

**DOCKETED**

<b>Docket Number:</b>	24-ALT-01
<b>Project Title:</b>	2024–2025 Investment Plan Update for the Clean Transportation Program
<b>TN #:</b>	256985
<b>Document Title:</b>	Ken Solomon, AV Design Comments - Support Investments in Hydrogen Fueling Infrastructure
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	System
<b>Organization:</b>	Ken Solomon, AV Design
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Public
<b>Submission Date:</b>	6/18/2024 10:58:50 AM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	6/18/2024

*Comment Received From: Ken Solomon, AV Design  
Submitted On: 6/18/2024  
Docket Number: 24-ALT-01*

## **Support Investments in Hydrogen Fueling Infrastructure**

Dear Commissioner Monahan and Members of the Clean Transportation Advisory Committee,

I am writing to you as an owner of a zero-emission, fuel cell electric vehicle (FCEV).

I have been an owner of a FCEV for the last 4-1/2 years and instead of fueling becoming easier and less costly, the fueling infrastructure as well as the cost of H2 continues to make my continued support more and more difficult.

I am deeply concerned about California's slow progress in achieving the goal of establishing 200 fueling stations. Following the June 7th discussion of the Clean Transportation Advisory Committee, it seems that this objective is not a priority for the Commission or the Committee. The current limitations of the fueling network have significant impacts on my daily life, my ability to obtain H2 to go to work and go about my daily business. The only H2 facility within 15 miles of my home is regularly off-line and over the last 4-1/2 years, been out of operation more than 25% of the time.

It is particularly troubling to learn that the Commission has decided against future support for light-duty hydrogen stations, and that previously allocated funds might be redirected to heavy-duty hydrogen stations or electric vehicle charging stations. This decision is unacceptable.

As an early adopter of zero-emission vehicles, I support California's clean vehicle goals and climate initiatives. However, it is apparent that the state does not equally support both available zero-emission vehicle options. Currently, less than \$0.04 of every dollar invested by utility ratepayers is directed towards hydrogen infrastructure, with the majority being allocated to charging stations.

I respectfully urge the Commission and Advisory Committee to reconsider their stance and to reinstate support for the light-duty hydrogen fueling network. The funds previously earmarked for light-duty hydrogen stations should be reallocated to fulfill their original purpose, and future funding should be secured to ensure California meets its goal of 200 passenger stations.

Thank you for your attention to this crucial matter.

Sincerely,

Ken Solomon