| DOCKETED         |   |
|------------------|---|
| Docket Number:   | 23-OIR-03   |
| Project Title:   | General Rulemaking Proceeding for Developing Regulations,<br>Guidelines, and Policies for Implementing SB X1-2 and SB<br>1322 |
| TN #:            | 257571  |
| Document Title:  | std399 for Merchant Terminal Position Holder Emergency<br>Regulations   |
| Description:     | N/A   |
| Filer:           | Donnie Cox  |
| Organization:    | California Energy Commission  |
| Submitter Role:  | Commission Staff  |
| Submission Date: | 7/2/2024 2:31:54 PM   |
| Docketed Date:   | 7/2/2024  |

Instructions and Code Citations: **SAM Section 6601-6616** 

# DocuSign Envelope ID: B2513594-4DC9-48BB-959C-D1B2A54687DA STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

|   | ECONOMIC IVII AC  | ISTATEMENT  |                       |
|---|---|---|-----------------------|
| DEPARTMENT NAME   | CONTACT PERSON  | EMAIL ADDRESS   | TELEPHONE NUMBER      |
| California Energy Commission  | Chad Oliver   | chad.oliver@energy.ca.gov   | 916-891-8569          |
| DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400 Revised SB X1-2 Merchant Terminal Pos  | ition Holder Reporting Requi  | rements   | NOTICE FILE NUMBER    |
| A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMP  | ACTS Include calculations and assu  | umptions in the rulemaking record.  |                       |
| 1. Check the appropriate box(es) below to indic  a. Impacts business and/or employees  b. Impacts small businesses  c. Impacts jobs or occupations  d. Impacts California competitiveness | e. Imposes reportir   | tive instead of performance   |                       |
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,   | -   | ete this Economic Impact Statement.<br>I Impact Statement as appropriate. |                       |
| 2. The(Agency/Department)   | estimates that the econo  | omic impact of this regulation (which includes t                          | he fiscal impact) is: |
| Below \$10 million  |   |   |                       |
| Between \$10 and \$25 million   |   |   |                       |
| Between \$25 and \$50 million   |   |   |                       |
|   | t is over \$50 million, agencies are requi<br>nent Code Section 11346.3(c)] | ired to submit a <u>Standardized Regulatory Impact</u>                    | <u>Assessment</u>     |
| 3. Enter the total number of businesses impacte   | d:  |   |                       |
| Describe the types of businesses (Include nor   | profits):   |   |                       |
| Enter the number or percentage of total businesses impacted that are small businesses   | S:  |   |                       |
| 4. Enter the number of businesses that will be co   | eated: elir   | ninated:  |                       |
| Explain:  |   |   |                       |
| 5. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts: [   | Statewide Local or regional (List areas):                                   |   |                       |
| 6. Enter the number of jobs created:  | and eliminated:   |   |                       |
| Describe the types of jobs or occupations imp   | pacted:   |   |                       |
| <ol> <li>Will the regulation affect the ability of Californ<br/>other states by making it more costly to produle<br/>If YES, explain briefly:</li> </ol>                                  | ice goods or services here?   | YES NO  |                       |
|   |   |   |                       |
|   |   |   |                       |
|   |   |   |                       |

