

DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	23-AFC-02
Project Title:	Elmore North Geothermal Project (ENGP)
TN #:	257654
Document Title:	Report of Conversation w Jerry Salamy, Project Manager at Jacobs
Description:	Report of Conversation for Black Rock Geothermal Project, Morton Bay Geothermal Project, and Elmore North Geothermal Project Subject: Clarification of Project Site and Parcel Sizes
Filer:	susan fleming
Organization:	California Energy Commission
Submitter Role:	Commission Staff
Submission Date:	7/9/2024 12:58:54 PM
Docketed Date:	7/9/2024

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

REPORT OF CONVERSATION

Page 1 of 1



*Siting, Transmission
and Environmental
Protection Division*

FILE: n/a

PROJECT TITLE: BRGP, MBGP, and ENGP

Docket: 23-AFC-03,
23-AFC-01, and 23-
AFC-02

TECHNICAL AREA(s): Project Description

Telephone Email Meeting Location: N/A

NAME(s): Eric Veerkamp, Project Manager at CEC **DATE:** From 05-07-24 to 05-14-24 **TIME:**


WITH: Jerry Salamy, Project Manager at Jacobs

SUBJECT: Clarification of Project Site and Parcel Sizes

COMMENTS:

CEC staff contacted the applicant's representative, Jerry Salamy with Jacobs, for clarification of project site and parcel sizes for the three proposed geothermal projects (Black Rock Geothermal Project, Morton Bay Geothermal Project, and Elmore North Geothermal Project).

See the attached email chain between Eric Veerkamp, Project Manager at the California Energy Commission, and Jerry Salamy for clarification of project site and parcel sizes.

<p>cc: Eric Veerkamp, Project Manager Steven Kerr, Energy Resources Specialist</p>	<p>Signed:  Name: Andrea Koch, Environmental Planning Specialist</p>
---	--

From: Veerkamp, Eric@Energy
To: Koch, Andrea@Energy; Hinde, Jeanine@Energy; Kerr, Steven@Energy
Subject: FW: CEC inquiry, geothermal AFC acreage figures; Applicant Response
Date: Tuesday, May 14, 2024 11:37:02 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Hello Andrea, Jeanine and Steve,
Please see the email and table from Jerry Salamy about acreage figures for the geothermal AFCs. I hope this provides the needed clarification, I believe it does.

Eric W. Veerkamp
Project Manager
STEP Division
California Energy Commission
916-661-8458
Eric.Veerkamp@energy.ca.gov
www.energy.ca.gov



From: Salamy, Jerry <Jerry.Salamy@jacobs.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 14, 2024 11:15 AM
To: Veerkamp, Eric@Energy <Eric.Veerkamp@energy.ca.gov>
Cc: Madams, Sarah <Sarah.Madams@jacobs.com>; Xayachack, Lindsey <Lindsey.Xayachack@jacobs.com>
Subject: RE: CEC inquiry, geothermal AFC acreage figures

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Eric,

Below are the BHER BRGP, ENGP, and MBGP's parcel and project size in acres.

Site	APN	Parcel (from ParcelQuest) acres	Project Site to fenceline acres
BRGP	020-110-008	160	51
ENGP	020-100-038	140*	51
MBGP	020-100-007	160	51

Notes:

*Parcel 020-100-039 (existing Elmore Geothermal Plant which is not part of the ENGP) is immediately southwest of the ENGP parcel and is approximately 20 acres which accounts for the difference in acreage between BRGP/MBGP and ENGP.

Thanks,

Jerry Salamy | [Jacobs](#) | Project Manager
M:+916.769.8919 | jerry.salamy@jacobs.com
2485 Natomas Park Drive, Suite 600 | Sacramento, CA 95833 | USA
Upcoming PTO – June 14th to 26th and July 12th to the 17th

From: Veerkamp, Eric@Energy <Eric.Veerkamp@energy.ca.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 10:16 AM
To: Salamy, Jerry <Jerry.Salamy@jacobs.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: CEC inquiry, geothermal AFC acreage figures



Veerkamp, Eric@Energy reacted to your message:

From: Salamy, Jerry <Jerry.Salamy@jacobs.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 8, 2024 5:15:41 PM
To: Veerkamp, Eric@Energy <Eric.Veerkamp@energy.ca.gov>; Madams, Sarah <Sarah.Madams@jacobs.com>; Xayachack, Lindsey <Lindsey.Xayachack@jacobs.com>
Cc: Koch, Andrea@Energy <Andrea.Koch@energy.ca.gov>; Hinde, Jeanine@Energy <Jeanine.Hinde@Energy.ca.gov>; Kerr, Steven@Energy <Steven.Kerr@energy.ca.gov>
Subject: RE: CEC inquiry, geothermal AFC acreage figures

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Eric,

We will provide a table that identifies the acreage for the APN and project site for each project to clarify.

Thanks,

Jerry Salamy | [Jacobs](#) | Project Manager
M:+916.769.8919 | jerry.salamy@jacobs.com
2485 Natomas Park Drive, Suite 600 | Sacramento, CA 95833 | USA
Upcoming PTO – June 14th to 26th and July 12th to the 17th

From: Veerkamp, Eric@Energy <Eric.Veerkamp@energy.ca.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, May 7, 2024 4:28 PM
To: Salamy, Jerry <Jerry.Salamy@jacobs.com>; Madams, Sarah <Sarah.Madams@jacobs.com>; Xayachack, Lindsey <Lindsey.Xayachack@jacobs.com>
Cc: Koch, Andrea@Energy <Andrea.Koch@energy.ca.gov>; Hinde, Jeanine@Energy

<Jeanine.Hinde@Energy.ca.gov>; Kerr, Steven@Energy <Steven.Kerr@energy.ca.gov>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] CEC inquiry, geothermal AFC acreage figures

Hi Jerry,

We are requesting a bit of clarification on the acreage figures for the geothermal sites.

The revised general arrangement figures for ENGP (TN 253187) and MBGP (TN 253188) show that those two project sites are the same...same arrangement and same equipment, based on the drawings. However, the ENGP figure notes the site as “approximately 50 acres,” and the same note on the MBGP figure says “approximately 80 acres.”

In the Alts sections for ENGP and MBGP, the power plant sites described as 63-acre sites, which is repeated in several places in the original applications.

It would appear on all accounts that the acreage figure should be the same for both ENGP and MBGP. Can you please confirm acreage figures of the overall parcels (160 acres, as opposed to 140 acres per Imperial County ParcelQuest) and for the power plant sites, as well as the figures for BRGP for good measure.

TY.

Eric W. Veerkamp

Project Manager

STEP Division

California Energy Commission

916-661-8458

Eric.Veerkamp@energy.ca.gov

www.energy.ca.gov



NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

NOTICE - This communication may contain confidential and privileged information that is for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.