

DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	24-OPT-05
Project Title:	Corby Battery Energy Storage System Project
TN #:	260478
Document Title:	David Schwartzel, P.Eng Comments - Why close to Vacaville and Dixon
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	David Schwartzel, P.Eng
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	12/4/2024 8:14:11 PM
Docketed Date:	12/5/2024

Comment Received From: David Schwartzel, P.Eng
Submitted On: 12/4/2024
Docket Number: 24-OPT-05

Why close to Vacaville and Dixon

I opposed the former option in Vacaville for precisely the same reasons....a fire at this facility will be in close proximity to spread to Vacaville and Dixon depending on the wind direction....we already have to contend with the stinky Recology landfill site with the prevailing winds...so as indicated earlier....spend the money and build a substation far away from our cities...this project has minimal benefit to we PG&E customers regardless of the hyperbole - we have never seen a reduction in our bills and the cost of this infrastructure will be recouped by the investors plus ROI and this will cost us more in our energy bills. So, let's just cut to the chase and agree to move these facilities once and for all away from population centers. In 5 years we'll have 50 MW safe nuclear mini reactors available much like Amazon and Meta are looking at for their datacenters so let's be a little proactive and not put in a facility that is going to be obsolete in short order (on our dime) and a public health hazard along the way. Just talking common sense here...

Best,
David