

<b>DOCKETED</b>	
<b>Docket Number:</b>	22-ERDD-03
<b>Project Title:</b>	Clean Hydrogen Program
<b>TN #:</b>	257121
<b>Document Title:</b>	John Terranova Comments - Support Investments in Hydrogen Fueling Infrastructure
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	System
<b>Organization:</b>	John Terranova
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Public
<b>Submission Date:</b>	6/19/2024 2:49:34 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	6/19/2024

*Comment Received From: John Terranova  
Submitted On: 6/19/2024  
Docket Number: 22-ERDD-03*

## **Support Investments in Hydrogen Fueling Infrastructure**

Dear Commissioner Monahan and Members of the Clean Transportation Advisory Committee,

I am writing to you as an owner of a zero-emission, fuel cell electric vehicle (FCEV).

I got my first Mirai back in 2018 and my second in 2021. My main motivation for FCEV's are for their environmental footprint. It is an amazing technology that can create and use true green energy.

However, I am deeply concerned about California's slow progress in achieving the goal of establishing 200 fueling stations. Following the June 7th discussion of the Clean Transportation Advisory Committee, it seems that this objective is not a priority for the Commission or the Committee. The current limitations of the fueling network have significant impacts on my daily life. Hydrogen stations are usually offline, and the ones that are functioning often have long lines and high prices. Yes, the price of hydrogen is going up dramatically.

It is particularly troubling to learn that the Commission has decided against future support for light-duty hydrogen stations, and that previously allocated funds might be redirected to heavy-duty hydrogen stations or electric vehicle charging stations. This decision is unacceptable.

As an early adopter of zero-emission vehicles, I support California's clean vehicle goals and climate initiatives. However, it is apparent that the state does not equally support both available zero-emission vehicle options. Currently, less than \$0.04 of every dollar invested by utility ratepayers is directed towards hydrogen infrastructure, with the majority being allocated to charging stations.

I respectfully urge the Commission and Advisory Committee to reconsider their stance and to reinstate support for the light-duty hydrogen fueling network. The funds previously earmarked for light-duty hydrogen stations should be reallocated to fulfill their original purpose, and future funding should be secured to ensure California meets its goal of 200 passenger stations.

Thank you for your attention to this crucial matter.

Sincerely,

John Terranova