DOCKETED		
Docket Number:	23-OIR-03	
Project Title:	General Rulemaking Proceeding for Developing Regulations, Guidelines, and Policies for Implementing SB X1-2 and SB 1322	
TN #:	256666	
Document Title:	Transcript for SB X1-2 Pre-Rulemaking Workshop	
Description:	Transcript for SENATE BILL X1-2 AND SB 1322 PRE- RULEMAKING WORKSHOP	
Filer:	Xieng Saephan	
Organization:	California Energy Commission	
Submitter Role:	Commission Staff	
Submission Date:	6/3/2024 3:17:36 PM	
Docketed Date:	6/3/2024	

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

In the matter of:

General Rulemaking Proceeding)
for Developing Regulations,) Docket No. 23-OIR-03
Guidelines, and Policies for)
Implementing SB X1-2 and SB 1322)

SENATE BILL X1-2 AND SB 1322 PRE-RULEMAKING WORKSHOP

REMOTE VIA ZOOM

MONDAY, MARCH 18, 2024 9:00 A.M.

Reported by:

Martha Nelson

APPEARANCES

CEC STAFF

Jeremy Smith, Deputy Director, Energy Assessments Division Aria Berliner, Special Advisor, Vice Chair Gunda's Office

PUBLIC COMMENT

Aaron Flyer, Sidley Austin LLP

Sophie Ellinghouse, Western State Petroleum Association

Beth Booth, Spaces Renewed

Alessandra Magnasco, California Fuels and Convenience Alliance

Rod Rodriguez, Local 433

Sunder Ramani, Westwind Media and Properties

Jamie Court, Consumer Watchdog

Marcus Gomez, California Clothing Recyclers

Tim Taylor, National Federation of Independent Business

Julia May, Communities for a Better Environment

Greg Karras, Community Energy reSource

Timothy Jeffries, International Brotherhood of Boilermakers

Chuck Leonard, Plumbers & Steamfitters Local Union 342

Nick Payzant, Cerna Healthcare

Jan Warren, Interfaith Climate Action Network of Contra Costa County

Max Ordonez, IMM Management

	<u>APPEARANCES</u>
PUBLIC COMMENTS (cont.)	
Craig Cooper	
Denise Duncan	

INDEX PAGE 5 1. Welcome 2. Staff Presentation on Proposed Regulatory Changes - Refining Margins 3. Public Comments 16 4. Staff Presentation on Proposed Regulatory 30 Changes - Refinery Maintenance 5. Public Comments 36 6. Staff Presentation on Proposed Regulatory 48 Changes - Marine Imports 7. 52 Public Comments 8. Staff Presentation on Proposed Regulatory Changes 56 9. Public Comments 59 10. Closing Remarks 68 69 Adjournment

PROCEDINGS

2 9:03 a.m.

MONDAY, MARCH 18, 2024

MS. SMITH: Good morning, everyone, and thank you for joining this California Energy Commission webinar. My name is Jeremy Smith. I'm a Deputy Director in the Energy Assessments Division. In this workshop, I will present draft data regulations and reporting requirements currently in development under the SB X1-2 Emergency Rulemaking.

Before we get any further, I'll share some housekeeping items with everyone.

First and foremost, please be aware this meeting is being recorded.

Second, comments are welcome at specified times throughout and at the end of the presentation. At those times, if you do have a comment, please use the raise-hand feature in Zoom. Someone on our team will call on you, you'll unmute your microphone, and you can provide your comment. For phone participants, you can dial star 9 to raise your hand and star 6 to mute and unmute your line. We will present a slide with these instructions when it's time for public comment. When you do speak, please start by spelling your name and providing your affiliation before beginning your comment.

So this is the agenda for today's webinar. I'll

start by providing some background on and purpose of these draft regulations, the rulemaking process, and the relevant data collection topics we're covering today.

I will then go through each of the following three topics, refining margins, refinery maintenance, and marine imports. Each section will follow the same format.

I'll begin by discussing the draft regulations and reporting requirements. Afterwards, I'll give a demonstration of the proposed forms. We have draft instructions for these forms as well, which I'll walk through briefly.

And then we will allow public comments after each of the three topic areas. Afterwards, I'll share the next steps and we'll close the presentation with a final general public comment period.

All right, in this webinar I will provide an overview of draft language for emergency data regulations, forms, and instructions to improve data collection efforts on refining margins, refinery maintenance, and marine imports.

The goal of these regulations is to improve transparency of the refining industry and petroleum fuels market, better analyze market behavior, and investigate anomalies. Our job is to be objective, improve our understanding of how the industry and market operates under

certain conditions, and follow the facts to protect
Californians from price spikes that might occur due to
supply shortages or market issues.

The other purpose of today's workshop is to receive public input on these draft data regulations, either through oral comments shared today or through written comments submitted to Docket Number 23-OIR-03 before 5:00 p.m. on April 1st, 2024.

Allow me to set the stage for today's webinar before we get into the rest of the presentation.

SB X1-2 was signed by Governor Gavin Newsom in March of 2023 and went into effect in June. Since then, the CEC has been collecting additional data to assess the petroleum refineries and transportation fuels market to protect Californians from price spikes.

The CEC has hosted numerous workshops on these topics, including one in June of 2023 to present new data collection forms on refiner costs and profits, market analysis, and refiner maintenance. We also hosted a workshop this past January on new spot market reporting requirements. The workshop today builds upon those efforts.

Improving the data collection under SB X1-2 provides several benefits to Californians. As I mentioned, it improves transparency into the California petroleum

markets and refinery activity. It increases oversight of refinery maintenance to ensure a stable supply of transportation fuels is available. It provides better data to analyze market behavior and investigate anomalies, and provides facts upon which action can be taken to prevent gasoline price spikes.

With the passage of SB X1-2, the legislature provided the CEC with emergency rulemaking authority to support the many implementation activities the law calls for. This includes the majority of data collected under the Petroleum Industry Information Reporting Act, or PIIRA for short, analysis and reporting requirements, confidentiality requirements, enforcement authority, and the timing of turnaround and maintenance rules. The draft regulations discussed today are all contained in California Code of Regulations Title 20, Chapter 3, Article 3.

The one exception to this is that the refinery maintenance activity reporting is exempt from the Administrative Procedure Act, so the CEC may institute new rules through a guidebook. This draft guidebook we put together is part of the scope of today's workshop.

The CEC has numerous forms to collect data from the petroleum industry. These generally fall into the following four categories: refinery costs and profits, which informs the California Oil Refinery Cost Disclosure

Act monthly report and whether a maximum refiner margin should be set; market analysis, which informs whether there is market manipulation or market failures; refinery maintenance, which provides insights into the timing and impact of planned and unplanned outages; and finally, other miscellaneous data.

There are four forms we're discussing today. The first, under refinery costs and profits, is the monthly refining margin report. We're also discussing two refinery maintenance forms, that's the planned and unplanned maintenance and turnaround reports, and we will also discuss the planned marine imports report.

Please note that all relevant files discussed today were posted to the docket last Tuesday. All of the regulations presented during this workshop are drafts. So even if I say things like new or updated, please note none of these changes have been adopted nor are final.

So we'll begin by discussing the refining margins draft regulations.

Under Section 1363.2, this is the specific definitions for purposes of reporting requirements, we have proposed adding and updating definitions to improve clarity. To date, we have observed inconsistencies in reporting of these metrics, so our objective is to standardize with improved definitions. These definitions

include, but are not limited to, all other taxes and fees, which helps clarify which costs to include when reporting gasoline sales. We've added and updated definitions of the various wholesale gasoline sales channels to clarify what is to be reported. These include branded rack sales, unbranded rack sales, bulk sales, company owned and operated sales, and sales to other end users. We've added a definition for crude oil acquisition cost, which is referred to in the form.

Also, in the draft form, we are considering expanding the reporting of various operational costs, so we've provided definitions for the terms that show up there, included in the reporting requirements. We've also drafted additional definitions for various petroleum products included in the proposed monthly costs and profits data collection form.

All right, next, for the refining margins, under Section 1366, which is the requirement to file, we've added the reporting requirement to align with the statute and reference to the new proposed Appendix D, Section 7 for the Monthly Refining Margin Report.

And finally, for the proposed refining margin regulations, we have the new Draft Appendix B, Section 7, that I just mentioned, the Monthly Refining Margin Report.

