DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	24-IEPR-03
Project Title:	Electricity Demand Forecast
TN #:	260597
Document Title:	Presentation - Hourly Electricity Demand
Description:	4. Nick Fugate, CEC
Filer:	Raquel Kravitz
Organization:	California Energy Commission
Submitter Role:	Commission Staff
Submission Date:	12/11/2024 4:08:28 PM
Docketed Date:	12/11/2024



Hourly Electricity Demand

California Energy Demand Forecast Update, 2024-2040 Nick Fugate, Energy Assessments



- Input to system and reliability modeling
- Monthly system peak days serve as a system-level benchmark for Resource Adequacy
- Detailed planning use cases outlined in Single Forecast Set agreement published in each IEPR

For IOU TAC areas, peak loads are derived from hourly load modeling



- 1. Apply base load profile to annual "consumption" forecast
- 2. Adjust hourly consumption using profiles for:
 - Climate change impacts
 - Electric vehicle charging
 - Behind-the-meter PV generation and storage
 - "Additional Achievable" efficiency and electrification
- 3. Calibrate to weather-normal base-year peak load



1. Address areas of concern identified in previous cycles

- High PG&E calibration adjustment
- Low SDG&E coincidence factor
- Large delta between consumption and system peaks
- System ramps vs actuals
- 2. Facilitate future work
 - Expand use of downscaled, localized climate projections
 - Develop stochastic data sets

Estimating Consumption Profiles

- 1. Hourly models estimated with recent load/weather data, used to simulate consumption under different weather patterns
 - Simulations can use historic weather or synthetic climate data
- 2. Simulated consumption profiles serve multiple purposes
 - Direct input to reliability studies (CEC/CPUC)
 - 1-in-2 load duration curve (IEPR forecast)
 - 8760 base consumption profile (IEPR forecast)

More details covered at a July 30 IEPR workshop



- Additional historical years used to estimate models
 - Draft forecast used 2016-2023, excluding 2020
 - Previous forecast used 2020-2022
- Revised PV generation profiles used to construct historical consumption
 - Less consumption assumed during PV generation hours
- New load management program events
 - Demand Side Grid Support Program (DSGS)
 - Emergency Load Reduction Program (ELRP)
- Out-of-market grid-connected storage systems (SCE only)



- Revised hourly model specification to reflect:
 - Changes in system load profile over time
 - Increasing temperature response
- Forecast calibrated to 2024 weather-normal annual peak estimate
 - Annual sales forecast adjusted by the ratio of EMS system loads and reported QFER sales
- Modified calendar assignment process to improve stability of consumption profile (timing of monthly peaks)





Source: CEC staff

Shown above, model predictions with 2021-2023 weather data were used to construct system loads over that same time period. Simulated load distributions are well aligned with observations.

Load Modifier Updates

• Self-generation

- Updated PV and storage forecasts
- Forecast PV generation profiles reflect lower capacity factors
- Additional Achievable modifiers
 - Fuel Substitution
 - Transportation Electrification
- New load modifiers
 - New data center load
 - New carriers to be stationed at Naval Base Coronado (SDG&E)



Results



PG&E Non-Coincident Peak



PG&E Weather-normal Peak

- PG&E summer daily peak load relative to temperature has been consistently declining since 2016
- This translates to a weathernormal peak estimate for 2024 which will appear low relative to recent historical peaks

24000 -21000 year 2016 daily peak load (mw) 2017 2018 2019 18000 -2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 15000 -12000 -80 90 100 daily max631 temperature

PGE - summer weekday peak loads vs temperatures

SCE Non-Coincident Peak



SDG&E Non-Coincident Peak







Load Modifier Impacts on Peak



Source: CEC staff

All load growth categories have increased relative to last cycle. The largest increase in a single category comes from newly added data center load.





Additional achievable fuel substitution adds substantial heating load to winter morning hours.

Fuel substitution impacts on the winter peak hour (February, hour 8) surpass 23,000 MW by 2040, causing winter peak loads to approach summer peak levels.





Max system ramps are an input to CAISO's Flexible RA study

Chart compares max three-hour ramp from two CED vintages (forecast year 2024) against actuals-to-date for 2024

Forecast ramps are reasonably aligned with observed levels

Consumption vs System Peak

CPUC's modeling team expressed concern with the large delta between CED 2023 consumption and system peak forecasts

CED 2024 shows a smaller delta, primarily the result of reduced PV capacity factors







Higher CED 2024 forecast leads to increases across most months

System peak falls in September rather than July

PG&E and SCE TACs peak in different parts of August

CAISO Peak Day Profiles - 2026



2026 monthly peaks generally higher relative to CED 2023

Other hours of the summer peak days see increased load in CED 2024

- Reduced PV
- Higher forecast
- Marginal PG&E calibration

Monthly Coincident Peak Days PG&E - 2026



Some changes to coincident peak day profiles are attributable to timing of revised consumption peak days

August peak driven by PG&E in CED 2023 but by SCE in CED 2024 profile





Similarly, the change in timing of the CAISO August peak from early to late August leads to an increase in the coincident SCE TAC peak day profile

Monthly Coincident Peak Days SDG&E - 2026



CED 2023 showed remarkably low coincidence for the SDG&E August peak

Revised CED 2024 profiles show more reasonable coincidence with SCE/CAISO and consequently a higher peak-day profile





