

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	24-ALT-01
Project Title:	2024–2025 Investment Plan Update for the Clean Transportation Program
TN #:	257188
Document Title:	David Slatin Comments - Support Investments in Hydrogen Fueling Infrastructure
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	David Slatin
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	6/20/2024 11:57:36 PM
Docketed Date:	6/21/2024

*Comment Received From: David Slatin
Submitted On: 6/20/2024
Docket Number: 24-ALT-01*

Support Investments in Hydrogen Fueling Infrastructure

Dear Commissioner Monahan and Members of the Clean Transportation Advisory Committee,

I am writing to you as an owner of a zero-emission, fuel cell electric vehicle (FCEV).

I purchased a Hydrogen EV in August 2023 and in the months I have owned it, fuel cost has increased and nothing has been done to control the rise of price, or the distribution of hydrogen fuel.

I am deeply concerned about California's slow progress in achieving the goal of establishing 200 fueling stations. Following the June 7th discussion of the Clean Transportation Advisory Committee, it seems that this objective is not a priority for the Commission or the Committee. The current limitations of the fueling network have significant impacts on my daily life, It will soon become unaffordable to drive my car as no one is taking action to stop the fuel companies from taking advantage of the fuel card I was sold and promised 6 years, when I wont even make it to two.

It is particularly troubling to learn that the Commission has decided against future support for light-duty hydrogen stations, and that previously allocated funds might be redirected to heavy-duty hydrogen stations or electric vehicle charging stations. This decision is unacceptable.

As an early adopter of zero-emission vehicles, I support California's clean vehicle goals and climate initiatives. However, it is apparent that the state does not equally support both available zero-emission vehicle options. Currently, less than \$0.04 of every dollar invested by utility ratepayers is directed towards hydrogen infrastructure, with the majority being allocated to charging stations.

I respectfully urge the Commission and Advisory Committee to reconsider their stance and to reinstate support for the light-duty hydrogen fueling network. The funds previously earmarked for light-duty hydrogen stations should be reallocated to fulfill their original purpose, and future funding should be secured to ensure California meets its goal of 200 passenger stations.

Thank you for your attention to this crucial matter.

Sincerely,
David Slatin