This section outlines the information required to submit in

the monthly report, which I will demonstrate shortly. In this section, we've provided details on the reporting requirements for clarification to improve consistency in reporting some of the metrics that are included in that report. These include volume-weighted average gross and net gasoline margins, volume, price, and fee information for the various wholesale gasoline sales channels, and finally, refining and distribution operational cost categories.

This was one area in particular where the existing form and instructions were resulting in a lot of inconsistencies in what was reported. While the proposed operational cost categories and details have expanded in the draft forms, we believe this will lead to improved clarity in what we're asking for, as well as consistency across the reporting entities.

Next, I'd like to walk through the proposed form and instructions for the M1322 Monthly Refining Margin Report. I will start with a demonstration of the form right here.

So we're looking at the Company Information tab. No substantial changes here, just small cleanup for clarity.

So I'll move on to the Crude Oil Received tab.

The first thing you'll notice is that this tab was actually

renamed from the form that we have now. The Product
Received changed to Crude Oil Received. This tab now only
requests the volume in barrels and volume-weighted
acquisition cost in dollars per barrel for domestic and
foreign crude oil, along with the total at the bottom.
Refined gasoline is no longer captured here on this tab as
it's been moved to another portion of the report.

Next, moving on to the Gasoline Sales tab. The changes here are really for reporting consistency. We believe, based on the data we've been collecting, that it wasn't clear which taxes and fees to include when reporting gasoline sales, so we've added additional columns to this tab to make it clear exactly what we're looking for.

In the first column, you'll see we've added two additional wholesale gasoline sales channels just to make sure that we're capturing all refinery sales. And then going across the headers, you'll see the volume-weighted average price, including all taxes and fees, as I just mentioned earlier. And then as you go left to right, you'll provide various taxes and fees until you arrive at the final volume-weighted average price without taxes and fees, both cents per gallon and in dollars per barrel.

Next is the Operational Costs tab. This now provides the specific categories for which operational costs should be provided. They break down into two main

sections. First is the Refining Expenses, which are costs associated with the production of gasoline, including chemicals, variable costs, labor and maintenance and compliance costs. And then scrolling down, we have Distribution Expenses, which are costs associated with the distribution of refinery products.

You'll notice there are three columns here to capture these costs, starting with the total Refining and Distribution Operating Costs. So this is the total monthly cost in dollars that the refinery incurred that month, so just the total in dollars.

Next is the Operational Costs Allocated to
Gasoline Sold. So now, specifically, what was the total
monthly cost in dollars that incurred specifically due to
the production and sale of gasoline. And then finally the
operational cost per barrel of gasoline sold, which is just
the previous column divided by the total gasoline sales
volume for that particular month. So now we're providing
operational cost dollars per barrel.

Next, we have Other Operational Costs. So this tab provides two distinct categories for these other costs, which include energy and fuel use, as well as purchased components, gasoline, and feedstocks. For both sections, we're asking for the quantity, total cost, total cost allocated to gasoline sold, and finally, as before, the

cost per barrel allocated to gasoline sales.

Our last tab on this form is the Gross and Net Refining Margin. This simply summarizes overall costs and profits for the refinery in volume-weighted average price per barrel of gasoline sold to arrive at gross and net gasoline refining margins.

Okay, so that's the form.

I'll just briefly bring up, again posted to the docket, we have the draft revised instructions. I really walked through each of the tabs and kind of showed these so I don't need to go through these too much in detail, but the instructions are here along with relevant definitions for this new proposed form. It shows the obligated parties, the transportation fuels to include when you submit this report, and then, like I said, the multiple tabs in this form, the company information, the crude oil received tab, gasoline sales and all the taxes and fees included in that broken down.

We've got the Operational Costs tab. So these are the headers there that show the different costs to include, starting with the total refinery cost, then allocated to gasoline, and then volume-weighted, and then the categories in the operational costs, the refining expenses, and definitions for all of these to include, as well as the distribution expenses. The Other Operational

Costs tab, like I talked about with the energy and fuel use, and the purchased components, gasoline and feedstocks.

And then finally, the gross and net refining margins tab with calculations for gross and net refining margin.

We've also got acronyms and abbreviations for everything referenced in here, as well as selected definitions, so those are all there.

Okay, great, so that concludes my presentation on the refining margin draft regulation.

We'll now move on to our first public comment period for the materials I just covered. Again, if you have general comments, we will have a longer public comment period at the end to capture those. These ones after each of the three different topics will be two minutes, and then the one at the end, our general public comment, we'll give you three minutes for your comment. So for now, we'll just focus on the refining margin materials.

MS. BERLINER: One person per organization may comment and comments are limited to two minutes per speaker. For the Zoom platform, use the raise-hand feature to let us know you'd like to comment. We will call on you and open your line to make comments. I'll wait.

Our first caller is Aaron Flyer.

Aaron, I have opened your line. You'll need to unmute your mic on your end.

p1 Hey, good morning. Can you hear me?

MS. BERLINER: Yes, we can.

MR. FLYER: Great. Thank you. My name is Aaron Flyer, A-A-R-O-N F-L-Y-E-R, on behalf of Sidley Austin and our client, Idemitsu, which is a fuel reselling company located in Sacramento.

And I'll just note that we generally support
CEC's efforts here to promote pricing transparency and
prevent market manipulation, but we do maintain that given
the complexity of all of these various facets of the
rulemaking to regulate, you know, the spot market and
refinery margins, et cetera, that an emergency rulemaking
here really is not appropriate and CEC should take the time
to fully engage with its stakeholders in the industry to
make sure we get this right. Because I think, as proposed,
many of these rules create some confusion and ultimately
could be counterproductive to the stated goals of the CEC.
And this could, in turn, you know, actually push players
out of the spot market and potentially limit supply within
the state. And that, in turn, is going to have some
detrimental environmental impacts on the state.

I think one thing that is particularly unclear with both this rule and prior emergency rules in this space is the nexus to California that's required. You know, for example, there's a new definition added here for spot

pipeline sales, and it's unclear if that is simply regulating everything that passes through the California spot market regardless of the ultimate destination if the destination is out of state or if it's limited to only products ultimately sold in the state of California. And I think as a separate but related question that has to do with the CEQA analysis that the CEC has performed, is CEC going to be doing an analysis of each of these emergency rules or is the CEQA notice of exemption that was filed, I believe, a month ago intended to cover the entire scope of all the emergency rulemakings that may be coming down related to SB X1-2? So with that I think I'm about out of time. Ι appreciate your consideration or comments. I look forward to clearing the session. Thank you. MS. BERLINER: Thank you. Next up, we have Sophie Ellinghouse. Sophie, I have unmuted your mic. MS. ELLINGHOUSE: Okay. Thank you. Can you hear me? MS. BERLINER: Yes. Yes, we can.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ellinghaus, S-O-P-H-I-E E-L-L-I-N-G-H-O-U-S-E. I'm General

Counsel for the Western States Petroleum Association.

MS. ELLINGHOUSE: Perfect. My name is Sophie

To start this morning, I just think it's important for us to remember that SB X1-2 authorized consideration of a maximum refinery margin specifically out of concern for price volatility in the spot gasoline market. For decades, PIIRA has required a large volume of detailed data to be reported to the CEC and the industry has always provided that data as required. Now with the demand for even more detail, we're now left to question why and how all of this data is actually being used, if the CEC has the staffing for that analysis and in what way the extra detail contributes to the goal of enabling the CEC to quote, respond to possible shortages, oversupply, or other disruptions.

Independent of the CEC, the DPMO has also issued recent proposals, and there's been no transparency into what data the DPMO analyzed in order to arrive at its conclusions, or what additional information it needs to assess or determine the structural barriers to supply and the influence of price reporting. To us, that information is most important to gather. However, not all of it comes from industry, and some of that information already exists in the marketplace. It's not clear what you all are doing to seek out that information.

To date, the industry has already devoted sizable resources to provide the detailed data requested by CEC, at

times under extremely short deadlines or with inadequate guidance. As it is, we're concerned whether all the data being requested is being adequately analyzed and, therefore, whether it will do anything to help address California's ongoing supply issues.

Respectfully, we believe that CEC should not be requesting even more information just to be seen as doing something. Instead, it should be analyzing the massive amounts of data already being reported, prepare and produce reports as contemplated, and make informed decisions from there.

