectives detailed in Section 1.3 above.

### 1.8 Report Structure and Key Personnel

This report is divided into five chapters. Following this introduction, Chapter 2 reviews the natural environment and the cultural context. Chapter 3 provides the research and field methods used to complete the inventory and evaluations. The records search, survey results, and tribal correspondence are discussed in Chapter 4. Chapter 5 summarizes the cultural resources work completed for this Project and provides recommendations for further treatment of the cultural resources consistent with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA. Several appendices are attached to this report. Confidential Appendix A includes records search results, Confidential Appendix B contains Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) and tribal coordination documents, and Appendix C provides the California Department of Parks and Recreation (DPR) 523 forms and pertinent documentation for resources encountered during the survey, Appendix D provides the resumes of key personnel.

Gregory Wada, MA and Victoria Martin, MA conducted the intensive pedestrian survey. Nicholas Hanten, MA, drafted archaeological elements of the technical report. Adam Giacinto, MA, RPA, acted as archaeological principal investigator, reviewed management recommendations, and provided quality assurance/quality control for the technical report. Architectural Historian Claire Flanegin, MA, conducted the intensive level survey for the built environment. Patricia Ambacher, MA, and Danielle Baza, BA, prepared all built environment report contributions. Nicholas Hanten, Adam Giacinto, and Patricia Ambacher, and Claire Flanegin meet the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards for archaeology and architectural history and have extensive experience working within local, state, and federal regulatory contexts.

## 1.9 Regulatory Context

This cultural resources investigation was completed to satisfy CEQA and section 106 of the NHPA.

Jurisdiction	LORS	Applicability	Report Reference	Project Conformity
Federal	Section 106, National Historic Preservation Act	Applies if the Project would require federal funding or permitting.	Throughout this report	The Project will require 404 permitting and therefore requires compliance with Section 106.
Federal	National Register of Historic Places	Program used by state and local agencies to identify, evaluate, register, and protect federal resources.	Not applicable	The current study did not identify any cultural resources that meet the Eligibility criteria for listing on the National Register of Historic Places
State	California Register of Historical Resources	Program used by state and local agencies to identify, evaluate, register, and protect California's historical resources.	Not applicable	The current study did not identify any cultural resources that meet the eligibility criteria for listing on the California Register of Historical Resources.
State	California Environmental Quality Act	Requires state and local government agencies to inform decisionmakers and the public about the potential environmental effects of a project and to prevent significant, avoidable environmental impacts to extents feasible.	Throughout this Report	Certification of the Project by the CEC will be required to comply with CEQA as required by the CEC's Opt-In Application process.
State	Assembly Bill 52	Requires lead agencies to consult with Tribal Governments to address Tribal Cultural Resources that may be impacted by a Project.	Not applicable	CEC will be required to complete Government-to- Government consultation pursuant to AB 52 as part of the Opt-In Application process.
State	California Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5	Work shall be halted in the event of human remains discovery.	Section 5.2.2	Section 5.2.2 provides recommendations for compliance with the California Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5.
State	Public Resources Code Section 5097.98	Most Likely Descendant designation following the discovery of human remains determined by the County Coroner to be Native American in origin.	Section 5.2.2	Section 5.2.2 provides recommendations for Mitigation Measure CUL-3 requires compliance with Public Resources Code Section 5097.98.

#### 1.9.1 National Register of Historic Places

The National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) is the United States' official list of districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects worthy of preservation. Overseen by the National Park Service (NPS), under the U.S. Department of the Interior, the NRHP was authorized under the NHPA, as amended. Its listings encompass all National Historic Landmarks, as well as historic areas administered by NPS.

NRHP guidelines for the evaluation of historic significance were developed to be flexible and to recognize the accomplishments of all who have made significant contributions to the nation's history and heritage. Its criteria are designed to guide state and local governments, federal agencies, and others in evaluating potential entries in the NRHP. For a property to be listed in or determined eligible for listing, it must be demonstrated to possess integrity and to meet at least one of the following criteria:

The quality of significance in American history, architecture, archaeology, engineering, and culture is present in districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects that possess integrity of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association, and:

- A. That are associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of our history; or
- B. That are associated with the lives of persons significant in our past; or
- C. That embody the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, or method of construction, or that represent the work of a master, or that possess high artistic values, or that represent a significant and distinguishable entity whose components may lack individual distinction; or
- D. That have yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history.

Integrity is defined in NRHP guidance, How to Apply the National Register Criteria, as "the ability of a property to convey its significance. To be listed in the NRHP, a property must not only be shown to be significant under the NRHP criteria, but it also must have integrity" (NPS 1997). NRHP guidance further asserts that properties must have been completed at least 50 years before evaluation to be considered for eligibility. Properties completed fewer than 50 years before evaluation must be proven to be "exceptionally important" (Criteria Consideration G) to be considered for listing.

A historic property is defined as "any prehistoric or historic district, site, building, structure, or object included in, or eligible for inclusion in, the NRHP maintained by the Secretary of the Interior. This term includes artifacts, records, and remains that are related to and located within such properties. The term includes properties of traditional religious and cultural importance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization and that meet the NRHP criteria" (36 CFR Sections 800.16(i)(1)).

#### 1.9.2 California Register of Historical Resources and CEQA

In California, the term historical resource includes but is not limited to "any object, building, structure, site, area, place, record, or manuscript which is historically or archaeologically significant, or is significant in the architectural, engineering, scientific, economic, agricultural, educational, social, political, military, or cultural annals of California"



(Public Resources Code [PRC] Section 5020.1(j)). In 1992, the California legislature established the California Register of Historical Resources (CRHR) "to be used by state and local agencies, private groups, and citizens to identify the state's historical resources and to indicate what properties are to be protected, to the extent prudent and feasible, from substantial adverse change" (PRC Section 5024.1(a)). The criteria for listing resources on the CRHR were expressly developed to be in accordance with previously established criteria developed for listing in the NRHP, enumerated below. According to PRC Section 5024.1(c)(1-4), a resource is considered historically significant if it (i) retains "substantial integrity," and (ii) meets at least one of the following criteria:

- Is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of California's history and cultural heritage
- Is associated with the lives of persons important in our past
- Embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction, or represents the work of an important creative individual, or possesses high artistic values
- Has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in prehistory or history

To understand the historic importance of a resource, sufficient time must have passed to obtain a scholarly perspective on the events or individuals associated with the resource. A resource less than 50 years old may be considered for listing in the CRHR if it can be demonstrated that sufficient time has passed to understand its historical importance (see CCR, Title 14, Section 4852(d)(2)).

The CRHR protects cultural resources by requiring evaluations of the significance of prehistoric and historic resources. The criteria for the CRHR are nearly identical to those for the NRHP and properties listed or formally designated as eligible for listing in the NRHP are automatically listed in the CRHR, as are the state landmarks (numbered 770 and higher) and points of historical interest designated by the State Historical Resources Commission. The CRHR also includes properties designated under local ordinances or identified through local historical resource surveys.

### 1.9.3 California Environmental Quality Act

As described further below, the following CEQA statutes and CEQA Guidelines are of relevance to the analysis of archaeological, historic, and tribal cultural resources:

- PRC Section 21083.2(g) defines "unique archaeological resource."
- PRC Section 21084.1 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(a) defines "historical resources." In addition, CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(b) defines the phrase "substantial adverse change in the significance of an historical resource"; it also defines the circumstances when a project would materially impair the significance of an historical resource.
- PRC Section 21074(a) defines "tribal cultural resources."
- PRC Section 5097.98 and CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(e): Set forth standards and steps to be employed following the accidental discovery of human remains in any location other than a dedicated ceremony.
- PRC Sections 21083.2(b)-(c) and CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.4: Provide information regarding the mitigation framework for archaeological and historic resources, including examples of preservation-in-place



mitigation measures; preservation-in-place is the preferred manner of mitigating impacts to significant archaeological sites because it maintains the relationship between artifacts and the archaeological context, and may also help avoid conflict with religious or cultural values of groups associated with the archaeological site(s).

More specifically, under CEQA, a project may have a significant effect on the environment if it may cause "a substantial adverse change in the significance of an historical resource" (PRC Section 21084.1; CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(b)). If a site is either listed or eligible for listing in the CRHR, or if it is included in a local register of historic resources or identified as significant in a historical resources survey (meeting the requirements of PRC Section 5024.1(q)), it is a "historical resource" and is presumed to be historically or culturally significant for purposes of CEQA (PRC Section 21084.1; CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(a)). The lead agency is not precluded from determining that a resource is a historical resource even if it does not fall within this presumption (PRC Section 21084.1; CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(a)).

A "substantial adverse change in the significance of an historical resource" reflecting a significant effect under CEQA means "physical demolition, destruction, relocation, or alteration of the resource or its immediate surroundings such that the significance of an historical resource would be materially impaired" (Section 15064.5(b)(1)). In turn, the significance of a historical resource is materially impaired when a project:

- Demolishes or materially alters in an adverse manner those physical characteristics of a historical resource that convey its historical significance and that justify its inclusion in, or eligibility for, inclusion in the California Register
- Demolishes or materially alters in an adverse manner those physical characteristics that account for its inclusion in a local register of historical resources pursuant to Section 5020.1(k) of the PRC or its identification in a historical resources survey meeting the requirements of Section 5024.1(g) of the PRC, unless the public agency reviewing the effects of the project establishes by a preponderance of evidence that the resource is not historically or culturally significant
- Demolishes or materially alters in an adverse manner those physical characteristics of a historical resource that convey its historical significance and that justify its eligibility for inclusion in the California Register as determined by a lead agency for purposes of CEQA Section 15064.5(b)(2)

Pursuant to these sections, the CEQA inquiry begins with evaluating whether a project site contains any "historical resources," then evaluates whether that project will cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource such that the resource's historical significance is materially impaired.

If it can be demonstrated that a project will cause damage to a unique archaeological resource, the lead agency may require reasonable efforts be made to permit any or all of these resources to be preserved in place or left in an undisturbed state. To the extent that they cannot be left undisturbed, mitigation measures are required (Section 21083.2[a], [b], and [c]).

Section 21083.2(g) defines a unique archaeological resource as an archaeological artifact, object, or site about which it can be clearly demonstrated that without merely adding to the current body of knowledge, there is a high probability that it meets any of the following criteria:

- Contains information needed to answer important scientific research questions and that there is a demonstrable public interest in that information
- Has a special and particular quality such as being the oldest of its type or the best available example of its type
- Is directly associated with a scientifically recognized important prehistoric or historic event or person

Impacts to non-unique archaeological resources are generally not considered a significant environmental impact (PRC Section 21083.2(a); CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(c)(4)). However, if a non-unique archaeological resource qualifies as tribal cultural resource (PRC 21074(c); 21083.2(h)), further consideration of significant impacts is required.

CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5 assigns special importance to human remains and specifies procedures to be used when Native American remains are discovered. As described below, these procedures are detailed in PRC Section 5097.98.

#### 1.9.4 California Health and Safety Code

California law protects Native American burials, skeletal remains, and associated grave goods, regardless of their antiquity, and provides for the sensitive treatment and disposition of those remains. Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 requires that if human remains are discovered in any place other than a dedicated cemetery, no further disturbance or excavation of the site or nearby area reasonably suspected to contain human remains shall occur until the County coroner has examined the remains (Section 7050.5b). PRC Section 5097.98 also outlines the process to be followed in the event that remains are discovered. If the coroner determines or has reason to believe the remains are those of a Native American, the coroner must contact the NAHC within 24 hours (Section 7050.5c). The NAHC will notify the most likely descendant (MLD). With the permission of the landowner, the MLD may inspect the site of discovery. Recommendations from the tribe must be provided within 48 hours of notification of the MLD by the NAHC. The MLD may recommend means of treating or disposing of, with appropriate dignity, the human remains and items associated with Native Americans.

#### 1.9.5 Assembly Bill 205 and 20 California Code of Regulations Division 2 Chapter 5

Assembly Bill (AB) 205 broadened the California Energy Commission's authority to oversee the permitting of clean and renewable energy facilities, including energy storage systems. Known as the Opt-In Certification Program, this permitting process offers applicants an optional pathway to submit a project application and facilities faster development of renewable energy technologies. Under AB 205, the California Energy Commission is the lead CEQA agency.

The 20 California Code of Regulations (CCR) Division 2 Chapter 5 establishes the regulatory framework for power plant site certification. Appendix B establishes the requirements for an Application for Certification or Small Power Plant Exemption. Title 20 of CCR Division 2, Chapter 5, Appendix B(g)(2), outlines the documentation requirements for cultural and tribal cultural resources:
#### (2) Cultural and Tribal Cultural Resources

Cultural resources and tribal cultural resources together comprise objects, buildings, structures, sites, features, areas, places, records, sacred places, cultural landscapes, or manuscripts, all of which may have significance according to criteria outlined in sections 21074 and 21084.2 of the Public Resources Code.

(A) A summary of the ethnology, prehistory, and history of the region with emphasis on the area within no more than a 5-mile radius of the project location. This regional summary must address the potential for buried cultural resources and tribal cultural resources to occur in the project area. The summary, together with literature search results, must inform the field methods employed for identifying cultural resources and tribal cultural resources in the project area.

(B) The results of a literature search to identify cultural resources and tribal cultural resources within an area not less than a 1-mile radius around the project site and not less that than 0.25-mile on each side of the linear facilities. Identify any cultural resources or tribal cultural resources listed pursuant to ordinance by a city or county or recognized by any local historical or archaeological society or museum. Literature searches to identify the above cultural resources and tribal cultural resources must be completed by, or under the direction of, individuals who meet the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Standards for the technical area addressed.

Copies of DPR 523 forms (Title 14 CCR § 4853) shall be provided for all cultural resources and tribal cultural resources (ethnographic, architectural, historical, and archaeological) identified in the literature search as being 45 years or older or of exceptional importance as defined in the National Register Bulletin Guidelines (36CFR60.4(g)). A copy of the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) 7. 5-minute quadrangle map of the literature search area delineating the areas of all past surveys and noting the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) identifying number shall be provided. Copies also shall be provided of all technical reports whose survey coverage is wholly or partly within 0.25-mile of the area surveyed for the project under Section (g)(2)(C), or which report on any archaeological excavations or architectural surveys within the literature search area.

(C) The results of new cultural resource and tribal cultural resource surveys or surveys less than five years old shall be provided if survey records of the area potentially affected by the project are more than five years old. Surveys to identify new cultural resources and tribal cultural resources must be completed by (or under the direction of) individuals who meet the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Standards for the technical area addressed.

New pedestrian archaeological surveys shall be conducted inclusive of the project site and project linear facility routes, extending to no less than 200 feet around the project site, substations and staging areas, and to no less than 50 feet to either side of the right-of-way of project linear facility routes. New historic architecture field surveys in rural areas shall be conducted inclusive of the project site and the project linear facility routes, extending no less than 0.5 mile out from the proposed plant site and from the routes of all above-ground linear facilities. New historic architecture field surveys in areas shall be conducted inclusive of the project site, extending no less than one parcel's distance from all proposed plant site boundaries. New



historic architecture field reconnaissance ("windshield survey") in urban and suburban areas shall be conducted along the routes of all linear facilities to identify, inventory, and characterize structures and districts that appear to be older than 45 years or that are exceptionally significant, whatever their age.

