DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	23-BUSMTG-01
Project Title:	Business Meeting Agendas, Transcripts, Minutes, and Public Comments
TN #:	249095
Document Title:	Presentation - Item 3 Request for Reconsideration
Description:	Presentation of Item 3 at 3/14/23 CEC Business Meeting
Filer:	Mona Badie
Organization:	California Energy Commission
Submitter Role:	Commission Staff
Submission Date:	3/10/2023 5:45:17 PM
Docketed Date:	3/13/2023



Item 3: Request for Reconsideration of the CEC's Denial of Petition for Rulemaking

March 14, 2023 Business Meeting

Aleecia Gutierrez, Director Energy Assessments Division



- Western States Petroleum Association (WSPA) requests that the CEC:
 - Reconsider its denial of WSPA's petition for rulemaking to define terms in SB 1322.
 - Delay enforcing SB 1322's reporting requirements.
- SB 1322 is clear as written and can be implemented without further interpretive regulations.
- WSPA's petition for reconsideration does not provide additional information to cause the CEC to reconsider its order denying the petition for a rulemaking.
- CEC cannot delay the applicability of the statute, and a stay regarding penalties is unnecessary.



- Repeated request to "clarify and contextualize" SB 1322.
- Raises no new basis to show the need for a rulemaking.
- On February 6, the CEC sent the regulated community more information on locating existing definitions of terms in SB 1322 and an optional form to use for reporting.
- As of March 5, all refiners submitted SB 1322 reports.
- One refiner provided partial information and CEC will follow procedures to obtain completed information.



- SB 1322 consists of terms generally used in the industry.
- Refiners can use definitions contained in Title 20 California Code of Regulations and federal law.
- Special Session called by Governor has not yet concluded, and legislation containing same terms as in SB 1322 is still pending
- Terms in SB 1322 are clear
- Rulemaking both unnecessary and inadvisable due to related legislative action



- The CEC has the authority to impose penalties in the event of non-compliance with reporting requirements.
- A stay is inappropriate because WSPA has no standing to seek a stay since it is not a refinery operation;
- A stay is premature because any penalties would be imposed after a due process hearing is provided.
- Refinery operators would be subject to a civil penalty only if, after being notified of the failure to provide specified information, they choose to delay or refuse to submit the specified information.



- WSPA has not provided support for a request to reconsider the order to deny the petition for rulemaking.
- Staying SB 1322 reporting requirements is premature, unsupported, and not properly before the CEC.
- It is CEC Staff's recommendation to deny WSPA's request for reconsideration and for a stay of SB 1322's reporting requirements.