The industry stands ready to discuss information targeted at the issues DPMO has already identified.

Unfortunately, much of what the CEC is proposing to collect now is not relevant to those issues and won't do anything to help Californians who simply want relief from price volatility.

Thank you.

MS. BERLINER: Thank you.

Next up, we have Beth Booth.

Beth, I've unmuted your line. Beth, you'll need to unmute on your end.

MS. BOOTH: I'm sorry, can you hear me now?

MS. BERLINER: Yes.

MS. BOOTH: Okay, here we go. Yeah, my name is

Beth Booth, it's B-O-O-T-H. I'm the owner of Spaces
Renewed, a family owned home remodeling business in San
Diego County. My husband and I work it together. We've
had it for over 15 years.

I'm asking the Energy Commissioners to please reconsider the implementation of SB X1-2, which will do more harm than good to already fragile and frustrated small businesses.

We work closely with California's housing, building and development community, and we know that we still face a severe crisis here in ensuring affordable, accessible housing for seniors, working families, veterans, and all Californians. We need to make housing and living in our state more cost effective, not more cost prohibitive. But if this rule moves forward, that's exactly what will happen. These rules will not do what is intended.

And I understand your intent is to do good and help the prices, but I just feel like we need to look past intent and look past -- and look actually towards results. Intent here isn't going to help us, it's what the result of this policy will do, and it's raising fuel prices on our most vulnerable and will result in higher prices of goods, supplies and inventory, including the inventory of labor and processes to help build our homes, schools and

1 hospitals. 2 We employ a lot of subcontractors, and building 3 and commuting is a big deal for them, and it's only going 4 to raise gas prices and that's our concern. We were only 5 given a couple days to weigh in on this, and so we just feel like it's the responsible thing to please halt this 6 7 and wait until you have more information that is resultsdriven and not intent-driven. 8 9 Thank you very much. 10 MS. BERLINER: Thank you. 11 For those of you joining by phone, please dial 12 star nine to raise your hand. 13 And while that's happening, CFCA Admin, I've 14 unmuted your mic. 15 MS. MAGNASCO: Thank you. Can you hear me okay? 16 MS. BERLINER: Yes. 17 MS. MAGNASCO: Thank you. Alessandra Magnasco, 18 A-L-E-S-S-A-N-D-R-A, Magnasco is M-A-G-N-A-S-C-O. On behalf of the California Fields and 19 20 Convenience Alliance, we have significant concerns with the 21 pace that these rulemakings are being conducted. We also 22 have technical concerns with the data being requested, which is further detailed in the letter we submitted. I'll 23 24 let you refer to that letter for greater detail.

But we would really like to request more time for

25

1 public input as this rulemaking will have substantial 2 impacts on California residents and businesses, especially 3 our small businesses in the state. And so we would really 4 like you all to give greater time for our businesses to 5 give further feedback on the rulemaking rather than merely 6 a week. 7 Thank you. 8 MS. BERLINER: Thank you. 9 I just want to make sure that those joining by 10 phone have an opportunity to comment. Once again, please 11 dial star nine to raise your hand. 12 Okay, we have a raised hand from a phone number 13 ending in 411. You'll have to press star six to unmute on 14 your end. 15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Good morning, this is Martin 16 Rodriguez with Ironworkers Local 433. 17 And, you know, I feel like this is just more 18 regulation that's going to compound the problem we already 19 have, a well-meaning policy that is not going to do what 20 it's intended, and I think we really need to take a step 21 back on this. 22 Thank you. 23 MS. BERLINER: Thank you. 24 Sunder Romani, I have unmuted your mic -- or I've 25 unmuted your line. You'll need to unmute on your end.

1 Hello? 2 MR. RAMANI: I apologize. It took a little while 3 to unmute. My name is Sunder --4 MS. BERLINER: No worries. 5 MR. RAMANI: Can you hear me? MS. BERLINER: Yes, we can. 6 7 MR. RAMANI: My name is Sunder Ramani, 8 S-U-N-D-E-R, Ramani, R-A-M-A-N-I. I'm the owner of 9 Westwind Media and Properties. We're a post-production 10 company here in Burbank and dealing with television and 11 film and entertainment. 12 I'm here to ask you to please consider the 13 implementation of SB X1-2. I think it will place 14 unreasonable, unnecessary rules, more burdens on business 15 owners like myself. 16 One of our biggest challenges is fuel prices and 17 housing. Lack of housing nearby where people work, 18 workplace housing is exacerbated in California. And for 19 all my nearly 100 employees, nearly 98, 99 percent of them 20 cannot live near where they work. 21 Extended fuel prices as they've been going up, 22 which you're trying to address, will only be exacerbated

23

24

25

even more So, due to the fact that businesses have a choice

of being here or not, and the refineries, as large as they

refineries down to about 11. More of these people leaving will only cause the price to go up.

Gas prices, of course, and fuel prices are subject to the entire global food market. You've got all sorts of geopolitical issues going on all over the world.

And then, of course, California has all its specific blends. You can't bring gas over from Arizona when we run short. Then you add all the costs and taxes that we've associated in California.

These regulations aren't going to do anything to address those issues. And I think the CEC is focused on something that wasn't on the list of the issues causing high gas prices. We need to stop and consider why we're rushing this through in such a short amount of time without considering all these other elements. I think the public should have a greater opportunity to weigh in.

For me, I haven't seen gas prices go down, but I don't believe a lot of it is going to be fixed by what you're proposing here with more regulations. I think we'll get down to less than 11 operating refineries if we keep at this pace and tax them to death and regulate them to death because there's probably better places to fish in this country than California if we keep legislation like SB X1-2 coming through.

So thank you for your time and I appreciate your

consideration.

MS. BERLINER: Thank you.

Next up, we have Jamie Court.

Jamie, I have unmuted your line.

MR. COURT: Yeah, I'm just chiming in because I'm getting sick to my stomach listening to this parade of people obviously produced by the Western States Petroleum Association coming out against regulation.

The regulation is going too slow, not too fast.

We have 23 groups today riding the CEC, including the

Climate Center, EOPA, 23 environmental groups, Consumer

Watchdog, the group I run, Oil and Gas Action Network

saying we've got to go faster, not slower because gas price

bikes are coming.

These regulations are simple, straightforward ways to clarify the misinformation that the oil industry has been putting out over the last many months. They've manipulated the net margin reporting they've done for the CEC. And the CEC is correctly trying to straighten it out for them and say, here are the right categories by which you're going to report operational costs so we can have a real estimate of operational costs.

We know from the shareholder reports, operational costs are between \$0.20 and \$0.30 per gallon. We know the gross margins are \$1.01 one for the entire year last year.

So they're making \$0.70 to \$0.80 per gallon.

The L.A. Times today editorialized against this disinformation, "What's Behind the High Gas Prices? Don't Trust the Oil Industry." The oil industry is engaged in a concerted multi-million dollar ad campaign to, quote, "deflect attention from its greed and ship blame from the nation's highest gas prices on to environmental policies." That's a quote. And the answer is, don't believe them.

Keep going with these regulations because they're straightforward. They do not require that much from the oil industry and will help us get to the correct numbers so that we all understand exactly how much the oil refiners are making of every dollar at the pump.

Thank you.

MS. BERLINER: Thank you.

Next up, we have Marcus Gomez.

Marcus, I have opened your line.

MR. GOMEZ: Good morning. My name is Marcus

Gomez and I am the founder of California Clothing Recyclers

based here in Sacramento. Also, I'm Vice Chair of the

California Hispanic Chamber of Commerce.

Our small business is very special. Not only are we focused on helping our community, we help disadvantaged people and communities around the world by recycling clothing, shoes, and supplies for those in need in more

troubled areas. These regulations will make our effort to help provide others in need that much more difficult, if not impossible.

We are relying on transportation of goods to these neighborhoods and regions in the country. But with higher fuel costs and less supply, we will be less able. It will be harder for my company to compete. The market for used clothing is nationwide and it's determined that the price of used clothing is determined nationally. So it's harder for me to compete with other states when their gas prices are lower than ours here in California. So with higher -- and prices continue to go up, it'll be harder for us to keep people employed and provide for their families.