A technical report of the results of the new surveys, conforming to the Archaeological Resource Management Report format (California Office of Historic Preservation February 1990), which is incorporated by reference in its entirety, shall be separately provided and submitted (under confidential cover if archaeological resource or other sensitive resource locations are included). Information included in the technical report shall also be provided in the application, except that confidential information (archaeological sites, other sensitive resources, or areas of religious significance) shall be submitted under a request for confidentiality pursuant to Title 20, CCR, § 2501 et seq. At a minimum, the technical report shall include the following:

(i) The summary from Appendix B (g)(2)(A) and the literature search results from Appendix B (g)(2)(B).

(ii) The survey procedures and methodology used to identify cultural and tribal cultural resources and a discussion of the cultural and tribal cultural resources identified by the survey.

(iii) Copies of all new and updated DPR 523(A) forms. If a cultural resource or tribal cultural resource may be impacted by the project, also include the appropriate DPR 523 detail form for each such resource.

(iv) A map at a scale of 1:24,000 (USGS topographic quadrangle) depicting the locations of all previously known and newly identified cultural and tribal cultural resources compiled through the research required by Appendix B (g)(2)(B) and Appendix B (g)(2)(C) (ii).

(v) The names and qualifications of the cultural resources specialists who contributed to and were responsible for literature searches, surveys, and preparation of the technical report.

(D) Provide:

(i) A copy of the applicant's request to the NAHC for information on Native American sacred sites and lists of Native Americans interested in the project vicinity, and copies of any correspondence received from the NAHC.

(ii) A copy of all correspondence sent to Native American individuals and groups listed by the NAHC and copies of all responses. Notification to Native Americans shall include a project description and map.

(iii) A written summary of any oral responses.

(E) Include in the discussion of proposed mitigation measures required by subdivision (g)(1):

(i) A discussion of measures proposed to mitigate project impacts to known cultural and tribal cultural resources;

(ii) A set of contingency measures proposed to mitigate potential impacts to previously unknown cultural and tribal cultural resources and any unanticipated impacts to known cultural or tribal cultural resources;

(iii) Educational programs to enhance employee awareness during construction and operation to protect cultural and tribal cultural resources.

#### 1.9.6 Alameda County Register

The Alameda County Register is a list of landmarks, historic preservation districts, contributing resources, and structures of merit. A resource eligible for listing on the Alameda County Register must meet one or more of the following criteria:

- a. It is associated with events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of the history of the County, the region, the state or the nation
- b. It is associated with the lives of persons significant in the County's past
- c. It embodies the distinctive characteristics of a type, period or method of construction
- d. It represents the work of an important creative individual or master
- e. It possesses high artistic values
- f. It has yielded, or may be likely to yield, information important in the prehistory or history of the County, the region, the state or the nation

The resource must have integrity of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, and association. Integrity shall be judged with reference to the particular criterion or criteria specified above (Alameda County 2024a).

# 1.10 Area of Potential Effects

The area of potential effects (APE) is the study area delineated to assess potential impacts from the construction and operation of the Project on both archaeological and historic built environment resources. The APE encompasses the geographic area or areas within which the Project may directly or indirectly cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a known or unknown historical resource. A substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource means physical demolition, destruction, relocation, or alteration of the resource or its immediate surroundings such that the significance of the resource is materially impaired (14 CCR Section 15064.5[b][1]). Under CEQA, material impairment of a historical resource is considered a significant impact (or effect), which can be direct, indirect, or cumulative.<sup>1</sup>

A direct or primary effect on a historical resource is one that is caused by the Project and occurs at the same time and place (14 CCR Section 15358[a][1]). Examples of direct effects that are caused by, and immediately related

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As used in the CEQA Guidelines and 14 CCR Section 15358, the terms "effects" and "impacts" are synonymous in this report.

to, the Project include, but are not limited to, demolition, destruction, relocation, and alteration of a historical resource as a result of ground disturbance and other construction activities. Direct effects, however, are not limited to physical effects and, in certain circumstances, can be visual, vibratory, auditory, or atmospheric in nature if the effect is immediate and it results in the material impairment of the significance of a historical resource. Visual intrusions within the viewshed of a historical resource, for example, could result in the material impairment of the resource's integrity of setting if an unencumbered view of the surrounding area or a specific area is a characteristic that contributes to the significance of the resource. Similarly, operational noise that exceeds the ambient level of a sensitive noise receptor can cause material impairment to a historical resource that derives part or all its significance from an inherently quiet auditory setting.<sup>2</sup> Finally, atmospheric intrusions, such as those caused by the introduction of high levels of fugitive dust emissions or chemical pollutants, can result in adverse effects that directly and physically affect biological landscape features that have been identified as historical resources for the purposes of CEQA. Overall, while direct effects clearly include physical effects, they may also include other types of effects that are visual, vibratory, auditory, or atmospheric in nature if the effect is caused by and occurs at the same time and place as the Project and there is no other intervening cause between the activities or components of the Project and the historical resource.

By contrast, an indirect or secondary effect is a reasonably foreseeable effect caused by the Project that occurs later in time or is farther removed in distance. Indirect effects may include growth-inducing effects and other effects related to induced changes in the pattern of land use, population density, or growth rate, and related effects on air and water and other natural systems, including ecosystems (14 CCR Section 15358[a][2]). Because these types of effects are not immediately related to the Project, they are considered secondary effects.

Cumulative impacts refer to two or more individual effects that, when considered together, are considerable or compound or increase other environmental impacts. The individual effects may be changes resulting from a single project or a number of separate projects. The cumulative impact from several projects is the change in the environment that results from the incremental impact of the Project when added to other closely related past, present, and reasonably foreseeable probable future projects. Cumulative impacts can result from individually minor but collectively significant projects taking place over a period of time (14 CCR Section 15355[a]-[b]). The APE for cumulative impacts, if any exist, would include the APE for direct effects, indirect effects, or both because in order for a cumulative impact to exist, a historical resource must first be directly or indirectly affected by the project.

The APE for cultural resources is illustrated on Figure 3, Cultural Resources Area of Potential Effects. Explanation of the APE considerations for archaeological resources and built environment resources is noted below.

#### Archaeological Resources APE Delineation

The APE for archaeological resources includes all areas where ground-disturbing activities associated with the proposed Project have the potential to impact archaeological resources. Proposed ground-disturbing activities with potential impacts to archaeological resources within the APE are limited to the extent of the Project boundary. The Project APE is approximately 127.4 acres and consists of the primary Project site as well as selected off-site activity

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Construction noise that exceeds the ambient level of a sensitive noise receptor is not analyzed because it is considered a temporary impact that would not have an adverse effect on historical resources because it would not cause physical damage and would not permanently alter or diminish the integrity of such resources. Temporary construction noise would not result in a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource and, therefore, would not cause a significant impact under CEQA.



areas along roadways and intersections that would require recontouring of existing disturbed areas to accommodate truck turning movements. The maximum vertical extent of the APE is not expected to exceed 15 feet in depth.

#### **Built Environment APE Delineation**

Delineation of the APE considered the proposed Project activities in conjunction with historic-era built resources that are 45 years of age or older (those built in or prior to 1979) that may sustain impacts or effects due to the construction or operation of the Project. The Project proposes to connect to the existing PG&E Tesla Substation (P-01-010502). As such, the extent of this property is included in the APE and this facility is addressed in this report. The transmission lines, buildings, and all other built environment resources within the 0.5-mile study area required under Appendix B will not sustain any direct or indirect impacts that would result in damage, destruction, or degradation of historic significance, if applicable. As such, these structures and buildings were not included in the APE.

#### Section 106 APE Delineation

The federal APE for the purposes of compliance with Section 106 of the NHPA consists of all portions of the aforementioned Archaeological and Built Environment APE that fall within 200 feet of U.S. Army Corp of Engineers jurisdictional waters.



SOURCE: Bing Maps 2023

A 0 250 500 Feet FIGURE 2 Project Site Potentia-Viridi BESS Project CULTURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY AND EVALUATION REPORT / POTENTIA VIRIDI BESS PROJECT, ALAMEDA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



SOURCE: Bing Maps 2023, County of Alameda 2022

580 Feet FIGURE 3 Cultural Resources Area of Potential Effects Potentia Viridi BESS Project CULTURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY AND EVALUATION REPORT / POTENTIA VIRIDI BESS PROJECT, ALAMEDA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# 2 Project Context

# 2.1 Environmental Context

The Project falls at the transition between the Coast Ranges and Great Valley geomorphic provinces at the margin of the Sacramento Valley. Portions of the APE have been substantially modified by construction roads and agricultural activities. The Sacramento Valley has two major river systems, the Sacramento and American Rivers, which carry water that originates in the Sierra Nevada south and west into the Sacramento–San Joaquin River Delta. Elevation in the APE ranges from approximately 380 to 523 feet above mean sea level and the topography of the APE is flat.

This region is characterized by a Mediterranean climate, which includes dry hot summers and cool wet winters. The region within which the Project area occurs receives an average of about 12 inches of precipitation annually. Annual temperatures range from 38.3°F to 92.6°F, with the coolest temperatures in January and warmest temperatures in July (WRCC 2023).

# 2.2 Cultural Context

This context provides an overview of the prehistoric and historic periods in the APE. This context is based on the 2021 Archaeological Resources Inventory Report for the Delta Dams Delta Dams Rodent Burrow Remediation Project at Dyer Reservoir and Dam and Patterson Reservoir and Dam prepared by Dudek (Dudek 2021).

# 2.2.1 Paleoindian Period (11,550–8550 BC)

While few sites of Paleoindian age have been identified in the region, occupation is known to date to at least 11,000 years ago (Dudek 2021: 16). Most of the evidence for a Paleoindian presence in the valley has been limited to surface finds of fluted projectile points, which are typically regarded by North American archaeologists as late Pleistocene/early Holocene time markers. Numerous specimens of these fluted, concave base (Clovis or "Clovis-like") projectile points and other artifacts presumed to be Paleoindian in age (e.g., "humpies" and crescents) (Dudek 2021: 16) have been collected from surface contexts in several locations in the San Joaquin Valley. Unfortunately, most of these discoveries have been made by amateur collectors, many of whom were collecting illegally, so virtually no provenance has been provided for these artifacts. This has resulted in an enormous and irretrievable loss of data for understanding the Paleoindian Period in this region.

One of the most significant Paleoindian locations in this region is the Witt Site (CA-KIN-32) on the southwest shore of Tulare Lake, which contained fluted projectile points, scrapers, crescents, and Lake Mojave series points (Dudek 2021: 16). The Witt Site, at an elevation of 192 feet, signifies a "major lake level for a considerable span of time" (Dudek 2021: 16). Subsequent archaeological investigations conducted in the early 1990s near the Witt Site resulted in the recovery of additional fluted projectile points, as well as later types, indicating sustained occupation of the Tulare Lake Basin dating from the Paleoindian Period to contact (Dudek 2021: 16). These and other isolated finds elsewhere indicate an initial occupation of the region at the end of the Pleistocene and early Holocene.



# 2.2.2 Archaic Period (8550 BC to AD 1100)

The Archaic Period in California is generally characterized by gradual development of specific regional adaptations and the proliferation and regional differentiation of subsistence strategies and tool types as people became increasingly sedentary, or at least reoccupied a greater number of locations with greater frequency, resulting in the formation of a larger number of regionally or functionally distinct sites. The Archaic Period in the California chronology is subdivided into three phases: Lower Archaic, Middle Archaic, and Upper Archaic.

#### 2.2.2.1 Lower Archaic Period (8550 to 5550 BC)

As with the Paleoindian Period, Lower Archaic deposits tend to be isolated finds lacking stratigraphic context. Stemmed projectile points, flaked stone crescents, and other distinctive flaked stone artifact types are associated with this period, several of which have been found in the vicinity of Tulare Lake (Dudek 2021: 16). It is believed that human subsistence during this period was based largely on the hunting of large game and fishing (Dudek 2021: 16). Grinding implements, such as mortars, pestles, milling stones, and hand stones, appear infrequently during this time in the archaeological record. Other types of artifacts in these assemblages include hand-molded baked clay net weights, Olivella and Haliotis shell beads and ornaments, and charm stones.

#### 2.2.2.2 Middle Archaic Period (5550 to 550 BC)

The onset of the Middle Archaic in Central California marked a substantial change in the climate, with warmer, drier conditions resulting in the shrinking and eventual drying out of the lakes of the San Joaquin Valley, a phenomenon common among other Pleistocene Lakes throughout the Western United States during this time. This also coincided with the formation of new wetland habitats as rising sea levels pushed inland, forming the Sacramento–San Joaquin River Delta (Delta). These climatic processes resulted in substantially more stable landforms as fans and floodplains stabilized within the Delta, making buried Middle Archaic deposits much more common than those from the Early Archaic.

Middle Archaic sites are typified by the distinct adaptive pattern of more generalized and logistically organized subsistence practices and residential stability along river corridors (Dudek 2021: 17). While hunting, fowling, and fishing continue to be important aspects of subsistence, the prevalence of ground stone tools, including early examples of mortars and pestles, suggest an increased reliance on vegetal resources, likely the result of greater residential stability driving resource intensification (Dudek 2021: 17). The continued importance of fishing is indicative in the adoption of new fishing technologies, including gorge hooks, composite bone hooks, and spears, along with abundant ichthyofaunal remains, identified at Middle Archaic sites in Contra Costa, Sacramento, and San Joaquin Counties (Dudek 2021: 17). Other artifact types characteristic of the period include Olivella and Haliotis beads and other ornaments, distinctive spindle-shaped charm stones, cobble mortars, chisel-ended pestles, and large projectile points (implying use of the atlatl) (Dudek 2021: 17).

#### 2.2.2.3 Upper Archaic Period (550 BC to AD 1100)

The transition to the Upper Archaic Period coincides with the onset of late Holocene environmental conditions, during which time the climate was markedly cooler, wetter, and more stable. The archaeological record from the Upper Archaic is better understood and represented, and is marked by an increase in cultural diversity, with



numerous regional distinctions in burial posture, artifact styles, and other elements of material culture (Dudek 2021: 17).

The Upper Archaic record is marked by the development and proliferation of numerous bone tools and implements, as well as widespread production and trade of manufactured goods, including Olivella shell beads, Haliotis ornaments, and obsidian bifacial roughouts and ceremonial blades (Dudek 2021: 17). Subsistence economies during the Upper Archaic focused on seasonally structured resources that could be harvested and processed in bulk, including acorns, salmon, shellfish, deer, and rabbits. The proliferation of mortars and pestles and archaeobotanical remains indicate that the first widespread reliance on acorns occurred during this period (Dudek 2021: 17). Large, mounded village sites also first occurred in the Delta region during this period (Dudek 2021: 17).

