

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	01-AFC-07C
Project Title:	01-AFC-7C Russell City Energy Company
TN #:	200831
Document Title:	California Pilots Association Comments: Your Correspondence
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	California Pilots Association
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	10/11/2013 11:23:49 AM
Docketed Date:	10/11/2013

Comment Received From: California Pilots Association
Submitted On: 10/11/2013
Docket Number: 01-AFC-07C

Your Correspondence

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

Subject: FW: Your Correspondence

From: Jewell Hargleroad [<mailto:jewellhargleroad@mac.com>]

Sent: Thursday, October 10, 2013 7:20 PM

To: Bell, Kevin W@Energy

Cc: Johnson, Roger@Energy; Ogata, Jeffery@Energy; Boyer, Bruce@Energy; Marxen, Chris@Energy; Energy - Docket Optical System; Carol Ford; Andy Wilson

Subject: Re: Your Correspondence

Kevin,

I disagree that Roger Johnson's letter was appropriately addressed or that it accurately summarized the issues raised by California Pilots Association. It was blatantly misleading and posted in isolation, as it was when I received it, prior to my email sent yesterday demanding that the docket entry be corrected, was intended to mislead the public into believing that I personally raised these issues concerning changes in modeling and FAA rules of citing power plants near airports, as Calpine's RCEC was cited near the Hayward General Aviation Airport. (Since then, based on my initial review, the Docket department recently re-posted various items which appears to correctly identify these as CalPilots comments.)

As reflected by the letter itself, the first sentence states: " This letter is in response to *your* comments from the August 9, 2013, meeting with California Energy Commission (Energy Commission) staff, *and your* subsequent August and September, 2013 emails *regarding* California Pilots Association (CPA) concerns." (Italics added.) As clearly stated in the first sentence of my August 15, 2013, email, my communications were "*On behalf of* California Pilots Association . . ." (Italics added.)

Every issue, however, of this seven page single spaced letter listing eight purported issues in all was entitled "**You assert . . .**," not CalPilots. (Emphasis original.) Other than the reference discussed above, CalPilots is not even mentioned until page 5 in one paragraph referring to a prior communication. The letter was clearly intended to appear that I personally raised these issues and this was a response to an individual, misleading the public into believing that this addressed one individual's issue, not a statewide aeronautics organization.

On behalf of CalPilots which I represent, this is to object to this improper attempt to mislead the public. These are important and serious health and safety issues being raised concerning hazardous thermal plumes emitted from RCEC which the CEC staff recommended against at this location based on aeronautics.

Presently CalPilots is in the process of reviewing Roger's letter which he took over two months to prepare to purportedly respond to the new information raised by CalPilots requiring an evidentiary hearing. We will be following up once that review is completed.

Jewell J. Hargleroad, Esq.
Law Office of Jewell Hargleroad
Ph: 510-331-2975
San Francisco East Bay, California
<http://www.jewellhargleroad.com>

IMPORTANT/CONFIDENTIAL: This message is intended only for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It contains information which may be privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, please be aware that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately. This email is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521 and is legally privileged.

On Oct 10, 2013, at 9:17 AM, Bell, Kevin W@Energy wrote:

Ms. Hargleroad,

Roger Johnson's October 8 letter, addressed and sent to you at your law office, was in response to your multiple requests to Mr. Johnson and Energy Commission staff for information on behalf of the California Pilots Association. That letter and the docket entry accurately indicate that the letter is in response to CalPilots concerns. If you no longer represent CalPilots in this matter, please advise to whom the letter should be addressed and we will correspond with that person or entity.

Regards,

-KWB

Kevin W. Bell

Senior Staff Counsel
California Energy Commission
(916) 654-3855