

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	24-ALT-01
Project Title:	2024–2025 Investment Plan Update for the Clean Transportation Program
TN #:	257042
Document Title:	Omar Samiullah Comments - Support Investments in Hydrogen Fueling Infrastructure
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Omar Samiullah
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	6/18/2024 4:27:03 PM
Docketed Date:	6/18/2024

*Comment Received From: Omar Samiullah
Submitted On: 6/18/2024
Docket Number: 24-ALT-01*

Support Investments in Hydrogen Fueling Infrastructure

Dear Commissioner Monahan and Members of the Clean Transportation Advisory Committee,

I am writing to you as an owner of a zero-emission, fuel cell electric vehicle (FCEV). I bought an H2 FCEV due to the climate crisis and supporting new innovative clean technology. Battery Electrics have been in our garage but I have also added an FCEV in addition. FCEVs have quick refueling time like gas powered and unlike Batteries and give excellent range in all weather conditions.

However, I am deeply concerned about California's slow progress in achieving the goal of establishing 200 fueling stations. Following the June 7th discussion of the Clean Transportation Advisory Committee, it seems that this objective is not a priority for the Commission or the Committee. The current limitations of the fueling network have significant impacts on my daily life, long lines, unreliable stations, and long drives to other cities to get fuel not to mention cost.

It is particularly troubling to learn that the Commission has decided against future support for light-duty hydrogen stations, and that previously allocated funds might be redirected to heavy-duty hydrogen stations or electric vehicle charging stations. This decision is unacceptable.

As an early adopter of zero-emission vehicles, I support California's clean vehicle goals and climate initiatives. However, it is apparent that the state does not equally support both available zero-emission vehicle options. Currently, less than \$0.04 of every dollar invested by utility ratepayers is directed towards hydrogen infrastructure, with the majority being allocated to charging stations.

I respectfully urge the Commission and Advisory Committee to reconsider their stance and to reinstate support for the light-duty hydrogen fueling network. The funds previously earmarked for light-duty hydrogen stations should be reallocated to fulfill their original purpose, and future funding should be secured to ensure California meets its goal of 200 passenger stations.

Thank you for your attention to this crucial matter.

Sincerely,
Omar