On the whole, the Archaic Period is characterized by increasing residential stability, cultural diversity, and subsistence intensification though time.

#### 2.2.3 Emergent Period (AD 1100 to 1750)

The archaeological record for the Emergent Period is the most substantial and well-documented of any period in the region, and the assemblages and adaptations represented therein are the most diverse. The Emergent Period also marks the onset of cultural traditions consistent with those documented at European contact and the disappearance of several previous archaeological traditions. Large villages developed in areas of the Sacramento Valley, and the number of mound villages and smaller hamlets increased across the region. Subsistence economies during the Emergent Period were increasingly reliant on fishing and plant gathering, with increased subsistence intensification evident in the increased reliance on small seeds and a more diverse assortment of mammals and birds (Dudek 2021: 18). Perhaps the most notable technological change during the Emergent Period is the introduction of the bow and arrow, which replaced atlatl technology as the favored hunting implement sometime between AD 1100 and AD 1300 (Dudek 2021: 18). The material record during the Emergent Period is also marked by the introduction of new Olivella bead and Haliotis ornament types, and eventually the introduction of Clamshell Disk beads (Dudek 2021: 18). The Emergent Period in general is marked by an increase in population size and the number of residential sites and villages throughout the region, with increasing regional variability and resource intensification.

#### 2.2.3.1 Ethnohistoric Period (post-AD 1750)

The history of Native American communities prior to the mid-1700s has largely been reconstructed through later Mission-Period and early ethnographic accounts. The first records of the Native American inhabitants of the region come predominantly from European merchants, missionaries, military personnel, and explorers. These brief, and generally peripheral, accounts were prepared with the intent of furthering respective colonial and economic aims and were combined with observations of the landscape. They were not intended to be unbiased accounts regarding the cultural structures and community practices of the newly encountered cultural groups. The establishment of the missions in the region brought more extensive documentation of Native American communities, though these groups did not become the focus of formal and in-depth ethnographic study until the early twentieth century. The principal intent of these researchers was to record the pre-contact, culturally specific practices, ideologies, and languages that had survived the destabilizing effects of missionization and colonialism. This research, often understood as "salvage ethnography," was driven by the understanding that traditional knowledge was being lost



due to the impacts of modernization and cultural assimilation. Alfred Kroeber applied his "memory culture" approach (Dudek 2021: 18) by recording languages and oral histories within the region.

Based on ethnographic information, it is believed that at least 88 different languages were spoken from Baja California Sur to the southern Oregon state border at the time of Spanish contact (Dudek 2021: 18). The distribution of recorded Native American languages has been dispersed as a geographic mosaic across California through six primary language families (Dudek 2021: 18).

Victor Golla has contended that one can interpret the amount of variability within specific language groups as being associated with the relative "time depth" of the speaking populations (Dudek 2021: 18). A large amount of variation within the language of a group represents a greater time depth than a group's language with less internal diversity. One method that he has employed is by drawing comparisons with historically documented changes in Germanic and Romantic language groups. Victor Golla has observed that the "absolute chronology of the internal diversification within a language family" can be correlated with archaeological dates (Dudek 2021: 18). This type of interpretation is modeled on concepts of genetic drift and gene flows that are associated with migration and population isolation in the biological sciences.

The APE falls near the northwestern periphery of the area occupied Yokuts speaking groups, bordered to the west by Costanoan (Ohlone) and to the north by Plains Miwok populations during the Ethnohistoric Period. These three languages form a branch ("Yok-Utian") of the Penutian linguistic group, with two distinct sub-branches: (1) Yokuts and (2) the more closely related Costanoan and Miwok ("Utian") (Dudek 2021: 19). The Yok-Utian language group is believed to have originated in the Great Basin and been subsequently brought to California in two separate migration events, an initial Utian migration that reached the Delta region approximately 2500 to 2000 cal BC, and a later Yokut migration, possibly as late as 600 to 700 cal AD (Dudek 2021: 19). Kroeber's interpretation of the ethnographic distribution of the major sub-dialects of the Yokut language suggests that the original diversification of Yokut-speaking groups in California originated in the southern San Joaquin Valley and subsequently spread northward (Dudek 2021: 19). Golla notes that the most specialized subdialects of Yokut, and thus presumably the oldest variants, are from the southern end of Yokut territory, suggesting that the Yokut language group likely originated in the vicinity of the Lower Kern River or TehachAPE Pass, with the language diversifying as it spread north along the San Joaquin Valley and southern Sierra Nevada foothills (Dudek 2021: 19).

#### 2.2.3.1.1 Northern Valley Yokuts

Ethnohistoric inhabitants of the area now representing the Project site would have likely spoken Tamukamne, a dialect of Delta (or Far Northern Valley) Yokuts centered approximately 15 miles west of Lathrop (Dudek 2021: 19). People speaking Delta Yokuts dialects occupied the lower course of the San Joaquin River from the Merced River east of Newman to the Delta sloughs north of Stockton. Little is known about Tamukamne, or any Delta Yokuts dialects, due to the effects of early missionization activities and Euro-American settlement, with the only linguistic documentation coming from late nineteenth- and early twentieth-century word lists. Despite the paucity of linguistic data, it appears that these dialects can be classified as Valley Yokuts on phonological and morphological grounds, though several portions of the language are non-cognate with other Yokuts dialects and word borrowing from the adjacent Miwok and Costanoan languages is evident (Dudek 2021: 19). The similarity between Delta Yokuts and Valley Yokuts has generally led to the grouping of Delta Yokuts with the Northern Valley Yokuts in ethnographic works describing the ethnographic lifeways of the region.



Broadly defined, Northern Valley Yokuts refers to groups speaking several distinct dialects who inhabited the lower San Joaquin River watershed and its tributaries extending from Calaveras River in the north to approximately the large bend of San Joaquin River eastward near Mendota. The lower San Joaquin River meanders through the territory making bends, sloughs, and marshes full of tule reeds. Farther from the rivers and marshes, the valley floor would have been dry and sparely vegetated grassland with occasional stands of sycamores, cottonwoods, and willows along stream courses and groves of valley oaks where the soil was rich enough (Dudek 2021: 19). In contrast to the limited diversity of available plants, the fauna of the region was both plentiful and diverse on land and water. Fish, freshwater shellfish, migratory waterfowl, tule elk, pronghorn antelopes, and other smaller animals would all have been available and often seasonally abundant.

Northern Valley Yokuts habitation was most common and dense in areas situated in proximity to rivers and major tributaries, though the drier plains were occupied at lower density, more often on the east side of the river (Dudek 2021: 19). West of the river, populations were much sparser and concentrated in the foothills on minor waterways. The concentration of the population near waterways is unsurprising given that many of the Northern Yokuts subsistence staples, particularly fish and waterfowl, would have been most available in these areas. The focus on fishing is seen in the material culture consisting of net sinkers and harpoons, likely used from rafts constructed from tule reed bundles (Dudek 2021: 20). Gathered vegetable resources would also have been an important part of subsistence, particularly acorns, although tule roots and various seeds were also gathered. These vegetal resources would likely have been processed in portable mortars—often made from white oak, although stone mortars were occasionally used (Dudek 2021: 20).

Due to abundant riverine resources, the Northern Valley Yokuts were generally sedentary, occupying the same locations year-round, though there were times of seasonal disbandment for harvesting wild plant resources such as acorns and seeds (Dudek 2021: 20). Principal settlements were perched atop low mounds on or near riverbanks, where their elevated position prevented inundation during seasonal flooding (Dudek 2021: 20). Northern Valley Yokuts' dwellings were constructed of tule reed woven mats places over a pole frame oval or round structure. These structures were usually 25 to 40 feet in diameter and would belong to a single family (Dudek 2021: 20). This is in contrast to the larger multifamily dwellings erected sometimes by the Southern Yokuts. In addition to dwellings, earth-covered ceremonial sweat lodges and larger ceremonial assembly chambers were constructed, with each community likely having one or more of these buildings (Dudek 2021: 20).

As with most aspects of their lifeways, little can be said for certain about the political organization among the Northern Valley Yokuts, but it is believed that these groups were organized into tribes of as many as 300 individuals, guided by a headman or chief (Dudek 2021: 20). Most members of the tribe congregated in a single principal settlement, although smaller hamlets of two or three houses also existed.

Based on the information about population density and settlement distribution, it is possible to conjecture that the total population of the Northern Valley Yokuts may have been quite large prior to European contact. However, the Northern Valley Yokut population saw sharp and devastating decline from disease and relocation to coastal missions nearly immediately after Spanish contact (Dudek 2021: 20). This only increased with the large influx of cattle ranching and Anglo Americans after the gold rush (Dudek 2021: 20).



#### 2.2.3.1.2 Costanoan (Ohlone) and Plains Miwok

As alluded to above, while the Project site is within area occupied ethnographically by the Delta Yokuts, the location is very close to the ethnographic borders with neighboring Costanoan-speaking groups to the west and Plains Miwok groups to the north. The Yokuts occupants of the area would likely have had frequent contact with these other groups, and therefore a brief description of these other groups is warranted.

#### Costanoan (Ohlone)

The area immediately west of the Project site was occupied by Ohlone groups that spoke a dialect of Costanoan (Dudek 2021: 20). Costanoan-speaking groups occupied much of the Bay Area, from the San Francisco and San Ramone down to the Monterey Bay and Salinas. Due the effects of missionization, relatively little is known about the Ohlone ethnographically and their material culture has largely been reconstructed from the archaeological record. Like their neighboring Yokuts, Ohlone communities were generally organized into autonomous tribelets of 200 to 400 people, which were overseen by a headman and council of elders, and occupied villages near the coast or major drainages (Dudek 2021: 21). These primary settlements were supplemented with temporary camps located in prime resource-collecting areas.

Subsistence practices were similar to those of the Yokuts, with a heavy reliance on terrestrial vegetal food sources including acorn, nuts, seeds, greens, and bulbs. The Ohlone also pursued terrestrial game including deer, pronghorn, tule elk, rabbit, and waterfowl. Whereas the Yokuts relied heavily on riverine resources, marine resources made up an important component of the Ohlone diet, in particular shellfish and sea mammals. The Ohlone traded shell ornaments, animal furs, salt, shellfish, and other items with neighboring Miwok, Yokuts, and Patwin for bows and arrows, basketry materials, pigments, and feather blankets (Dudek 2021: 21). Thus, it is likely that the area around the Project site would have been frequently traversed by both Yokuts and Ohlone groups in pursuit of trading partners.

#### **Plains Miwok**

The area to the north of the Project site would have been occupied by the Plains Miwok-speaking groups who inhabited the region of the lower Mokelumne and Cosumnes Rivers, roughly bounded by the Yolo Basin to the west, the American River to the north, the Sierra Nevada to the east, and the Calaveras River to the south. These groups were similar to the Yokuts in their subsistence settlement system with settlements situated on rivers, and a reliance on fishing, hunting, and collecting vegetative resources. These groups may have been more logistically mobile than the Yokuts, with satellite sites used during hunting excursions and for pre-processing of collected plant resources, such as acorns; however, the primary settlements were likely permanently occupied (Dudek 2021: 21). As with the Yokuts, the Plains Miwok were organized into tribelets, although the basic social unit was the patrilineal extended family. The Plains Miwok are somewhat better documented ethnographically than either the Yokuts or Ohlone, though not nearly as well documented as the Eastern Miwok groups of the Sierra Nevada foothills.

In general, the ethnographic groups surrounding Project site shared very similar subsistence and settlement systems relying on intensive processing of vegetal resources in addition to a reliance on riverine or marine resources when plentiful. However, dialects and other social practices did vary in non-trivial ways. Unfortunately, the effects of missionization have made more detailed reconstruction of the lifeways and social practices of ethnographic period inhabitants of the region very difficult.



#### 2.2.4 Historic Context

This historic context is provided to ascertain the significant themes present, which are used to understand any identified previously recorded or newly documented resources in the APE.

#### 2.2.4.1 Spanish Period (1769–1822)

As early as 1769, the Spanish explorer José Francisco Ortega led an expedition through present-day Alameda County. Other Spanish expeditions in the region were led by Pedro Fages, Juan Bautista de Anza, and Pedro Font. During this period, the Spanish began to set up a series of missions and presidios along the California coast, including Mision del Gloriosisimo Patriarca Senor San Jose (currently known as Mission San Jose), located just south of San Jose and approximately 23 miles to the west of the study area. The presidios served as military forts for protection from competing interests and the native population. Once the missions and presidios were established, the government set up secular towns (pueblos) nearby and populated them with colonists from Mexico. The missions served as agricultural and educational centers for the surrounding rural area (AECOM 2011: 11).

#### 2.2.4.2 Mexican Period (1822–1848)

In 1822, Mexico gained independence from Spain. The mission system was secularized in 1834 and Mexico granted large tracts of land to individuals and families, resulting in the rancho system becoming more widely established throughout present-day California. Vast tracts of land granted in the vicinity of the study area included the 8,880-acre Rancho Las Positas (located west of the study area), which then Mexican Governor Juan Alvarado granted to Robert Livermore and Jose Noriega in 1839, and Rancho de Los Medranos granted to John Marsh and located north and west of the study area along the San Joaquin River and Suisun Bay in present-day Antioch. Rancho El Pescadero, patented by Andres Pico and H.M. Nagle in 1865, was located to the northeast of the study area in what is now San Joaquin County. Cattle ranching dominated other agricultural activities and the development of the hide and tallow trade with the United States increased during the early part of this period (AECOM 2011: 11).

In 1848, the United States defeated Mexico in the Mexican-American War, and Mexico surrendered its Alta California land through the Treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo. That same year, the Gold Rush brought hundreds of immigrants to the state and San Francisco Bay became one of the world's busiest seaports, dominating shipping and transportation in the American West until the last years of the nineteenth century. Attracted by the fertile land and mild climate of the Bay Area, many miners chose to stay to start a new life. The region, including the study area, soon became one of the leading agricultural hubs of California, with agriculture, dairy farming, and livestock grazing serving as the principal industries of the period. The bay's regional importance only increased once the transcontinental railroad reached its western terminus in Alameda on September 6, 1869 (AECOM 2011: 11).

#### 2.2.4.3 American Period (Post-1848)

Early in 1853, Alameda County separated from Contra Costa County and, in June of that year, the eastern part of the county was established and named Murray Township for an early settler, Michael Murray. Alameda County was composed of five townships—including Murray Township—where, especially in the Livermore Valley, settlement increased, and the big ranchos began to be broken up after 1850. Complicated rules and laws pertaining to rancho ownership also contributed to the decline of the rancho and by the late nineteenth century, very few ranchos



remained intact as settlers moved into the surrounding towns and hamlets. In the hills where the Project is located and surrounding areas, the typical parcel ranged from a quarter section (160 acres) to a section (640 acres) of land, with several over 1,000 acres. In the valleys, 80- to 160-acre farms were the norm, although larger holdings ranging up to several thousand acres were not uncommon. While the majority of valley farms included buildings, few of the hill parcels—which were mostly used for livestock grazing—had been improved (AECOM 2011: 11–12).