Instructions and Code Citations: **SAM Section 6601-6616** 

# DocuSign Envelope ID: B2513594-4DC9-48BB-959C-D1B2A54687DA STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

### **ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)**

| B. ESTIMATED COSTS Include calculations and assump   | tions in the rulemaking record.                         |                                    |
|--|---|------------------------------------|
| What are the total statewide dollar costs that businesses  | and individuals may incur to comply with this regula    | ation over its lifetime? \$        |
|  | Annual ongoing costs: \$                                |                                    |
| b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$  |   |                                    |
|  | Annual ongoing costs: \$                                |                                    |
| d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:   |   |                                    |
| 2. If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of to  | tal costs for each industry:                            |                                    |
| 3. If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter t<br>Include the dollar costs to do programming, record keeping |   |                                    |
| 4. Will this regulation directly impact housing costs? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$                             | res No  |                                    |
| If YE  | S, enter the annual dollar cost per housing unit: $\$   |                                    |
|  | Number of units:  |                                    |
| 5. Are there comparable Federal regulations?   | ES NO   |                                    |
| Explain the need for State regulation given the existence  | or absence of Federal regulations:                      |                                    |
|  |   |                                    |
| Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individua  | ls that may be due to State - Federal differences: \$ _ |                                    |
| C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS Estimation of the dollar value   | of benefits is not specifically required by rulemaking  | law, but encouraged.               |
| Briefly summarize the benefits of the regulation, which n<br>health and welfare of California residents, worker safety     |   |                                    |
|  |   |                                    |
| 2. Are the benefits the result of: specific statutory requ   | irements, or goals developed by the agency b            | ased on broad statutory authority? |
| Explain:   |   |                                    |
| 3. What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation  | n over its lifetime? \$                                 |                                    |
| 4. Briefly describe any expansion of businesses currently do   | oing business within the State of California that woul  | ld result from this regulation:    |
|  |   |                                    |
| D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION Include calcuspecifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged                     |   |                                    |
| 1. List alternatives considered and describe them below. If  | no alternatives were considered, explain why not: _     |                                    |
|  |   |                                    |
|  |   |                                    |

Instructions and Code Citations: **SAM Section 6601-6616** 

# DocuSign Envelope ID: B2513594-4DC9-48BB-959C-D1B2A54687DA STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

|                                 |  | ECONOMIC INIT   | ici bimibimbi (comi   | (CLD)  |
|---------------------------------|--|---|---|--|
| 2. Summarize the                | total statewide costs                          | and benefits from this regulat  | ion and each alternative considered:  |  |
| Regulation:                     | Benefit: \$                                    | Cost: \$  |   |  |
| Alternative 1:                  |  | Cost: \$  |   |  |
| Alternative 2:                  | Benefit: \$                                    | Cost: \$  |   |  |
|                                 |  | es that are relevant to a compa<br>this regulation or alternative                           |   |  |
| regulation man                  | idates the use of spe                          | o consider performance stand<br>cific technologies or equipmo<br>mance standards considered | ent, or prescribes specific   | □ NO   |
| Explain:                        |  |   |   |  |
|                                 |  |   |   |  |
| E. MAJOR REGUI                  | LATIONS Include co                             | alculations and assumptions i   | in the rulemaking record.   |  |
|                                 | •  | 9   | ncy (Cal/EPA) boards, offices and d<br>and Safety Code section 57005). Oth                    | -  |
| 1. Will the estimat             | ed costs of this regul                         | ation to California business en   | terprises <b>exceed \$10 million</b> ? YES  | ☐ NO   |
|                                 |  | If Y  | ES, complete E2. and E3 If NO, skip to E4   |  |
| 2. Briefly describe             | each alternative, or c                         | ombination of alternatives, for   | r which a cost-effectiveness analysis was p   | performed:   |
| Alternative 1:                  |  |   |   |  |
| Alternative 2:                  |  |   |   |  |
| (Attach addition                | al pages for other alte                        | ernatives)  |   |  |
| 3 For the regulati              | ion and each alterna                           | tive just described enter the e   | stimated total cost and overall cost-effect   | iveness ratio  |
| _                               |  | •   | st-effectiveness ratio: \$  |  |
| Alternative 1: T                |  |   | st-effectiveness ratio: \$  |  |
|                                 |  |   | st-effectiveness ratio: \$  |  |
| 4. Will the regulation          | on subject to OAL rev<br>million in any 12-moi | view have an estimated econor   | mic impact to business enterprises and inc  | dividuals located in or doing business in California<br>d with the Secretary of State through12 months |
| YES [                           | NO   |   |   |  |
|                                 |  | t a <u>Standardized Regulatory Imp</u><br>and to include the SRIA in the In                 | pact Assessment (SRIA) as specified in itial Statement of Reasons.                            |  |
| 5. Briefly describe             | the following:                                 |   |   |  |
| The increase or                 | decrease of investme                           | ent in the State:   |   |  |
| The incentive fo                | or innovation in prod                          | ucts, materials or processes: _   |   |  |
| The benefits of residents, work | the regulations, incluer safety, and the sta   | uding, but not limited to, bene<br>te's environment and quality c                           | fits to the health, safety, and welfare of Ca<br>of life, among any other benefits identified | lifornia<br>by the agency:   |

