

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	22-RENEW-01
Project Title:	Reliability Reserve Incentive Programs
TN #:	255099
Document Title:	Vernon Procell, SitelogIQ Comments - DEBA DER GFO Draft Solicitation Concept- SitelogIQ Comments Attached
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Vernon Procell, SitelogIQ
Submitter Role:	Applicant
Submission Date:	3/15/2024 12:07:48 PM
Docketed Date:	3/15/2024

*Comment Received From: Vernon Procell, SitelogIQ
Submitted On: 3/15/2024
Docket Number: 22-RENEW-01*

**DEBA DER GFO Draft Solicitation Concept- SitelogIQ Comments
Attached**

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

SitelogIQ
1151 N. Del Rio Pl.
Ontario, CA 91764
Submitter: Vernon Procell

SitelogIQ Comments-

1. There are currently long lead times for utility approval, equipment delivery and permitting. In regards to Project Readiness identified in Section III. B. 3. on Page 12, are projects with multiple sites slated for one phase evaluated as multiphase projects and held to the incremental deadline (25% of total project installed and online by May 1, 2025, 50% by May 1 2026, and 100 percent by May 1 2027). If so, would the CEC consider any of the following options: a. a longer timeline b. changing the proposed timeline to reflect percent of project completion instead of capacity installed and online or c. evaluating multiple sites with one phase of installation as a single-phase project with a May 1, 2027 complete and online date.
2. What are the ramifications if a project cannot meet the deadlines as defined in the Project Readiness in Section III. B. 3. on page 12? If there is any delays caused by the utility, equipment or permitting, how does that impact overall funding?
3. In reference to the Group 1 and Group 2 project size minimums of 6 MW and 15 MW, respectively, would an applicant be allowed to aggregate multiple owners when combining sites into one application?
4. Would the CEC impose geographic limitations on the aggregation of customers across different regions and IOU jurisdiction extents? For example, could a project in a school district in Northern California be combined with one in Southern California, or could a project in a city served by SoCal Edison be combined with one served by the IID?
5. In reference to the maximum of 20 sites per application, if a particular site or customer declined participation after application award, would the applicant be able to swap or substitute that site or customer with a new one?