Alameda has 14 incorporated cities and several unincorporated communities, and Oakland is the County seat. Presently, the total population of Alameda County is approximately 1,628,997 (Alameda County 2024b).

#### 2.2.4.3.1 Midway and the Midway Public School

In 1862, the U.S. government granted 160 acres of land in the Midway area in Alameda County to Franz (Frank) Haera (also Heara), who immigrated from Germany in 1856. Haera was one of the earliest settlers to the area, and he built and operated the Zinc House, a tavern along the stagecoach trail that extended from the San Francisco Bay Area to the mines in Livermore Valley. In 1868, the railroad constructed tracks through the hills surrounding the Midway area and, in 1869, the Midway Station was constructed. The new rail station encouraged settlement, and the area developed into a small community of ranchers and farmers. New settlers in the 1870s included Reinhold Haera, half-brother of Franz, and Michael Mulqueeney, an immigrant from Ireland. By the time of his death in 1884, Mulqueeney had a sheep ranch that amassed over 5,000 acres, which he left to his wife and three sons upon his passing (EDS 2018).

In 1872, Franz and Reinhold Haera and Michael Mulqueeney petitioned the Alameda County Board of Education to establish new boundaries and construct a new school to serve the growing Midway area. The Midway School District was established and, by 1878, the district elected Franz Haera and Henry Carstens as District School Trustees (MT 1878). In 1881, the district approved funds provided by a special tax applied to the Central Pacific Railroad for the construction of the school. Carpenter H.B. Goochey constructed the Midway Public School, at a cost of \$1,100, on a parcel close to Patterson Pass Road and Midway Road deeded to the Central Pacific Railroad. The school operated from 1883 to 1946, when it was closed due to lack of enrollment. In the 1950s, the Mulqueeney family relocated the schoolhouse to their ranch (EDS 2018).

#### 2.2.4.4 Pacific Gas & Electric Company

In the first decades of the twentieth century, the rapid spread of electric power fueled the growth of American industry and brought with it an unprecedented standard of living. Gas lighting was commonplace in major American cities by the middle decades of the nineteenth century, but in the second half of that century, inventions and innovations by Thomas Edison, Nikola Tesla, George Westinghouse, and others made it possible for virtually every town in the United States to have electricity. These advancements made possible the long-distance transmission of power—first demonstrated by the success of the 22-mile transmission line installed between Folsom and Sacramento, California, in 1895. In California, the state's resources and geography made the long-distance transmission of hydropower both practical and necessary (AECOM 2011: 12).

In many ways the history of PG&E parallels the history of twentieth-century California. Incorporated in San Francisco in 1905, PG&E has helped shape the utility industry and played an important role in the growth and development of the state's economy. The company began as the merger of two power-holding companies: (1) the San Francisco Gas and Electric Corporation, one of San Francisco's oldest corporations, and (2) the California Gas and Electric



Corporation, a relatively recent consolidation. San Francisco Gas and Electric owned steam-power generating facilities that complemented California Gas and Electric's hydroelectric resources by picking up the slack during peak periods and when winter freeze or summer drought reduced the flow of water through the company's turbines in the Sierra. By 1930, PG&E had become one of the state's largest landowners, one of the nation's largest hydroelectric producers, and a major supplier of natural gas for home and industry (AECOM 2011: 13).

The virtue of long-distance transmission of electricity is the ability to move the power at high volumes and then step it down before delivery to the user. To accomplish this and other tasks, such as transferring bulk power around a utility network, electrical utility companies built distribution substations at various points along the transmission lines to decrease the power or to accumulate and transfer power from various sources. With its hydropower sources located in the Sierra Nevada, PG&E could send high-voltage power more than 100 miles along its transmission lines then step it down before delivering to the customer. California differed from other parts of the country in other ways as well. In the East and Midwest, for example, most power came from fossil fuels. When power demand dropped, companies could shut down facilities. In California, it became more practical to keep the hydropower plants running whenever possible and to develop new classes of customers that would use power at different times (AECOM 2011: 13).

At the beginning of the twentieth century, the San Francisco Bay Area was the state's most heavily populated and industrialized region, and more than 60% of California's 1.5 million people lived in urban areas. California's growth was explosive—between 1900 and 1910, California added almost 900,000 people and more than 1 million in the following decade. While the people moved toward the cities, agricultural production also grew. Since the beginning of the twentieth century, farmers had been adapting electricity to their poultry operations, dairy farms, and replacing windmills with steam, gasoline, and then electric pumps. The 1910s brought a more systematic approach to the development of irrigation in California, especially in the Central Valley. PG&E worked with water users to generate power from the water before it was released into irrigation canals. This process would accelerate in the following decades as the state and federal governments would play an increasingly active role in the development of water and power (AECOM 2011: 13).

PG&E invested in waterpower even while the price of steam power dropped as the crude oil industry matured and prices for fuel oil declined. By the end of the 1910s, the company supplied more than one-third of the power in the state. With America's direct involvement in World War I in 1917, fears that the diversion of fuel oil and the inability to meet an expected upsurge in demand led the California State Railroad Commission (precursor to the Public Utilities Commission) to insist that power companies interconnect transmission lines to increase power availability. First implemented in California, the concept of superpower would spread throughout the United States during and after the war. When PG&E and Southern California Edison introduced extra high-voltage transmission lines in 1924, the age of superpower had arrived in earnest (AECOM 2011: 13-14).

As the state's population grew and became more urbanized in the 1910s, the demand for electrical power increased for everything from home appliances to industrial presses. As a result, PG&E expanded its hydroelectric capacity on the South Yuba and Bear Rivers and built the Drum-Cordelia-Marin power transmission line to bring the power to the north end of the Bay Area. It also constructed the Newark Substation, a modern substation and switching station near the East Bay town of Newark, to accommodate power from the Halsey and Wise powerhouses on the South Yuba River in Placer County. The Wise Tower line carried power from the South Yuba powerhouses through the Newark Substation to the southern end of the bay. As additional power from its Salt Spring and Tiger Creek powerhouses on the Mokelumne River came online in the early 1930s, the company expanded the



Newark Substation to receive power flowing into the station from the 110-mile transmission line built from the Sierra foothills (AECOM 2011: 14).

In the early 1920s, the company began to refer to itself as P.G. and E. Company through the publication of its monthly newsletter, P.G. and E. Progress. The company reinforced its commitment to California with the construction of a 17-story headquarters building in 1925, designed by the renowned architectural firm of Bakewell and Brown. The structure reflects the era's emphasis on beauty and the company's focus on design and functionality, evident in nearly everything the company built in its first decades, including its power plants, substations, and other transmission facilities. In the first decades of the twentieth century, PG&E adapted the Spanish Renaissance style of architecture for many of its structures because it suggested the company's linkage to the pioneer era of California. The Halsey and Wise powerhouses and the Newark Substation were typical in this regard (AECOM 2011: 14).

California became virtually self-sufficient in energy in the 1930s. The company's discovery of natural gas supplies and its completion of a pipeline from Southern California to San Francisco and one from the gas fields in Texas to California were supplemented in the 1930s by California's maturing oil industry and the completion of Shasta and Hoover Dams. However, by the 1940s, World War II growth in population and industrial production left the state in need of new energy supplies. The war helped establish momentum for steam power in California and, as cheap oil became available after the war, nearly three-quarters of all planned and newly built generating capacity in the state was designed for thermal steam power (AECOM 2011: 14).

In the decade after World War II, PG&E embarked on an unprecedented building program. California's population grew by more than 50% in the 1940s to nearly 10.5 million people in 1950. PG&E added more than 125,000 new customers in that year alone, its largest on record. The company spent more than \$1 billion on construction projects including new steam plants in San Francisco, Bakersfield, Eureka, and at Moss Landing on Monterey Bay, as well as 14 new hydro powerhouses on the Pit, Feather, and Yuba Rivers. In addition to new power facilities, the company expanded and remodeled several older facilities and added new substations. The Vaca-Dixon Substation, dating to the 1910s, was connected to a new substation in Moraga, and new substations at Midway and Tesla were built to control delivery of power from the northern to the southern San Joaquin Valley (AECOM 2011: 14-15).

As fuel prices climbed in the 1960s and 1970s, and the environment became a higher priority among Americans, the company turned its focus toward conservation and alternative energy sources. In 1978, the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act mandated that utilities buy power from independent producers at prices set by state utility commissions. In the 1980s, the company began purchasing energy created by wind turbines, located primarily in the Altamont Pass area, and by the end of the 1980s had become the country's largest purchaser of alternative energy. The company has also since became an industry leader in conservation and alternative energy sources. Energy created in the wind turbine farm at Altamont, for example, is funneled through its Tesla Substation, where it is distributed throughout the network. In 1985, PG&E opened the Diablo Canyon Power Plant, the company's second nuclear-powered facility (AECOM 2011: 15).

In 1995, PG&E became a subsidiary of the PG&E Corporation. Since passage of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act, many of the company's largest customers dropped PG&E in favor of independent energy producers or built their own power facilities. As a result, the company lost significant revenue in the last decade of the century and found itself with a significant surplus of energy. PG&E relied on a "grow and build" strategy for most of its first



century and, like the state of California, its growth was spectacular at times. Presently, the company's focus is on maintaining its system as well as conservation and alternative energy sources (AECOM 2011: 15).

#### 2.2.4.4.1 Development of Tesla Substation

Construction of Tesla Substation began in 1947 and was completed in 1948. Originally planned for only 38 acres, the first three buildings constructed were a temporary construction warehouse, shop building, and the control building. To the north of these buildings were the associated bus, switch, and other electrical transmission structures. In 1947, PG&E's plans to spend \$55 million toward expanding its facilities and transmission lines into the San Joaquin Valley included the construction of Tesla Substation. As technology improved, electrical demands increased, and wind-generators increased in numbers in the area, PG&E continued to expand and construct updated control rooms as well as bus/switch structures at the substation in the late-1950s, 1960s, 1980s, and 1990s (AECOM 2011: 32).

CULTURAL RESOURCES INVENTORY AND EVALUATION REPORT / POTENTIA VIRIDI BESS PROJECT, ALAMEDA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# 3 Research and Field Methods

The Secretary of the Interior has issued Standards and Guidelines for Archeology and Historic Preservation (48 FR 44720–44726), which are used for the identification and evaluation of historic properties and to ensure that the procedures are adequate and appropriate. The identification and evaluation of historic properties are dependent upon the relationship of individual properties to other similar properties. Information about properties regarding their prehistory, history, architecture, and other aspects of culture must be collected and organized to define these relationships, which is the intent of the current inventory.

# 3.1 California Historical Resources Information System Records Search

A records search of the CHRIS was completed for the current proposed Project site and a 1-mile radius on behalf of Dudek by staff at the Central California Information Center and the Northwest Information Center on August 1 and 30, 2023 (Confidential Appendix B). This search included a review of their collections of mapped prehistoric, historical, and built-environment resources, DPR 523 forms, technical reports, historical maps, and local inventories. Additional consulted sources included the NRHP, California Inventory of Historical Resources/CRHR and listed California Office of Historic Preservation (OHP) Archaeological Determinations of Eligibility, California Points of Historical Interest, and California Historical Landmarks. The results of the records search are presented in Chapter 4.

# 3.2 Native American Heritage Commission and Tribal Correspondence

On August 1, 2023, Dudek requested a NAHC search of their Sacred Lands File for the area of the Project site. The NAHC results, received August 12, 2023, indicated the Sacred Lands File search failed to identify any cultural resources within the records search area (Confidential Appendix B). NAHC then provided a list of Native American tribes culturally affiliated with the location of the Project site and recommended contacting them for further information. On October 3, 2024, Tribal information request letters were sent to the listed tribes. To date, no responses have been received. In addition, tribal consultation in support of Section 106 of the NHPA has been initiated by the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers. Documentation of all tribal correspondence to date is included in Appendix B. Follow-up communication and formal consultation with Native American tribes pursuant to AB 52 will be the responsibility of the CEC or County.

The proposed Project is subject to compliance with AB 52 (PRC Section 21074), which requires consideration of impacts to "tribal cultural resources" as part of the CEQA process and requires the CEQA lead agency to notify any groups (who have requested notification) of the Project who are traditionally or culturally affiliated with the geographic area of the Project. Because AB 52 is a government-to-government process, all records of correspondence related to AB 52 notification and any subsequent consultation are on file with the County.



# 3.3 Interested Party Correspondence

The consultation with interested parties will be conducted by the lead agency once the CEQA process is initiated.

# 3.4 Archival Research

#### 3.4.1 Built Environment Resource Directory

OHP maintains the Built Environment Resource Directory, an inventory of built environment cultural resources that are processed through OHP's office. An October 10, 2024, search of the Built Environment Resource Directory for Alameda County showed that several resources pertaining to the Western Pacific Railroad/Union Pacific Railroad (WPRR/UPRR) were previously recorded and evaluated within Alameda County. However, none of these resources are within the study area.

#### 3.4.2 Calisphere

Calisphere provides access to 2,000 collections contributed by more than 300 cultural heritage organizations in California, including universities, libraries, archives, museums, and historical societies. Dudek searched for subject properties' addresses and other keywords on Calisphere on October 10, 2024. This search identified numerous railroad photograph collections, but the search did not identify any materials pertaining to the subject properties.

#### 3.4.3 Online Archive of California

The Online Archive of California provides free public access to detailed descriptions of primary resource collections maintained by more than 300 contributing institutions, including libraries, special collections, archives, historical societies, and museums throughout California and collections maintained by the 10 University of California campuses. Dudek searched for historical tenants and addresses associated with the subject properties on the Online Archive of California on October 10, 2024, and did not identify any relevant materials.

#### 3.4.4 ParcelQuest

ParcelQuest provides California county assessors property data from all 58 California counties. On October 10, 2024, Dudek reviewed ParcelQuest for relevant assessor information pertaining to subject properties.

## 3.4.5 Alameda County Historical Society

The Alameda County Historical Society was established in 1965 to "foster and encourage interest in the history of Alameda County; to publish and to aid in the publication of materials designed to preserve historical data and to increase the general knowledge of the history of the county; to provide opportunities for sociability among members of the Society; and to encourage coordination and cooperation with other history organizations" (ACHS 2024). On October 10, 2024, Dudek reviewed the list of local landmarks on the Alameda County Historical Society website. None of the resources in the APE or the 0.5-mile study area were listed as local landmarks.



## 3.4.6 Livermore Heritage Guild

The Livermore Heritage Guild was founded in 1973 by Livermore residents who mobilized to preserve the local train depot, and the group later transformed into Livermore's historical society. LHG collects and preserves local historical artifacts, photos, and documents, serving as a resource for local history and family background history (LHG 2024). On October 10, 2024, Dudek reviewed the Livermore Heritage Guild website for relevant information on the subject properties. The Livermore Heritage Guild website contained historic photographs of the Midway Public School.