Instructions and Code Citations: **SAM Section 6601-6616** 

### DocuSign Envelope ID: B2513594-4DC9-48BB-959C-D1B2A54687DA STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS)

STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

#### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

| A. FISCAL EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMEN<br>current year and two subsequent Fiscal Year   |                                       | through 6 and attach calculation     | s and assumptions of fiscal impact for the |
|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| Additional expenditures in the current S     (Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the section 6 of Article XIII B of Article X        |                                       |                                      |  |
| \$   |                                       |                                      |  |
| a. Funding provided in   |                                       |                                      |  |
| Budget Act of  |                                       | , Statutes of                        |  |
| b. Funding will be requested in the Go   | vernor's Budget Act of                |                                      |  |
|  | Fiscal Year:                          |                                      |  |
| 2. Additional expenditures in the current S<br>(Pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the current Section 6 of Article XIII B of Article XIII |                                       |                                      |  |
| \$   |                                       |                                      |  |
| Check reason(s) this regulation is not reimbu  | rsable and provide the appropriate    | e information:                       |  |
| a. Implements the Federal mandate co   | ontained in                           |                                      |  |
| b. Implements the court mandate set  |                                       |                                      | Court.                                     |
| Case of:   |                                       | vs                                   |  |
| c. Implements a mandate of the people  | e of this State expressed in their    | approval of Proposition No.          |  |
| Date of Election:  |                                       |                                      |  |
| d. Issued only in response to a specific   |                                       |                                      |  |
| Local entity(s) affected:  |                                       |                                      |  |
| e. Will be fully financed from the fees,   | revenue, etc. from:                   |                                      |  |
| Authorized by Section:   |                                       | of the                               | Code;                                      |
| f. Provides for savings to each affected   | d unit of local government which      | will, at a minimum, offset any add   | ditional costs to each;                    |
| g. Creates, eliminates, or changes the   | penalty for a new crime or infract    | tion contained in                    |  |
| 3. Annual Savings. (approximate)   |                                       |                                      |  |
| \$   |                                       |                                      |  |
| 4. No additional costs or savings. This regula   |                                       | bstantive or clarifying changes to c | urrent law regulations.                    |
| X 5. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation do   | es not affect any local entity or pro | ogram.                               |  |
| 6. Other. Explain  |                                       |                                      |  |
|  |                                       |                                      |  |

Instructions and Code Citations: **SAM Section 6601-6616** 

# DocuSign Envelope ID: B2513594-4DC9-48BB-959C-D1B2A54687DA STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (PECULATIONS AND CORP.) (REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (Rev. 10/2019)

### FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT (CONTINUED)

| <b>B. FISCAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT</b> Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and as year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.   | ssumptions of fiscal impact for the curren |
|---|--|
| 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)  |  |
| \$  |  |
| \$  |  |
| a. Absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.   |  |
| b. Increase the currently authorized budget level for the   |  |
| Fiscal Year   |  |
| 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)  |  |
| \$  |  |
| 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any State agency or program.  |  |
| 🖂 4. Other. Explain This regulation implements and makes specific existing statutory requi  | rements and does not                       |
| result in costs or savings independent of existing statutory requirements.  |  |
| C. FISCAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attoe impact for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.   | ach calculations and assumptions of fisca  |
| 1. Additional expenditures in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)  |  |
| \$  |  |
| 2. Savings in the current State Fiscal Year. (Approximate)  |  |
| \$  |  |
| 3. No fiscal impact exists. This regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.   |  |
| 4. Other. Explain   |  |
|   |  |
|   | l  |
| FISCAL OFFICER SIGNATURE  | DATE 6 /20 /2024                           |
| David Erne  | 6/20/2024                                  |
| The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD. 399 according to the instructions in SAM sect<br>he  impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or departments not under an Agency Secreta<br>nighest  ranking official in the organization. |  |
| AGENCY SECRETARY  | DATE                                       |
| Sbryan Cash   | 6/28/2024                                  |
| Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Im  | ppact Statement in the STD. 399.           |
| DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER  | DATE                                       |
|   |  |
|   | <u>i</u>                                   |