Used clothing here in California used to be done. We used to sort and grade the clothing. We have 50 people working for us. Now it's tougher here in California to process clothing. It's cheaper for them in their countries to process the clothing there. So it's just getting more and more difficult.

I'm disturbed that the Energy Commission provides no real time for me or any of the public to comment what kind of regulations making it is that. Something as critically important as fuel availability and cost ought to be something that we, the people, have a chance to be heard about. I don't want to be testifying at these meetings. I

would rather be at the table discussing these things when 1 2 they're being discussed. 3 Thank you. 4 MS. BERLINER: Thank you. 5 Next up, we have Tim Taylor. 6 Tim, I've opened your line. Oh, Tim, you'll need 7 to unmute on your end. 8 MR. TAYLOR: Good morning. 9 MS. BERLINER: Good morning. 10 MR. TAYLOR: Can you hear me now? 11 MS. BERLINER: Yes. 12 MR. TAYLOR: Thank you. I'm Tim Taylor, 13 California Legislative Director for the National Federation 14 of Independent Business. 15 We also kind of concur with the prior speakers in 16 general, apart from Jamie, that we don't believe this issue 17 that is as far reaching and serious as this should be 18 jammed through as an emergency rule. And we would ask that 19 the public have more time to weigh in on this measure. 20 I think with respect to small businesses and what 21 our small business owners typically want, at least within 22 the context of this discussion, is a hardy, resilient 23 supply chain, and for them, for their families and 24 employees who need to be able to drive to work and to pay 25 reasonable prices at the pump for their gasoline.

And I think the question is, and this is countenanced in the regs: Is this the best way to ensure equitable gasoline prices? Is it profit gouging penalties and price controls that are necessary for the oil industry?

NFIB absolutely does not believe that that is the case.

We don't have to guess at the outcome of price controls. This isn't the first time it's been contemplated. It was tried in the 1970s with disastrous effect. Supply shortages are a feature of price controls across all industries. And in the specific case of gasoline, we ended up in this state with massive lines at the pump, which among other things, devalues an individual's time, which could have been more productively spent. It was an unmitigated disaster and we expect it will be here again.

We're not particularly aligned with the oil industry per se. But I think if it's true that the industry is motivated by greed, then why are gas prices cheaper in other states than it is in California? Does its purported greed stop at the border of some states and then suddenly spring to life in other states? It doesn't make much sense to me.

I think a more reasonable cause for the differential prices at the pump in various states has to do with the taxation. We think it would be a reasonable

argument that we could probably cut \$0.50 to \$0.70 a gallon 1 2 right now in California by asking the legislature to do 3 that. We know that wouldn't be possible. And I think 4 that's because of government greed. I think history 5 provides a cautionary tale of price controls. They don't work, they inevitably lead to more government --6 7 MS. BERLINER: Tim? MR. TAYLOR: -- (indiscernible) corrections and 8 9 intervention. 10 Thank you very much. 11 MS. BERLINER: Thank you. 12 So we welcome written comments. And once again, 13 those comments are due by 5:00 p.m. on April 1st. 14 Instructions on how to provide written comments are 15 included in the notice for this workshop, which is posted on the CEC's website. 16 17 Jeremy, public comments for this section have 18 been completed and we are ready to move on to refinery, the 19 refinery maintenance section. 20 MS. SMITH: All right, great, thank you, and 21 thank you, everyone, for your comments. 22 So the next topic for our workshop today is the 23 refinery maintenance. As I mentioned before, the draft 24 language for these reporting requirements is captured in 25 the California Refinery Maintenance Reporting Guidebook. Ι won't go through every chapter of the guidebook, but I wanted to step through a few chapters of interest.

Starting with Chapter 3, this is the reporting requirement, this simply states that all California patrolling refineries must report all planned and unplanned maintenance.

Next, we have Chapter 4, which provides definitions for planned and unplanned maintenance. This helps clarify how to classify each type of maintenance event and thereby which reporting requirements and form applies.

Continuing on, Chapter 5 describes the two maintenance reports, the reporting period and information to include in both. For simplicity, we are proposing to combine the existing initial and final iterations of the maintenance reports. So instead of two different reports, we would only have one, which would include columns at the end of the report to denote whether the submitted form is an initial report, a revision, or final report. Overall, the focus of these proposed reporting requirements is to improve the tracking of the total transportation fuel supply in California when refineries undergo planned or unplanned maintenance.

Okay, so next, I'd like to walk through the proposed form and instructions for the EBR1P, Planned

Maintenance, and EBR1U, Unplanned Maintenance Reports. I will start with the Planned Maintenance one.

So first is the Company Information tab. No substantial changes here or proposed changes to this form here. Just wanted to note, again, this is the Planned Maintenance Form which must be submitted at least 120 days before the planned maintenance or turnaround. If the need for the planned maintenance is identified less than 120 days prior to the scheduled event, this report would be submitted within 48 hours of discovering the need for the event.

The next tab, which is Work Description, just a field here to provide a brief description of the planned work.

The next tab called Process Units, this is where details on the process units that will be undergoing maintenance are provided, the expected date the maintenance will begin and end, the normal operating capacity and daily decrease of output for gasoline, diesel, jet fuel, or other materials, and then whether the submitted report was the initial, which could just be done by writing "no" for both of these last two columns, if it's revised, or if it's the final report. A final report is expected within 48 hours after the completion of the plan maintenance.

Next, we have the Inventory and Supply Adjustment

tab. This just provides details on the inventory levels at the refinery and other merchant bulk terminals, as well as in- and out-of-state resupply plans for gasoline, gasoline, blending components, diesel, and jet fuel.

All right, so let me move to the Unplanned
Maintenance Form. In this draft of the Unplanned
Maintenance Form, it's nearly identical to the Planned
Maintenance Form. Note that, of course, this Unplanned
Maintenance Form would be submitted within 48 hours of the
initial outage or rate reduction, as well as within 48
hours of the completion of the repairs.

So again this is the Company Information tab. No substantial proposed revisions here.

The next tab, the Work Description, again, just a field to provide the reason for the unplanned maintenance or the process unit rate reduction.

Next is the Process Units tab, again just providing details on the units that will be undergoing maintenance, the expected date the maintenance will begin, the reduction of rate would begin, and then as well as the return to service date, the normal operating capacity and daily decrease in output of gasoline, diesel, jet fuel, and other materials. And then finally as we saw on the Planned Maintenance Form as well, columns to denote whether or not this submitted form was the initial by marking "no" for

both revised or if it's the final form.

The last tab here in the Unplanned Refinery
Maintenance Form is the Inventory and Supply Adjustment,
again, similar to the Planned Maintenance Form, providing
details on the inventory levels and other at -- located
both at the refinery and at merchant bulk terminals in and
out of state resupply plans, again, for gasoline, gasoline
blending components, diesel, and aviation fuels. Again,
this provides more of an outlook on the plans to, you know,
continue the supply of gasoline and other transportation
fuels when refinery undergoes maintenance.

All right, I will very briefly walk through the instructions.

So we've got here, this is the guidebook that I mentioned before. This has all the details around the proposed changes to the planned and unplanned maintenance reporting requirements, again, posted to the docket last Tuesday, so you can see that.

Here's the draft instructions for the EBR1P,

Planned Maintenance Report, again going through a

description and the timing of submitting the report, the

obligated parties, and then walking through each of the

tabs that I just showed with descriptions of each of the

fields that are requested, a Description tab, a Process

Unit tab, again, definitions for what each of these terms

are, and then finally, last is the Inventory and Supply Adjustment tab with the categories of California gasoline, non-California gasoline, blending components, diesel, and aviation fuels to include in the report, as well as the definitions for the different columns of inventory levels, inventory drawdown, both within the refinery location, as well as at other storage locations, in- and out-of-state purchases from other market participants.

This is, to be very clear, as opposed to just purchases from other market participants denoting whether or not those are in-state which, you know, may not -- just shifting supply from one half the state to the other does not really provide any sort of boost to overall state supplies just moving product, but those would be listed there, as well as out-of-state purchases. So if additional supply is being brought in during the maintenance event, those would be captured here. And some of the other descriptions for some of the other fields there. And again, closing out with the revised and final columns to denote which report this is.

Again, the instructions provide acronyms and abbreviations for terms included in this report.