## 3.4.7 Historical Aerials

Dudek consulted aerial photographs to understand development of the proposed Project site and surrounding properties. Aerial photographs were available for the Project area from 1949, 1957, 1958, 1959, 1966, 1968, 1971, 1979, 1981, 1982, 1987, 1993, 2005, 2009, 2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020 (NETR 2024a). The aerial images are consistent with the topographic maps (discussed below), with the 1949 image showing an undeveloped Project area with Patterson Pass Road, the paved road/driveway to the residential property to the south of the Project area, and a drainage paralleling and immediately east of Patterson Pass Road all visible. A small electrical substation is also visible along Patterson Pass Road to the north of the Project area. No changes are evident within the Project area or immediate vicinity until 1966 at which time the substation expands to the south and further expands west to its current footprint in the 2005 image. No other development is evident within the Project area on any of the aerial images.

#### 3.4.8 Historical Maps

Topographic maps were available from 1907, 1914, 1929, 1941, 1942, 1943, 1948, 1955, 1964, 1969, 1975, 1981, 1986, 2012, 2015, 2018, and 2021 (NETR 2024b). The earliest topographic map depicts Patterson Pass Road and the north–south-running road on the western edge of the substation in their present orientation, with two drainages running parallel to and just east of each of the roadways. No other development is evident within the Project area or its immediate surroundings. The 1943 topographic map is the first to depict the residential structure located south of the Project site, as well as several other structures to the northeast along Patterson Pass Road; however, there are no evident changes or development within the Project APE itself. The 1955 topographic map is the first to depict the Tesla Substation that intersects and is immediately north of the Project APE. Transmission lines associated with the substation are depicted to the east of, but not intersecting, the Project APE. No further changes are evident on any of the subsequent topographic maps. Dudek also reviewed historic maps of Alameda County from the David Rumsey Map Collection, but the maps did not contain any information relevant to the development of the subject properties.

## 3.4.9 Historical Newspapers

Dudek reviewed historical newspapers from the California Digital Newspaper Collection and Newspapers.com covering Alameda County to understand the development of the area and the APE. These documents were used in the preparation of the historic context and the evaluations of historical significance.



# 3.5 Field Surveys

On October 11, 2023, Dudek Archaeologists Gregory Wada and Victoria Martin conducted an intensive pedestrian survey of the Project area using standard archaeological procedures and techniques that meet the Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines for cultural resources inventory. On October 2, 2024, Victoria Martin conducted additional intensive pedestrian survey for the required buffer surrounding the APE. During both archaeological deposits, and historic structures; periodic boot scrapes were employed to expose additional ground surface. Evidence of artifacts and archaeological deposits were also opportunistically sought after in animal burrows and other areas with disturbed soil.

Dudek Architectural Historian Claire Flanegin, MA, conducted an intensive survey of the Project area on October 2, 2024. Claire Flanegin meets the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualifications Standards for architectural history and history. The survey entailed documenting the exteriors of each property with notes and photographs, specifically noting character-defining features, spatial relationships, and observed alterations, and examining any historic landscape features. Access was granted for APN 99B-7925-2-1. The remaining survey was conducted from the public right-of-way.

# 4 Results of Identification and Evaluation Efforts

# 4.1 Records Search Results

The Northwest Information Center and Central California Information Center records indicate that 38 previous cultural resources technical investigations have been conducted within 1 mile of the proposed Project site, of which 18 have addressed portions of the proposed Project site (Table 5).

#### 4.1.1 Previously Conducted Studies

#### **Report ID** Year Title Author **Reports within the Project Site** S-000848 1976 A Summary of Knowledge of the Central and Fredrickson, David A. Northern California Coastal Zone and Offshore Areas, Vol. III, Socioeconomic Conditions, Chapter 7: Historical & Archaeological Resources S-002458 Overview of Prehistoric Archaeology for the Ramiller, Neil, Suzanne Ramiller, 1981 Northwest Region, California Archaeological Roger Werner, and Suzanne Stewart Sites Survey: Del Norte, Humboldt, Mendocino, Lake, Sonoma, Napa, Marin, Contra Costa, Alameda S-002865 1982 Archaeological field reconnaissance of the Holman, Miley P. wind farm planned for the lands of Mulqueenev and Haera in the eastern most portion of Alameda County, California (letter report) S-009462 1977 Identification and Recording of Prehistoric Miller, Teresa Ann Petroglyphs in Marin and Related Bay Area Counties S-011826 1980 Montezuma I and II Cultural Resources Theodoratus, Dorothea J., Mary Pyle Peters, Clinton M. Blount, Pamela J. McGuire, Richard D. Ambro, Michael Crist, Billy J. Peck, and Myrna Saxe S-012790 1991 Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, California: Owens. Kenneth N. **Historical Resources Overview** S-016660 1992 Prehistoric Rock Art of Alameda and Contra Fentress, Jeffrey B. Costa Counties, California S-017835 1975 **Biological Distance of Prehistoric Central** Suchey, Judy Myers California Populations Derived from Non-Metric Traits of the Cranium

#### **Table 5. Previous Cultural Resource Studies**



#### **Table 5. Previous Cultural Resource Studies**

Report ID	Year	Title	Author	
S-018217	1996	Cultural Resource Evaluations for the Caltrans District 04 Phase 2 Seismic Retrofit Program, Status Report	Gmoser, Glenn	
S-020395	1998	PCNs of the Coast Ranges of California: Religious Expression or the Result of Quarrying?	Gillette, Donna L.	
S-024986	2000	Cultural Resources Assessment, PG&E Proposed Tri-Valley 2002 Electric Power Capacity Increase Project		
S-030204	2003	The Distribution and Antiquity of the California Pecked Curvilinear Nucleated (PCN) Rock Art Tradition	Gillette, Donna L.	
S-032596	2006	The Central California Ethnographic Community Distribution Model, Version 2.0, with Special Attention to the San Francisco Bay Area, Cultural Resources Inventory of Caltrans District 4 Rural Conventional Highways	Milliken, Randall, Jerome King, and Patricia Mikkelsen	
S-033239	1994	Alameda Watershed, Natural and Cultural Resources: San Francisco Watershed Management Plan	Chavez, David	
S-033545	1994	Draft Comprehensive Management and Use Plan and Environmental Impact Statement, Juan Bautista de Anza National Historic Trail, Arizona and California	-	
S-033600	2007	Geoarchaeological Overview of the Nine Bay Area Counties in Caltrans District 4	Meyer, Jack, and Jeff Rosenthal	
S-048927	1997	The Economy and Archaeology of European- made Glass Beads and Manufactured Goods Used in First Contact Situations in Oregon, California and Washington	Crull, Donald Scott	
S-052105	1978	Cultural Resources Survey of the Tesla- Lawrence Livermore Laboratory 230 KV Transmission Line, Pacific Gas and Electric Company	Wilson, Kenneth L.	
Previous Stu	dies within	1 Mile of the APE		
S-002623	1981	Archaeological Reconnaissance of the Windpower Generator Farm to be Located on the Jess Ranch East of Livermore, Alameda County (letter report)	Holman, Miley P.	
S-004552	1976	Preliminary Report for the Pacific Gas and Electric Stanislaus Project on the Archaeological and Historical Resources Found Within Proposed Transmission Line Corridors	Horvath, Laurie, Anne M. Carlson, Suzanne Baker, and Cindy Desgrandchamp	
S-005657	1982	An Archaeological Reconnaissance of Six Windfarm Parcels Near Altamont Pass, Alameda County, California	Slater, Sarah E., and Miley Paul Holman	



#### **Table 5. Previous Cultural Resource Studies**

Report ID Year		Title	Author	
S-006510	1984	Archaeological Reconnaissance of a Portion of Section 29, Midway Quadrangle, Alameda County, California (letter report)	Holman, Miley P.	
S-007071	1984	Helen Andrade Property Archaeological Reconnaissance (letter report)	Holman, Miley P.	
S-007072	1984	A.I. and Agnes Martin Property Archaeological Reconnaissance (letter report)	Holman, Miley P.	
S-009795	1986	Late Prehistoric Obsidian Exchange in Central California	Jackson, Thomas L.	
S-017993	1995	Cultural Resources Inventory Report for the Proposed Mojave Northward Expansion Project	Hatoff, Brian, Barb Voss, Sharon Waechter, Stephen Wee, and Vance Bente	
S-027016	2003	A Cultural Resource Assessment for the Proposed Tesla Reclaimed Waterline Project, Alameda and San Joaquin Counties, California	Dougherty, John, Cindy Baker, and Mary L. Maniery	
S-035796	2009	Cultural Resources Investigation and Architectural Evaluation of the Pittsburg- Tesla Transmission Line, Contra Costa and Alameda Counties, California	Siskin, Barbra, Cassidy DeBaker, and Jennifer Lang	
S-043682	2004	Archaeological Inventory Survey: Tracy-Tesla Fiber Optics Project Utilizing COTP Transmission Towers, San Joaquin and Alameda Counties, California	Jensen, Sean M.	
S-045214	2013	Cultural Resources Survey for FloDesign Wind Turbine, Inc. Proposed Sand Hill West Farm Repowering Project Alameda County, California	Farrell, Jenna L.	
S-052299	2018	Historic Resource Survey and Assessment for the 1883 Midway Public School Relocation and Restoration Project, Alameda County, California	De Shazo, Stacey	
SJ-02759	1995	Cultural Resources Inventory Report for the Proposed Mojave Northward Expansion Project, Final.	Hatoff, Brian, Barb Voss, Sharon Waechter, Stephen Wee, and Vance Bente	
SJ-02930	1996	Archaeological Inventory Survey, Tracy to Fresno Longhaul Fiberoptics Data Transmission Line, Portions of Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus, and San Joaquin Counties, California	Jensen, Peter	
SJ-04509	2001	GWF Tracy Peaker Project, Cultural Resources (Archaeological and Historic Built Environment Resources) Technical Report; Appendix C of Application for Certification	Egherman, Rachael	
SJ-05047	2003	A Cultural Resource Assessment for the Proposed Tesla Reclaimed Waterline Project,	Dougherty, J., C. Baker, and M. Maniery	



Report ID	Year	Title	Author	
		Alameda and San Joaquin Counties, California		
SJ-05528	2004	Archaeological Inventory Survey, Tracy-Tesla Fiber Optics Project Utilizing COTP Transmission Towers, San Joaquin and Alameda Counties, California	Jensen, S. M.	
SJ-07085	2008	Draft Environmental Assessment for the North Area Right-of Way Maintenance Program	Geordt, A.	
SJ-08014	2008	Cultural Resources Inventory for the California-Oregon Transmission Project, Right-of-Way Maintenance Environmental Assessment	CH2MHill	

#### **Table 5. Previous Cultural Resource Studies**

#### 4.1.2 Previously Identified Cultural Resources

The records search indicates that one built-environment resources is on file within the Project site—P-39-005337, Tesla-Salado-Manteca 115 kV Transmission Line—and an additional 30 archaeological or built-environment resources are recorded within the 1-mile record search buffer. Five resources were on file within the 1-mile records search area (Table 6). One of these resources consists of a precontact indigenous site while the remaining resources are historic-era structures. The Tesla Substation (P-01-010502) and the Kelso to Tesla Transmission Line DPR 523 form sets were not submitted to the CHRIS and, therefore, did not come back as part of the records search results. Copies were obtained through a request to PG&E cultural resources staff.

#### Table 6. Previously Recorded Cultural Resources

Primary ID	Trinomial	Period	Name	Туре	NRHP/CRHR Status		
Resources In	Resources Intersecting the APE						
P-39- 005337	-	Historic-era	Tesla-Salado- Manteca 115 kV Transmission Line	Engineering structure	6Z (Ineligible)		
Resources w	Resources within 1 Mile of the APE						
P-01- 000154	CA-ALA- 000432H	Historic-era	#64 H	Foundations/structure pads; Landscaping/orchard; Privies/dumps/trash scatters; Walls/fences	7 (Not evaluated)		
P-01- 000155	CA-ALA- 000433H	Historic-era	#63 H	Foundations/structure pads; Landscaping/orchard	7 (Not evaluated)		



Primary ID	Trinomial	Period	Name	Туре	NRHP/CRHR Status
P-01- 001783	CA-ALA- 000623H	Historic-era	Southern Pacific Railroad	Roads/trails/railroad grades; Water conveyance system; Engineering structure; Bridge; Other	6Z (Ineligible)
P-01- 010498	CA-ALA- 000632H	Historic-era	Heara-Brockman Cemetery	Cemetery	7 (Not evaluated)
P-01- 010499	-	Historic-era	500 kV Transmission Lines	Engineering structure	7 (Not evaluated)
P-01- 010500	-	Historic-era	Heara-Brockman- Griffith Ranch	Farm/ranch	7 (Not evaluated)
P-01- 010502	-	Historic-era	Tesla Substation Butler Building	Public utility building	6Z (Ineligible)
P-01- 010503	CA-ALA- 000603H	Historic-era	Telsa/Midway - Site A	Water conveyance system	7 (Not evaluated)
P-01- 010504	-	Historic-era	Aermotor Windmill	Other; Walls/gates/fences	6Z (Ineligible)
P-01- 010505	-	Historic-era	TI-01; Bottle Neck Fragment	Water conveyance system	7 (Not evaluated)
P-01- 010506	-	Historic-era	TI-02; Wood/square Nail Isolate	Water conveyance system	7 (Not evaluated)
P-01- 010507	-	Historic-era	TI-03; Manganese Glass Isolate	Water conveyance system	7 (Not evaluated)
P-01- 010508	-	Historic-era	TI-04; Bottle Neck Isolate	Water conveyance system	7 (Not evaluated)
P-01- 010614	-	Historic-era	TRWP - 24	Highway/trail	7 (Not evaluated)
P-01- 010947	-	Historic-era	Pittsburg -Tesla Transmission Line	Engineering structure	3D (Appears eligible)
P-01- 010948	CA-ALA- 000657H	Historic-era	GANDA Site 19	Privies/dumps/trash scatters; Water conveyance system	7 (Not evaluated)
P-01- 010949	CA-ALA- 000660H	Historic-era	GANDA Site 20	Water conveyance system; Lake/river/reservoir	6Z (Ineligible)
P-01- 010950	CA-ALA- 000659H	Historic-era	GANDA Site 21	Foundations/structure pads; Privies/dumps/trash scatters; AH05; Walls/fences; Farm/ranch	7 (Not evaluated)
P-01- 011394	-	Historic-era	SH-JF-01	Roads/trails/railroad grades	7 (Not evaluated)

Primary ID	Trinomial	Period	Name	Туре	NRHP/CRHR Status
P-01- 011395	-	Historic-era	SH-JF-02	Engineering structure	7 (Not evaluated)
P-01- 011477	_	Historic-era	Isolate I-SRI-2	Water conveyance system	7 (Not evaluated)
P-01- 011479	CA-ALA- 000662H	Historic-era	SRI-2	Engineering structure	7 (Not evaluated)
P-01- 011480	CA-ALA- 000663H	Historic-era	SCR-3	Engineering structure	7 (Not evaluated)
P-01- 011481	CA-ALA- 000658H	Historic-era	SRI-4	Water conveyance system	7 (Not evaluated)
P-01- 011482	CA-ALA- 000661H	Historic-era	SRI-7	Water conveyance system	7 (Not evaluated)
P-01- 012147	-	Historic-era	1883 Midway Public School	Educational building	7 (Not evaluated)
P-39- 000088	-	Historic-era	Lateral 5 West, Banta Carbona Irrigation District	Other	6Z (Ineligible)
P-39- 000098	CA-SJO- 000292H	Historic-era	Western Pacific Railroad/Union Pacific RR; Includes Sharpe Army Depot Field Annex Railroad Spur	Roads/trails/railroad grades; Engineering structure; Bridge; Other	6Z (Ineligible)
P-39- 004290	-	Historic-era	TTP-3, Historic Telegraph line along Western Pacific Railroad	Engineering structure	7 (Not evaluated)
P-39- 004332	CA-SJO- 000279H	Historic-era	Gallagher Foundation	Foundations/structure pads; AH10; Trees/vegetation	7 (Not evaluated)

**Notes:** NRHP = National Register of Historic Places; CRHR = California Register of Historical Resources; APE = area of potential effects; kV = kilovolts.

