

<b>DOCKETED</b>	
<b>Docket Number:</b>	24-ALT-01
<b>Project Title:</b>	2024–2025 Investment Plan Update for the Clean Transportation Program
<b>TN #:</b>	257075
<b>Document Title:</b>	Anna Chan Comments - Support investments in hydrogen fueling infrastructure
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	System
<b>Organization:</b>	Anna Chan
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Public
<b>Submission Date:</b>	6/18/2024 8:06:11 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	6/19/2024

*Comment Received From: Anna Chan  
Submitted On: 6/18/2024  
Docket Number: 24-ALT-01*

## **Support investments in hydrogen fueling infrastructure**

Dear Commissioner Monahan and Members of the Clean Transportation Advisory Committee,

I am writing to you as an owner of a zero-emission, fuel cell electric vehicle (FCEV).

I deliberately chose a FCEV over a BEV because I believe there is space for more green transit options. When there are more options, everyone benefits. Over reliance on any one industry is harmful in ways that society may not realize until it is too late. I have relished my commute in my Toyota Mirai for the past 2 years and hope my FCEV journey can continue for years to come.

However, I am deeply concerned about California's slow progress in achieving the goal of establishing 200 fueling stations. Following the June 7th discussion of the Clean Transportation Advisory Committee, it seems that this objective is not a priority for the Commission or the Committee. The current limitations of the fueling network have significant financial impacts, which have worsened over time.

It is particularly troubling to learn that the Commission has decided against future support for light-duty hydrogen stations, and that previously allocated funds might be redirected to heavy-duty hydrogen stations or electric vehicle charging stations. This decision is unacceptable.

As an early adopter of zero-emission vehicles, I support California's clean vehicle goals and climate initiatives. However, it is apparent that the state does not equally support both available zero-emission vehicle options. Currently, less than \$0.04 of every dollar invested by utility ratepayers is directed towards hydrogen infrastructure, with the majority being allocated to charging stations.

I respectfully urge the Commission and Advisory Committee to reconsider their stance and to reinstate support for the light-duty hydrogen fueling network. The funds previously earmarked for light-duty hydrogen stations should be reallocated to fulfill their original purpose, and future funding should be secured to ensure California meets its goal of 200 passenger stations.

Thank you for your attention to this crucial matter.

Sincerely,  
Anna Chan