And then very quickly, the instructions for the EBR1U, Unplanned Maintenance Report, is actually very similar, nearly identical, with some small changes in terms

of both the, you know, timing of submitting the report, as well as just a couple fields that might be different that apply specifically to unplanned maintenance and reductions in output for the refinery that are not necessarily captured or applicable in the planned maintenance form.

Okay, so those, the Refinery Maintenance
Guidebook and the instructions for both the Planned and
Unplanned Maintenance Forms are posted to the docket, and I
won't go through all the details but you'll see they're
very similar, the planned and unplanned maintenance
instructions, because those two forms are also very similar
to each other.

I will return to the slides here.

So that concludes my presentation on the Refinery Maintenance Reporting Guidebook, forms and instructions, and we will now open it up for public comment on these materials.

Let me also just mention again that we will have a general public comment period at the end. So if you have comments on the refinery maintenance reports or draft regulations, we would appreciate hearing those comments now.

MS. BERLINER: Now we'll move on to our second public comment period. As before, one person per organization may comment, and comments are limited to two

minutes per speaker. For the Zoom platform, use the raise-hand feature to let us know you'd like to comment. We will call on you and open your line make comments. For those on the phone, dial star 9 to raise your hand and star 6 to mute or unmute your phone line. We will unmute your line from our end.

We also welcome written comments. And, once again, those comments are due by 5:00 p.m. on April 1st.

Instructions on how to provide written comments are included in the notice for this workshop which is posted on the CEC's website.

First up, we have Julia May.

Julia, I have opened your line.

MS. MAY: Thank you. I'm Julia May, Communities for a Better Environment.

Our members and staff are from communities of color and low-income communities surrounding the refineries, and many other pollution sources in Northern and Southern California, and are hurt by high gas prices.

We strongly support the efforts of the CEC and DPMO to rein in the oil industry from gasoline price gouging. Jamie Court of Consumer Watchdog took the words right out of my mouth earlier. It's very unfortunate that the oil industry has been able to spread disinformation and fear of the regulations and oversight of the greedy and

secretive oil industry. The detailed data is needed for oversight and regulation is exactly what's needed to provide low-income people relief, including small businesses.

People should know that the CEC is looking at problems, such as the oil industry shutting down major refining capacity for maintenance at inopportune times, adding to price spikes, as well as problems in the spot market in order to prevent price gouging. We need the scrutiny. We welcome this work.

I have two other questions.

One is, does the reporting, I didn't get to ask in the earlier presentation, does it include intermediate products, partially refined materials? Seems like it only included crude oil and finished fuels, but there's also a substantial amount of partially refined materials.

And the question two is we couldn't find the slides online, so I hope we'll be getting those sent after the meeting if it's not available now.

Thank you for your work.

MS. BERLINER: Thank you.

Next up, we have Greg Karras.

Greg, I have unmuted your line.

MR. KARRAS: Hi, I'm Greg Karras, Community

25 | Energy reSource. Can you hear me?

1 MS. BERLINER: Yes, we can. 2 MR. KARRAS: Okay. So I want to agree with Julia 3 May's and Jamie Court's comments. I also have a specific 4 question with respect to outages and the inventory 5 requirements. In the context that, in fact, refineries in 6 7 California are the biggest fuel exporters on the U.S. West Coast, so the question is how to ensure that inventory 8 9 produced here is not drained to boost profit before the 10 next turnaround for needed safety maintenance. 11 clear that these otherwise needed reporting improvements 12 will do that alone. Maybe you plan to follow up with it, 13 but it is urgent. And if you keep allowing refiners here 14 to sell off too much of their inventory before the next 15 turnaround, you might be continuing to hand them another 16 excuse for gouging. 17 So I think it's a timely and important question 18 and appreciate the CEC's response. 19 MS. BERLINER: Thank you. 20 Next, we have line ending in 411. I've opened 21 your line. Please press star six to mute or unmute your phone line; Line ending in 411, you'll need to -- there 22 23 you go. 24 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Can you hear me?

MS. BERLINER: Yes.

25

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Okay. All right. Yeah, the whole idea of inserting -- oh, this is Martin Rodriguez, Tri-County Building Trades president. Inserting politics into refinery turnaround creates a dangerous situation for workers and communities. You know, we need to keep the two separate. Allowing politicians to insert themselves into when refinery maintenance is needed or not is not responsible governance. I have extensive experience in refinery work and I know exactly what it takes. And having one more voice in there, a politician that has no idea what's going on or the whole basis of having a shutdown and when the timing is critical and these types of operations, need to stay out. Keep the politics out of it. It's dangerous. Thank you. MS. BERLINER: Thank you. As a reminder, please state your name and spell your name for the record. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Next up, we have Timothy Jeffries.

Timothy, I've unmuted your line.

21 MR. JEFFRIES: Hello, my name is Timothy

22 Jeffries. That's J -- last name Jeffries, J-E-F-F-E-R-I-E-

23 I'm a Marine Corps veteran, journeyman boilermaker, and

24 representative of the International Brotherhood of

25 Boilermakers, speaking for the workforce that my brother Martin just spoke to.

I came here today to strongly urge you not to allow refinery turnarounds to become politicized. This could be dangerous results for our community safety. I wonder how many people here have actually worked in the refinery. I have, and I'm here to tell you it's sensitive work and requires years of extensive training. This is not something politicians should have their hands in having conducted.

This is why we, working with the State Building
Trades, worked with Senator Loni Hancock to amend the
Safety Code and move Senate Bill 54 through the legislature
in 2013. This important bill made it the law of the State
of California that not only skilled and trained
tradespeople, graduates of state-accredited apprenticeship
programs, can work in refineries doing contracted-out
maintenance, in other words, the turnarounds. SB 54 came
out of a Safety Code because the legislator, then Governor
Jerry Brown, recognized that for California's communities
to be safe, these sensitive turnarounds need to be done by
trained workforce. Similarly, for the California
communities that host refineries to be safe, this requires
maintenance that can't be politicized.

Maintenance needs to be happening when it's -- maintenance needs to happen when it's required and we do it

as a schedule, as schedule, but scheduled maintenance often goes longer than expected. We shouldn't be rushing to meet an arbitrary deadline. Sometimes maintenance is needed outside the schedule. That's just a fact.

The one thing that should be determined when maintenance occurs is public safety. The one thing that definitely shouldn't be determining when maintenance occurs is politics. Infusing these two will potentially cause safety risk. Rushed pressure, pressure that -- rushed work and pressure should not be effective on this -- in this work. Our members have been doing this maintenance throughout the state since SB 54, which makes us experts in this room and in this area.

Our concern is for safety, California's safe communities. Politics should come far from that. We are very concerned with our with any efforts that would undermine our ability to keep communities safe and our workers safe because politicians are trying to satisfy political activists.

I appreciate your time today. And if you have any other questions, please reach out to me and contact me.

I am, again, Timothy Jeffries with the Boilermakers.

Thank you for having me today.

MS. BERLINER: Thank you.

Next up, we have Chuck Leonard.

1 Chuck, I've opened your line.

MR. LEONARD: Good morning. Can you hear me?

MS. BERLINER: Yes.

MR. LEONARD: Good morning. My name is Chuck Leonard, last name is L-E-O-N-A-R-D, and I am -- thank you for allowing me to speak today. I am the political representative of Plumbers and Steamfitters Local 342. We are located in Contra Costa County, which currently has four operating refineries.

On behalf of my organization, the steelworkers, California State Building Trades, when we heard about this proposal, we took pause. I've spent 30 years in a hardhat and work boots in all of these refineries and currently have over a thousand members working in these facilities, which include my own family members. Safety for the workers should be the number one priority. The men and women that work in these facilities are your fence line, your black and brown community members.

The unintended consequence to having the state instructing and overseeing the maintenance of turnaround in these facilities, quite frankly, scares the heck out of us. It sends a clear message that safety may not be the number one priority.