# 4.2 Field Survey Results

#### 4.2.1 Archaeology

Surface visibility was low (less than 10%) throughout the Project site due to dense non-native grasses. The previously recorded transmission lines intersecting the Project site were relocated and found to be in the same condition as described in the site record. No archaeological resources were observed within the Project site during the field survey.



#### 4.2.1.1 Geomorphology

Potential for yet-identified cultural resources in the vicinity was reviewed against geologic and topographic data for the area and information from other nearby projects. The "archaeological sensitivity," or potential to support the presence of a buried prehistoric archaeological deposits, is generally interpreted based on geologic landform and environmental parameters (i.e., distance to water and landform slope).

The Project site is situated within the Alameda Creek Watershed. An ephemeral stream system, the Patterson Run, intersects the northwest portion of the Project site, running parallel to Patterson Road. This waterway and Patterson Road are visible on the earliest historical topographic maps and aerial images; as such, it is unclear the extent to which the course of the drainage is natural or has been modified to accommodate the roadway.

The Project site is flat to gently sloping. Soils within the Project site consist of Linne Clay Loam and Rincon Clay Loam series (USDA 2024). These soils consist of moderately deep to deep, well-drained soils that form in material weathered from fairly soft shale and sandstone (Linne series) or alluvium from sedimentary rocks (Rincon series).

The northwest portion of the Project site, in the vicinity of the waterway, would be low to moderately well-suited to support the formation of buried cultural deposits or surface manifestations. Given the lack of disturbance in the area and review of this information, the Project site has low-to-moderate archaeological sensitivity.

#### 4.2.2 Built Environment

Only one resource is in the APE: the Tesla Substation and Tesla Substation Butler Building (P-01-010502). The remainder of the resources are in the study area and are included in accordance with Confidential Appendix B. Each resource was evaluated in accordance with Section 1515064.5(a)(2)-(3) of the CEQA Guidelines using the criteria outlined in PRC Section 5024.1. Only one resource in the study area, the Mulqueeney Ranch, is a newly recorded resource. The DPR 523 form sets are in Appendix C.

#### 4.2.2.1 Resources in the APE

#### P-01-010502 Tesla Substation and Tesla Substation Butler Building

Access to the Tesla Substation was not granted and the survey was conducted from the public right-of-way. Since it was last surveyed in 2011, the notable changes are a concrete wall built around the perimeter of the facility (Exhibit 1). Based on historical Google Maps street views, this occurred between 2012 and 2019.





Exhibit 1. Tesla Substation and Tesla Substation Butler Building

Source: Dudek, October 2024.

The Tesla Substation and Tesla Substation Butler Building were evaluated using the NRHP and the CRHR criteria in 2011 by AECOM as part of the PG&E's proposed Kelso to Tesla 230 kV Reconductoring Project. AECOM found the Tesla Substation and Tesla Substation Butler Building were ineligible for listing on the NRHP or CRHR. It is unknown whether the State Historic Preservation Officer concurred with the evaluation. Research revealed no new information that would require a re-evaluation of the property and Dudek concurs with the previous recommendation that the property does not meet the criteria for listing in the NRHP or CRHR. For the same reasons why the property does not meet the NRHP and CRHR criteria, Dudek has determined that the property does not meet any of the criteria for the Alameda County Register, which are nearly identical criteria to those of the NRHP and the CRHR.

Integrity is the ability of a property to convey its significance. The assessment of a property's integrity can only proceed after its significance has been fully established. The assessment of integrity requires consideration under the seven aspects or qualities. To retain integrity, a property will always possess several, and generally most, aspects of integrity. Determining which aspects are most important requires an understanding of why, where, and when the property is significant. Because the subject property does not meet any of the criteria for listing in the NRHP, CRHR, or Alameda County Register, an integrity analysis is considered immaterial for the subject resource.



#### 4.2.2.2 Resources in the Study Area

#### P-01-010499 500 kV Transmission Lines

This resource consists of two 500 kV transmission line segments that connect to the Tesla Substation in northeastern Alameda County: (1) the Vaca Dixon-Tesla line and (2) the Table Mountain-Tesla line (Exhibit 2). Within the survey area, the segments measure approximately 0.25 and 0.32 miles, respectively. Outside of the survey area, the Vaca Dixon-Tesla line extends for 57 miles and the Table Mountain-Tesla line extends for 134 miles. The towers are galvanized steel, measuring between 106 and 116 feet in height and feature 2-bundled conductors (Reeve 2002). Dudek observed no changes to the transmission line since it was last recorded.

Exhibit 2. Transmission line and towers, camera facing southwest.



Source: Dudek, October 2024.

These transmission lines were previously recorded in 2002. The survey suggested that the lines may be eligible for the CRHR, but did not evaluate the resources for the NRHP, CRHR, or the Alameda County Register. Dudek evaluated the power lines and has determined that the resource meets NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criteria A/1/A and C/3/C.

Under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion A/1/A, this line is associated with PG&E's efforts that began in the early 1960s to develop increased electrical interconnection with a 500 kV line as part of the "Pacific Intertie" program. The resource is part of the transmission corridor that extends from Northern California to an



interconnection with Southern California Edison in Kern County (Allen et al. 2020: 3-114–3-115). It has a direct physical and operational association to a key technological innovation—the development of the Pacific Intertie program that helped shape patterns of electrical generation and consumption. Properties noted to be associated with such a significant trend are eligible under this criterion within the theme of technological innovation during PG&E's transmission era and the conversion to using 500 kV transmission lines in the years between 1960 and 1970 (Allen et al. 2020: 5-6, 5-8–5-9).

The transmission line has no direct association with individuals who played a significant role in history. Therefore, it does not meet NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion B/2/B.

As an engineering feature, the transmission line meets NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion C/3/C because it expresses utility engineering and design that directly reflect significant advances within the theme of technological innovation because its key constituent components (towers, insulators, conductor) embody leading-edge engineering that allowed for demonstrable and important innovations in voltage regulation, voltage levels.

Under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion D/4/F, this built environment resource is not a source of important information because it does not demonstrate significant informational facets of PG&E's technological innovation in construction and design in a manner that is not defined in the existing historical record.

The resource also retains integrity to convey its significance. The line has not moved and retains integrity of location. Integrity of design and materials are retained because its original form, function, and historic physical elements are retained. Integrity of setting has not been significantly altered and remains in an essentially rural area. The resource has its physical features that convey its historic character and retains integrity of feeling and association. Integrity of workmanship is not considered an important aspect of integrity for this type or resource.

#### P-01-010500 Haera-Brockman-Griffith Ranch

The Haera-Brockman-Griffith Ranch is in northeastern Alameda County, adjacent to Midway Road within the community of Midway, an unincorporated community. The parcel contains multiple outbuildings, a trailer, and other structures constructed after 1979 and, as such, will not be described. The wood-frame building documented herein is set on a post and pier foundation and has a corrugated metal roof (Exhibit 3). The building is side gabled with walls clad in wood. Two entrances are located on the north and west elevations, each accessible by wooden steps. On either gable is a wood louvered vent. The building was constructed in circa (ca.) 1930.

**Exhibit 3.** Wood-frame building on Haera-Brockman-Griffith Ranch, north and east elevations, camera facing southeast.



Source: Dudek, October 2024.

This property was previously recorded in 2002 by Foster Wheeler Environmental, which indicated that the property was first settled by Franz (Frank) Haera in 1856, and he constructed the first structure in Midway, the Zinc House. When the original Zinc House burned down, it was replaced by an existing wood-frame ranch house during the 1930s. The historic ranch also consisted of a barn and outbuildings, none of which are extant (FWE 2002). The 2002 inventory of the property did not evaluate the resource for eligibility under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register criteria. Dudek evaluated the property and has determined that the resource does not meet the criteria for the NRHP or the CRHR. Dudek also evaluated the property using the criteria of the Alameda County Register and determined that it does not meet any of the criteria, which are nearly identical to those of the NRHP and the CRHR.

Under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion A/1/A, the Haera-Brockman-Griffith Ranch has no specific important associations with significant historic events or patterns of development. While the property belonged to one of the first settlers in the Midway area, the original Zinc House is no longer extant, nor does it serve its original purpose as a tavern. Therefore, it cannot demonstrate historical significance under this criterion. Nor did the property play an important role within the general pattern of agricultural development within the Livermore Valley. Under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda Register Criterion B/2/B, the property has no demonstrable association with the lives of persons important to history. Though Franz Haera is significant as one of the earliest settlers in Midway, he passed away before the construction of the extant building. The ranch is not significant under NRHP/CRHR Criterion C/3 and Alameda County Register Criterion C/D/E because the resource is not an important example of type, period, or method


of construction, nor is it the work of a master, and it does not possess high artistic value. Under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion D/4/F, this building is not significant as a source (or likely source) of important information regarding history, and it does not appear to have any likelihood of yielding important information about historic construction materials or technologies.

Integrity is the ability of a property to convey its significance. The assessment of a property's integrity can only proceed after its significance has been fully established. The assessment of integrity requires consideration under the seven aspects or qualities. To retain integrity, a property will always possess several, and generally most, aspects of integrity. Determining which aspects are most important requires an understanding of why, where, and when the property is significant. Because the subject property does not meet any of the criteria for listing in the NRHP, CRHR, or Alameda County Register, an integrity analysis is considered immaterial for the subject resource.

#### P-01-010614 Midway Road

Segments of Midway Road in northeastern Alameda County have been previously recorded. In 2003, PAR Environmental Services, Inc. inventoried a 2-mile-long segment of the road north of the study area (PAR 2003). The road was constructed ca. 1860s but has since been paved and striped. The segment of Midway Road documented herein extends approximately 0.93 miles. The road is a two-lane paved resource with a width of approximately 30 feet. The road is flanked by dirt shoulders (Exhibit 4).



Exhibit 4. Midway Road, looking north.

Source: Dudek, October 2024.



The 2003 inventory did not evaluate Midway Road for eligibility under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register criteria. Dudek evaluated the road and has determined that the resource does not meet the criteria for the NRHP or the CRHR. Dudek also evaluated the road using the criteria of the Alameda County Register and determined that it does not meet any of the criteria, which are nearly identical criteria to those of the NRHP and the CRHR.

Under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion A/1/A, Midway Road segment within the study area has no specific important associations with significant historic events or patterns of development. The road was part of transportation development needed to accommodate the growing ranches and farms in the Livermore Valley in the 1860s and did not play an important role within the general pattern of agricultural or community development. Under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion B/2/B, the road has no demonstrable association with the lives of persons important to history. The road is not significant under NRHP/CRHR Criterion C/3 and Alameda County Register Criterion an important example of its type, period, or method of construction, nor is it the work of a master, and it does not possess high artistic value. The resource is a common example of a two-lane rural road. Under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion D/4/F, this road is not significant as a source (or likely source) of important information regarding history, and it does not appear to have any likelihood of yielding important information about historic construction materials or technologies.

The assessment of a property's integrity can only proceed after its significance has been fully established. The assessment of integrity requires consideration under the seven aspects or qualities. To retain integrity, a property will always possess several, and generally most, aspects of integrity. Determining which aspects are most important requires an understanding of why, where, and when the property is significant. Because the subject property does not meet any of the criteria for listing in the NRHP, CRHR, or Alameda County Register, an integrity analysis is considered immaterial for the subject resource.

### P-01-010947 Pittsburg-Tesla Transmission Line

The segment of the Pittsburg-Tesla Transmission line documented herein is located in northeastern Alameda County and follows a northwest-to-southeast alignment (Exhibit 5). The segment recorded within this survey comprises the southern end of the transmission line that ends at the Tesla Substation. An approximately 0.64-mile-long segment of the transmission line was recorded within the survey area. Dudek observed no changes to the transmission line since it was last recorded in 2017.



Exhibit 5. Transmission line and towers, camera facing northwest.

Source: Dudek, October 2024.

In 2008, the line was evaluated and recommended not eligible for the NRHP and the CRHR (Lang 2008: 2). In 2017, a portion of the line and towers was recorded and recommended as appearing "eligible as a linear district for the NRHP under Criteria A and C, with the towers and lines as contributing elements. The towers and transmission lines do not appear to be individually eligible. Both transmission lines built as early as the late 1920s, were and still are, essential to providing necessary electrical power to businesses and residences in Contra Costa County and the East Bay Area, including the cities of Antioch, Pittsburg, Clayton, Walnut Creek, Lafayette, and Moraga during a significant period in the region's growth, namely the 1920s through late -1940s" (Supernowicz 2017: 6). The transmission line was not evaluated under NRHP Criteria B or D.

Dudek re-evaluated this transmission line and has determined that the resource does not meet the criteria for the NRHP, the CRHR, or the Alameda County Register. PG&E's Historic Electrical Infrastructure Management Plan (Allen et al. 2020) provides the historic context in which to evaluate this resource and provides the key significance themes and periods of significance related to PG&E's historic context, and it identifies the property types and character-defining features of those property types. This transmission line does not meet NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion A/1/A because it only reflects a mere association within the context of community development in the post-World War II era. It has no direct, specific association because all of PG&E's infrastructure has a basic association with some aspect of California's development. Nor is it directly associated with important events or trends in PG&E's corporate history. The line is not associated with individuals who made significant contributions to history and therefore does not meet NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion B/2/B. Under



NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion C/3/C-E, this transmission line lacks distinction and is not an important example of its type, period, or method of construction. It was not designed by a master engineer, is a ubiquitous property type for PG&E, and lacks technological innovation and architectural merit. As a built environment resource, this type of resource is well documented and it is not a source of important information. Therefore, it does not meet NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion D/4/F. The transmission line does not meet NRHP/CRHR Criteria A/1, B/2, or C/3 and therefore it does not qualify as a linear district as the 2017 evaluation indicated.

