

**DOCKETED**

<b>Docket Number:</b>	22-HERS-02
<b>Project Title:</b>	2022 Title 20 Home Energy Rating System (HERS) OIR Proceeding
<b>TN #:</b>	248617
<b>Document Title:</b>	BarrierEnergy Comments
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	System
<b>Organization:</b>	Emily Barriere/BarrierEnergy
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Public
<b>Submission Date:</b>	1/31/2023 11:49:05 AM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	1/31/2023

*Comment Received From: Emily Barriere / BarrierEnergy*  
*Submitted On: 1/31/2023*  
*Docket Number: 22-HERS-02*

### **Docket number 22-BSTD-03**

Two more thoughts come to mind:

1) What if you locked a HERS Rater into a project so they cannot change the Rater mid-way through? This may not seem relevant, but I think it would help prevent fraudulent data because it prevents a Rater from being fired for "failing" a system midway through a project. In order to fire a Rater, the owner or person new hire them would have to prove incompetency.

2) A return loop of feedback for Raters to submit complaints or concerns directly to the CEC rather than the provider, could be a good idea. This would open a two-way loop in communication between enforcers and Raters.