The workers in the field don't get involved in the politics of profit loss. The safety of our workers is

dependent on the relationships with not only the operators 1 2 that run these facilities, but most importantly, we have to 3 know and believe that the facility owners value our safety 4 by not putting their own profits and loss in front -- is 5 number one when they do unplanned turnarounds and they shut units down based on the equipment and the operations of 6 7 their plants and they shut down when they have to. I would caution. And please, please think about this real well 8 9 before you make a decision to put safety number two, and 10 just while you look at profits and loss. 11 I applaud Nancy Skinner for being our champion 12 for safety when she authored SB 54. 13 Thank you very much for allowing me to speak 14 today. 15 MS. BERLINER: Thank you. 16 Sophie Ellinghaus, I've opened your line. 17 MS. ELLINGHOUSE: Thank you. 18 MS. BERLINER: Sorry, Sophie. There we go. 19 MS. ELLINGHOUSE: Oh, is that working? 20 MS. BERLINER: Yeah, you're back. 21 MS. ELLINGHOUSE: Perfect. Thank you. 22 Ellinghaus, S-O-P-H-I-E E-L-L-I-N-G-H-O-U-S-E, General 23 Counsel for the Western States Petroleum Association. 24 Of paramount importance to the industry and of 25 grave concern is any move by the CEC to impose requirements

governing the timing of turnarounds and maintenance. There are already numerous federal and California regulations, with California being the most stringent in the nation, that were developed over decades to ensure safe and reliable operations through requiring timely maintenance to ensure mechanical integrity.

The CEC is not the proper agency to step into this arena and bypass or overrule these already implemented standards and requirements in order to, quote, "somehow time the market," end quote, in terms of pricing or demand. And using pricing and supply and demand concerns rather than safety to dictate when refinery maintenance will take place would directly conflict with those existing safety-based regulations, leaves the CEC's regulations open to legal challenge, and unnecessarily compromises both worker and public safety as well as the environment. WSPA will not support such a compromise.

The unintended consequences of letting the market dictate refinery maintenance is likely to make the situation worse by seeing an increase in unplanned breakdowns due to the CEC delaying refinery maintenance beyond schedules or an overall constriction of the realtime supply of refined fuel to the market. Simply put, if you deny a refiner from ensuring safe operations and performing maintenance and something indeed goes wrong, the

CEC will need to answer to the public and to those who suffer damage as a result. We believe that everyone should and would want to avoid that.

Thanks.

MS. BERLINER: Thank you.

Next up, we have Nick Payzant.

Nick, I've opened your line.

MR. PAYZANT: Okay. My name is Nick Payzant.

I'm the founder and CEO of a company called Cerna
Healthcare. So we provide care to hundreds of fixed-income
seniors and jobs to hundreds of lower income, primarily
women of color.

Our challenge is gas prices. And my fear is that anytime we regulate and cause less competition and try to fix prices, we end up causing higher prices. And we are really, really sensitive right now. There are people that are on fixed incomes that can no longer afford care in their homes and workers that are unwilling to drive to those homes simply because of the price of fuel. I don't experience this in other states that we work in. It's primarily in California.

And I really, really want to caution everybody, we have to be careful when we try to regulate, put government involved in what should be more competition and more privatized. So you will affect a senior's health and

you will affect those lower-income workers that have to afford their gas prices and getting to those jobs.

MS. BERLINER: Thank you.

Next up is Jan Warren.

Jan, I have unmuted your mic.

MS. WARREN: Excuse me. Good morning. Jan Warren, W-A-R-E-N, Interfaith Climate Action Network of Contra Costa County. Appreciate the opportunity to add a few comments.

First of all, I want to support the comments from Julia May and Greg Karras. And I want to remind folks that we don't have a competitive market for fossil fuel products in this state. We have a limited amount of big corporations. They don't mind getting additional funding from the LCFS credits that come from us paying extra money at the pump.

And the timing of turnarounds, we have all sorts of situations here. We have four refineries in our county, and we've had a lot of problems with flaring, and we've had a lot of problems with emergency maintenance where they have to shut down.

I think that the refineries in general have scheduled maintenance anyway. And the problem is that being restricted to have emergency maintenance, we just need better data on what you're actually doing at the

refineries and when you're doing it because we've seen too many spikes, particularly in the fall, in the summer and the fall in the last several years of not enough product and the spot market basically dictating the price for the whole month. So we need more information.

No one's trying to reduce safety. If anyone's reducing safety, against the refineries themselves.

Thanks.

MS. BERLINER: Thank you.

Jeremy, that was the last public comment for this set of comments.

MS. SMITH: All right. Great. Thank you everyone for providing your comments.

We'll move on to the last topic here for the data regulations. This is the marine imports.

Okay, so first we'll return to Section 1363.2 with proposed updates to definitions to improve clarity on reporting requirements. This includes Notice of Readiness, which is a communication that the vessel has arrived and is ready for loading or discharge, as well as landed cost, which is the total of all costs and fees incurred in the delivery of the product. There may be other post-updated definitions, but just wanted to call those out that are relevant to the marine imports, the draft form.

Next, for the Marine Imports under Section 1366,

Requirement to File, we've clarified which entities are required to report and when in this new draft form and draft data regulations. These are, essentially, various event triggers on which within 24 hours of said event, the entity would submit this planned marine imports form.

These scenarios include upon a reportable cargo being designated for delivery in California, the original shipper would submit the Planned Marine Imports Report.

Next, should the cargo change ownership before arrival, the new importer should submit the report within 24 hours of the pre-sale or sale of the cargo.

And then finally, if the reportable cargo is not sold at the time of arrival, the owner must report within 24 hours of initial arrival in California.

Finally, for the Marine Imports Draft

Regulations, we have the proposed edits to Appendix D for daily and event-based reporting requirements, including the Planned Marine Imports Report. In this section, we've provided details on the reporting requirements for clarification. This includes the timeline upon which reports are required for an incoming marine vessel, particularly those occurring less than 96 hours prior to arrival, details on the transportation fuels products that are deemed reportable cargo and thereby subject to this reporting requirement.

And finally, we drafted updates to the information to include in this report. This section has been expanded, which I will demonstrate shortly, to include more marine vessel details, product status, and price information.

So now, just like the others, I'll walk through the proposed form and instructions for the W700_96j Planned Marine Imports Report. We'll start with the Company Information tab here. No substantial changes here on this company information tab, pretty self-explanatory and covered in the instructions.

We will focus, though, on the Marine Imports tab. So this tab is broken into three different sections, which you can see in the first row here. The first is the Marine Summary Information, followed by Bought From, and then finally, Additional Marine Vessel Movement Details all the way off to the right.

So the first one, the Marine Summary Information, includes key dates of the shipping activity, vessel information, and the product being transported. This section also includes the name of the company the cargo was purchased from, depending on when that occurred. And also included is the status of the product, whether it be purchased, unsold, or for internal use to fulfill contractual obligations.

Moving on to the Bought From section, this is filled in by the U.S. importer of record and includes purchase details, including the contract date, price basis, and type, similar to information collected in the spot market form to align with that, and then finally the total landed cost of the cargo.

And then finally, we have the Additional Marine Vessel Movement details in this section, just providing more information on the vessel identification and shipper and carrier company names for improved tracking of the cargo.

Now let me pull up the final set of instructions here. Again the draft W700_96j instructions for the Planned Marine Imports Report, we've got a description here at the top, the obligated parties to submit this report, the conditions that require submitting a report, and then the transportation fuels that are required to be submitted on this form. And then we step into the tabs we just went through in the actual draft form, the company information and the details requested there.

Now we're moving on to the Marine Imports tab.

Again, as I walked through just briefly, the various dates and vessel information and product name. These are the applicable gasoline, gasoline blending components, diesel and aviation fuels subject to this report.

And finally, the details of the product, volume, who it's bought from, status of the product as I mentioned before. There's actually a list here of the various marine terminal berth designation names to include. Then as I showed before, the Bought From section of the form to be filled in by the US importer of record, the purchase contract date, the price details, reference product and month, price differential, that start and end dates, if applicable, the NYMEX price or EFP trades, and then finally the cargo cash price and landed cost.

Then the last section in the draft Marine Imports Form, as I mentioned before, the Additional Marine Vessel Movement details, just additional details on the marine vessel, the company name, both the shipper and carrier.

And at the end of the instructions, we've got acronyms and abbreviations relevant to this form, as well as selected definitions, as I mentioned earlier.

Okay, so that wraps up my presentation on the Marine Imports Draft Data Regulations, Forms, and Instructions.

We will now go to public comment for these materials. Again, we'll hopefully get some comments on the Marine Imports Data Regulations. We will also have a final general public comment period at the end.

MS. BERLINER: Now we'll move on to our third

public comment period. As before, one person per organization may comment and comments are limited to two minutes per speaker.