Integrity is the ability of a property to convey its significance. The assessment of a property's integrity can only proceed after its significance has been fully established. The assessment of integrity requires consideration under the seven aspects or qualities. To retain integrity, a property will always possess several, and generally most, aspects of integrity. Determining which aspects are most important requires an understanding of why, where, and when the property is significant. Because the subject property does not meet any of the criteria for listing in the NRHP, CRHR, or Alameda County Register, an integrity analysis is considered immaterial for the subject resource.

### P-01-011395 Tracy-Telsa 230kV Transmission Line

The segment of the Tracy-Tesla Transmission Line recorded within the survey area is approximately 0.42 miles long, beginning at the Tesla Substation at Patterson Road and North Midway Road (Exhibit 6). Dudek observed no changes to the transmission line since it was last recorded in 2013.



Exhibit 6. Transmission line and towers (right), camera facing north.

Source: Dudek, January 2024.



This transmission line has been previously recorded in 2013, but was not evaluated for the NRHP, CRHR, or the Alameda County Register (Farrell 2013). Dudek evaluated the Tracy-Tesla Transmission Line and has determined that the resource does not meet the criteria for the NRHP, the CRHR, or the Alameda County Register, which are nearly identical criteria to those of the NRHP and the CRHR.

PG&E's Historic Electrical Infrastructure Management Plan provides the historic context in which to evaluate this resource and provides the key significance themes and periods of significance related to PG&E's historic context, and it identifies the property types and character-defining features of those property types. This transmission line does not meet NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion A/1/A because it only reflects a mere association within the context of community development in the post-World War II era. It has no direct, specific association because all PG&E's infrastructure has a basic association with some aspect of California's development. Nor is it directly associated with important events or trends in PG&E's corporate history. The line is not associated with individuals who made significant contributions to history and therefore does not meet NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion B/2/B. Under NRHP/CRHR Criterion C/3 and Alameda County Register Criteria C-E, this transmission line lacks distinction and is not an important example of its type, period, or method of construction. It was not designed by a master engineer, is a ubiquitous property type for PG&E, and lacks technological innovation and architectural merit. As a built environment resource, this type of resource is well documented and it is not a source of important information. Therefore, it does not meet NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion D/4/F.

Integrity is the ability of a property to convey its significance. The assessment of a property's integrity can only proceed after its significance has been fully established. The assessment of integrity requires consideration under the seven aspects or qualities. To retain integrity, a property will always possess several, and generally most, aspects of integrity. Determining which aspects are most important requires an understanding of why, where, and when the property is significant. Because the subject property does not meet any of the criteria for listing in the NRHP, CRHR, or Alameda County Register, an integrity analysis is considered immaterial for the subject resource.

### P-01-011479 Transmission Line

The transmission line documented herein extend approximately 0.32 miles within the survey area and connects with the Tesla Substation in northeastern Alameda County (Exhibit 7). Dudek observed no changes to the transmission line since its last recordation in 2014.



Exhibit 7. Transmission line and towers (right), camera facing west.

Source: Dudek, October 2024.

This transmission line was previously recorded and evaluated. In 2014, the lines were evaluated and recommended not eligible for the NRHP and the CRHR (Reddy et al. 2014). Dudek concurs with this recommendation. For the same reasons why the property does not meet the NRHP and CRHR criteria, Dudek has determined that the property does not meet any of the criteria for the Alameda County Register, which are nearly identical criteria to those of the NRHP and the CRHR.

Integrity is the ability of a property to convey its significance. The assessment of a property's integrity can only proceed after its significance has been fully established. The assessment of integrity requires consideration under the seven aspects or qualities. To retain integrity, a property will always possess several, and generally most, aspects of integrity. Determining which aspects are most important requires an understanding of why, where, and when the property is significant. Because the subject property does not meet any of the criteria for listing in the NRHP, CRHR, or Alameda County Register, an integrity analysis is considered immaterial for the subject resource.

### P-01-011480 Transmission Line

The transmission line documented herein extends approximately 0.32 miles within the survey area and connects with the Tesla Substation in northeastern Alameda County (Exhibit 8). Dudek observed no changes to the transmission line since its last recordation in 2014.





Exhibit 8. Transmission line and towers, camera facing north.

Source: Dudek, October 2024.

This transmission line was previously recorded and evaluated. In 2014, the lines were evaluated and recommended not eligible for the NRHP and the CRHR (Reddy et al. 2014). Dudek concurs with this recommendation. For the same reasons why the property does not meet the NRHP and CRHR criteria, Dudek has determined that the property does not meet any of the criteria for the Alameda County Register, which are nearly identical criteria to those of the NRHP and the CRHR.

Integrity is the ability of a property to convey its significance. The assessment of a property's integrity can only proceed after its significance has been fully established. The assessment of integrity requires consideration under the seven aspects or qualities. To retain integrity, a property will always possess several, and generally most, aspects of integrity. Determining which aspects are most important requires an understanding of why, where, and when the property is significant. Because the subject property does not meet any of the criteria for listing in the NRHP, CRHR, or Alameda County Register, an integrity analysis is considered immaterial for the subject resource.

### P-01-012147 Midway Public School

A description of the property was provided in the 2018 report and DPR 523 form set (EDS 2018). That description remains valid and Dudek observed no changes (Exhibit 9).





Exhibit 9. Midway Public School and shed addition, camera facing south.

Source: Dudek, October 2024.

In 2018, Evans & De Shazo, Inc. (EDS) inventoried the former Midway Public School as part of a historic resource survey and assessment for the building's potential relocation and restoration. EDS did not evaluate the property. Rather, in their report, EDS stated that it was "likely eligible for listing on the CRHR and possibly the NRHP; however, a full evaluation in accordance with CEQA would be required to make this determination" (EDS 2018: 16). EDS did not inventory or evaluate the other buildings and structures that share the parcel (APN 99B-7925-2-1) with the former school building.

Dudek determines that the former Midway Public School possesses significance under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion A/1/A but does not retain sufficient integrity to be eligible for listing on the NRHP, CRHR, or Alameda County Register. The former Midway Public School meets NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion A/1/A for its association with patterns of events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of history. The former schoolhouse played a significant role as the first public school and one-room schoolhouse in Midway Station as the Livermore area developed into an important ranching and agricultural area. After the initial Gold Rush of the 1850s ended, settlers in the area turned to farming. The construction of the rail station at Midway encouraged settlers, making the area popular enough to warrant the establishment of a post office in 1870. Many families, including the Haeras and Mulqueeneys, established their ranches and families in Midway, necessitating the petition from the Midway School District in the early 1880s for a schoolhouse (EDS 2018: 12). The schoolhouse served as the primary educational center for Midway residents throughout the end of



the nineteenth century and early twentieth century. As such, the Midway Public School meets NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion A/1/A.

The Midway Public School meets NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion B/2/B for its association with individuals whose specific contributions can be identified with the property. Franz Haera was one of the earliest settlers in the Midway area, and he, along with his half-brother Reinhold and prominent Midway rancher Michael Mulqueeney, petitioned the Alameda County Board of Education to establish new district boundaries and construct a schoolhouse. Franz Haera was elected trustee for the new school district, and Reinhold took on the role as clerk for the district (MT 1878; EDS 2018: 10). Because of their efforts, the children of Midway, including the Haera and Mulqueeney children, were granted a schoolhouse that served the entire community until 1943. The Haeras and Mulqueeney initially petitioned the Alameda School District in the 1870s, and continued to solicit the district for a public schoolhouse until its construction in 1883. Both the Haeras and Mulqueeney were integral to the development of the community's school district and schoolhouse. Therefore, the property retains sufficient associative significance to meet this criterion.

Under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion C/3/C–E, the Midway Public School does not appear to be of significant design. The schoolhouse is a common example of one-room schoolhouses, and therefore lacks distinction as a property type because it does not represent a transition of evolution of schoolhouses within a local or larger regional context. Additionally, the resource is not the work of a master. The carpenter H.B. Goochey designed the property, but there is no indication that Goochey can be considered a master builder. As such, the former Midway Public School lacks sufficient significance under this criterion.

Under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion D/4/F, the Midway Public School is not significant as a source, or likely source, of important historical information, nor does it appear likely to yield important information about historic construction methods, materials, or technologies. As such, the property lacks sufficient associative significance to meet this criterion.

While the Midway Public School possesses significance under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Criteria A/1/A and B/2/B, the building does not retain sufficient integrity to convey significance as discussed below.

Location is the place where the Midway Public School was constructed and operated. The former schoolhouse was moved to its present location in ca. 1950 (EDS 2018: 22). Since the location of the Midway Public School is not the same as it was at the time of its construction in 1883, the subject property does not retain its integrity of location.

Design is the combination of planned, developed, and constructed elements of the building that created its form, plan, and structure. The property's design as a one-room schoolhouse is altered by the construction of the shed addition and use as a horse stable and tack storage. Therefore, its integrity of design is diminished. Additionally, the removal of the original front entry porch impacts the integrity of design. As such, the subject property lacks sufficient integrity of design to convey its significance under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Criteria A/1/A and B/2/B (Exhibits 10, 11, 12).

Integrity of materials consists of the physical elements that were combined to form the Midway Public School during its construction. The materials associated with the subject building include the corrugated metal roof, wood cladding, wood-framed windows, and wooden porch and overhang. While the roof and cladding have remained



intact, at the time of the 2018 and 2024 recordation, the windows were covered, and the porch was no longer present. Therefore, the building has a diminished integrity of materials.

Setting is the physical environment of Midway Public School, which includes properties adjacent to the structure, as well as the rural landscape of the Livermore Valley that characterizes the area. To retain integrity of setting, the existing general land uses adjacent to the property must be similar to those that existed historically during the school's period of significance. The surrounding character of the place in which the property is located has changed significantly. The property maintains its rural setting, but it is surrounded by other structures and buildings that were not present at the time of its construction. Additionally, the mass amount of transmission lines and the Tesla Substation significantly impact the integrity of setting. As such, the Midway Public School does not retain integrity of setting.

Feeling is conveyed through the Midway Public School's ability to express its historic function and feel from its period of significance. The property's function as a public schoolhouse located in rural Midway Station has not been maintained. Because of the building's present use as a horse stable and tack storage and the addition of the shed, the property displays a diminished integrity of feeling.

Association is the direct link between the Midway Public School and its role as the agricultural industry and Midway community developed. The property is no longer used as a schoolhouse, and as such does not retain its integrity of association.

Workmanship is the physical evidence of the crafts of a particular culture or people during any given period in history or prehistory. The workmanship required to construct the 1883 Midway Public School has been minimally altered by the removal of the porch and addition of the shed. As such, the property does not display integrity of workmanship.

Because the Midway Public School lacks the necessary integrity to convey its significance under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Criteria A/1/A and B/2/B, Dudek finds that the property is not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP, CRHR, or the Alameda County Register. The building does not need to meet NRHP Criteria Consideration B or the CRHR Special Consideration for moved buildings because the building's loss of integrity makes it ineligible for listing.

Exhibits 10, 11, 12. Midway Public School ca. 1930 (left), in 2018 (middle), and in 2024 (right).







Sources: LHG 2024; EDS 2018; Dudek, October 2024.



### P-39-000098 Western Pacific Railroad/Union Pacific Railroad

The field survey was done from the public right-of-way and visibility of the tracks was limited. The segment of the WPRR/UPRR documented herein extends approximately 1.47 miles in northeastern Alameda County near the community of Midway. Within the survey area is a railroad bridge that runs perpendicular to Patterson Pass Road (Exhibit 13). The bridge is concrete supported by two headers each with four piers. Metal railing flank either side of the bridge. The resource measures approximately 87 feet long and 17 feet wide.



Exhibit 13. Railroad and bridge, camera facing south.

Source: Dudek, October 2024.

The WPRR has been inventoried and evaluated numerous times and throughout a variety of counties for which the railroad passed. These evaluations included the tracks, alignment, and associated features like bridges. It is recognized that the WPRR made significant contributions to history under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion A/1/A but the resource lacks the necessary integrity to convey that significance. The State Historic Preservation Officer has previously determined the WPRR not eligible and in multiple counties it is assigned a 6Z (Found ineligible for the NRHP, CRHR, and local designation through survey evaluation) California Historical Resources Status Code. No new information was uncovered as part of the Potentia Viridi BESS Project that warrants a redetermination of this resource. Dudek concurs that the WPRR and the portion in the study area for this Project is not eligible for the NRHP and the CRHR. For the same reasons that the WPRR was determined not eligible for the NRHP, the resource is not eligible for the Alameda County Register.



The loss of integrity for this resource has been well established in previous documentation. There is no new information that would warrant a reassessment of integrity.

### P-39-004290 Historic Telegraph Line

Property access was not granted for the survey of this resource and because of safety concerns the resource could not be surveyed from the public right-of-way. Therefore, this description is based on Google Earth imagery from 2021 (Google Earth 2021). The line within the study area extends approximately 1.44 miles in northeastern Alameda County, situated southwest of the Tesla Substation near the unincorporated community of Midway (Exhibit 14). The telegraph line consists of wooden poles. Farther east of the study area, a segment was recorded that noted several of the poles appeared to have been replaced (Egherman 2001).



Source: Google Earth 2021.

The telegraph line was previously recorded in 2001 by URS Corporation but was not evaluated. Therefore, Dudek evaluated the telegraph line and has determined that the resource does not meet the criteria for the NRHP or the CRHR. Dudek also evaluated the line using the criteria of the Alameda County Register and determined that it does not meet any of the criteria, which are nearly identical criteria to those of the NRHP and the CRHR.

Under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion A/1/A, the telegraph line has no specific important associations with significant historic events or patterns of development. Under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion B/2/B, the resource has no demonstrable association with the lives of persons important to history. The telegraph line is not significant under NRHP/CRHR Criterion C/3 and Alameda County Register Criterion C/D/E



because the line is not an important example of its type, period, or method of construction, nor is it the work of a master, and it does not possess high artistic value. The resource is a common example of a telegraph line and is not considered important for its engineering. Under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion D/4/F, the resource is not significant as a source (or likely source) of important information regarding history, and it does not appear to have any likelihood of yielding important information about historic construction materials or technologies.

Integrity is the ability of a property to convey its significance. The assessment of a property's integrity can only proceed after its significance has been fully established. The assessment of integrity requires consideration under the seven aspects or qualities. To retain integrity, a property will always possess several, and generally most, aspects of integrity. Determining which aspects are most important requires an understanding of why, where, and when the property is significant. Because the subject property does not meet any of the criteria for listing in the NRHP, CRHR, or Alameda County Register, an integrity analysis is considered immaterial for the subject resource.

### P-39-005337 Tesla-Salado-Manteca 115 kV Transmission Line

The segment of the Tesla-Salado-Manteca Transmission Line within the survey area extends approximately 0.93 miles from the Tesla Substation in northeastern Alameda County near the community of Midway (Exhibit 15). The segment is part of an approximately 50-mile-long transmission line that runs from the Tesla Substation to the Manteca Substation in the north and the Salado Substation to the south. The single circuit line features pole and tower types, but predominantly consists of wooden poles (Walker 2017).





Exhibit 15. Tesla-Salado-Manteca Transmission Line, camera facing south.

Source: Dudek, October 2024.

This transmission line was evaluated in 2017, using the NRHP and CRHR criteria. That evaluation concluded the resource was not eligible for the NRHP and the CRHR because of a lack of significance and integrity (Walker 2017: 2). Dudek discovered no new information that would require a re-evaluation of the transmission line's NRHP and CRHR eligibility. For the same reasons that the line is not eligible for the NRHP and the CRHR, Dudek determined that it does not meet the criteria for the Alameda County Register, which are nearly identical criteria to those of the NRHP and the CRHR.

Integrity is the ability of a property to convey its significance. The assessment of a property's integrity can only proceed after its significance has been fully established. The assessment of integrity requires consideration under the seven aspects or qualities. To retain integrity, a property will always possess several, and generally most, aspects of integrity. Determining which aspects are most important requires an understanding of why, where, and when the property is significant. Because the subject property does not meet any of the criteria for listing in the NRHP, CRHR, or Alameda County Register, an integrity analysis is considered immaterial for the subject resource.

### Kelso to Tesla Transmission Line

The Kelso to Tesla Transmission Line documented herein follows a north-to-south alignment in northeastern Alameda County (Exhibit 16). Within the survey area, the segment of the transmission line extends approximately 0.5 miles. Dudek observed no changes to the towers or line since the last recordation in 2011.





#### Exhibit 16. Tower and transmission line (center), camera facing north.

Source: Google Earth 2021.

The Kelso to Tesla Transmission Line was evaluated in 2011 by AECOM as part of a PG&E reconductoring project. AECOM's evaluation was done to satisfy the requirements of Section 106 of the NHPA and CEQA. The report and DPR form set were never submitted to the CHRIS and therefore the resource was not assigned a Primary Number. AECOM determined that the transmission line was not eligible for the NRHP or the CRHR. Dudek uncovered no new information that necessitated a re-evaluation of this resource and concurs that the Kelso to Tesla Transmission Line is not eligible for the NRHP or the CRHR. For the same reasons why the line does not meet the criteria of the NRHP and the CRHR, Dudek has determined that it does not meet the criteria of the Alameda County Register, which are nearly identical criteria to those of the NRHP and the CRHR.

Integrity is the ability of a property to convey its significance. The assessment of a property's integrity can only proceed after its significance has been fully established. The assessment of integrity requires consideration under the seven aspects or qualities. To retain integrity, a property will always possess several, and generally most, aspects of integrity. Determining which aspects are most important requires an understanding of why, where, and when the property is significant. Because the subject property does not meet any of the criteria for listing in the NRHP, CRHR, or Alameda County Register, an integrity analysis is considered immaterial for the subject resource.



### **Mulqueeney Ranch**

The Mulqueeney Ranch is located on APN 99B-7925-2-1 in eastern Alameda County. The Mulqueeney Ranch and former Midway Public School (P-01-012147) are located within the same parcel, but only the ranch will be discussed here. The ranch consists of a residence and several outbuildings (Exhibits 17 and 18). View of the residence is partially obscured by vegetation but appears to have a rectangular footprint and a composition roof, with walls clad in stucco. Fenestration includes horizontal sliding vinyl windows. To the west of the residence is a wood shed with corrugated metal roof. Located southwest of the residence are several outbuildings and structures; one wood garage and one wood shed, each with a corrugated metal roof. East of the wood-framed shed is a corrugated metal loafing shed. South of the residence are two other sheds—one of corrugated metal and one wood-framed with corrugated metal roof.

Exhibit 17. Mulqueeney Ranch residence (right), outbuildings (left), looking northwest.



Source: Dudek, 2024.





Exhibit 18. Outbuildings on Mulqueeney Ranch, looking south.

Source: Dudek, October 2024.

Dudek evaluated the Mulqueeney Ranch for eligibility under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register criteria and determined that the resource does not meet the criteria for any of the three programs.

Under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion A/1/A, Mulqueeney Ranch has no specific important associations with significant historic events or patterns of development. The property was originally an approximately 5,000-acre sheep ranch. Sheep ranching was an important industry in the region, but the land is no longer operated as a sheep ranch. Additionally, the present-day acreage does not comprise the original 5,000 acres due to the construction of the Tesla Substation. The earliest available aerial, dated 1949, does not show the present-day extant buildings and therefore cannot reflect its association as a former sheep ranch. Research does not support that this property played an important role in the development of the ranching industry or the development of the larger region. Therefore, it does not meet NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion A/1/A. Michael Mulqueeney is significant as an early settler in Midway, and while the property remains in the Mulqueeney family, Michael passed away before the construction of the extant buildings and outbuildings. Under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion B/2/B, the property has no demonstrable association with the lives of persons important to history. The ranch is not significant under NRHP/CRHR Criterion C/3 and Alameda County Register Criterion C/D/E because the buildings are not important examples of their type, period, or method of construction. Rather, they are common examples and lack a discernible architectural style. The buildings do not represent the work of a master and do not possess high artistic value. Under NRHP/CRHR/Alameda County Register Criterion D/4/F, this property is not significant as a source (or likely source) of important information regarding

DUDEK

history, and it does not appear to have any likelihood of yielding important information about historic construction materials or technologies.

Integrity is the ability of a property to convey its significance. The assessment of a property's integrity can only proceed after its significance has been fully established. The assessment of integrity requires consideration under the seven aspects or qualities. To retain integrity, a property will always possess several, and generally most, aspects of integrity. Determining which aspects are most important requires an understanding of why, where, and when the property is significant. Because the subject property does not meet any of the criteria for listing in the NRHP, CRHR, or Alameda County Register, an integrity analysis is considered immaterial for the subject resource.

## 5 Summary of Findings and Management Considerations

As a result of Dudek's research, field survey, and property significance evaluations, the following section presents a summary of eligibility for the subject properties in the APE and survey area, and management recommendations. The current cultural resources inventory was completed to satisfy the requirements of CEQA.

## 5.1 Archaeological Resources Findings

Dudek's cultural resources inventory of the Project APE suggests that there is a low-to-moderate potential for inadvertent impacts to previously unidentified archaeological cultural resources or deposits. While neither the CHRIS records search nor the NAHC Sacred Lands File search identified any discrete archaeological or built environment resources within the APE, review of the geomorphology indicates a low-to-moderate potential for the presence of intact subsurface soils that could support archaeological deposits.

A cultural resources survey was completed of all undeveloped areas within the 85-acre APE. No cultural resources were identified during this survey. Visibility of the ground surface was highly restricted due to the presence of thick, low-laying grasses and other non-native vegetation. Soils in the northwest portion of the APE, in the vicinity of the waterway, do have low-to-moderate potential to support the presence of buried cultural deposits. Although there are not a high number of known cultural resources within the surrounding 0.5 miles, the low visibility of the ground surface during pedestrian survey, geomorphic setting, and lack of previous disturbance indicate that the Project does have a low-to-moderate potential of encountering unanticipated cultural resources within undeveloped areas of the APE.

Recommended cultural resources mitigation should include preparation of measures for unanticipated cultural resources and human remains. With this strategy implemented, no cultural resources would be impacted (No Historic Properties Affected) by the Project as currently designed.

### 5.2 Archaeological Resources Management Recommendations

### 5.2.1 Unanticipated Discovery of Archaeological Resources

In the event that archaeological resources (sites, features, or artifacts) are exposed during construction activities for the proposed Project, all construction work occurring within 100 feet of the find shall immediately stop until a qualified archaeologist, meeting the Secretary of the Interior's Professional Qualification Standards, can evaluate the significance of the find and determine whether or not additional study is warranted. Recommendations will be dependent upon the potential for the find to be considered significant under CEQA (14 CCR 15064.5(f); PRC Section 21082). If the discovery proves potentially significant under CEQA, coordination with the lead agency and other designated parties is likely to be required. Additional work such as preparation of an archaeological treatment plan, testing, or data recovery may be warranted and should be developed based on the conditions and nature of the find. See Table 7 for a list of the Project's agency contacts for Cultural Resources.



### 5.2.2 Unanticipated Discovery of Human Remains

In accordance with Section 7050.5 of the California Health and Safety Code, if human remains are found, the County Coroner shall be immediately notified of the discovery. No further excavation or disturbance of the site or any nearby area reasonably suspected to overlie adjacent remains shall occur until the County Coroner has determined, within 2 working days of notification of the discovery, if the potential remains are human in origin. If the County Coroner determines that the remains are, or are believed to be, Native American, the County Coroner shall notify the NAHC in Sacramento within 24 hours. In accordance with California Public Resources Code, Section 5097.98, the NAHC must immediately notify those persons it believes to be the most likely descendant (MLD) from of the deceased Native American. The MLD shall provide recommendations on next steps within 48 hours of being granted access to the site. The designated Native American representative would then determine, in consultation with the property owner, the disposition of the human remains and/or related burial goods.

## 5.3 Built Environment Findings

One resource is in the APE, the Tesla Substation and Tesla Substation Butler Building (P--01-010502), which was re-evaluated for listing in the NRHP, the CRHR, and the Alameda County Register and was found ineligible under all criteria. As such, it is not considered a historical resource under CEQA. The recommended California Historical Resources Status Code for each resource is 6Z (found ineligible for the NRHP, CRHR, or local designation through survey evaluation).

## 5.4 Built Environment Management Recommendations

No further work for built environment cultural resources is necessary prior to the proposed Project implementation.

Issue	Agency	Contact
Federal Regulatory	United States Army Corp of	TBD
Requirements	Engineers	
Native American Tribal Cultural	Native American Heritage	1550 Harbord Blvd, Suite 100
Resources, Traditional Cultural	Commission	West Sacramento, CA 95691
Properties, Most Likely		(916) 373-3710
Descendent Designation		
Human Remains	Alameda County Coroner's Office	2901 Peralta Oaks Ct.
		Oakland, CA 94605
		(510) 382-3000

### **Table 7. Agency Contacts for Cultural Resources**



## 6 References

- AECOM. 2011. Final Cultural Resources Technical Report Kelso Substation to Tesla Substation 230 kV Reconductoring Project. Prepared for Pacific Gas and Electric. Original on file with Pacific Gas and Electric Company, San Ramon, California.
- Alameda County. 2024a. "Ordinance 2012-5." Accessed May 28, 2024. https://www.acgov.org/cda/planning/generalplans/documents/HPO\_Signed.pdf.
- Alameda County. 2024b. "About Us." Accessed October 30, 2024. https://www.acgov.org/about/.
- ACHS (Alameda County Historical Society). 2024. "ACHS Mission and History." Accessed November 21, 2024. https://www.alamedacountyhistory.org/.
- Allen, P. S., M. Walker, and I. Eschen. 2020. Pacific Gas and Electric Company Historic-Era Electrical Infrastructure Management Plan.
- APLIC (Avian Power Line Interaction Committee). 2006. Suggested Practices for Avian Protection on Power Lines" The State of the Art in 2006. Edison Electric Institute, APLIC, and the California Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. and Sacramento, California.
- Dudek. 2021. Archaeological Resources Inventory Report for the Delta Dams Delta Dams Rodent Burrow Remediation Project at Dyer Reservoir and Dam and Patterson Reservoir and Dam. Encinitas, California: Dudek.
- EDS (Evans & De Shazo, Inc.). 2018. *Historic Resource Survey and Assessment for the 1883 Midway Public School Relocation and Restoration Project, Alameda County, California*. Submitted to Livermore Heritage Guild. Copy on file with the Northwest Information Center, Rohnert Park, California.
- Egherman, R. 2001. "DPR 523 Form for the TPP-3 Telegraph Poles along the Western Pacific Railroad." URS Corporation. July 12, 2001.
- Farrell, J. 2013. "DPR 523 Form for the Tracy-Tesla Transmission Line." Tetra Tech, Inc. March 12, 2013.
- FWE (Foster Wheeler Environmental). 2002. "DPR 523 Form for the Heara-Brockman-Griffith Ranch." June 13, 2002.
- Google Earth. 2021. "Telegraph line located east of Patterson Pass Road [street view image]." 37°42'25.65"N and 121°34'30.15"W. Google Earth, Version 7. Mountainview, California: Google Earth Mapping Service. Accessed November 20, 2024.
- Lang, J. 2008. "DPR 523 Form for the Pittsburg-Tesla Transmission Line. Garcia and Associates." October 22, 2008.



- LHG (Livermore Heritage Guild). 2024. "Saving Yesterday for Tomorrow." Accessed November 21, 2024. https://www.lhg.org/About.html.
- MT (The Morning Times). 1878. "Election Notice." Newspapers.com: *The Morning Times* (Oakland, California). May 25, 1878.
- NETR (Nationwide Environmental Title Research). 2024a. "Historical Aerial Photographs of the Project Boundary, Alameda County, California, dating from 1949, 1957, 1958, 19569, 1966, 1968, 1971, 1979, 1981, 1982, 1987, 1993, 2005, 2009, 2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, and 2020." Accessed July 2024. https://www.historicaerials.com/viewer.
- NETR. 2024b. "Historical Topographical Maps of the Project Boundary, Alameda County, California, dating from 1907, 1914, 1929, 1941, 1942, 1943, 1948, 1955, 1964, 1969, 1975, 1981, 1986, 2012, 2015, 2018, and 2021." Accessed July, 2024. https://www.historicaerials.com/viewer.
- NPS (National Park Service). 1997. National Register Bulletin: How to Apply the National Register Criteria for Evaluation. U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C.
- PAR (PAR Environmental Services, Inc.). 2003. "DPR 523 Form for Midway Road." Prepared for A Cultural Resource Assessment for the Proposed Tesla Reclaimed Waterline Project, Alameda and San Joaquin Counties, California. On file at the California Energy Commission, Sacramento.
- Reddy, S., D. Duryea, Jr., K. Swope, J. Windingstad, and M. Lerch. 2014. "DPR 523 Form for P-01-011480 and P-01-011479." Statistical Research, Inc. January 22, 2014.
- Reeve, S. 2002. "DPR 523 Form for the 600 kV Transmissions Lines." Foster Wheeler Environmental. June 13, 2002.
- Supernowicz, D. 2017. "DPR 523 Form Set for the Contra Costa-Moraga/Pittsburg-Tesla PG&E Electrical Transmission Lines (P-01-010947)." Historic Resource Associates.
- USDA (U.S. Department of Agriculture). 2024. "Web Soil Survey." Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture. Accessed July 2024. http://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/.
- Walker, M. 2017. "DPR 523 Form for the Tesla-Salado-Manteca 115 kV Transmission Line." Cardno, Inc. August 1, 2017.
- WRCC (Western Regional Climate Center). 2023. "Historical Climate Information: Tracy Pumping Plant, California (049001)." Accessed August 2023. https://wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/cliMAIN.pl?ca9001

# **Appendix A (Confidential)**

Records Search Maps and Information

# **Appendix B (Confidential)**

NAHC and Tribal Coordination



## **Appendix D** Key Personnel Resumes