For the Zoom platform, use the raise-hand feature to let us know if you'd like to comment. We'll call on you and open your line to make comments. For those on the phone, dial star nine to raise your hand and star six to unmute your phone line. We will unmute your line from our end.

We welcome written comments, and once again, those comments are due by 5:00 p.m. on April 1st.

Instructions on how to provide written comments are included in the notice for this workshop, which is posted on the CEC's website.

First up, we have Julia May.

Julia, I have opened your line.

MS. MAY: Yes. Julia May, thank you, from Communities for a Better Environment.

First question, I hope you're collecting data on exports of finished fuels, as well as imports. And that's really important to comments that were made earlier by Greg Karras.

And I wanted to support what the building trades and refinery operators mentioned earlier about the importance of maintenance. Our community members who live

around the refineries applaud and support the heavy, difficult work of the trade unions in keeping the refineries safe. And we think they should have more control, not less. We support that. But that, if anything, it's the oil industry that cuts corners on maintenance. No one is suggesting CEC should cut corners on maintenance.

One thing you can do is make sure there's plenty of storage ahead of a shutdown of gasoline, and I know CEC is looking at that, to make sure that refineries do not take advantage of shutdowns to allow price spikes. That doesn't limit maintenance, it supports it.

California is uniquely situated to export fuels off of the West Coast. It's one big difference from other states. And so that's why it's really important to look at exports as well as imports.

Thank you.

MS. BERLINER: Thank you.

Next up, we have Max Ordonez.

Max, I have opened your line.

MR. ORDONEZ: Good morning, Max Ordonez, M-A-X,

last name Ordonez, O-R-D-O-N-E-Z, owner of a IMM

23 | Management, a small business consulting firm, and Chairman

24 of the National Federation of Independent Businesses,

25 California.

I'd like the State of California to move away from maintaining refineries and regulating the oil industry and focus on balancing their budget. The report card on the state budget maintenance has been a failure. Can we imagine the State of California maintaining our refineries businesses?

Through many reporting requirements, additional regulations continue to constrain supply. The pricing is due to the lack of supply, which creates the higher costs to all small businesses and our California consumers. All this will result in is more regulations, it will result in more higher prices at the pump on all goods and services for small businesses and consumers like mine in California that we are still recovering from COVID.

Thank you.

MS. BERLINER: Thank you.

Next up, we have Greg Karras.

Greg, I've opened your line.

MR. KARRAS: Thank you. Greg Karras, Community Energy reSource, G-R-E-G K-A-R-R-A-S.

I wanted to support the comments that Julia May from CBE just made and support workers in joining with communities to keep refineries safe because we know that the oil industry doesn't. I want to give you a specific example about why we are especially concerned about the

potential for health and safety impacts when refineries don't keep inventory full. And I want to point out that as we're using the term here, unplanned outage is a euphemism for toxic spills, explosions, and fires.

A specific example, August 2012, fire at Chevron's Richmond Refinery sent 15,000 people to hospital emergency rooms and shuttered the refinery's predistillation for eight months. Chevron caused the incident, in part by deferring needed refinery maintenance. And that's an industry-wide problem exacerbated by perceived incentives to avoid downtime when gasoline inventory is deemed tight. Requirements to keep fuel inventory full could help to prevent serious process safety incidents and longer outages by supporting more frequent refinery maintenance.

MS. BERLINER: Thank you.

 $\label{eq:weakline} \text{We have no other comments.} \quad \text{Jeremy, we are ready}$ to move on the next steps.

MS. SMITH: All right. Great. Thank you.

And once again, thanks, everyone, for providing comments.

So just before we wrap up and I share some next steps, I just wanted to briefly mention some additional draft regulations that are being considered. These don't necessarily apply to any of the three topics that we've

already covered, the refining margins, refinery
maintenance, or marine imports, but they do sort of apply
more like overarching.

So first under Section 1366, the Requirement to File, we've removed an outdated reference to a U.S. Energy Information Administration form, which was used to clarify the required reporting entities.

Next, under Section 1367, which is the form and format of reports, we have updated the notice period for updated forms to at least seven days.

Under Sections 1370, the Confidential Information, and 1371, Failure to Provide Information, we've also clarified language in there to align with the statute.

Okay, so here are the next steps for the emergency rulemaking process.

Today, March 18th, we are beginning stakeholder outreach and seeking feedback on the draft data regulations, forms, and instructions. As we've mentioned, we welcome written comments to the docket, but we'd also welcome reporting entities to reach out to myself or our PIIRA Team, schedule a meeting, and share their thoughts. We plan to continue industry outreach and finalize the draft regulations by the end of April.

If we complete our industry outreach on schedule,

we plan to present these data regulations at the CEC business meeting for adoption on May 8th. Again, these are part of the emergency rulemaking under SB X1-2, so the timeline and protocols are a bit different than a standard rulemaking.

If the package is adopted at the business meeting, we will submit to the Office of Administrative Law the following day, which would be May 9th. The Office of Administrative Law has ten days to review the package and make a decision. If OAL approves, we would expect the data regulations to go into effect on May 20th.

As I mentioned, feedback is welcome and appreciated as we refine the draft language, forms, and instructions. Some areas that we could benefit from in particular include regulatory language, forms, or instructions that might be unclear and would benefit from further clarification, information on relevant industry standards that should be considered in development of these draft regulations, suggestions for simplification, such as the one I discussed earlier, combining the two unplanned maintenance forms into one to ultimately achieve the same outcome while reducing administrative burden.

Again, you can submit written comments to Docket Number 23-OIR-03 before 5L00 p.m. on April 1st, 2024. You can also, as I said, reach out, request a meeting, and we

can discuss these further. 1 2 That concludes my presentation for today. 3 Okay, we will now open it up for one last general 4 public comment period. 5 MS. BERLINER: This is our last public comment 6 period. As before, one person per organization may 7 comment, and comments are now limited to three minutes per 8 speaker. 9 For the Zoom platform, use the raise-hand feature 10 to let us know you'd like to comment. We will call on you 11 and open your line to make comments. For those on the 12 phone, dial star nine to raise your hand, and star six to 13 unmute your phone line. We will unmute your line from our 14 end. 15 As Jeremy said before, we welcome written 16 comments, and once again, those comments are due by 5:00 17 p.m. on April 1st. Instructions on how to provide written 18 comments are included in the notice for this workshop, 19 which is posted on the CEC's website. 20 First up, we have Timothy Jeffries. 21 Timothy, I've opened your line. MR. JEFFRIES: Well, thank you once again. 22 23 name is Timothy Jeffries, last name Jeffries, 24 J-E-F-F-E-R-I, yes. 25 Once again, just as a worker that's actually

doing the industry, that's actually doing the work, so from time to time, if you're the worker in the industry doing the work inside the refineries, inside the walls, they may have outages going on or turnaround work that's going on, and then the worker in the field will look and see if that piece of equipment and is near failure or at failure and can advise the operators of the facility, this needs to be done a lot sooner, this plan outage may go a little longer, or that is a type of critical information that's needed in a critical time, such as — so putting an arbitrary cutoff date is not safe. And then allowing others to have some say-so into that is not safe.

The skilled, again, the skilled and trained men and women of the building trades are really the best workforce out there. And their input that the refiners will receive is definitely the symbiotic relationship that's needed in that industry to keep those communities safe and all of us that are in those facilities out there safe.

I don't need the whole three minutes. I think I've pretty much said my piece, but thank you.

MS. BERLINER: Thank you.

Next up, we have Craig Cooper.

Craig, I have opened your line.

MR. COOPER: Thank you very much. My name is

```
1
    Craig Cooper, C-R-A-I-G C-O-O-P-E-R. I own a small
 2
    business in Riverside County, a bicycle shop. We're energy
 3
    friendly.
 4
              The backbone of California's economy is oil.
 5
    Without it, we are in desperate shape. Any quick change to
 6
    the way the system is running scares me. It doesn't make
 7
    sense. I think we need to slow down, take a better
 8
    overlook, get more input.
9
              California already has the highest energy prices
    in the nation and it's very detrimental. The economy is
10
11
    slowing and if we can be careful with this, I think it
12
    would benefit everybody.
13
              Thank you for taking my comments and I appreciate
    being part of this Zoom meeting.
14
15
              MS. BERLINER: Thank you.
16
              Next up, we have Denise.
17
              Denise, I've opened your line. Please state your
18
    full name and spell your name further.
19
              MS. DUNCAN: All righty. Can you hear me?
20
              MS. BERLINER: Yes, we can.
21
              MS. DUNCAN:
                           Thank you. My name is Denise
22
    Duncan, D-E-N-I-S-E, last name Duncan, D-U-N-C-A-N. I'm a
23
    small business owner in Pomona. I make equipment that
24
    prevents combustible metal dust fires and explosions.
25
    sell this equipment throughout the country, as well as here
```

in California.

The last several years, especially since COVID, it's been a struggle to stay open. Our price of gas has doubled. Our rent has increased. Our insurances and utilities have increased anywhere from 22 percent to 150 percent. California is already a really uncompetitive state to do business in and operate as a small business.

We're very small businesses and we're already struggling. So anytime I see government involvement in in regulation and taxation, it's impossible for small businesses to keep up with. It increases the cost. It continues to make California less competitive with the rest of the country. Our gas prices are \$2.00 more than most of the rest of the country, \$2.00 more per gallon. So none of this regulation is going to really solve anything.

I think you do need to get with industry experts, not just government bureaucrats drafting up more regulation. I think you need to work more closely with the industry, with the gas and oil industry, and approach it from that end.

I would also like to see some sort of impact study done on how is it going to affect small business?

How is it going to affect regular Californians?

So I think that's pretty much all I have to say.

And thank you for allowing us to speak.

MS. BERLINER: Thank you.

Next up, we have Sophie Ellinghouse.

Sophie, I have opened your line.

MS. ELLINGHOUSE: Thank you. Sophie Ellinghouse again. S-O-P-H-I-E E-L-L-I-N-G-H-O-U-S-E, General Counsel for the Western States Petroleum Association.

I just wanted to provide some additional thoughts on behalf of WSPA as to the CEC form, as well as the need to ensure the Commission provides a process that allows full public participation and comment moving forward on these critical issues.

First, the proposed and revised forms present numerous challenges that must be addressed. In many cases, they do request information that is onerous and very difficult to get and has questionable value to the CEC to minimize price spikes in the market.

For example, the revised M1322 reporting requests extraordinarily detailed data that simply may be possible to provide. It also presents undue burden on industry, something the CEC has previously stated they would seek to avoid. It's important to remember that there has not been any evidence or information to date to suggest that assigning a maximum margin for controlling when refiners perform their carefully planned turnarounds will resolve

the gasoline price volatility issues that motivated SB X1-2 in the first place.

Another example is the changing definitions in these forms that may be inconsistent with statute. We're also concerned with what the CEC intends to do with additional inventory information related to Arizona and Nevada, which both depend on California for fuel supplies. WSPA will provide detailed examples in our written testimony, since I can't do so in the three minutes here.

Second, these regulations do not involve any emergency and should not be considered on an emergency basis. We've discussed this before, but the Energy Commission should not shortchange any part of this process and must allow full public participation and comment. By considering any of these regulations on an emergency basis, this means that the public will not get a fair opportunity to consider and comment on these proposed regulations, which are complex and significant changes from the prior requirements of the past 40 years.

The CEC must also be sure that they have included all market participants in their rulemaking efforts. But let's be clear, this is not an emergency situation. This industry has provided detailed reporting for decades, and the state has recognized the volatility of the gasoline spot market for nearly as long. None of that is a surprise

and none of that developed overnight.

Do the regulations concern issues of importance to all of us? Yes. That's exactly why you need to consider them thoughtfully and not rush regulations into place just to show politicians you're doing something. These regulations deserve proper public notice and comment and all the legal protections of the APA and for the CEC to justify its decisions and provide necessary economic, environmental, and other analyses. The scope and impact of these proposed changes demand no less than a full and proper assessment by the CEC and by the public.

Thank you.

MS. BERLINER: Thank you.

Next up, we have Jamie Court.

Jamie, I've opened your line.

MR. COURT: Yeah, I'm Jamie Court with Consumer Watchdog. I'm here, you know, to commend the CEC on these disclosure regulations. These are not rushed. The law and legislation was passed a year ago, a year ago, March 30th, and it's about time we've had clarity on these regulations.

But I am concerned that we're not getting to the price gouging rule. And there's no timeline articulated on the price gouging rule that I've heard anyway. And I'm here to deliver a letter from 23 groups calling on you to deliver that price gouging rule by summer. This

information is very important. It'll help clarify what's needed in the rule. But to create a maximum gross refining margin, we do not need this data. So I'm going to read this letter briefly.

"Californians need a price gouging penalty. The buck stops this summer. California oil refiners reported to the Energy Commission that their average gross refining margin from selling gasoline in California in 2023 was \$1.01 per gallon. A buck per gallon is too much for oil refiners to take for profit and overhead when working people have to choose between paying for food and filling up.

"California oil refiners reported to their investors that their operating costs and other costs of running refineries are between \$0.20 and \$0.30 per gallon. So oil refiners are keeping between \$0.70 and \$0.80 of every gallon of gas sold as pure profit.

"Under SB X1-2, the Energy Commission must determine what an excessive profit is before a price gouging penalty goes into effect. Californians need the penalty in place before summer when gas prices and oil refinery profits are expected to spike again.

"It's time for the Energy Commission to take decisive action and implement a price gouging penalty by summer in order to protect Californians from the outrageous

profits that come with California gas price spikes."

And it's signed by 23 groups, including the Asian Pacific Environmental Network, the California Working Families Party, the Center for Biological Diversity, the Center on Race, Poverty, and the Environment, the Climate Center, Climate First, Consumer Watchdog, Consumers for Auto Reliability and Safety, Elected Officials to Protect America, California, the Environmental Working Group, Friends of the Earth, Gemini Energy Solutions, Glendale Environmental Coalition, Long Beach Gray Panthers, Mothers Out Front, Oil and Gas Action Network, Oil Change International, RootsAction.org, San Francisco Bay Area Physicians for Social Responsibility, Santa Cruz Climate Action Network, work, Stand Earth and Sunflower Alliance.

I urge you to come up with a penalty before summer, whatever that penalty is, because this summer, we know that in Northern California there are likely to be two refineries offline and only one refinery operating, and that is a recipe for huge price spikes. And without some penalty in place, we will be gouged again. We've waited a year for this penalty, and it's time for the Energy Commission to deliver a penalty. It can be adjusted based on the new data, but we need a penalty in place by summer. I urge you to do this so that when summer comes around, we have something to protect us and protect Californians.

1 Thank you. 2 MS. BERLINER: Thank you. 3 Jeremy, public comments have been completed. 4 On behalf of the Energy Commission staff, we 5 would like to thank everyone for participating in today's workshop, SB X1-2 and SB 1322 pre-rulemaking. 6 7 MS. SMITH: Thank you. I just wanted to say a 8 couple things before we close. 9 First of all, thanks, everyone, for attending today. For those that provided oral comments and those 10 11 that have already or plan to submit written comments, thank 12 you for participating in the rulemaking process. There are 13 more upcoming webinars related to SB X1-2, so please watch 14 for those notices in the near future. 15 We also got a comment requesting the slides from 16 today's presentation. We will post those to the docket 17 today, so those will be there for your review. Before we close, I'd also like to thank the staff 18 19 in the Transportation, Fuels, Data, and Analysis Unit that 20 worked so hard over the last month to prepare for this 21 workshop, draft these regulations, forms, and instructions. 22 And thank you also to the Chief Counsel's Office for their

email address there. If you would like to reach out, if

With that, I just wanted to mention again my

23

24

25

support.

```
you have questions about the forms, you'd like to schedule
1
2
    a meeting to go through some of the concerns, share some of
 3
    the things that we're looking for input on, I welcome that.
 4
    You can also reach out to our PIIRA team. Their email
 5
    address is there as well.
              With that, this meeting is adjourned. Thank you.
 6
 7
                (The workshop adjourned at 10:43 a.m.)
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were reported by me, a certified

I do hereby certify that the testimony in

person, and was under my supervision thereafter

electronic court reporter and a disinterested

transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 3rd day of June, 2024.

MARTHA L. NELSON, CERT**367

Martha L. Nelson

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were transcribed by me, a certified transcriber and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, to the best of my ability, from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

MARTHA L. NELSON, CERT**367

June, 2024